Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease with cirrhosis increases familial risk for advanced fibrosis
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BACKGROUND. The risk of advanced fibrosis in first-degree relatives of patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and cirrhosis (NAFLD-cirrhosis) is unknown and needs to be systematically quantified. We aimed to prospectively assess the risk of advanced fibrosis in first-degree relatives of probands with NAFLD-cirrhosis.

METHODS. This is a cross-sectional analysis of a prospective cohort of 26 probands with NAFLD-cirrhosis and 39 first-degree relatives. The control population included 69 community-dwelling twin, sib-sib, or parent-offspring pairs (n = 138), comprising 69 individuals randomly ascertained to be without evidence of NAFLD and 69 of their first-degree relatives. The primary outcome was presence of advanced fibrosis (stage 3 or 4 fibrosis). NAFLD was assessed clinically and quantified by magnetic resonance elastography (MRE).

RESULTS. The prevalence of advanced fibrosis in first-degree relatives of probands with NAFLD-cirrhosis was significantly higher than that in the control population (17.9% vs. 1.4%, P = 0.0032). Compared with controls, the odds of advanced fibrosis among the first-degree relatives of probands with NAFLD-cirrhosis were odds ratio 14.9 (95% CI, 1.8–126.0, P = 0.0133). Even after multivariable adjustment by age, sex, Hispanic ethnicity, BMI, and diabetes status, the risk of advanced fibrosis remained both statistically and clinically significant (multivariable-adjusted odds ratio 12.5; 95% CI, 1.1–146.1, P = 0.0438).

CONCLUSION. Using a well-phenotyped familial cohort, we demonstrated that first-degree relatives of probands with NAFLD-cirrhosis have a 12 times higher risk of advanced fibrosis. Advanced fibrosis screening may be considered in first-degree relatives of NAFLD-cirrhosis patients.
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Introduction
Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is the most common cause of liver disease in the United States (1, 2). Recent studies have suggested that presence of fibrosis portends a worse prognosis in NAFLD (3–5). The risk of death from liver disease is substantially increased in patients with advanced fibrosis who have either bridging fibrosis or cirrhosis. Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis–related cirrhosis represents the pathologic endpoint of NAFLD, characterized by extensive fibrosis with regenerative nodule formation (6, 7), and it is currently the second most common indication for liver transplantation in the United States (8–10).

Previous seminal studies have suggested that there is familial clustering of NAFLD. Abdelmalek and colleagues compared 20 patients with NAFLD and 20 controls and obtained history of NAFLD and other metabolic traits and found that there was familial clustering of insulin resistance within families with NAFLD (11). Willner and colleagues conducted a retrospective chart review of 90 patients with NAFLD and documented familial clustering that was seen in 18% of patients in this cohort, suggesting that it may be heritable (12). NAFLD has been shown to be a heritable trait (13–15). More recently, using twin-study design, we demonstrated that both hepatic steatosis and hepatic fibrosis are heritable traits, and also showed that hepatic steatosis and fibrosis have significant shared gene effects (16, 17). The emerging familial associ-
The prevalence of advanced fibrosis in the first-degree relatives of probands with NAFLD-cirrhosis was significantly higher in probands with NAFLD-cirrhosis versus first-degree relatives of controls: 74% versus 8.7% (P < 0.001), respectively. Among 39 first-degree relatives of probands with NAFLD-cirrhosis, 29 (74%) were found to have NAFLD, and 7 (18%) had advanced fibrosis. The prevalence of advanced fibrosis increased in a dose-dependent manner based on the phenotype of the probands. The prevalence of advanced fibrosis in the first-degree relatives of probands with non-NAFLD versus NAFLD without advanced fibrosis versus NAFLD with cirrhosis was 1.4% versus 12% versus 18%, respectively, which was both clinically and statistically significant (P < 0.003 by Cochran-Armitage test for trend).

The risk of advanced fibrosis in the first-degree relatives of patients with NAFLD-cirrhosis is unknown and needs to be systematically quantified.

The aim of this study was to prospectively assess the risk of advanced fibrosis in first-degree relatives of probands with NAFLD-cirrhosis. We hypothesized that the prevalence of advanced fibrosis is higher in the first-degree relatives of probands with NAFLD-cirrhosis compared with a control population. Systematic screening for advanced fibrosis in first-degree relatives of probands with NAFLD-cirrhosis may impact future screening guidelines for advanced NAFLD.

Results

Baseline characteristics of the familial NAFLD-cirrhosis cohort. This prospective study included 26 probands with NAFLD-cirrhosis and 39 of their first-degree relatives. Twenty probands had biopsy-proven cirrhosis, and 6 probands had cirrhosis by imaging criteria. The detailed derivation of the study cohort is shown in Supplemental Figure 1 (supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI93465DS1). The probands with NAFLD-cirrhosis had a mean age (±SD) of 63.0 (±10.2) years and a mean BMI (±SD) of 31.0 (±5.9) kg/m², and 15.4% had type 2 diabetes.

The demographic, biochemical, and imaging data of first-degree relatives of probands with NAFLD-cirrhosis compared with those of non-NAFLD controls are detailed in Table 2. Compared with first-degree relatives of controls, first-degree relatives of probands with NAFLD-cirrhosis had a higher BMI (31.0 kg/m² vs. 25.5 kg/m², P < 0.0001), and were more likely to be diabetic (15.4% vs. 2.9%, P < 0.0249). As expected, the first-degree relatives of probands with NAFLD-cirrhosis had also a higher liver fat content on MRI proton density fat fraction (MRI-PDFF) (10.7% vs. 2.8%, P < 0.0001) than first-degree relatives of controls.

Prevalence of NAFLD and advanced fibrosis in the familial NAFLD-cirrhosis cohort. The prevalence of NAFLD was significantly higher in first-degree relatives of probands with NAFLD-cirrhosis versus first-degree relatives of controls: 74% versus 8.7% (P < 0.001), respectively. Among 39 first-degree relatives of probands with NAFLD-cirrhosis, 29 (74%) were found to have NAFLD, and 7 (18%) had advanced fibrosis. The prevalence of advanced fibrosis increased in a dose-dependent manner based on the phenotype of the probands. The prevalence of advanced fibrosis in the first-degree relatives of probands with non-NAFLD versus NAFLD without advanced fibrosis versus NAFLD with cirrhosis was 1.4% versus 12% versus 18%, respectively, which was both clinically and statistically significant (P for trend less than 0.003 determined using a Cochran-Armitage test) (Figure 1). Magnetic resonance elastography (MRE) measurements illustrating the distribution of liver stiffness in the first-degree relatives of patients with NAFLD-cirrhosis and in the first-degree relatives of non-NAFLD controls are represented in Supplemental Figure 2.

Odds of advanced fibrosis in first-degree relatives with NAFLD-cirrhosis. Compared with the control population, the odds ratio (OR) of having advanced fibrosis among first-degree relatives of probands with NAFLD-cirrhosis was 14.9 (95% CI, 1.8–126.0, P = 0.0133). The detailed differences between factors associated with NAFLD as well as fibrosis (age, sex, Hispanic eth-
### Table 1. Baseline characteristics of probands with NAFLD-cirrhosis and non-NAFLD controls

| Characteristics | Probands with NAFLD-cirrhosis (n = 26) | Non-NAFLD controls (n = 69) | P value
|-----------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------
| Demographics    |                                        |                             |        
| Age (years)     | 63.0 (10.2)                            | 43.5 (19.1)                 | <0.0001
| Female, n (%)   | 19 (73.1%)                             | 51 (73.9%)                  | 0.9342
| White, n (%)    | 9 (34.6%)                              | 54 (78.3%)                  | <0.0001
| Hispanic or Latino, n (%) | 13 (50.0%)               | 10 (14.5%)                  | 0.0002
| BMI (kg/m²)     | 31.7 (5.0)                             | 25.0 (5.7)                  | <0.0001
| Clinical        |                                        |                             |        
| Type 2 diabetes, n (%) | 19 (73.1%)               | 1 (1.5%)                    | <0.0001
| Hypertension, n (%) | 17 (65.4%)                    | 21 (30.4%)                  | 0.0010
| Environmental   |                                        |                             |        
| Current smoking, n (%) | 0 (0%)                            | 4 (5.8%)                    | 0.5708
| Any alcohol, n (%) | 6 (23.0%)                             | 50 (72.5%)                  | 0.0010
| Biochemical data |                                        |                             |        
| AST (U/L)       | 54.0 (22.9)                            | 21.7 (6.3)                  | <0.0001
| ALT (U/L)       | 57.0 (40.7)                            | 18.6 (8.4)                  | 0.0001
| Alk P (U/L)     | 108.0 (33.9)                           | 69.9 (20.7)                 | <0.0001
| GGT (U/L)       | 120.1 (70.3)                           | 18.8 (11.0)                 | <0.0001
| Total bilirubin (mg/dl) | 1.0 (0.7)                      | 0.5 (0.3)                   | 0.0071
| Direct bilirubin (mg/dl) | 0.4 (0.3)                        | 0.1 (0.1)                   | 0.0008
| Albumin (g/dL)  | 3.9 (0.6)                              | 4.6 (0.4)                   | <0.0001
| Glucose (mg/dL) | 115.4 (33.8)                           | 86.4 (9.2)                  | 0.0003
| HbaA1c (%)      | 7.0 (1.5)                              | 5.6 (0.3)                   | <0.0001
| Insulin (U/ml)  | 40.2 (26.9)                            | 8.5 (5.1)                   | 0.0002
| Triglycerides (mg/dL) | 123.0 (57.2)                | 76.7 (29.3)                 | 0.0016
| Total cholesterol (mg/dL) | 158.3 (38.3)            | 188.5 (40.1)                | 0.0031
| HDL cholesterol (mg/dL) | 51.5 (15.9)           | 69.2 (18.8)                 | 0.0002
| LDL cholesterol (mg/dL) | 82.3 (30.4)            | 104.0 (31.4)                | 0.0067
| Platelet count (10³/µL) | 145.6 (72.0)            | 253.1 (57.0)                | <0.0001
| Prothrombin time | 12.7 (2.1)                            | 10.8 (1.0)                  | 0.0003
| INR             | 1.2 (0.2)                              | 1.0 (0.1)                   | 0.0014
| Ferritin (ng/ml) | 150.3 (229.9)                         | 89.7 (71.6)                 | 0.2591
| Clinical prediction rules |                      |                             |        
| AST/ALT         | 1.2 (0.5)                              | 1.3 (0.3)                   | 0.3592
| APRI            | 1.3 (1.1)                              | 0.2 (0.2)                   | <0.0001
| BARD            | 3.0 (1.0)                              | 2.1 (0.5)                   | 0.0002
| FIB-4           | 4.9 (5.0)                              | 1.0 (1.2)                   | 0.0008
| NAFLD fibrosis score | 1.2 (1.5)                       | 2.8 (1.1)                   | <0.0001
| Bonacini CDS    | 6.2 (1.4)                              | 3.6 (1.3)                   | <0.0001
| Lok index       | 0.6 (0.3)                              | 0.3 (0.2)                   | <0.0001
| Imaging data    |                                        |                             |        
| MRI-PDFF (%)    | 5.8 (4.0)                              | 2.4 (0.8)                   | 0.0008
| MRE (kPa)       | 5.8 (2.4)                              | 2.1 (0.4)                   | <0.0001

Mean values are provided with SD in parentheses, unless otherwise noted as n (%). Boldface indicates significant P values less than 0.05. *P value determined by comparison of characteristics NAFLD-cirrhosis cases versus non-NAFLD controls using an independent-samples t test. Alk P, alkaline phosphatase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; APRI, AST-to-platelet ratio index; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; CDS, cirrhosis discriminant score; GGT, γ-glutamyl transpeptidase; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; INR, international normalized ratio.
The susceptibility traits of NAFLD are likely to be multifactorial, including environmental, lifestyle behavior, genetic, and epigenetic (21). While studying environment and nutritional behavior remains challenging, the genetic role of the variants located on the genes PNPLA3 (22–24) and transmembrane 6 superfamily member 2 (TM6SF2) (25) has been shown to modify the risks of hepatic steatosis and fibrosis. In addition, GWAS has identified other genetic variants associated with features of hepatic histology in patients with NAFLD (26). In addition, serum miRNA expression has been shown to correlate with liver steatosis in a twin study with a heritable trait (27) and could also account for familial cirrhosis. However, the causal fibrosis-related mechanism of these SNPs or miRNAs remains unknown, and other genetic or epigenetic pathways implicated in the development of fibrosis remain to be elucidated. Larger studies would be needed to better understand the role of these mechanisms in increasing the risk of advanced fibrosis in family members of NAFLD-cirrhosis patients.

Strengths and limitations. There are several notable strengths of this study, including the prospectively recruited study cohort, systematic and standardized liver disease assessment of all participants and controls, and detailed advanced MRI of the liver. The use of MRE-PDFF allowed for an accurate, noninvasive, and quantitative assessment of hepatic steatosis, and the use of MRE allowed for an accurate, noninvasive, and quantitative assessment of hepatic fibrosis. However, we acknowledge the following limitations of this study. This is a single-center study that was conducted at a tertiary care center using advanced MRI assessment that may not be routinely available at other sites. In addition, this is a cross-sectional study, and therefore long-term outcomes such as survival or development of hepatocellular carcinoma could not be assessed. Liver biopsy assessment could not be performed, and instead we used the most accurate noninvasive modalities for the assessment of hepatic steatosis and hepatic fibrosis (28, 29). This study screened asymptomatic first-degree relatives of patients with NAFLD-cirrhosis, and controls with no suspected liver disease. Exposing the study population to the risks associated with a liver biopsy assessment, including pain, bleeding, and, in rare cases, death, would not be justifiable and appropriate. We acknowledge that there are additional environmental risk factors that may be influencing disease penetrance but may not have been adequately examined in this proof-of-concept study. Finally, further studies are needed to dissect out the interplay between environmental risk factors and genetic risk factors of disease susceptibility in patients with a family history of NAFLD-cirrhosis. Despite these limitations, we believe that this study provides important data that require validation in larger studies to then change clinical practice guidelines to screen first-degree relatives of patients with NAFLD-cirrhosis.

Implications for clinical care and future research. This pilot study attempts to provide a quantitative risk estimate of the prevalence of advanced fibrosis and potentially the need for screening of the first-degree relatives of patients with NAFLD-cirrhosis. Further longitudinal studies would be needed to assess the risk of long-term outcomes in patients who are diagnosed with advanced fibrosis and their first-degree relatives using accurate quantification of liver steatosis and liver fibrosis by advanced and accurate MRI-based imaging modalities.
fibrosis using such screening strategies versus no screening. In addition, comparative cost-effectiveness studies are needed to compare the role of various modalities, such as MRE, ultrasound-based shear wave elastography, acoustic radiation force impulse imaging, and virtual contrast transient elastography, in screening for advanced fibrosis in multicenter settings. In addition, it would be noteworthy to further explore the role of environmental, genetic, or epigenetic factors in the risk of advanced fibrosis and long-term outcomes in patients with NAFLD.

Finally, these findings provide new evidence regarding the need for systematic screening for advanced fibrosis in the first-degree relatives of patients with NAFLD-cirrhosis in routine clinical practice. These data may impact and potentially change clinical practice in increasing awareness of advanced fibrosis in NAFLD in high-risk populations such as those with a first-degree relative with NAFLD-cirrhosis. Further studies are needed to determine the interval for surveillance after initial screening. The clinical implications of this study are potentially significant, as earlier detection of cirrhosis would perhaps lead to earlier initiation of hepatocellular carcinoma screening and surveillance. Once a suspected hepatocellular carcinoma is recognized via these screening programs, more timely referrals for liver transplant could be done, and this possibly may improve survival. Future studies are needed to explore potential risks versus benefits of screening for advanced fibrosis in first-degree relatives of patients with NAFLD-cirrhosis.

Methods

Study participants and design. This is a cross-sectional analysis of a prospectively recruited cohort of probands with NAFLD-cirrhosis and their first-degree relatives enrolled between February 2013 and March 2016. All patients were invited for a clinical research visit and underwent a standardized history, anthropometric examination, physical examination, and biochemical testing at the University of California, San Diego (UCSD), NAFLD Research Center (16, 17, 27, 30–33). All participants underwent phenotyping based on advanced MRI. NAFLD was assessed clinically and quantified by MRI-PDFF, an accurate, reproducible, and highly precise quantitative imaging-based biomarker for liver fat assessment. The presence of advanced fibrosis was diagnosed by MRE, an accurate, reproducible, and highly precise quantitative imaging-based biomarker for liver fibrosis assessment. MRI-PDFF and MRE were performed at the UCSD MR3T Research Laboratory as previously described (29, 34, 35). Informed consent was obtained from all patients. This study was approved by the UCSD Institutional Review Board (approval number 140084).

Probands (or NAFLD-cirrhosis cases) had documented evidence of NAFLD and cirrhosis either proven by biopsy or meeting imaging criteria. Definition of NAFLD was based on NAFLD practice guidelines.

Inclusion/exclusion criteria for the familial cirrhosis cohort. Patients were included if they were adults at least 18 years old. Probands were required to have documented NAFLD based on AASLD guidelines (2) determined by hepatic steatosis of at least 5% assessed by MRI-PDFF (36) and cirrhosis determined by liver biopsy obtained for clinical care or quantified by MRE, with threshold reading greater than 3.63 kPa (29, 34, 35). First-degree relatives (sibling, child, or parent) with written informed consent who did not meet any exclusion criteria were included in the study.

Exclusion criteria included regular and excessive alcohol consumption within 2 years of recruitment (≥14 drinks per week for men or ≥7 drinks per week for women); use of hepatotoxic drugs or drugs known to cause hepatic steatosis; evidence of liver diseases other than NAFLD, including viral hepatitis (detected with positive serum hepatitis B surface antigen or hepatitis C viral RNA), Wilson’s disease, hemochromatosis, α1-antitrypsin deficiency, autoimmune hepatitis, and cholestatic or vascular liver disease; clinical or laboratory evidence of chronic illnesses associated with hepatic steatosis, including HIV infection, type 1 diabetes mellitus, celiac disease, cystic fibrosis, lipodystrophy, dysbetalipoproteinemia, and glycogen storage diseases; evidence of active substance abuse; significant systemic illnesses; contraindication(s) to MRI; pregnancy or trying to become pregnant; or any other condition that, in the investigator’s opinion, may affect the patient’s competence or compliance in completing the study.

Definition of NAFLD. Participants were considered to have NAFLD if they had hepatic steatosis (MRI-PDFF ≥5%) and no secondary causes of hepatic steatosis due to factors including the use of statogenic medications, other liver diseases, and significant alcohol intake (see exclusion criteria above for details).

Definition of cirrhosis and advanced fibrosis. Participants were considered to have NAFLD-related cirrhosis if they had NAFLD according to the definition above and had biopsy-proven cirrhosis (histology fibrosis stage 4). We have previously validated that a liver stiffness cut point greater than 3.63 kPa on MRE provides an accuracy of 0.92 for the detection of advanced fibrosis, and it is the most accurate noninvasive test for the diagnosis of advanced fibrosis (29, 37–39). Advanced fibrosis among first-degree relatives was determined by either imaging evidence of nodularity and presence of intraabdominal varices or other imaging evidence of portal hypertension or liver stiffness assessment with MRE threshold ≥3.63 kPa (28, 29, 34).

Non-NAFLD control group. The controls included 69 pairs (n = 138) of community-dwelling controls, either twin, sib-sib, or parent-offspring pairs: 69 individuals without any evidence of NAFLD randomly ascertained, paired with 69 of their first-degree relatives (51 twin pairs, 9 sib-sib pairs, and 9 parent-offspring pairs) (16, 17, 27). These twin, sib-sib, and parent-offspring pairs were prospectively recruited, and they resided in southern California. All participants underwent a standardized exhaustive clinical research visit including detailed medical
ter (16, 17, 27, 30–33), and then underwent an advanced magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) assessment to rule out other causes of liver diseases other than NAFLD; chronic illnesses associated with hepatic steatosis; use of drugs known to cause hepatic steatosis; history of bariatric surgery; presence of systemic infectious illnesses; pregnancy or nursing at the time of the study; contraindications to MRI; or any other condition(s) that, based on the principal investigator’s opinion, may significantly affect the participant’s compliance, competence, or ability to complete the study.

Clinical assessments and laboratory tests. All patients were carefully screened for other causes of liver diseases and secondary causes of hepatic steatosis. A detailed history was obtained from all patients. A physical examination, which included vital signs, height, weight, and anthropometric measurements, was performed by a trained clinical investigator. BMI was defined as the body weight (in kilograms) divided by height (in meters) squared. Alcohol consumption was documented in outside clinical visits and confirmed in the research clinic using the Alcohol Use Disorders Identiﬁcations Test and the Skinner questionnaire. A detailed history of medications was obtained, and no patient took medications known or suspected to cause steatosis or steatohepatitis. Other causes of liver disease were systematically ruled out using detailed history and laboratory data. Subjects underwent the following biochemical tests: aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase, alkaline phosphatase, γ-glutamyl transpeptidase, total bilirubin, direct bilirubin, albumin, hemoglobin A1c, fasting glucose, insulin, prothrombin time, international normalized ratio, fasting lipid panel, platelet count, and ferritin.

Genotyping. Whole-blood specimens collected during the research visit were used, and DNA was extracted. PNPLA3 genotyping was conducted, and its association in explaining the variance in hepatic steatosis and hepatic fibrosis was examined. The genotyping was performed by Human Longevity Inc.

MRI assessment. MRI was performed at the UCSD MR3T Research Laboratory using the 3T research scanner (GE Signa EXCITE HDxt; GE Healthcare) with all participants in the supine position. MRI-PDFF was used to measure hepatic steatosis, and MRE was used to measure hepatic fibrosis. The details of the MRI protocol have been previously described in references (31, 40). The image analysts were blinded to all clinical and biochemical data, including the study group of the participants.

Justification for not using liver biopsy for assessment of liver fat and fibrosis in controls and first-degree relatives. Liver biopsy was not used for liver fat and fibrosis assessment of controls and first-degree relatives, as they were asymptomatic with no suspected liver disease and therefore performing a liver biopsy would have been unethical. A noninvasive, accurate quantitative imaging method was used to estimate liver fat and fibrosis. We have previously shown that MRI-PDFF is a more precise marker of liver fat than liver biopsy (41), and previous studies suggest that MRE-stiffness is the most accurate currently available noninvasive quantitative biomarker of liver fibrosis (29, 34).

Figure 4. Representative MRE in a proband with NAFLD-cirrhosis and her first-degree relatives. Representative MRE map of (A) a 73-year-old female with cirrhosis diagnosed with MRE of 4.36 kPa, (B) her 63-year-old brother with cirrhosis diagnosed with MRE of 4.3 kPa, and (C) her 66-year-old sister without cirrhosis excluded with MRE of 1.82.
ables, and a χ2 test was used to compare continuous variables and numbers and percentages for categorical variables. For patient characteristics, a Student’s 2-tailed unpaired t test was used to compare continuous variables, and a χ2 test was used to compare categorical variables.

The prevalence of advanced fibrosis in the general population was derived from the risk of advanced fibrosis in the control non-NAFLD cohort by analysis of 69 individuals without evidence of NAFLD or advanced fibrosis from the twin study cohort and determination of whether their first-degree relatives had advanced fibrosis. Logistic regression analyses, unadjusted as well as multivariable-adjusted for age, sex, Hispanic ethnicity, BMI, and diabetes, were conducted to assess for the OR of presence of advanced fibrosis with a 2-tailed P value of 0.05 as statistically significant.

Sensitivity analyses. Sensitivity analyses were also conducted using published estimates of cirrhosis in the general population in the United States ranging from 0.15% in 1998 (20) to 0.27% in 2010 (19). These estimates were used to derive the OR.

All statistical analyses were performed using SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute), and a P value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Sample size estimation. We estimated that the prevalence of advanced fibrosis in the first-degree relatives of probands with NAFLD-cirrhosis would be approximately 18% based on prior estimates and the prevalence of advanced fibrosis in controls would be 1% or less. The power analysis estimated that a sample size of 30 first-degree relatives of probands with NAFLD-cirrhosis patients would provide an 84% chance of detecting a significant difference in the prevalence of advanced fibrosis in the first-degree relatives of controls compared with the first-degree relatives of probands with NAFLD-cirrhosis with a significant α of 0.05 (2-tailed). Therefore, we had adequate power to detect an association between presence of cirrhosis due to NAFLD in a first-degree relative and presence of advanced fibrosis.

Study approval. The study was approved by the UCSD Institutional Review Board (approval numbers 111282 and 140084). Written informed consent was obtained from each individual prior to his or her participation in the study.
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Figure 5. PNPLA3 p.I148M minor allele frequencies. The allele frequency of PNPLA3 p.I148M minor variant G is expressed as percentage of minor allele G in probands with NAFLD-cirrhosis (pink bar; total n = 12 alleles) compared with non-NAFLD controls (blue bar; total n = 92 alleles) and percentage of first-degree relatives of patients with NAFLD-cirrhosis (pink bar; total n = 46 alleles) compared with first-degree relatives of controls (blue bar; total n = 88 alleles). P value was determined using a Fisher exact test or a χ2 test when appropriate.


