ER-associated degradation is required for vasopressin prohormone processing and systemic water homeostasis
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Peptide hormones are crucial regulators of many aspects of human physiology. Mutations that alter these signaling peptides are associated with physiological imbalances that underlie diseases. However, the conformational maturation of peptide prohormones (prohormones) in the ER remains largely unexplored. Here, we report that conformational maturation of proAVP, the precursor for the antidiuretic hormone arginine-vasopressin, within the ER requires the ER-associated degradation (ERAD) activity of the Sel1L-Hrd1 protein complex. Serum hyperosmolality induces expression of both ERAD components and proAVP in AVP-producing neurons. Mice with global or AVP neuron–specific ablation of Sel1L-Hrd1 ERAD progressively developed polyuria and polydipsia, characteristics of diabetes insipidus. Mechanistically, we found that ERAD deficiency causes marked ER retention and aggregation of a large proportion of all proAVP protein. Further, we show that proAVP is an endogenous substrate of Sel1L-Hrd1 ERAD. The inability to clear misfolded proAVP with highly reactive cysteine thiols in the absence of Sel1L-Hrd1 ERAD causes proAVP to accumulate and participate in inappropriate intermolecular disulfide–bonded aggregates, promoted by the enzymatic activity of protein disulfide isomerase (PDI). This study highlights a pathway linking ERAD to prohormone conformational maturation in neuroendocrine cells, expanding the role of ERAD in providing a conducive ER environment for nascent proteins to reach proper conformation.

Introduction
A wide variety of biologically active peptide hormones and neuropeptides regulate vital aspects of physiology, including food intake, body temperature, physical activity, and water balance. These signaling peptides are produced and activated through a unique intracellular process, whereby they are synthesized in the ER as prohormones (inactive or less active precursors) and are later activated through well-defined proteolytic cleavage(s) for storage in secretory granules (1). Pointing to the significance of ER-localized protein folding in this process, mutations that cause prohormone misfolding and ER retention have been linked to the pathogenesis of human diseases. For instance, mutations in pro–arginine-misfolding and ER retention have been linked to the pathogenesis of congenital neurogenic (central) diabetes insipidus caused by either deficiency of the antidiuretic nonapeptide hormone AVP in the blood (i.e., central or neurogenic diabetes insipidus), or by a defective renal response to this hormone (i.e., nephrogenic diabetes insipidus) (4). AVP is synthesized in the ER as the 145–amino acid prohormone proAVP and then is cleaved to the final AVP nonapeptide in post-Golgi compartments for release into the circulation via the posterior pituitary gland. The majority of congenital neurogenic (central) diabetes insipidus cases occur as an autosomal-dominant disease, whereby any one of dozens of mutations in the AVP gene (e.g., G57S or ΔE47) cause ER retention of proAVP and the formation of fibrillar aggregates (2, 5–8). Recent studies have shown that activation of autophagy and autophagy-associated neuronal death occur in response to proAVP aggregation at later stages of diabetes insipidus in mouse models subjected to intermittent water deprivation (9); however, the molecular mechanisms underlying physiological and pathophysiological proAVP folding and degradation remain to be explored.

While both WT and mutant proAVP are subjected to proteasomal degradation (10), the nature and mechanisms of the degradative machinery (and, more importantly, the significance of this process in normal physiology and disease initiation) are totally unknown.

Misfolded proteins in the ER are targeted for proteasomal degradation by a highly conserved quality-control mechanism known as ER-associated degradation (ERAD) (11, 12). Among over a dozen E3 ligases in mammalian ERAD, the hydroxymethylglutaryl-CoA reductase degradation protein 1 (Hrd1) is a principal ER-resident E3 ligase that forms a complex with the ER-resident ER-associated degradation protein 1 (Hrd1) is a principal ER-resident E3 ligase that forms a complex with the ER-resident E3 ligase ER-resident E3 ligase
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suppressor-enhancer of lin-12-like (Sel1L, also known as mammalian Hrd3) (13-19). Together, this complex is responsible for the degradation of a subset of misfolded proteins in the ER (11, 12). Like its yeast counterpart Hrd3p (14), mammalian Sel1L is required for the stability of Hrd1 (20) and may both directly recruit substrates to Hrd1 (21) and regulate Hrd1 activity (22). Germline Sel1L or Hrd1 deficiency is embryonically lethal in mice (23, 24), and acute global loss of Sel1L or Hrd1 in adult mice leads to premature death within 2 to 3 weeks (20, 23), suggesting that Sel1L and Hrd1 are indispensable for both development and postnatal survival (11). Recent studies of cell type–specific Sel1L-Hrd1 deficiency in adipocytes (25, 26), B cells (27), and colonic epithelium (21) have delineated the physiological importance of ERAD in these various tissues and identified several endogenous substrates (11), including Ire1α of the unfolded protein response (UPR) in many cell types (20); lipoprotein lipase and PGC1β in adipocytes (25, 26); and pre-B cell receptor in developing B cells (27). However, the role of ERAD in neuroendocrine cells has not been explored.

A major posttranslational modification of secretory proteins in the ER is disulfide bond formation involving oxidation of a pair of cysteine residues (28), which is catalyzed by enzymes of the protein disulfide isomerase (PDI) family. PDI, the founding member of this family and a major oxidoreductase in the ER lumen, has a broad substrate range (29) and catalyzes oxidative folding and isomerization of many substrates (30). In its catalytic cycle involving sequential oxidation and reduction reactions, PDI forms transient mixed disulfide bonds with substrates through its active Cys-XX-Cys thioredoxin motif (Cys, cysteine; X, any amino acids) (31). PDI can act as a protein chaperone to help protein folding and stability (30, 32) by interacting with substrates at different stages of the folding process, i.e., unfolded, partially folded, or native state (32), and also has a role in ERAD. Recent studies have suggested that PDI in the ER is able to reduce proinsulin disulfide bonds (33) and in this way may prime proinsulin Akita-mutant protein to unfold for Sel1L-Hrd1 ERAD (3). Adding further complexity to the function of PDI, another study suggested that the role of PDI in ERAD may be substrate dependent (34).

In the inducibility of inductible Sel1L-KO mice (20), we made a serendipitous discovery that these animals develop central, not nephrogenic, diabetes insipidus. Strikingly, AVP neuron–specific Sel1L-deficient mice phenocopy inducible Sel1L-KO (Sel1Lfl/fl ERCre +) mice under physiological conditions and thus plays a vital role in renal–specific Sel1L-deficient mice phenocopy inducible Sel1L-KO mice. Indeed, further analysis of Sel1L ERCre mice confirmed that they developed increased water intake (i.e., polydipsia) (Figure 1, B and C), elevated urine output (i.e., polyuria) (Figure 1D), and decreased urine osmolality (Figure 1E) compared with WT (Sel1Lfl/fl) littermates. This phenotype was consistent with diabetes insipidus (2) and, strikingly, manifested within 3 days of the first tamoxifen injection and deteriorated thereafter (Figure 1, C and D).

To determine whether Sel1L ERCre mice developed central or nephrogenic diabetes insipidus, we treated Sel1L ERCre mice with 1-deamino-8-arginine vasopressin (dDAVP), a synthetic agonist of renal vasopressin receptors (35). dDAVP injection triggered the translocation of Aquaporin 2 water channels to the apical membrane of kidney collecting duct cells (Supplemental Figure 1A; supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI94771) in both Sel1Lfl/fl and Sel1L ERCre mice (Figure 1F). Further, dDAVP injection significantly increased the urine osmolality of both Sel1Lfl/fl and Sel1L ERCre mice but had a significantly greater effect on Sel1L ERCre mice, increasing urine osmolality to a level comparable to that of WT mice (Figure 1G). This robust renal response to dDAVP stimulation in Sel1L ERCre mice suggested that their renal urine–concentrating ability was intact. Providing further support for this idea, urine protein, glucose, ketone, bilirubin, and pH were comparable between Sel1L ERCre and Sel1Lfl/fl mice (Supplemental Table 1). Kidney weights (not shown) and gross structure of kidneys (Supplemental Figure 1B) were comparable as well. These data point to a central defect as the cause of diabetes insipidus in Sel1L ERCre mice. Indeed, the level of circulating AVP — the antidiuretic hormone — was significantly decreased in Sel1L ERCre mice (Figure 1H). Hence, we conclude that acute loss of Sel1L in adult mice leads to the development of central diabetes insipidus.

Sel1L-Hrd1 ERAD expression is enriched in AVP neurons and responsive to hyperosmolality. Both Sel1L and Hrd1 transcripts are ubiquitously expressed throughout the body (20) (Supplemental Figure 2A). Immunofluorescence staining of brain sections revealed that Hrd1 is expressed in many regions of the brain (Figure 2A and Supplemental Figure 2B) and highly enriched in the hypothalamic supraoptic nucleus (SON) and paraventricular nucleus (PVN) regions (Figure 2, B and C) — 2 regions that contain magnocellular, AVP-producing neurons. Costaining with Hrd1 and AVP revealed that, indeed, Hrd1 is enriched in AVP neurons (Figure 2, D and E). Quantitative analysis of immunofluorescence signal intensity in individual AVP neurons further demonstrated a positive correlation between protein levels of AVP and Hrd1 in AVP neurons (Figure 2, D and E). This observation led us to examine Hrd1 expression in AVP neurons under hyperosmolality conditions. Hyperosmolality caused by either water deprivation for 3 days or salt loading (2% sodium chloride drinking water) for 7 days not only induced AVP but also significantly elevated Hrd1 protein levels in the AVP neurons (Figure 2, E-G, and quantitated in Figure 2H). Hence, Sel1L-Hrd1 ERAD expression in AVP neurons is responsive to serum hyperosmolality.

**Mice with AVP neuron–specific Sel1L deficiency develop central diabetes insipidus.** To investigate the role of Sel1L-Hrd1 ERAD in AVP neurons, we generated AVP neuron–specific Sel1L-KO (Sel1LAVP) mice by crossing Sel1Lfl/fl mice with AVP neuron–specific
At 1 week of age, Sel1LAVP mice had comparable numbers of AVP neurons and comparable levels of Avp mRNA relative to levels in control Sel1Lfl/fl mice, as demonstrated by ISH of Avp mRNA (Figure 4B). Likewise, Sel1LERCre mice had comparable AVP neuron numbers and Avp mRNA levels compared with the control cohorts (Supplemental Figure 3, B and C). In addition, there was no detectable cell death in Sel1L-deficient AVP neurons from Sel1L AVP mice (Supplemental Figure 3D). Furthermore, AVP neuronal activation as marked by nuclear c-Fos (36, 37) was intact in Sel1LERCre mice compared with that observed in Sel1Lfl/fl mice (Figure 4, C and D). While low abundance of AVP neurons in the hypothalamus precluded efforts to directly quantitate ER stress in these animal models using standard biochemical methods (Western blotting and quantitative PCR [qPCR]) (38), these data excluded the possibility of neuronal cell death or diminished responsiveness as major causes of disease initiation in Sel1L-deficient mice.

Sel1L deficiency causes intracellular ER retention of proAVP. As circulating AVP levels were decreased in the bloodstream of Cre-driver mice. Sel1Lfl/fl littermates without Cre were included as WT controls. Sel1LAVP mice appeared grossly normal in terms of morphology and growth compared with WT mice (Figure 3A). Sel1LAVP mice recapitulated the diabetes insipidus phenotype seen in global Sel1LERCre mice, with increased water intake, increased urination (Figure 3, B and C), and decreased urine osmolality (Figure 3, D and E). Circulating AVP levels in Sel1LAVP mice were decreased to 30% of WT control values (Figure 3F). Indeed, decreased urine osmolality in Sel1LAVP mice was observed under ad-libitum water conditions as early as 3 weeks of age, i.e., at the time of weaning, in both males and females (Figure 3, D and E). Taken together, these findings establish a vital role of Sel1L in AVP neurons in the regulation of systemic water balance.

Sel1L deficiency in AVP neurons does not lead to neuronal death or loss. We next explored how Sel1L deficiency in the ER of AVP neurons leads to systemic water imbalance. As in other cell types (20, 21, 27), Hrd1 protein levels were markedly reduced in Sel1L-deficient AVP neurons (Figure 4A and quantitated in Supplemental Figure 3A). At 1 week of age, Sel1LAVP mice had comparable numbers of AVP neurons and comparable levels of Avp mRNA relative to levels in control Sel1Lfl/fl mice, as demonstrated by ISH of Avp mRNA (Figure 4B). Likewise, Sel1LERCre mice had comparable AVP neuron numbers and Avp mRNA levels compared with the control cohorts (Supplemental Figure 3, B and C). In addition, there was no detectable cell death in Sel1L-deficient AVP neurons from Sel1LAVP mice (Supplemental Figure 3D). Furthermore, AVP neuronal activation as marked by nuclear c-Fos (36, 37) was intact in Sel1LERCre mice compared with that observed in Sel1Lfl/fl mice (Figure 4, C and D). While low abundance of AVP neurons in the hypothalamus precluded efforts to directly quantitate ER stress in these animal models using standard biochemical methods (Western blotting and quantitative PCR [qPCR]) (38), these data excluded the possibility of neuronal cell death or diminished responsiveness as major causes of disease initiation in Sel1L-deficient mice.

Sel1L deficiency causes intracellular ER retention of proAVP.
SelILAVP mice, we next examined the level and distribution of proAVP protein in AVP neurons. As the disease manifested very early in life (Figure 3, D and E), we performed subsequent analysis using 1- to 3-week-old SelILAVP mice. proAVP consists of the AVP nonapeptide, a carrier protein called Neurophysin II (NPII), and a glycopeptide (GP) (Figure 4E). proAVP is folded in the ER and is cleaved to final products in secretory granules as they travel down the axons toward synaptic terminals. We costained brain tissue sections with 2 different proAVP antibodies specifically recognizing AVP and NPII domains (Figure 4E) (39, 40) and found that at 1 week of age, there was already a notable reduction of AVP signal in the axons of SelILAVP neurons (Figure 4F and Supplemental Figure 4). Confirming these findings, we stained for proAVP in 3-week-old WT mice and found that AVP processing intermediates were evi-

Figure 2. HRD1 is highly enriched in AVP neurons and upregulated during water deprivation. (A–C) Representative fluorescence images of Hrd1 expression in brain sections from WT mice with ad libitum access to water (A) and close-up view of the PVN (B) and SON (C). (D) Scatterplot demonstrating the relationship between Hrd1 and proAVP fluorescence mean intensity in single AVP neurons from WT mice with ad libitum access to water. (E–G) Representative fluorescence images of proAVP and Hrd1 in WT mice PVN under ad libitum access to water (E) or osmotic stress conditions. Mice were either water deprived for 72 hours (F) or given water containing 2% sodium chloride (salt-loaded) for 7 days (G). (H) Mean fluorescence intensity of proAVP and Hrd1 staining for images in E–G. (A–C) Representative images for 2 WT male mice; (D) 100 proAVP-positive neurons were quantitated. Scale bar: 10 μm. (E–G) n = 2 mice/group; (H) n = 50 AVP-positive cells from 2 mice per condition. Values represent the mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.001, by Student’s t test. Data represent at least 2 independent experiments.
was markedly diminished in a "beads-on-a-string" axonal pattern, whereas this signal activated BiP protein levels in the Sel1L AVP neurons (Figure 4H) was expressed in HEK293T cells in vitro. We then used the CRISPR/Cas9 system to coimmunoprecipitated with HRD1 (Figure 5A) and was ubiquitinated (Figure 5B). We then used the CRISPR/Cas9 system to generate SEL1L and HRD1-deficient human HEK293T cells and mouse neuroblastoma Neuro2A (N2a) cells (Figure 5C). Deletion of SEL1L destabilized HRD1 and led to HRD1 deficiency as shown previously (20), while HRD1 deletion stabilized SEL1L and led to SEL1L accumulation in both cell lines (Figure 5C). Loss of HRD1 decreased proAVP polyubiquitination (lane 3 vs. lane 2, Figure 5D) and increased its stability (Figure 5E), suggesting that SEL1L-HRD1 ERAD is responsible for the protein turnover of proAVP. Moreover, to determine the changes in detergent solubility of proAVP, we fractionated cell lysates into NP-40–soluble and -insoluble fractions. In line with proAVP being an endogenous substrate, loss of SEL1L or Hrd1 dramatically increased intracellular proAVP protein levels by over 4-fold in detergent-soluble fractions (Figure 5F). In addition, proAVP is an endogenous substrate of SEL1L-HRD1 ERAD. We next investigated mechanistically how SEL1L deficiency affects proAVP folding, degradation, and maturation in the ER. A previous study reported that both WT and mutant proAVP are degraded by proteasomes in the cytosol (10); however, the nature of the ERAD machinery responsible for proAVP protein turnover remains unknown. proAVP expressed in HEK293T cells in vitro coimmunoprecipitated with HRD1 (Figure 5A) and was ubiquitinated (Figure 5B). We then used the CRISPR/Cas9 system to generate SEL1L and HRD1-deficient human HEK293T cells and mouse neuroblastoma Neuro2A (N2a) cells (Figure 5C). Deletion of SEL1L-HRD1 ERAD also stimulated ubiquitination of the disease-associated mutant proAVP-G57S (lane 4 vs. lane 5, Figure 5D) and targeted both proAVP-G57S and AE47 mutants for proteasomal degradation (Figure 5, G and H). Hence, we conclude that both WT and some mutant proAVP are SEL1L-Hrd1 ERAD substrates.

SEL1L-HRD1 ERAD prevents the propagation of misfolded WT proAVP. Intriguingly, loss of SEL1L or Hrd1 also increased the abundance of NP-40–soluble proAVP (Figure 5F), presumably in the form of protein aggregates. We sought to directly visualize intracellular retention and aggregation of proAVP. Confocal microscopy revealed that, unlike WT proAVP, proAVP-G57S was retained in the ER of WT N2a cells, as expected (6, 42) (Figure 6A and Supplemental Figure 5A). Similarly, in the absence of SEL1L, WT proAVP immunostained in a perinuclear distribution with a strong colocalization with BiP (Figure 6B and Supplemental Figure 5B).

We next performed Immunogold electron microscopic analysis of in vitro and in vivo samples. While WT proAVP was detected in the ER sheets of WT N2a cells (arrows, Figure 6C), WT proAVP in Sel1L-null N2a cells appeared to accumulate in amyloid-like fibrillar structures (Figure 6D). This pattern bore striking resemblance to that of mutant proAVP in WT and Sel1L-deficient N2a cells (Figure 6, C and D, AE47 proAVP). Moreover, Immunogold coupled to a proAVP-specific antibody decorated large endogenous AVP aggregates in Sel1L-AVP neurons, unlike the small but dense AVP-containing vesicles in WT neurons (Figure 6, E and F). Thus, these data demonstrate that SEL1L-HRD1 ERAD helps to limit the ER retention and aggregation of WT proAVP.

To investigate the molecular basis for ERAD deficiency–triggered proAVP aggregation, we performed sucrose gradient fractionation of proAVP-containing protein complexes, followed by nonreducing SDS-PAGE and Western blot analyses. While WT proAVP formed predominantly monomers in WT cells, the proAVP-G57S mutant formed exclusively high-molecular-weight...
Figure 4. Sel1L deficiency causes intracellular ER retention of proAVP

(A) Representative fluorescence staining of Hrd1 in the PVN of Sel1Lfl/fl and Sel1LERCre mice 6 days after tamoxifen injections. n = 3 mice each genotype. Dashed line outlines the PVN.

(B) ISH of Avp mRNA in the PVN region of Sel1Lfl/fl and Sel1lavp mice at 1 week, with quantification of probe hybridization intensity shown on the right. Dashed line traces the PVN.

(C) Representative fluorescence images of c-Fos in AVP-positive neurons in the PVN of Sel1Lfl/fl and Sel1LERCre mice 6 days after tamoxifen administration. Higher-magnification images are shown below. 3V, third ventricle.

(D) Quantification of the percentage of c-Fos–positive AVP neurons. n = 2 female mice/genotype.

(E) Schematic structure of proAVP protein and its processed products (AVP, NPII, and GP). Eight disulfide bonds and areas recognized by the AVP- and NPII-specific antibodies are shown. Amino acid positions for each polypeptide are shown.

(F) Representative fluorescence images of proAVP in the PVN recognized by 2 antibodies specific for different regions of proAVP (AVP and NPII) in 1-week-old Sel1Lfl/fl and Sel1lavp mice, showing largely absent axonal distribution of AVP-containing transport vesicles (arrows) in Sel1lavp mice.

(G) Representative fluorescence images of proAVP staining showing the absence of AVP-positive transport vesicles (arrows) in the axons of 3-week-old Sel1lavp mice, with zoomed-in images on the right. n = 3 mice/group.

(H–J) Representative fluorescence images of proAVP and BIP containing in 3-week-old mice (H). Quantification of colocalization and Pearson’s coefficient between AVP and BIP staining (I and J). n = 3 female mice/group. Values represent the mean ± SEM. NS, not significant. *P < 0.05, by Student’s t test. Data represent at least 2 independent experiments.
aggregates, as expected (Figure 6G). When expressed together, the presence of the proAVP-G57S mutant resulted in the recruitment of coexpressed WT proAVP into high-molecular-weight complexes. These data are consistent with an autosomal-dominant effect of the mutant, and they also served as a positive control for the assay. Strikingly, in the absence of SELIL-HRD1 ERAD, WT proAVP formed predominantly (~90%) high-molecular-weight complexes (Figure 6H) in a pattern similar to that of WT cells coexpressing WT and mutant proAVP. Since this inability to prevent proAVP aggregation is linked to diminished circulating AVP with diabetes insipidus, these data demonstrate the profoundly important function of SELIL-HRD1 ERAD not only to clear misfolded proAVP, but also to prevent the majority of WT proAVP from being recruited into protein aggregates.

**ERAD deficiency triggers proAVP aggregation via intermolecular disulfide bonds.** In the ER, proAVP forms 8 intramolecular disulfide bonds (Figure 4E) and forms a noncovalent homodimer prior to ER exit (43). Pulse-chase analysis revealed that nascent proAVP proteins readily formed detergent (NP-40) soluble high-molecular-weight complexes in ERAD-deficient cells within 15 minutes of the pulse (lane 4 vs. lane 1, Figure 7A and lane 1 vs. lane 5, Figure 7B), which appeared quite similar to the complexes formed in WT cells expressing proAVP-G57S (lane 4 vs. lane 5, Figure 7B). In line with the notion that proAVP-G57S is also an ERAD substrate, SELIL-HRD1 deficiency further increased the aggregation of proAVP-G57S (lane 8 vs. lane 4, Figure 7B). These high-molecular-weight complexes in the NP-40–soluble fraction were sensitive to the reducing agent DTT and heat (Figure 7B), pointing to the involvement of disulfide bonds in the formation of these complexes.

Strikingly, a large proportion of newly synthesized proAVP (~43%) following a 15-minute pulse was detected in the NP-40–insoluble fractions of ERAD-deficient cells versus only approximately 5% in WT cells (lanes 10 to 4 vs. lanes 7 to 1, Figure 7A). Moreover, some of these insoluble aggregates were resistant to both SDS and heat (lanes 10–12, Figure 7A). These data suggest that nascent proAVP is being actively recruited to the aggregates in the absence of SELIL-HRD1 ERAD. Indeed, when all 16 cysteines of proAVP were mutated to serine residues (named the “abcd” mutant [ref. 5]), the formation of protein aggregation for nascent proAVP was nearly abolished, even in ERAD-deficient cells (lane 5 vs. lane 6, Figure 7B), further supporting the role of intermolecular disulfide bonds in the formation of proAVP aggregates.

To provide further evidence for the importance of intermolecular disulfide bonds in mediating proAVP aggregation in ERAD-deficient cells, we coexpressed HA-tagged WT or mutant proAVP with Flag-tagged WT or mutant proAVP in HRD1-deficient HEK293T cells, followed by Western blot analysis under nonreducing conditions (Figure 7C). When both HA- and FLAG-tagged constructs expressed WT proAVP, high-molecular-weight complexes formed in ERAD-deficient cells (lanes 1 and 7). When HA-tagged proAVP-G57S was coexpressed with FLAG-tagged WT proAVP, even larger aggregates were formed (lane 4 vs. lane 1 and lane 10 vs. lane 7).

To further increase the abundance of proAVP in the ER, we added a KDEL sequence to the C-terminus of WT proAVP to retain nascent proAVP proteins, presumably at different folding stages (44). Indeed, WT proAVP-KDEL augmented protein aggregation when coexpressed with WT proAVP in HRD1-deficient cells (lane 2 vs. lane 1 and lane 8 vs. lane 7, Figure 7C), pointing to the importance of intra-ER abundance of proAVP in the formation of aggregates. By contrast, WT proAVP-KDEL had little effect on the aggregation of proAVP-G57S, consistent with the dominant-negative effect of the disease mutant (lane 5 vs. lane 4 and lane 11 vs. lane 10). Importantly, coexpression of cysteine-less proAVP (proAVP-abcd) lessened aggregation of WT proAVP (lane 3 vs. lanes 1 and 2; lane 9 vs. lanes 7 and 8) and attenuated aggregation of the proAVP-G57S mutant (lane 6 vs. lanes 4 and 5 and lane 12 vs. lanes 10 and 11), providing further support for the notion that proAVP aggregation in the ER is mediated through disulfide bonds. Thus, we conclude that in the absence of ERAD, misfolded proAVP molecules are not cleared and therefore accumulate and participate in erroneous intermolecular disulfide-bonded aggregates.

**Enzymatic activity of PDI is required for proAVP degradation.** Studies have shown that PDI, but not other members of the PDI family (ERp57 and ERd5), is able to participate in the ERAD of misfolded Akita proinsulin by the Sel1L-Hrd1 complex (3). We next addressed the relationship between PDI and proAVP. PDI interacted with WT proAVP (Figure 8A) and formed heterodimeric intermediates with proAVP via disulfide bonds (Figure 8B) in WT HEK293T cells. To further demonstrate that the interaction between PDI and proAVP requires PDI redox enzymatic activity, we used the PDI-C56A “trap mutant,” whereby the active CXXC thioredoxin motif of PDI is mutated to CXXA, favoring persistent mixed disulfide crosslinking with substrates (34). Indeed, proAVP interacted much more strongly with PDI-C56A when compared with WT PDI (Figure 8A). PDI-C56A and proAVP also formed higher-molecular-weight complexes including 2 distinct bands (Figure 8B) at approximately 80 kDa, corresponding to the cumulative molecular masses of 1 PDI (60 kDa) plus 1 proAVP (20 kDa), and at approximately 100 kDa, corresponding to the cumulative molecular masses of 1 PDI plus a proAVP dimer. Both forms were sensitive to reducing conditions, suggesting the involvement of intermolecular disulfide bonds in complex formation. Indeed, knockdown of endogenous PDI in WT cells nearly abolished WT proAVP ubiquitination (Figure 8C) and stabilized proAVP (Figure 8D), pointing to a possible role of PDI in proAVP degradation. Hence, we conclude that PDI forms a direct disulfide bond link with proAVP, rather than an association via an indirect interaction, and that PDI is required for proAVP ERAD, presumably by mediating the reduction and unfolding of proAVP for retrotranslocation.

**Enzymatic activity of PDI is required for ERAD deficiency–triggered proAVP aggregation.** Last, we asked whether PDI is required for proAVP aggregation in the absence of Sel1L-Hrd1 ERAD. To this end, we perturbed PDI function in ERAD-deficient cells using 2 complementary approaches: ectopic expression of PDI-C56A and siRNA knockdown of endogenous PDI. While ERAD deficiency caused proAVP aggregation (middle panel, Figure 9A), ectopic expression of the PDI trap mutant in ERAD-deficient cells sequestered proAVP predominantly in lower-molecular-weight binary complexes (right panel), thereby limiting the ability of PDI-trapped proAVP to form larger protein complexes. Quantification of the abundance of proAVP and proAVP-containing protein complexes in each fraction is shown in Supplemental Figure 6. Furthermore, while having little effect in WT cells, knockdown of PDI attenuated the formation of disulfide bond–mediated high-
Figure 5. proAVP is an endogenous ERAD substrate. (A and B) Western blot analysis of immunoprecipitates with HA-agarose in transfected HEK293T cells showing the interaction between proAVP and HRD1 (A) and ubiquitination of proAVP by HRD1 (B) in vitro. Asterisks indicate nonspecific bands. (C) Western blot analysis of ERAD-deficient HEK293T and mouse N2a cells generated through the CRISPR/Cas9 system. Each lane represents an independent clone. (D) Western blot analysis of immunoprecipitates with Flag-agarose in transfected HEK293T cells showing decreased ubiquitination of both WT and human disease mutant G57S proAVP in the HRD1-deficient cells (HRD1 CRISPR). (E) Western blot analysis and quantification of proAVP stability in WT proAVP-transfected HEK293T cells pretreated with brefeldin A (BFA) (1 μg/ml) for 30 minutes, followed by cycloheximide (CHX) treatment for 4 hours. Values represent the mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05, by Student’s t test. (F) Western blot analysis of proAVP expression in both NP-40–soluble and –insoluble fractions of transfected WT or Sel1L- or Hrd1-deficient N2a cells. (G and H) Western blot analysis and quantification of proAVP stability in G57S- and ΔE47-mutant AVP-transfected HEK293T cells treated with CHX for the indicated durations. Data shown are representative of at least 2 independent experiments.
Figure 6. The majority of proAVP proteins form aggregates in the absence of SEL1L-HRD1 ERAD. (A and B) Representative immunofluorescence staining of WT and G57S-mutant proAVP and endogenous BiP in transfected WT (A) and Sel1L-deficient N2a cells (B). (C and D) Immunogold (proAVP, anti-NPII) coupled with TEM analysis of WT (C) or Sel1L-deficient (D) N2a cells expressing WT or ΔE47 human disease mutant proAVP. Higher-magnification images are shown below. Arrows indicate proAVP in ER sheets; asterisks mark fibrillar proAVP aggregates. (E and F) Immunogold labeling and TEM analysis of endogenous proAVP in the PVN of 10-week-old mice, with higher-magnification images shown on the right. Arrows indicate proAVP-containing dense secretory granules, and asterisks mark aggregates. n = 2 male mice each. (G and H) Sucrose fractionation followed by Western blot analyses of the proAVP protein complex in (G) WT HEK293T cells transfected with WT, G57S proAVP, or both at a ratio of 1:1, or (H) HRD1-deficient HEK293T cells transfected with WT proAVP. Fractions were collected from top (no. 1) to bottom (no. 6) and the redissolved pellet as fraction no. 7, followed by Western blot analysis under nonreducing conditions. HSP90 and calnexin represent cytosolic and ER protein controls, respectively. HMW, high-molecular-weight complexes. Data shown are representative of at least 2 independent experiments.
molecular-weight complexes and aggregates in both SEL1L- and HRD1-deficient cells (Figure 9B). Taken together, we conclude that in ERAD-deficient cells, PDI redox activity is required for the formation of proAVP aggregates or polymers.

Discussion

Our data show that mammalian SEL1L-HRD1 ERAD is responsible for the clearance of misfolded proAVP in the ER and thereby prevents propagation of this misfolding to additional proAVP molecules via aberrant disulfide bonds (Figure 9C). In the absence of ERAD, misfolded molecules with highly reactive cysteine thiols accumulate and participate in inappropriate intermolecular disulfide-bonded aggregates, a process promoted by the enzymatic activity of PDI (Figure 9C). Mice with Sel1L deficiency due to either acute global KO or to selective deletion in AVP neurons phenocopy central diabetes insipidus in humans (6, 7, 45). These findings in 2
ERAD deficiency is not necessarily associated with elevated cell death is further supported by recent reports of ERAD-deficient adipocytes (25, 26), B cells (27), and colonic epithelium (21). We speculate that cells with compromised ERAD function may adapt in a number of ways including autophagy, reprogramming of BiP or other ER chaperone expression, and/or sequestering of misfolded proteins into “nontoxic” amyloid aggregates (51) in order to reset the parameters of ER homeostasis and thereby allow cells to survive for an extended period of time. Hence, we conclude that ERAD may be causally involved in disease initiation in a substrate-specific manner. This conclusion underscores the importance of an improved understanding of cell type–specific ERAD function in the pathogenesis of many human diseases.

While ERAD has largely been studied as a mechanism for clearance of misfolded model substrates (11, 12), our findings uncover what we believe to be a novel role of ERAD in the folding of at least a subset of prohormones in endocrine cells under physiological and pathological contexts. Endocrine cells such as AVP neurons are committed and specifically adapted to make specialized prohormones at high levels; however, such high levels may make ERAD deficiency is not necessarily associated with elevated cell death is further supported by recent reports of ERAD-deficient adipocytes (25, 26), B cells (27), and colonic epithelium (21). We speculate that cells with compromised ERAD function may adapt in a number of ways including autophagy, reprogramming of BiP or other ER chaperone expression, and/or sequestering of misfolded proteins into “nontoxic” amyloid aggregates (51) in order to reset the parameters of ER homeostasis and thereby allow cells to survive for an extended period of time. Hence, we conclude that ERAD may be causally involved in disease initiation in a substrate-specific manner. This conclusion underscores the importance of an improved understanding of cell type–specific ERAD function in the pathogenesis of many human diseases.
Figure 9. Enzymatic activity of PDI is required for ERAD deficiency–triggered proAVP aggregation and the model. (A) Sucrose fractionation followed by Western blot analyses of the proAVP protein complex (using the proAVP antibody PS41) in HRD1-deficient HEK293T cells, with or without a PDI-C56A trap mutant. Quantification of lane intensity of the proAVP Western blot is shown in Supplemental Figure 6. (B) Western blot analysis of proAVP aggregation under both nonreducing and reducing conditions in WT and PDI-deficient HEK293T cells transfected with control siRNA or siPDI, respectively. Data are representative of at least 2 independent experiments. (C) Model for the role of Sel1L-Hrd1 ERAD in proAVP conformational maturation in the ER. Physiological stress such as hyperosmolality induces the expression of proAVP and Sel1L-Hrd1 ERAD, which in turn clears misfolded proAVP—a critical process for the formation of natively folded dimers. Our data suggest that Sel1L-Hrd1 ERAD plays an important role in promoting a safe environment for nascent proteins, allowing them to reach native conformation without the distraction of aggregation, a process that may require PDI.
the conformational maturation process more susceptible to generating errant products. Intriguingly, our data suggest that ERAD activity in AVP neurons is a critical part of the response to serum hyperosmolality, coordinated with AVP production. Thus, serum osmolality represents a physiological cue that tightly links ERAD to proAVP maturation for the control of systemic water balance. How ERAD is regulated by serum hyperosmolality is an interesting open question. As IRE1α of the UPR is known to integrate extracellular cues to regulate ERAD gene expression in yeast and mammals (15, 21, 52–54), we speculate that IRE1α of the UPR may link hyperosmolality to Sel1L-Hrd1 ERAD in the AVP neurons via its downstream effector transcription factor XBPIs. XBPIs may directly regulate the transcription of Sel1L-Hrd1 ERAD components, as we have previously described in enterocytes (21). If true, this model would predict an intimate crosstalk between two ER quality-control machineries (IRE1α of the UPR and Sel1L-Hrd1 of ERAD) in the control of prohormone maturation and systemic water balance. Future studies are required to directly test this hypothesis.

Recent studies in mouse models have suggested varying effects of ERAD on the fate of different substrates (11). For example, IRE1α, which is a Sel1L-Hrd1 ERAD substrate in many cell types (20), accumulates in the ER but remains functional to sense misfolded ER proteins in ERAD-deficient cells. The pre-B cell receptor, a Sel1L-Hrd1 ERAD substrate in developing B cells, accumulates in the ER and leads to an increased level of functional proteins at the cell surface of ERAD-deficient cells (27). In both cases, ERAD deficiency leads to a gain-of-function phenotype mimicking the effect of substrate overexpression. By contrast, this study reveals a totally different fate for an ERAD substrate; specifically, ERAD deficiency results in the disruption of proAVP conformational maturation in the ER, generating a loss-of-function proAVP phenotype. The differences in substrate fate are probably dictated by several substrate-specific factors including, but not limited to, the chemical concentration of the substrate protein, the predisposition for oligomerization of secretory proteins in the ER, and the specific location of exposed or buried cysteines within the substrate. Oligomerization or self-association may occur concurrently with the folding of individual monomers, which makes the folding status of each of the individual monomeric partners a contributor to the fate of the prohormone. This may explain why, in ERAD-deficient cells, there is a failure to undergo conformational maturation for the majority of proAVP. In addition to being an ERAD complex for proAVP turnover, the Sel1L-Hrd1 protein complex may act as a holdase in the conformational maturation of proAVP, the importance of which requires additional studies. Thus, the physiological relevance and significance of Sel1L-Hrd1 ERAD needs to be dissected carefully.

Another novelty of this study, we believe, is the importance of PDI in aggregate formation in cells with impaired ERAD activity. Studies have suggested that PDI subserves a pro-folding role in WT cells (30, 55), and more recently, studies have demonstrated that PDI, but not other members of the PDI family (ERp57 and ERdj5), may also participate in ERAD by feeding reduced protein substrates (e.g., Akt1 proinsulin and thyroglobulin) to the ERAD machinery (3, 33, 56). Our data expand these views by showing that, in cells with impaired ERAD function, PDI may promote, rather than prevent, the formation of proAVP aggregates or polymers. Given our own data and the current literature (3, 33, 56), we propose 2 possible modes of action for PDI in the context of proAVP ERAD, as illustrated in Figure 9C. PDI may act to reduce and unfold proAVP targeted for ERAD, which may result in the generation of unfolded substrates with highly reactive cysteine thiols. In ERAD-deficient cells, these unfolded substrates have no place to go other than to engage in a nonproductive pathway of protein aggregation via aberrant disulfide bonds. Alternatively, or additionally, PDI may be directly involved in aggregate formation by catalyzing the formation or isomerization of intermolecular disulfide bonds among proAVP proteins in the aggregates. Although lacking substantial evidence, we speculate that, as suggested for certain amyloid fibrils (57), the formation of proAVP polymers might actually be less cytotoxic as a means of sequestering the misfolded proteins, thereby allowing the secretory pathway to continue with only minimal perturbation.

The most striking feature of this study is the profound effect of Sel1L-Hrd1 ERAD deficiency on proAVP conformational maturation that leads to disease development. While it is not surprising that ERAD degrades a fraction of newly synthesized unstable proAVP, our data reveal a different role for ERAD: that is, ERAD provides a safe environment for nascent proteins, allowing them to reach proper folding conformation without the distraction of aggregation. Thus, the findings from this study will provide insights into the pathogenesis of various human diseases associated with protein aggregation. We propose that boosting ERAD function and/or increasing the efficacy of targeting substrates to ERAD machinery may be of therapeutic value in helping to improve prohormone conformational maturation in the ER. Hence, this study may open a new line of research into ERAD and prohormone biology as well as disease pathogenesis associated with defects in prohormone maturation and protein aggregation.

**Methods**

**Mice.** The Sel1LΔOΔ and tamoxifen-inducible Sel1LERCre mice were previously described (20). The Sel1LΔOΔ mice backcrossed with C57BL/6 mice at least 5 times were crossed with mice expressing AVP promoter–driven Cre on the C57BL/6 background (JAX 023530, B6.Cg-Avp<sup>tm1.1/(cre)Hze/J</sup>; The Jackson Laboratory) to generate AVP neuron–specific Sel1L-deficient mice (Sel1L<sup>AVP<sup>−/−</sup></sup>) and control littermates. Mice were fed a low-fat diet (13% fat, 67% carbohydrate, and 20% protein, 2914; Harlan Teklad). Metabolic cage analysis was performed with a comprehensive laboratory animal monitoring system (CLAMS) (Columbus Instruments) with a 1-day acclimation period, followed by 2 days of measurements. Animals were housed in a 12-hour light/12-hour dark cycle and under controlled temperatures (20°C–22°C) in the mouse facility.

**Immunofluorescence staining and immunohistochemistry.** Free-floating brain sections were permeabilized by 0.3% Triton X-100 for 10 minutes at room temperature and then incubated in blocking solution (1% donkey serum and 0.03% Triton X-100 in 0.05 M potassium phosphate-buffered saline [K-PBS]) for 30 minutes at room temperature. After blocking, free-floating brain sections were simultaneously incubated overnight with primary antibodies at 4°C and, following 3 washes with K-PBST (0.03% Triton X-100 in 0.05 M K-PBS), were incubated with secondary antibodies for 2 hours at room temperature.
Brain sections were then mounted on gelatin-coated slides (SLD01-CS; Southern Biotech). Counterstaining and mounting were performed with mounting medium containing DAPI (Vector H-1200) and a Fisherfinest Premium Coverslip (12-548-5P; Thermo Fisher Scientific). For immunostaining of N2a cells, a 12-mm-diameter cover glass (72230-01; Electron Microscopy Sciences) was coated with 0.1% poly-L-lysine solution (P8920; Sigma-Aldrich). Cells (1 × 10^4) were placed on a coated cover glass in a 24-well plate and then transfected with proAVP constructs using Lipofectamine 20000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). After transfection (24 hours after), cells were fixed by 4% formaldehyde (89370-094; VWR) for 10 minutes at room temperature and then washed with K-PBS and permeabilized using 0.3% Triton X-100 for 10 minutes at room temperature, followed by incubation in blocking solution. Cells were stained as described above. For immunofluorescence staining of paraffin-embedded kidney sections, sections were rehydrated and boiled in 1 mM EDTA for antigen retrieval, followed by antibody labeling as described above.

Transmission electron microscopy. Cultured N2a cells were transfected with various constructs. After transfection (48 hours after), cells were fixed in medium containing 3% formaldehyde and 0.2% glutaraldehyde and incubated for 2 hours at room temperature, followed by overnight incubation at 4°C. Cells were collected by scraping and centrifugation for 10 minutes at 2,500 g for 15 minutes with PBS containing 2% BSA and 0.1% Tween 20 for 2 hours at room temperature with a homemade polyclonal rabbit anti-proAVP antiserum (raised against residues 28-145, i.e., the C-terminal portion of NPII and the GP) diluted in the same buffer, washed 5 times for 5 minutes in a drop of PBS, and incubated for 2 hours with colloidal gold–conjugated secondary goat anti-rabbit Ig antibody (BioCell) in PBS, 2% BSA, and 0.1% Tween-20. Grids were washed 5 times for 5 minutes in PBS and then 5 times in H2O. Sections were stained for 15 minutes with 2% uranylacetate and 2 minutes with lead citrate (Reynold’s solution) and then finally viewed with a Philips CM 100 electron microscope (SUNY Upstate Medical University, Syracuse, New York, USA). Anti-NPII (mouse, 1:200 for immunostaining of proAVP and mature NPII, PS41) and anti-AVP (rabbit, 1:200 for immunostaining of proAVP and mature AVP, VA4) were provided by Harold Gainer (National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, NIH, Bethesda, Maryland, USA) (40, 58). Anti-H2A (rabbit, 1:10,000 for Western blotting) was a gift of Yihong Ye (National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, NIH). The secondary antibodies used for Western blotting were: goat anti-rabbit IgG HRP and goat anti-mouse IgG HRP (1:5,000; both from Bio-Rad). Donkey anti-goat IgG was obtained from Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories. The secondary antibodies used for fluorescence immunostaining (all 1:500) were: anti-mouse IgG Cy3 and FITC; anti-rabbit IgG Alexa Fluor 488, Alexa Fluor 594, and Alexa Fluor 647; and anti-goat IgG Alexa Fluor 594 and Alexa Fluor or 680 (Jackson ImmunoResearch). See the complete unedited blots in the supplemental material.

CRISPR/Cas9-based gene editing. Generation of HRD1-deficient HEK293T cells was previously described (20). Single-guide RNA (sgRNA) oligonucleotides were designed for human HRD1 (5’-GGA-CAAAAGCCTGGATGTAC). To generate SelLL- and Hrd1-deficient mouse N2a cells, sgRNA oligonucleotide for mouse SelLL (CCGCAACACTATTGGCCCG) or mouse Hrd1 (ATCCATGCGCATGCTGGGC) was inserted into lentiCRISPR v2 (plasmid 52961; Addgene). We used third-generation lentiviral packaging plasmids (RRE [ gag/pol], VSV-G and REV) to deliver CRISPR constructs into the cells. Cells were cultured 24 hours after infection in medium containing 2 μl/pumycin for 24 hours and then in normal growth media.

Additional materials and methods are described in the Supplemental materials.

Statistics. Results are expressed as the mean ± SEM unless otherwise stated. Comparisons between groups were made by unpaired, 2-tailed Student’s t test, where a P value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All experiments were repeated at least 2 to 3 times or performed with several independent biological samples, and representative data are shown.

Study approval. All experiments performed with mice were in compliance with the IACUCs of Cornell University (approval 2007-0051) and the University of Michigan (approval PRO00006888).
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