Arcuate neuropeptide Y inhibits sympathetic nerve activity via multiple neuropathways
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Obesity increases sympathetic nerve activity (SNA) via activation of proopiomelanocortin neurons in the arcuate nucleus (Arch), and this action requires simultaneous withdrawal of tonic neuropeptide Y (NPY) sympathoinhibition. However, the sites and neurocircuitry by which NPY decreases SNA are unclear. Here, using designer receptors exclusively activated by designer drugs (DREADDs) to selectively activate or inhibit ArcN NPY neurons expressing agouti-related peptide (AgRP) in mice, we have demonstrated that this neuronal population tonically suppresses splanchnic SNA (SSNA), arterial pressure, and heart rate via projections to the paraventricular nucleus (PVN) and dorsomedial hypothalamus (DMH). First, we found that ArcN NPY/AgRP fibers closely appose PVN and DMH sympathetic neurons. Second, nanoinjections of NPY or an NPY receptor Y1 (NPY1R) antagonist into PVN or DMH decreased or increased SSNA, respectively. Third, blockade of DMH NPY1R reversed the sympathoinhibition elicited by selective, DREADD-mediated activation of ArcN NPY/AgRP neurons. Finally, stimulation of ArcN NPY/AgRP terminal fields in the PVN and DMH decreased SSNA. Considering that chronic obesity decreases ArcN NPY content, we propose that the ArcN NPY neuropathway to the PVN and DMH is pivotal in obesity-induced elevations in SNA.

Introduction

Obesity increases the risk of hypertension, secondary to activation of the sympathetic nervous system (1, 2). However, the cellular molecular mechanisms in brain that link increases in adiposity with elevations in sympathetic nerve activity (SNA) remain unclear. Proopiomelanocortin (POMC) neurons in the arcuate nucleus (ArcN) are key contributors (3). However, POMC-induced sympathoexcitation requires simultaneous withdrawal of tonic neuropeptide Y (NPY) inhibition (4, 5). Thus, NPY acts as a critical gatekeeper for the excitatory inputs that drive sympathoexcitation, suggesting that suppressed NPY inhibition must occur in parallel to increased POMC excitation with obesity. Indeed, obesity decreases hypothalamic NPY content (6). However, whether hypothalamic NPY contributes to obesity-induced increases in SNA has not been investigated.

A major impediment to understanding the role of NPY in obesity-induced sympathoexcitation is that the brain sites and pathways by which NPY reduces SNA have only begun to be explored. In rats, the hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus (PVN) is a major site of action, at which NPY directly inhibits presympathetic neurons that project to the rostral ventrolateral medulla (RVLM), which drives basal sympathetic tone (4). Nevertheless, the sources of NPY inputs to PVN that elicit sympathoinhibition have not been identified. Indirect evidence implicates an NPY projection from the ArcN. More specifically, injections of leptin or insulin into the ArcN, or nonspecific activation of the ArcN, increase SNA in part via suppression of tonic NPY inhibition of PVN presympathetic neurons (5, 7, 8). However, whether the PVN NPY input that is suppressed by insulin and leptin originates directly from neurons in the ArcN has not been established. In addition to the ArcN, (9), NPY projections from the brainstem (10) and, under some circumstances, the dorsomedial hypothalamus (DMH) (11) are alternative candidates. Moreover, whether other ArcN NPY projections influence the sympathetic nervous system or arterial pressure (AP) has not been investigated. Therefore, the present study was undertaken to test the hypothesis that ArcN NPY neurons decrease SNA via several projections, including the PVN. This information is critical, since derangements in brain NPY expression and activity are known to occur in several states that increase AP, ranging from obesity to anxiety (6, 12). Moreover, NPY agonists and antagonists are being avidly explored as therapeutic agents in these and other conditions (13).

Results

Selective activation or inhibition of ArcN NPY/AgRP neurons decreases and increases SNA, respectively. The recently developed chemogenetic approach, in which designer receptors are exclusively activated by the designer drug (DREADD) clozapine-N-oxide (CNO), has proven powerful in delineating the neurocircuitry by which ArcN NPY neurons stimulate food intake (14, 15). Nearly all ArcN NPY neurons also express agouti-related peptide (AgRP) (16). Therefore, the excitatory DREADD hM3Dq or the inhibitory DREADD hM4Di was selectively expressed in ArcN NPY/AgRP neurons by nanoinjecting a Cre-dependent adeno-associated virus (AAV) carrying a DREADD and a mCherry reporter into the ArcN of Agrp-IRES-Cre mice (ArcN hM3Dq mice and ArcN hM4Di mice). To confirm the specificity of hM3Dq expression in NPY neurons, we first nanoinjected AAV-hM3Dq-mCherry into mice that expressed...
We next tested whether selective excitation or inhibition of ArcN NPY/AgRP neurons alters splanchnic SNA (SSNA), mean AP (MAP), or heart rate (HR) (Figure 1). As shown in representative experiments (Figure 1, A and B) and grouped data (Figure 1C), in ArcN hM3Dq mice, i.p. CNO (0.3 mg/kg) promptly decreased SSNA, MAP, and HR, whereas i.p. saline had no effects. The suppression induced by CNO was sustained for at least 1 hour, and, in mice with longer recordings, up to 4 hours (data not shown). In rats, guinea pigs, and humans, CNO can have nonspecific effects due to its conversion to clozapine (17, 18). However, in WT mice receiving the Cre-dependent DREADD, neither i.p. saline nor CNO significantly altered these variables, suggesting that CNO was not exerting its effects independently of DREADD activation. A separate group of Agrp-IRE/Cre mice instead received AAV-hM4Di-mCherry in the ArcN (Figure 1, D–F). In these ArcN hM4Di mice, i.p. CNO (0.3 and 1 mg/kg) dose-dependently increased SSNA, MAP, and HR, although the responses developed both NPY-GFP and Cre in AgRP neurons. In agreement with an earlier study using a different approach (14), we found that while not all NPY-GFP neurons had visible hM3Dq-mCherry labeling, 100% of the hM3Dq-mCherry-marked neurons also expressed NPY-GFP (Supplemental Figure 1; supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI92008DS1).
more slowly than in ArcN hM3Dq mice and the increases in MAP were delayed compared to the increases in SSNA and HR. Again, i.p. saline had no significant effects. From these data we conclude that ArcN NPY/AgRP neurons can suppress SSNA, AP, and HR. Moreover, these data suggest that this neuronal population tonically restrains SNA and AP.

**RLVM-projecting neurons in the PVN and the DMH receive ArcN NPY/AgRP inputs, whereas in the LH, NPY/AgRP fibers only occasionally appose RLVM-projecting cell bodies.** We next sought to delineate the projection targets of ArcN NPY/AgRP neurons that mediate sympathoinhibition when these neurons are stimulated by excitatory DREADD activation in ArcN hM3Dq mice. ArcN NPY/AgRP neurons innervate many hypothalamic sites that influence SNA and AP, most extensively the PVN, the DMH, and the lateral hypothalamus/perifornical nucleus (LH) (9, 16, 19). However, whether RLVM-projecting neurons reside in these hypothalamic nuclei in mice or whether these neurons are innervated by ArcN NPY/AgRP terminal fields is unknown. Therefore, we identified presympathetic neurons by injecting the retrogradely transported indicator cholera toxin B (CtB) into the RVLM (Supplemental Figure 2). We then determined, using confocal microscopy, whether PVN, DMH, or LH CtB-labeled neurons are closely associated with ArcN NPY/AgRP fibers. In addition, a substantial fraction of these neurons exhibited adjacent ArcN NPY/AgRP fibers (Figure 2; 36% ± 4% of CtB-labeled neurons received a close apposition from ArcN NPY/AgRP fibers; n = 3). Several scattered CtB-labeled neurons were also observed in the DMH and LH (Figure 2). In the DMH, 51% ± 10% of the CtB-labeled neurons were closely associated with ArcN NPY/AgRP fibers. In contrast, in the LH, ArcN NPY/AgRP fibers only occasionally apposed CtB-positive cell bodies (Figure 2, 6 ± 3 cells; 14% ± 8%). Other brain sites that exhibited several CtB-positive (RLVM-projecting) neurons and mCherry ArcN NPY/AgRP fibers were the preoptic area (POA), the ventrolateral periaqueductal gray (vlPAG), and the lateral parabrachial nucleus (LB) (Supplemental Figure 3). Therefore, we conclude that in mice, the PVN, DMH, LH, POA, vlPAG, and LB contain presympathetic neurons that project to the RVLM. Moreover, of the major projection targets of ArcN NPY/AgRP neurons (PVN, DMH, LH), both the PVN and DMH house a substantial number of RLVM-projecting cells that receive inputs from ArcN NPY/AgRP neurons. Nevertheless, given that peptides like NPY can signal via longer-range volume transmission (20, 21), it remains possible that ArcN NPY inputs can also influence the activity of other RLVM-projecting neurons, even those that lack a close apposition.

**NPY suppresses SNA, MAP, and HR in the PVN and DMH, but not the LH.** Since it is currently unknown in mice whether NPY inhibits SNA by binding to receptors in the PVN, DMH, or LH, we next tested the effects of bilateral nanoinjections (30 nl) of artificial CSF (aCSF) vehicle or NPY at a dose that decreases SNA in rats (4). In rats, PVN NPY–induced sympathoinhibition is transduced via NPY Y1 receptors (NPY1R) and, to a lesser extent, NPY Y5 receptors (NPY5R) (4). However, it appears that NPY5R receptors are not expressed in the mouse PVN (22, 23). Therefore, we also tested the actions of the highly selective NPY1R antagonist BIBO3304 at a dose higher than that used in rats (4) but lower than the dose used to block feeding via PVN injection in mice (24). In the PVN (Figure 3), NPY decreased SSNA and MAP, without significantly altering HR, whereas BIBO3304 increased SSNA and MAP, and HR. These variables were unaffected by PVN aCSF injections. In the DMH (Figure 3), NPY decreased SSNA, MAP, and HR, and BIBO3304 increased SSNA, MAP, and HR. However, compared with the PVN, the increases in MAP and HR elicited by blockade of DMH NPY1R were nearly twice as great (P < 0.05), and the increase in SSNA tended to be larger (P = 0.08). Importantly, injections just outside of the PVN or DMH failed to significantly alter SSNA, MAP, or HR (Supplemental Figure 4), indicating that these responses were selective to these hypothalamic sites. In contrast to the DMH and PVN, while increases and decreases in SSNA were observed, overall neither NPY nor BIBO3304 significantly changed SSNA, HR, and MAP when injected into the LH (Supplemental Figure 5). Thus, as in rats (4), NPY inhibits SNA via an action in the PVN in mice. We further identify the DMH as an additional site of action. Finally, these data indicate that NPY released in the PVN and DMH could mediate the decreases in SNA evoked by hM3Dq stimulation of ArcN NPY/AgRP neurons.
and then with PVN BIBO3304 (Figure 4). A potential concern is that after CNO pretreatment, nonspecific effects of CNO prevented BIBO3304 from increasing SSNA, MAP, and HR to the same extent as BIBO3304 administered after saline pretreatment. However, in WT mice that received the Cre-dependent hM3Dq vector in the ArcN, i.p. CNO had no effects (ΔSSNA: 1% ± 6%; ΔMAP: –3 ± 1 mmHg; HR: 2 ± 13 bpm; n = 4), and subsequent PVN injections of BIBO3304 increased these variables to the same extent as in untreated WT mice (ΔSSNA: to 33% ± 3% control; ΔMAP: 9 ± 2 mmHg; ΔHR: 66 ± 17 bpm). In the DMH, however, bilateral BIBO3304 not only reversed the decreases in SSNA, MAP, and HR evoked by CNO in ArcN hM3Dq mice, but also elevated these variables to values that were not different from those observed in mice given i.p. saline followed by DMH BIBO3304. Therefore, we conclude that NPY1R activation in the DMH is a major contributor to the decreases in SSNA, MAP, and HR following selective activation of ArcN NPY neurons.

Our anatomical studies revealed that numerous caudal PVN neurons that target the RVLM appear heavily innervated by ArcN NPY/AgRP terminals (Figure 2). Moreover, PVN neurons are known to project directly to sympathetic preganglionic neurons. The PVN and DMH are targets of ArcN NPY/AgRP sympathoinhibitory neurons. To test the involvement of these nuclei, we first nanoinjected BIBO3304 bilaterally into the PVN or DMH of ArcN hM3Dq mice, ~30–45 minutes after i.p. CNO injection (i.e., when responses were stable). Our rationale was the following: if PVN or DMH NPY1R is a major component of the inhibition evoked by ArcN AgRP/NPY neuronal hM3Dq activation, then BIBO3304 should not only reverse the decreases in SSNA, MAP, and HR elicited by CNO, but also elevate these variables to the same levels achieved following PVN or DMH BIBO3304 treatment in i.p. saline-injected animals (i.e., block both tonic basal NPY release and CNO-triggered release). In ArcN hM3Dq mice that received i.p. saline, PVN-BIBO3304 increased SSNA, MAP, and HR (Figure 4) to levels similar to those observed in WT mice (Figure 3), indicating that ArcN AAV injections did not alter tonic NPY inhibition of PVN presympathetic neurons. As in Figure 1, i.p. injection of CNO in ArcN hM3Dq mice again decreased SSNA, MAP, and HR, and these responses were sustained in mice that received PVN aCSF (Figure 4). However, while subsequent PVN BIBO3304 significantly increased SSNA, MAP, and HR, the levels remained lower than those achieved in mice injected first with i.p. saline and then with PVN BIBO3304 (Figure 4). A potential concern is that after CNO pretreatment, nonspecific effects of CNO prevented BIBO3304 from increasing SSNA, MAP, and HR to the same extent as BIBO3304 administered after saline pretreatment. However, in WT mice that received the Cre-dependent hM3Dq vector in the ArcN, i.p. CNO had no effects (ΔSSNA: 1% ± 6%; ΔMAP: –3 ± 1 mmHg; HR: 2 ± 13 bpm; n = 4), and subsequent PVN injections of BIBO3304 increased these variables to the same extent as in untreated WT mice (ΔSSNA: to 33% ± 3% control; ΔMAP: 9 ± 2 mmHg; ΔHR: 66 ± 17 bpm). In the DMH, however, bilateral BIBO3304 not only reversed the decreases in SSNA, MAP, and HR evoked by CNO in ArcN hM3Dq mice, but also elevated these variables to values that were not different from those observed in mice given i.p. saline followed by DMH BIBO3304. Therefore, we conclude that NPY1R activation in the DMH is a major contributor to the decreases in SSNA, MAP, and HR following selective activation of ArcN NPY neurons.

Our anatomical studies revealed that numerous caudal PVN neurons that target the RVLM appear heavily innervated by ArcN NPY/AgRP terminals (Figure 2). Moreover, PVN neurons are known to project directly to sympathetic preganglionic neu-
nons in the spinal cord (25). Therefore, given the profound sympathtoinhibitory effects of NPY in the PVN in both mice (Figure 3) and rats (4, 7, 26), we next considered potential explanations for the failure of PVN BIBO3304 administered after i.p. CNO to achieve SSNA levels similar to those elicited by PVN BIBO3304 after i.p. saline. First, we tested whether our BIBO3304 dose both reverses the effects of exogenous NPY in PVN and elevates SSNA, MAP, and HR above basal levels by reversing the actions of tonic endogenous NPY sympathoinhibition. This result was obtained (Supplemental Figure 6), indicating that the BIBO3304 dose is sufficient to produce the expected effects if the PVN is a major target of ArcN NPY projections. ArcN NPY/AgRP neurons also release AgRP and GABA; therefore, we next tested whether bilateral nanoinjections of AgRP and muscimol (GABA<sub>ᵦ</sub> receptor agonist) would mimic (or simulate) the actions of these released neurotransmitters after ArcN NPY/AgRP neuronal activation. We chose a dose of AgRP that is sufficient to stimulate food intake in mice when administered into the cerebroventricles (27, 28). However, when locally injected into the PVN, AgRP had no effects on SSNA, MAP, or HR, despite triggering a significant decrease in body temperature (Supplemental Figure 7). The dose of muscimol potently reverses increases in SNA when nanoinjected into the PVN of rats (5, 29) and is sufficient to inhibit behavior when nanoinjected in the mouse forebrain (30, 31). Likewise, PVN muscimol did not reduce SSNA or HR, but did decrease MAP (Supplemental Figure 8). Because hypothalamic nanoinjections of muscimol coupled with sympathetic nerve recordings have not been conducted previously in mice, for comparison, we also injected muscimol into the DMH, after which the agonist slightly decreased HR and MAP, but not SSNA (Supplemental Figure 8). Conversely, blockade of PVN or DMH GABA<sub>ᵦ</sub> receptors with gabazine elicited robust increases in SSNA, HR, and MAP (Supplemental Figure 9). Interestingly, gabazine had opposite effects on body temperature in the PVN and DMH, as expected from experiments in rats (32, 33), which also confirms the site selectivity of the injections. Collectively these data suggest that neither AgRP nor GABA in PVN are involved in the suppression of SSNA or HR following ArcN AgRP/NPY neuronal activation, likely because of
The substantial GABAergic tone already present. However, PVN GABA may contribute to the decreases in MAP.

DREADDs can be expressed in the terminal fields of targeted hypothalamic nuclei (15); therefore, we next tested whether local nanoinjection of CNO into the PVN (or DMH) decreases SSNA in ArcN hM3Dq mice. We chose a dose of CNO (30 nl of 10 μM/l) that, when injected into the PVN of mice coexpressing ChR2 and hM4Di in ArcN AgRP neurons, maximally inhibited optogenetically evoked feeding (15). We found that PVN CNO (30 nl) promptly decreased SSNA, MAP, and HR, and interestingly these decreases were similar to those following nanoinjection of the same dose of CNO into the DMH (Figure 5). Importantly, injection into sites that missed the DMH, White arrows point to injection sites. Scale bars: 200 μm. (D) Group data showing that PVN or DMH CNO similarly decreases SSNA, HR, and MAP, but CNO injections that miss these targets or aCSF injections do not. Red symbols, DMH injections; blue symbols, PVN injections; black triangles, missed injections. Analyzed using 2-way repeated-measures ANOVA. (E) Representative experiment showing that PVN CNO decreases SSNA in a mouse harboring h3MDq in NPY/AgRP fibers, and this is reversed by PVN BIBO3304. (F) Group data showing that PVN BIBO3304 reverses the effects of PVN CNO. (G) Representative experiment showing that DMH CNO decreases SSNA in a mouse harboring h3MDq in NPY/AgRP fibers, and this is reversed by DMH BIBO3304. (H) Grouped data showing that DMH BIBO3304 reverses the effects of DMH CNO. In F and H, arrows indicate the times at which CNO, and then BIBO3304, were injected. Data in F and H were analyzed using 1-way repeated-measures ANOVA. Baseline values were 82 ± 3 mmHg and 492 ± 13 bpm (single PVN or DMH nanoinjections; n = 25), 81 ± 3 mmHg and 461 ± 21 bpm (PVN CNO, followed by PVN BIBO3304; n = 7), and 85 ± 3 mmHg and 452 ± 24 bpm (DMH CNO followed by DMH BIBO3304; n = 5). (I) Histological maps illustrating PVN and DMH injection sites (based on ref. 80). *P < 0.05, compared with time 0.
Why, then, were PVN BIBO3304 injections after i.p. CNO not as effective as DMH BIBO3304 in reversing the sympathoinhibition elicited by hM3Dq-evoked plus endogenous tonic release of NPY? We hypothesized that in the PVN, the excitatory drive that increases SSNA after disinhibition with BIBO3304 is also inhibited by ArcN NPY/AgRP neurons. In support of this hypothesis, our anatomical studies revealed that ArcN NPY/AgRP neuronal fibers innervate regions that project to the PVN (34) and are also known to influence SNA: DMH, POA, PAG, and LPB (Figure 2 and Supplemental Figure 3). In support of such a role for the DMH, we found that the increase in SNA following PVN BIBO3304 was substantially reversed by DMH muscimol (Figure 6).

**Discussion**

It is well established that activation of ArcN NPY/AgRP neurons can trigger positive energy balance, largely by stimulating food intake, but also by reducing energy expenditure (6, 14, 15, 35, 36). In contrast, the role of this neuronal population in the control of SNA had been largely unexplored, despite recent evidence that NPY acts in the PVN to inhibit SNA (4, 5, 26) and that NPY/AgRP neurons project to the PVN (9, 16, 19). Here, via DREADD methodology, we demonstrate that ArcN NPY/AgRP neuronal activation could be reversed by local blockade of DMH NPY1R. Finally, select activation of the terminal fields of ArcN NPY neurons in the PVN and DMH elicited prompt decreases in SSNA. Collectively, our studies identify ArcN NPY neurons as potent inhibitors of SNA, via projections to the PVN and DMH.

ArcN NPY neurons also release GABA and AgRP (37, 38); therefore, chemogenetic activation of this population could potentially inhibit SNA via increased GABAergic or AgRP suppression of presympathetic neurons. However, nanoinjection of the GABA agonist muscimol into the PVN or DMH had minimal effects on SSNA or HR and only slightly decreased MAP. This result is not surprising given our finding in mice, as in rats (e.g. ref. 5), of pronounced tonic GABAergic inhibition of PVN and DMH presympathetic neurons, revealed by the dramatic increases in SSNA, MAP, and HR elicited by blockade of GABA_A receptors in these regions. Thus, this high level of tonic inhibition would minimize the impact of further release of GABA. On the other hand, nanoinjections of NPY into the PVN (or DMH) are capable of eliciting...
significant decreases in SNA, MAP, and HR, because NPY inhibits a G protein–activated inwardly rectifying K (GIRK) current (39–41), which can hyperpolarize to a greater extent than GABA receptors, which open Cl channels. While the limited inhibition by GABA was expected, the effect of PVN AgRP on SNA in rats is controversial (8, 42). Here, we show that doses of PVN AgRP that exceed those required to elicit food intake and sufficient to decrease body temperature failed to decrease SSNA, AP, or HR. Moreover, PVN BIBO3304 completely reversed the effects of CNO nanoinjections into the PVN, also suggesting that the effects of CNO-evoked release of GABA and AgRP are minimal. Interestingly, these results contrast sharply with the significant role documented for GABA and AgRP released from ArcN neurons in eliciting food intake (24, 43). Finally, it is noteworthy that blockade of DMH NPY1R completely reversed the effects of DREADD activation of ArcN NPY neurons, suggesting that NPY is the main mediator in this nucleus. Therefore, we conclude that the sympathoinhibitory mechanism induced by stimulation of ArcN NPY/AgRP neurons is mediated largely by NPY. Nevertheless, decreases in the release of GABA could contribute to the increases in SSNA, MAP, or HR that occur following DREADD inhibition of ArcN NPY/AgRP neurons, although the rather large increases in SNA induced by blockade of NPY1R alone in the PVN or DMH suggest that tonic inhibition of PVN and DMH by NPY is nearly as efficacious as GABA, identifying ArcN NPY as a major inhibitory influence on SNA and AP.

Based on anatomical evidence that PVN presympathetic neurons are richly innervated by ArcN NPY fibers in mice (Figure 2) and rats (4), the strong sympathoinhibitory effects of NPY in the PVN in mice (Figure 3) and rats (4), and indirect evidence in rats that ArcN NPY neurons inhibit SNA via a synapse in the PVN (5, 7, 8), we were surprised that blockade of PVN NPY1R only weakly reversed the effects of select ArcN NPY/AgRP neuronal activation. In sharp contrast, blockade of DMH NPY1R completely reversed these effects. One explanation is that the ArcN-to-DMH pathway is more potent. However, the finding that the actions of PVN and DMH CNO equivalently decreased SSNA, MAP, and HR argues against this possibility. Alternatively, the excitatory inputs into PVN that drive increased SNA following PVN BIBO3304 treatment are also inhibited by ArcN NPY/AgRP neurons. In other words, hM3Dq activation of ArcN NPY/AgRP neurons inhibits both PVN neurons and excitatory inputs to PVN neurons. After release of this inhibition via PVN BIBO3304 nanoinjections, an excitatory input into PVN that normally contributes to the increases in SNA remains inhibited, and SNA cannot increase above baseline. In support of this hypothesis, we found that AgRP/NPY fibers innervate the DMH, which projects heavily to the PVN (44–47). Moreover, non-selective inhibition of the DMH after PVN BIBO3304 treatment returned the elevations in SSNA, MAP, and HR toward baseline. Thus, these data identify the DMH as one source of excitatory drive following disinhibition of PVN presympathetic neurons. On the other hand, when NPY inhibition of DMH sympathetic neurons was reversed with BIBO3304, the excitatory input that increased SNA (perhaps from the PAG; ref. 48) appeared to remain undisturbed, and SNA rose above baseline. Additionally, the DMH receives a sizable brainstem NPY (catecholaminergic) projection (49, 50), which could contribute to basal NPY tone and be disinhibited by DMH BIBO3304. This is in contrast to brainstem NPY inputs to the PVN, which may be rare in the mouse (51).

To our knowledge, these data are the first in any species to identify an ArcN-to-DMH NPY projection that is capable of inhibiting cardiovascularly relevant SNA. Consequently, the downstream neurocircuitry by which NPY inputs to the DMH are able to influence SNA and AP is unknown. Previous studies have documented that the DMH is an important node in sympathoexcitatory responses, in particular following psychological stress (for reviews, see refs. 48, 52, 53). A key component of this stress-induced descending pathway includes the RVLM (33, 54, 55). However, retrograde tracer studies in rats largely conclude that DMH neurons projecting directly to the RVLM are uncommon (44, 45, 56). In the mouse DMH, we observed a significant number of RVLM-projecting neurons; however, the number was far smaller than when the CtB injections encompassed the raphe pallidus.
DMH neurons that were retrogradely labeled from the RVLM were observed (Supplemental Figure 10), a well-described projection target in RESEARCH ARTICLE.

support: the melanocortin agonist MTII increases WAT SNA (65), mediated by NPY-induced decreases in SNA. The literature provides melanocortins) to increase WAT lipogenesis (64, 65) is also mediated by hepatic denervation); however, whether this effect is synergetic underpinnings of obesity-induced sympathoexcitation. Moreover, given the interest in NPY agonists and antagonists as therapeutic agents (13), our data may also inform their use in the treatment of such sympathoexcitatory disorders.

Methods

Animals

Male mice (20–30 g) were used. Colonies of mice were bred in-house. C57BL/6J mice (WT) were obtained from the Jackson Laboratory. Agrp-IRES-Cre mice (75) were originally obtained from the Jackson Laboratory. NPY-hrGF transgenic mice were originally received from Bradford B. Lowell (Harvard University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA) (76). Heterozygous Agrp-IRES-Cre NPY-hrGF (Agrplore::Npycre) mice were produced in-house by breeding of the respective strains.

Mice were housed under constant temperature (21-23°C) and a 12-hour light/12-hour dark cycle schedule (lights on at 6 am and lights off at 6 pm), with food (LabDiet 5L0D) and water provided ad libitum.

Brain viral vector and CtB injections

Stereotaxic AAV-DREADD-mCherry injections. Male Agrp-IRES-Cre mice, WT littermates, and Agrplore::Npycre mice received bilateral ArcN injections of AAV8-hSyn-DIO-hM3Dq-mCherry or AAV8-hSyn-DIO-hM4Di-mCherry (UNC Vector Core Services, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA). The mice were anesthetized with isoflurane (1.5%-2.5%), placed in a stereotaxic instrument (Model 1900, David Kopf Instruments) and received a midline skin incision to expose the surface of the skull. Two sets of 250 nl bilateral injections were made in the ArcN (coordinates: 1.0 and 1.6 mm caudal to bregma, 0.3 mm lateral to midline, 5.8 mm ventral to dura). The injections were conducted bilaterally over 1 minute with a glass pipette (tip diameter, ~40 μm) connected to a Nanoject II (Drummond Scientific). The injector was left in place for 10 minutes before withdrawal. Mice were allowed to recover for at least 2 weeks before the start of any in vivo studies. At the conclusion of the study, the brains were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, then sectioned at 30 μm on a vibrating microtome, and processed for immunohistochemical detection of mCherry. All injected Agrp-IRES-Cre mice were mCherry positive for bilateral hits.

Stereotaxic injection for tract tracing. 250 nl of AAV8.2-hEf1a-DIO-Synaptophysin-mCherry-WPRE (77, 78) (VIROVEK) were injected into the ArcN as described above. One week after the surgery, the mice received another stereotaxic injection of Alexa Fluor 488-CtB (1 mg/ml, 30 nl, Life Technologies, Invitrogen) into the RVLM (coordinates: 1.5 to 1.6 mm caudal to lambda, 0.9 to 1.1 mm lateral to midline, 4.9 to 5.1 mm ventral to dura) using a pressure injection system. One week later, the animals were perfused with 4% paraformaldehyde, and the brains were sectioned at 30 μm on a
freezing-stage microtome and processed for immunohistochemical detection of mCherry and CtB.

**Experimental preparation: AP and SNA recordings**

**Surgery.** Mice were anesthetized with 1.5%–2.5% isoflurane in 100% oxygen, and intubated to allow for spontaneous respiration of oxygen-enriched room air. Rectal temperature was maintained at 36–38°C using a rectal thermometer and heating pad. The splanchnic nerve was identified using a dissecting scope, mounted on a bipolar stainless steel electrode, and then embedded with silicone gel (Kwik-Sil, World Precision Instruments). The right jugular vein was cannulated to maintain anesthesia after surgery with α-chloralose (initial dose: 25 mg/kg; sustaining dose of 6 mg/kg/h; Sigma-Aldrich) (79). The left carotid artery was cannulated for continuous measurement of AP and HR. The mice were then placed in a Kopf stereotaxic instrument, and, following a midline incision, the skull was prepared for nanoinjections by burring a hole in the skull near the midline. For i.p. injections, a PE-10 tube filled with saline was implanted into the peritoneal cavity in some mice. After completion of surgery and the α-chloralose loading dose, the animals were allowed to stabilize for ≥30 minutes before experimentation. After experimentation, all mice were killed with an overdose of pentobarbital. Postmortem nerve activity was recorded in all animals.

**Data acquisition.** Pulsatile and mean AP, HR, and raw SSNA were continuously recorded throughout the experiment with Grass amplifiers (model 79D, Grass Instrument Co.) and a Biopac MP100 data acquisition and analysis system (Biopac Systems Inc.), sampling at 2,000 Hz. SNA was bandpass filtered (20–3,000 Hz) and amplified (×10,000). The SSNA signal was then rectified and integrated in 1-second bins. After data collection, background postmortem SSNA was subtracted from values of SSNA recorded during the experiment. SSNA was normalized to the baseline (or control) SNA, which was defined as the average of the 60-second period before the first injections (i.p. injection or nanoinjection) and expressed as percentage of control. Baseline SSNA, MAP, and HR were 1-minute averages prior to injections, and response values were the difference of the averages of 1-minute bins following injections from the baseline value.

**Hypothalamic nanoinjections**

Nanoinjections were conducted with single-barreled glass micropipettes with ~20-μm tip diameter. With flat-skull positioning and using bregma as zero, micropipettes were positioned using the following coordinates: PVN, 0.7–0.9 mm caudal, 0.3–0.4 mm lateral, and 4.8 mm ventral; DMH, 1.7–1.9 mm caudal, 0.4 mm lateral, and 5.1 mm ventral; and LH, 1.3–1.5 mm caudal, 1.0 mm lateral, and 5.0–5.1 mm ventral. All nanoinjections (30 nl/side) were made bilaterally with ~2 minutes between injections, using a pressure injection system (Pressure System IIe, Toohey Co.).

The following drugs and chemicals were used for nanoinjections: NPY, 0.1 mM/l (Tocris Bioscience); BIBO3304, NPY1R antagonist, 10 mM/l (Tocris Bioscience); AgRP, 1 mM/l (Phoenix Pharmaceuticals Inc.); muscimol, 1 mM/l (Tocris Bioscience); CNO, 10 μM/l (Enzo Life Sciences); and gabazine, 0.03 mM/l and 0.1 mM/l (SR-95531, Sigma-Aldrich). Drugs for microinjection were dissolved in aCSF containing (in mM): 128 NaCl, 2.6 KCl, 1.3 CaCl₂, 0.9 MgCl₂, 20 NaHCO₃, 1.3 NaHPO₄, and 2 dextrose; pH 7.4. In some animals, prior to nanoinjections of drugs, aCSF was injected as vehicle control. Fluorescent polystyrene microspheres (FluoSpheres, F8803, 1:200; Molecular Probes) were included in the injectate to verify the injection sites.

At the conclusion of the experiment, the brains were removed and placed in 4% paraformaldehyde for at least 48 hours. The hypothalamus was subsequently cut into 50-μm sections using a vibrating microtome and counterstained with Hoechst 34580 (1:500, Sigma-Aldrich). Correct placement was confirmed using a mouse brain atlas (80) under a Zeiss Axiophot 2 Plus fluorescence microscope (Carl Zeiss Microscopy).

**Experimental protocols**

(i) hM3Dq or hM4Di activation: After stabilization, saline or CNO (0.3 or 1 mg/kg, i.p., in 0.1 ml) was injected in Agrp-IRES-Cre mice or their WT littermates that had received nanoinjections of hM3Dq or hM4Di AAV into the ArcN; and AP, HR, and SSNA were recorded for more than 1 hour following injections.

(ii) Single hypothalamic drug nanoinjections: In male WT mice, after collection of baseline data, nanoinjections (30 nl, over 5–10 seconds) were made bilaterally, with ~2 minutes between injections, and data were collected for the following 30 minutes.

(iii) Reversal of hM3Dq activation–induced effects via BIBO3304 nanoinjections in the PVN or DMH: In Agrp-IRES-Cre mice with hM3Dq, 35–40 minutes after i.p. injection of saline or CNO, BIBO3304 (10 mM/l) was injected bilaterally into the PVN or into the DMH, and data were collected for 30 minutes. In another set of mice, i.p. CNO was followed by bilateral PVN or DMH injections of aCSF.

(iv) Two hypothalamic drug nanoinjections: In male WT mice, two different drugs were injected bilaterally (as described above) at an interval of ~15 minutes, when the effects of the first injection became stable. The combinations of nanoinjections include: NPY in the PVN, followed by BIBO3304 in the PVN; BIBO3304 in the PVN, followed by muscimol or aCSF into the DMH. In addition, in male Agrp-IRES-Cre mice that received ArcNhM3Dq, two different drugs were injected bilaterally (as described above) at an interval of ~15 minutes: CNO in the PVN followed by BIBO3304 in the PVN; CNO in the DMH followed by BIBO3304 in the DMH.

**Food intake studies**

Food intake measurements were performed as previously described (14). Mice were singly housed for at least 1 day before the experiment. Agrp-IRES-Cre mice with hM3Dq randomly received i.p. injections of CNO (0.3 mg/kg of body weight, i.p.) or saline 3 hours after the start of the 12-hour light cycle (9 am) and the other solution 2 days later. Thus, each animal was used as its own control. Food intake was assessed by providing one pellet of food in a petri dish in the cage, and weighing the pellet before and at 2 and 4 hours after the injection. Agrp-IRES-Cre mice with hM4Di were randomly injected with CNO (0.3 mg/kg of body weight, i.p.) or saline at the start of the 12-hour dark cycle (6 pm) on alternating days, and food intake was assessed at 2 and 4 hours after injection.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Immunohistochemistry was performed as previously described (4, 26, 81). The primary antibodies used in these studies included rabbit anti-dsRed, which detects mCherry (1:2,500; catalog 632496, Clontech), rabbit anti-mCherry (1:1,000; ab167453, Abcam), and goat anti-CtB (1:1,000; product 703, List Biological Laboratories). mCherry and CtB were then visualized with the secondary antibodies Alexa Fluor 594--donkey anti-rabbit (1:500; catalog A21207, Invitrogen) and Alexa Fluor 488--donkey anti-goat (1:500; catalog A11055, Invitrogen).
Images were collected using a Zeiss LSM 780 laser scanning confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss MicroImaging Inc.). The number of ArcN hM3Dq-expressing neurons also positive for NPY GFP (Supplemental Figure 1E) was analyzed offline using the image processing software Fiji (4). RVLM-projecting neurons in the PVN, DMH, and LH closely associated with ArcN NPY/AgRP fibers or terminals were quantified as follows (Supplemental Figure 12): images were processed in Zeiss Zen 2012 (Gray version) (Carl Zeiss MicroImaging Inc.) and imported into Imaris 8.4.1 (Bitplane, Andor Technologies). The two-channel (red and green) thresholds were adjusted to minimize background, and CtB-labeled cells (green) were given an isosurface. Spots representing mCherry-labeled fibers and terminals (red) were created in the same manner and were divided using distance transformation into those 2 µm or closer to a green cell surface and those further away. Identical parameters were applied to each image. The number of green cells having red contacts was determined.

Statistics
All data are presented as mean ± SEM. Between-group differences were determined using 2-way repeated-measures ANOVA, except for data displayed in Figure 5 and Supplemental Figure 6, for which 1-way ANOVA was used. Specific between- and within-group differences were determined using the Newman-Keuls post hoc test. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
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