## Supplemental data

## Supplemental methods

Expression Plasmids and siRNA. The full-length human WHSC1 cDNA was cloned into pMSCV-puro/neo (Clontech), pcDNA5 (Flag tag) (Invitrogen), pDEST20 (Invitrogen) and pcDNA3.1 (Myc tag) (Invitrogen) to generate WHSC1 expression plasmids. A gRNA expression plasmid was generated by cloning annealed gRNA oligonucleotides into the pX330. A double strand of DNA of 1600 bp in size was designed to serve as a template for HDR following the Cas9 induced double strand break. The template was designed with a dinucleotide polymorphism which when incorporated into the genome would result in an alternate codon encoding alanine acid (A) instead of the native serine (S). The shRNA, gRNA and siRNA sequences are listed in Supplemental Table 5.

Cell culture and reagents. PC3, LNCaP and DU145 cells were obtained from ATCC and cultured in DMEM or RPMI supplemented with $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum. RWPE-1 cells (ATCC) were cultured with Keratinocyte Serum Free Medium (K-SFM) (Invitrogen) with bovine pituitary extract and human recombinant epidermal growth factor (EGF). Cells were transfected with siRNA duplexes ( $60-100 \mathrm{nM}$ ) by using Lipofectamine (Invitrogen) or Dharmacon Transfection (Dharmacon) reagents according to manufacturer's instructions. To establish individual stable cells, retrovirus/lentivirus was used. Cell proliferation assay was performed using CellTiter 96 ® Non-Radioactive Cell Proliferation Assay (MTT) kit (Promega) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Standard 24-well Boyden invasion chambers (BD Biosciences) were used to assess cell migration abilities following the manufacturer's suggestions. For soft-agar colony formation assay, cells were suspended in RPMI1640 containing $0.35 \%$ low-melting agar (Invitrogen) and $10 \% \mathrm{FBS}$ and seeded onto a coating of $0.7 \%$ low-melting agar in RPMI1640 containing $10 \%$ FBS. Plates were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO} 2$ and colonies were scored 3 weeks after preparation. Colonies larger than 0.1 mm diameter were scored as positive. Results were calculated based on 3 independent experiments and statistical significance was determined by One-way ANOVA (Tukey's multiple comparisons test).

RNA isolation and real-time PCR. Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol followed by RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen) cleanup and RQ1 RNase-free DNase Set treatment (Promega) according to the manufacturer's instructions. First strand cDNA was synthesized using Superscript II (Invitrogen) and $2 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of total RNA was used in each cDNA synthesis reaction. Syber green Universal Master Mix reagents (Roche) and primer mixtures (Supplemental Table 6) were used for the real-time PCR. Standard curves were generated by serial dilution of a preparation of total RNA, and all mRNA quantities were normalized against $\beta$-actin RNA. Student's t-test or One-way ANOVA (Tukey's multiple comparisons test) was used to statistical analysis of $\mathrm{qRT}-\mathrm{PCR}$ results and p value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Baculovirus Expression of Full-Length WT and S172 mutated WHSC1 protein. Generation and purification of recombinant full-length WHSC1, WHSC1-S172A and WHSC1-172D were performed following the manufacturers' manuals (Invitrogen). In brief, pDEST20-WHSC1 was utilized to transform DH10Bac E. coli to obtain shuttle bacmid. Sf9 cells were transfected with bacmid DNA to produce baculovirus particles and the virus titer was amplified through multiple rounds of transduction. Sf9 cells were transduced with virus stock and cells were harvested 3 days after transduction. The His-tagged recombinant proteins were purified using HisTrapTM FF crude columns (GE Healthcare), and the quality of the purified proteins was monitored by SDS-PAGE followed by Coomassie staining.

Assay with MEFs. MEFs were isolated from embryonic day (E) 12.5 embryos and cultured in DMEM medium supplemented with $10 \%$ FBS, penicillin/streptomycin, and 2 mM L-glutamine using standard techniques. Ad-GFP and Ad-Cre virus were used to infect the cells at $\mathrm{MOI}=5$.

Immunocytochemistry, immunoprecipitation and immunoblotting. For immunocytochemistry, cells were fixed with $4 \%$ formalin for 15 minutes, permeated with $0.1 \%$ Triton X-100 for 10 minutes, and stained with 200 nM rhodamine phalloidin (Invitrogen, R415) for 30 minutes. phospho-FAK (Invitrogen, 44-626G), P34arc (Millipore, 07-227) or phospho-Paxllin (Cell Signaling Technology, 2541) staining was performed as described previously. Stained cells
were then imaged with a laser confocal microscope. 6 random fields of view per sample were assessed with ZEN 2 software. For immunoprecipitation assays, cells were lysed with HEPES lysis buffer ( 20 mM HEPES, $\mathrm{pH} 7.2,50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.5 \% \mathrm{NP}-40,1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaF}$ and 1 mM dithiothreitol) supplemented with protease-inhibitor cocktail (Roche). Immunoprecipitations were performed using the indicated primary antibody and protein A/G agarose beads (Roche) at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The immunocomplexes were then washed with HEPES lysis buffer four times. Both lysates and immunoprecipitates were examined using the indicated primary antibodies and the related secondary antibody followed by detection with the chemiluminescence substrate (Millipore).

Chromatin-immunoprecipitation assays. The ChIP assays were performed using Magnetic ChIP kit (Millipore). The procedure was as described in the kit provided by the manufacturer. Briefly, PC3 cells were fixed by $1 \%$ formaldehyde, fragmented by a combination of MNase and sonication. WHSC1 (abcam, ab75359), H3K27me3 (cell signaling, 9733), H3K36me2 (abcam, ab9049) and H3K9ac (cell signaling, 9649) antibody were then used for immunoprecipitation. After washing and reverse-crosslinking, the precipitated DNA was amplified by primers and quantified by the Step One Plus real-time-PCR machine. Primer sequences can be found in the Supplemental Table 6.

In vitro and in vivo ubiquitination assay. The procedure for in vitro ubiquitination assay was conducted according the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, to purify the substrate, His tagged-WHSC1, WHSC1-S172A and WHSC1-S172D were expressed by baculovirus-insect cell system. To purify the endogenous CUL4B ligases, CUL4B immunocomplexes were immunoprecipitated from 293T cells transfected with Flag-CUL4B plasmids using Flag M2 beads (Sigma), and then eluted by incubating with a molar excess of Flag peptide. For the in vitro WHSC1 ubiquitination, the CUL4B immunocomplex was mixed with His-tagged wild-type or WHSC1 mutated substrate, and this mixture was added to a ubiquitin ligation reaction (Enzo Life Sciences). The reactions were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 60 min , and the samples were submitted to immunoblotting with the anti-WHSC1 or Ub antibody to examine ubiquitin ladder formation. In vivo ubiquitination assays was performed in 293 T cells
transfected with Flag-WHSC1, Flag-WHSC1 together with HA-Ub or endogenous parent and WHSC1-S172A mutant PC3 cells, which pre-treated with MG132 for 8 hours. Immunoprecipitations were performed using the indicated Flag or WHSC1 antibody and protein A/G agarose beads (Roche) at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then subjected to Western blotting with antibodies specific for Ub (Cell signaling, 3933).

In Vitro Kinase Assay. AKT1 was purchased from Active motif. Briefly, $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of indicated His tagged proteins were incubated with purified active AKT1 in the presence of $5 \mu \mathrm{Ci}\left[\gamma_{-}{ }^{32} \mathrm{P}\right]$ ATP and $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ cold ATP in the reaction buffer for $15-30 \mathrm{~min}$. The reaction was stopped by the addition of SDS-containing lysis buffer, resolved on SDS-PAGE, and detected by autoradiography.

In-gel digestion LC-ms/ms analysis, and protein identification. The protein bands were excised and in-gel digested. Then, liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry/mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) analyses of tryptic digests were performed on a Q-Exactive mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.) interfaced with an EASY-nLC 1000 System (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.). LC-MS/MS data were analyzed by Mascot (v2.3, Matrix Science Ltd., London, UK). Peak lists were generated by Proteome Discoverer software (version 1.4) from Thermo Fisher. Precursor mass tolerance for Mascot analysis was set at $\pm 10 \mathrm{ppm}$, and fragment mass tolerance was set at $\pm 0.02 \mathrm{Da}$. The Mascot cutoff score was set to $20(\mathrm{p}<0.05)$ to exclude the low score peptides.
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Supplemental Figure 1. Clinical associations of WHSC1 in PCa patients. The correlations between WHSC1 expression and Gleason score, seminal vesicle invasion are shown as stacked columns (using dataset GSE21032, $\mathrm{n}=139$ ). Fisher exact test is used to determine statistical significance.

## Supplemental Figure 2



Supplemental Figure 2. WHSC1 is important for prostate tumorigenesis in PCa cells. (A) In vitro growth of control and WHSC1 depleted PC3 and LNCaP cells are measured by MTT analysis. WHSC1 knockdown efficiency is shown in left panel $(\mathrm{n}=3)$. ( $\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{C}$ ) In vitro transwell $(\mathbf{B})$ and soft agar assays $(\mathbf{C})$ examining migration abilities and the anchorage-independent growth of the parental and WHSC1 knockdown cells ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ). (D) In vitro transwell assay examining migration abilities of the parental and cells overexpressing wild-type WHSC1 or WHSC1- $\Delta$ Set, and quantitation results are shown in right panel $(\mathrm{n}=3)$. (E) Wound healing assay of control, WHSC1 over-expression and WHSC1 knockdown PC3 cells (F) Animal survival of ex-vivo bone metastasis analysis of PC3 cells with WHSC1 depletion or over-expression in nude mice ( $\mathrm{n}=9$ per group). Logrank test is performed to compare animal survival. Results in A-E are presented as mean $\pm$ SEM. ${ }^{*}: \mathrm{p}<0.05$, **: $\mathrm{P}<0.01$.

## Supplemental Figure 3



Supplemental Figure 3. Inactivation of WHSC1 inhibits PTEN-deficient prostate tumor progression.
(A) Schematic generation of WHSC1 flox alleles. (B) H\&E staining sections of representative anterior prostate (AP), ventral prostate (VP), and dorsal-lateral prostate (DLP) at 4-month-old wild-type and WHSC1 ${ }^{\text {PC-/- }}$ mice. (C) Immunohistochemical analysis of CK8 and p63 positive cells in prostate epithelium of wild-type and WHSC1 ${ }^{\text {PC-/- }}$ mice. (D) Ki-67 staining of prostate sections from 4-month-old PTEN ${ }^{\text {PC-/- }}$ and PTEN $^{\mathrm{PC}-/-}$; WHSC1 $1^{\mathrm{PC}-/-}$ mice, and semi-quantitative results are shown in the right panel. Results are presented as mean $\pm$ SEM. $*: \mathrm{p}<0.05$. Scale bars: $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D})$

## Supplemental Figure 4



Supplemental Figure 4. Over-expression of WHSC1 potentiates the metastasis of PTEN null tumors. (A) Southern blotting analysis of genotyping of WHSC1 ${ }^{\mathrm{OE} /+}$ mice using mice tailing genomic DNA. (B) H\&E staining sections of representative anterior prostate (AP), ventral prostate (VP), and dorsal-lateral prostate (DLP) at 8-month-old wild-type and WHSC1 ${ }^{\mathrm{PCOE} /+}$ mice and immunohistochemical analysis of WHSC1 expression in prostate epithelium from wild-type and WHSC1 ${ }^{\mathrm{PCOE} /+}$ mice (bottom). (C) Western blotting analysis of the indicated protein in normal (RWPE-1), prostate cancer cell lines ( $\mathrm{PC} 3, \mathrm{LNCaP}$ and $\mathrm{LNCaP}-\mathrm{Abl}$ ) and in anterior prostate lysates of wild-type, PTEN ${ }^{\mathrm{PC}-/ /}, \mathrm{PTEN}^{\mathrm{PC}-/-}$; WHSC1 $1^{\mathrm{PCOE} /+}$ and $\mathrm{WHSC} 1^{\mathrm{PCOE} /+}$ mice. (D) E-cadherin, Vimentin and Myc staining of prostate tumor sections of 4-month-old PTEN ${ }^{\mathrm{PC}-/-}$ and PTEN ${ }^{\mathrm{PC}-/-}$ ; WHSC1 ${ }^{\text {PCOE/+ }}$ mice. Scale bars: $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (B and D)

## Supplemental Figure 5



Supplemental Figure 5. AKT directly phosphorylates and stabilizes WHSC1 in PCa cells. (A) qRT-PCR analysis of WHSC1 transcripts in wild type, PTEN ${ }^{\text {PC-/ }}$ and PTEN $^{\text {PC- }}$ - WHSC1 ${ }^{\mathrm{PC}-/-}$ mice. (B) Immunoblot analysis (IB) (left) and qRT-PCR analysis (right) of the indicated genes in RWPRE-1 cells depleting PTEN. Results are presented as mean $\pm$ SEM. ${ }^{* *}$ : $\mathrm{P}<0.01$. (C) The MS/MS fragmentation spectrum showing distinct b- (N-terminal) and y- (C-terminal) series fragment ions for the WHSC1 peptide defining the pSer site in the degron region. (D) IB analysis of WCL and immunoprecipitates (IP) derived from 293T cells transfected with V5-AKT and Flag-WHSC1. (E) IB analysis of WCL and IP derived from PC3 cells which were treated with 30 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ LY294002 for 8 hours before harvesting. (F) The phospho-specificity of the antibody is demonstrated by peptide competition using phospho-peptides in Serine 172 site or a non-phosphorylated peptide as indicated. (G) Sequencing validations of CRISPR WHSC1-S172A knock-in allele in PC3 cells. (H) Wild-type or S172A mutated cells were treated with $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ cycloheximide (CHX), and IB analysis of WCL harvested at indicated time points. (I) Representative images of anchorage independent growth (upper) or transwell assays in control and S172A PC3 cells, and quantitation results are shown in right panel $(\mathrm{n}=3)$.

## Supplemental Figure 6



Supplemental Figure 6. Phosphorylation of WHSC1-S172 prevents the destruction mediated by CRL4Cdt2. (A) IB analysis of 293 T cells transfected with the indicated Scramble or CUL4B, CUL4A and Cdt2 shRNA. (B) Immunoblot analysis of WCL and immunoprecipitates derived from 293 T cells transfected with Flag-WHSC1, or Flag-WHSC1-S172A, wild-type CUL4B or enzymatic dead CUL4B dominant negative (CUL4B-DN) and HA-Ub constructs. (C) Immunoblot analysis of WCL and immunoprecipitates derived from 293T cells transfected with Flag-CUL4B and the indicated HA-tagged wild type WHSC1, WHSC1-S172A or phosphormimic WHSC1-S172D construct.

## Supplemental Figure 7

A

| Top Canonical Pathways |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Name -value Overlap <br> Molecular Mechanisms of Cancer $2.66 \mathrm{E}-10$ $16.5 \%$ <br> Integrin Signaling $9.05 \mathrm{E}-10$ $20.2 \%$ <br> Regulation of Actin-based Motility by Rho $1.64 \mathrm{E}-07$ 25.193 <br> Phospholipase C Signaling $2.81 \mathrm{E}-06$ $21 / 84$ <br> RhoGDI Signaling $3.38 \mathrm{E}-06$ $15.9 \%$ |  |  |

 after WHSC1 depletion

|  | Motif | factor | z-score | -10*log(pval) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RNA polymerase II core complex | $1 .$ | TBP | -3.444 | 81.588 |
|  | $\mathrm{CA}$ | NFIC | -2.762 | 58.536 |
|  | $\text { 1. } \mathrm{TCG}+\mathrm{TCCO}_{8}$ | NFIX | -2.102 | 40.288 |
| Homeodomain Family | $\mathrm{adCN} \bar{I}_{\mathrm{s}}$ | NKX2-2 | -3.237 | 74.123 |
|  | CACTI $A$ | NKX2-5 | -3.137 | 70.654 |
|  | CAATC | HOXA7 | -3.101 | 69.456 |
|  | $G_{i} C_{I} T_{I A}$ | NKX2-4 | -2.617 | 54.171 |
|  |  | PKNOX1 | -2.419 | 48.557 |
|  | 1. Atoalt atant | CUX1 | -2.17 | 41.99 |
|  | CACTI | NKX3-1 | -2.093 | 40.071 |
| Forkhead Domain Family | $1 . \mathrm{xccCalCA}$ | FOXO1 | -2.37 | 47.234 |
|  | 1. ToCcCAC | FOXO3 | -2.543 | 52.044 |
| Helix-LoopHelix Family | $1 . \mathrm{SxCAC-IGA}$ | SREBF2 | -4.316 | 117.44 |
|  | 1. TCAcst $T G_{\text {ex }}$ | MLX | -3.36 | 78.509 |
|  | CAcsTG | MLXIPL | -3.143 | 70.858 |
|  | 1. $\mathrm{TICAc}_{\text {TG }}$ | USF2 | -2.069 | 39.489 |



Supplemental Figure 6. WHSC1 stimulates AKT signal through regulation of Rictor expression. (A) Ingenuity Pathway Analysis of the biological process and signal pathways that WHSC1 might participate in. (B) IB examinations of the indicated protein in WCL of WHSC1OE, WHSC1- $\Delta$ Set and WHSC1-KD PC3 cells. (C) IB examinations of the indicated protein in WCL of wild-type, WHSC1-OE, WHSC1- $\Delta$ Set LNCaP cells. (D-G) Genomic and functional annotations for H3K36me2 ChIP binding intervals between wild-type and WHSC1-depleted
cells.(D) Enrichment distribution for genomic annotations for H3K36me2 ChIP binding in wild-type cells. (E) Venn diagram showing the overlap of genes bearing H3K36me2 ChIP binding intervals in wild-type and WHSC1 knockdown cells. (F) Sequence motif analysis of ChIP-Seq intervals, which includes total 2171 genes show the reduced H3K36me2 modification. (G) KEGG analysis of the overlapping genes, which display the reduced expression levels and H3K36me2 codes in WHSC1 deficient cells.

## Supplemental Figure 8



Supplemental Figure 8. WHSC1 regulates Rac1 expression to promote PCa tumorigenesis. (A) PhosphoPaxillin immunostaining in control, WHSC1-OE and WHSC1-KD PC3 cells. (B) Active Rac1, total Rac1, and their downstream effectors in cells. (C-D) Immunoblot analysis of WCL (C) and migration assay ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) (D) in control and WHSC1 over-expressed PC3 with or without Rac1 knockdown. (E) Immunoblot analysis of WCL (E) and migration assay $(\mathbf{F})$ in control and WHSC1 knockdown PC3 with or without constitutively active Rac1 (Rac1-Q61L) or dominant negative Rac1 (Rac1-T17N) over-expression. (G) WHSC1 signature (GSE84868) and Rac1 signature (GSE78093) in normal, primary versus metastatic PCa specimens from patients (using dataset: GSE21032, $\mathrm{n}=179$, and GSE35988, $\mathrm{n}=88$ ), in which each tumor expression profile was scored by t score for manifestation of the WHSC1 or Racl gene activity. p values are examined by two-sided One-way ANOVA. (H) The correlation between WHSC1 and Rac1 transcripts in patients is shown in regression plots (GSE21032, $\mathrm{n}=179$ ). Pearson correlation coefficients test is used to determine statistical significance. (I) IB analysis of WCL in control or WHSC1 overexpressed PC3 cells with or without Rictor and Rac1 depletion. (J) Representative X-ray images for bone metastasis are shown in left panel, and osteolytic area sizes are quantified in right panel. Results are presented as mean $\pm$ SEM. ${ }^{* *}: \mathrm{p}<0.01$. Arrow denotes areas of osteolysis ( $\mathrm{n}=8$ per group). Scale bars: $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (A).

## Supplemental Figure 9

A

| Asian radical prostatectomy cohort, $\mathrm{n}=108$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Multivariate Cox | P value | HR | $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ |
| Gleason Score | 0.014 | 1.63 | 1.10 to 2.41 |
| PSA | 0.030 | 1.92 | 1.07 to 3.46 |
| WHSC1 | 0.001 | 2.54 | 1.46 to 4.42 |
| P-AKT | 0.005 | 2.19 | 1.27 to 3.79 |

B


Supplemental Figure 9. Prognostic potential of WHSC1 and AKT signaling in human Pca. (A) Multivariate Cox regression analysis of the levels of WHSC1, phospho-AKT, PSA levels and Gleason score at diagnosis of BCR in TMA $(\mathrm{n}=108)$. (B) Kaplan-Meier plot ( p -values by log-rank test) of patients grouped using the combination of WHSC1, phospho-AKT and Gleason score (K-means clustering) in TMA.

Supplemental Table 1. Summary of the clinical information of GSE21032, GSE40272, GSE6919 and GSE35988

| Variables | GSE21032 | GSE6919 | GSE35988 | GSE40272 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Numbers | 179 | 171 | 88 | 78 |
| Normal sample | 29 | 81 | 12 | 35 |
| Primary tumors | 131 | 65 | 49 | 43 |
| Metastatic PCa | 19 | 25 | 27 |  |
| Samples with clinical follow up, n | 140 |  |  | 33 |
| Age at diagnosis, yr. | 37.3-83 |  |  | 44-73 |
| No. of biochemical recurrence, n (\%) | 36 (25.7\%) |  |  | 9 (27.3\%) |
| Preoperative PSA, ng/mL | 12.1 (1.15-506) |  |  |  |
|  | $\leq 678$ (55.7\%) |  |  |  |
| Pathologic Gleason score, $\mathbf{n}$ | 749 (35.0\%) |  |  |  |
|  | $\geq 813$ (9.3\%) |  |  |  |
| Seminal vesicle invasion | 20 (14.3\%) |  |  |  |
| Lymph node invasion | 12 (8.6\%) |  |  |  |

Supplemental Table 2. The clinical information of Asian radical prostate cohort

| Variables | All patients |
| :--- | :--- |
| Numbers | 128 |
| Age at diagnosis, yr. | $61-71$ |
| Year of surgery | $2006-2010$ |
| No. of biochemical recurrence, $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $47(39.8)$ |
| Preoperative PSA, ng/mL | $16.0(10.4-31.6)$ |
| Pathologic Gleason score, $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $29(24.6)$ |
| $\leq 6$ | $53(44.9)$ |
| 7 | $21(17.8)$ |
| 8 | $15(12.7)$ |
| $\geq 9$ | $13(11.0)$ |
| Adverse pathologic events, $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $4(3.4)$ |
| Seminal vesicle invasion | $6(5.1)$ |
| Positive surgical margins |  |

Supplemental Table 3. Univariate analysis of WHSC1, PTEN , Rac1 signature, mTOR signature and other parameters in BCR


Supplemental Table 4. C-statistic analysis of TMA reveals that 2-gene set (WHSC1 and phospho-AKT) improves the prognostic accuracy of Gleason score and PSA

Models in TMA (BCR cases, $\mathrm{n}=55$; BCR-free cases, $\mathrm{n}=53$ )

Model 1. Gleason only: C = 0.641 ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=0.565-0.716$ )
Model 2. PSA only: $\mathrm{C}=0.622$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=0.557$ - 0.686 )

Model 3. 2-genes (WHSC1, p-AKT) only: C=0.674 (95\% CI = 0.606-0.741)

Model 4. Gleason + 2-genes: $\mathrm{C}=0.731$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.652$ - 0.811)
Model 5. PSA + 2-genes: $\mathrm{C}=0.718$ (95\% CI = 0.642 - 0.793)

Supplemental Table 5. The shRNA, giRNA and siRNA sequences are listed.

| giRNA |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| WHSC1 | CACCGATATGACTCCTTGCTGGAGC AAACGCTCCAGCAAGGAGTCATATC |
| shRNA |  |
| shWHSC1-1 | CCGGCCCTTCGCAGTGTTTGTCTTACTCGAGTAAGACAAACACTGCGAAGGGTTTTTG AATTCAAAAACCCTTCGCAGTGTTTGTCTTACTCGAGTAAGACAAACACTGCGAAGGG |
| shWHSC1-2 | CCGGTGCCAATAACACGTCCACTCTCGAGAGTGGACGTGTTATTGGCATTTTTG AATTCAAAAATGCCAATAACACGTCCACTCTCGAGAGTGGACGTGTTATTGGCA |
| shRictor-1 | CCGGCGTCGGAGTAACCAAAGATTACTCGAGTAATCTTTGGTTACTCCGACGTTTTTG AATTCAAAAACGTCGGAGTAACCAAAGATTACTCGAGTAATCTTTGGTTACTCCGACG |
| shRictor-2 | CCGGCCGATCATGGGCAGGTATTATCTCGAGATAATACCTGCCCATGATCGGTTTTTG AATTCAAAAACCGATCATGGGCAGGTATTATCTCGAGATAATACCTGCCCATGATCGG |
| shRac1-1 | CCGGCCCTACTGTCTTTGACAATTACTCGAGTAATTGTCAAAGACAGTAGGGTTTTTG AATTCAAAAACCCTACTGTCTTTGACAATTACTCGAGTAATTGTCAAAGACAGTAGGG |
| shRac1-2 | CCGGCCTTCTTAACATCACTGTCTTCTCGAGAAGACAGTGATGTTAAGAAGGTTTTTG AATTCAAAAACCTTCTTAACATCACTGTCTTCTCGAGAAGACAGTGATGTTAAGAAGG |
| shCUL4A-1 | CCGGGCCAAAGGTTAATGCAGGAAACTCGAGTTTCCTGCATTAACCTTTGGCTTTTTG <br> AATTCAAAAAGCCAAAGGTTAATGCAGGAAACTCGAGTTTCCTGCATTAACCTTTGGC |
| shCUL4A-2 | CCGGCCATGATATGTGGTCTAAGAACTCGAGTTCTTAGACCACATATCATGGTTTTTG AATTCAAAAACCATGATATGTGGTCTAAGAACTCGAGTTCTTAGACCACATATCATGG |
| shCUL4B-1 | CCGGGCTGTCTGATTTGCAAATTTACTCGAGTAAATTTGCAAATCAGACAGCTTTTTG AATTCAAAAAGCTGTCTGATTTGCAAATTTACTCGAGTAAATTTGCAAATCAGACAGC |
| shCUL4B-2 | CCGGGCAATTCTTCAGAAAGGTTTACTCGAGTAAACCTTTCTGAAGAATTGCTTTTTG AATTCAAAAAGCAATTCTTCAGAAAGGTTTACTCGAGTAAACCTTTCTGAAGAATTGC |
| shCdt2-1 | CCGGCTGGTGAACTTAAACTTGTTACTCGAGTAACAAGTTTAAGTTCACCAGTTTTTG AATTCAAAAACTGGTGAACTTAAACTTGTTACTCGAGTAACAAGTTTAAGTTCACCAG |
| shCdt2-2 | CCGGGCCTAGTAACAGTAACGAGTACTCGAGTACTCGTTACTGTTACTAGGCTTTTTG AATTCAAAAAGCCTAGTAACAGTAACGAGTACTCGAGTACTCGTTACTGTTACTAGGC |
| siRNA |  |
| siCdt2-1 | GAAUUAUACUGCUUAUCGA |
| siCdt2-2 | AAGGUUCCUGGUGAACUUAAA |
| siCUL4B-1 | GGUGAACACUUAACAGCAA |
| siCUL4B-2 | AAGCCUAAAUUACCAGAAA |
| siWHSC1-1 | GCACACGAGAACGACAUCA |
| siWHSC1-2 | UGUCAGUGGAGGAGCGGAA |

Supplemental Table 6. The qRT-PCR and ChIP-qPCR primer sequences are listed.
qRT-PCR primer

| WHSC1 | ACTCCGAGCTGCGAGGTGAAC |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | AAGTAAGATCTTTCAGCTTGTCG |
| PTEN | tTGGCGGTGTCATAATGTCT |
|  | GCAGAAAGACTTGAAGGCGTA |
| $\beta$-actin | AGAGCTACGAGCTGCCTGAC |
|  | AGCACTGTGTtGGCGTACAG |
| ChIP-qPCR Primer |  |
| Rictor-1 | TGGTCCTTTATCATTCCTTGCTC |
|  | AAGGGAACCTCTTGAGTCCAGTC |
| Rictor-2 | GAACTGATAAGAGTGGCTTGCTG |
|  | TGTTGTTTCCTAAGTCTGCGTGT |
| Rictor-3 | ACAGAGTTTCCCAATCTGCTTCA |
|  | GCTAACAAATATCCATCTTCCCTAA |
| Rictor-4 | ATGCCTACTCCTGCTTCACCTTC |
|  | GTCCTCCAAACTCTTCCAACCTCT |
| Rictor-5 | GCAGGTCCCAGATACCATCCTTA |
|  | GTTCATAAAGGAGGTAACAAGACAA |
| Rac1-1 | CCTGTATTCACCGCTACTCAACC |
|  | TTTCCATCAACCTATTTCCACGT |
| Rac1-2 | AGCGTTGCTTGTATAGGTTAGTGT |
|  | ATGCCAGAACTTTCCTTGGACTT |
| Rac1-3 | GGttGAttaAAGGAATGGGAACG |
|  | TGTAAGGATGATGTCACGGAAGG |
| Rac1-4 | TTATTGTtGAGATGGGATCGTGC |
|  | AGTGGTGGCTCTTGCCTGTAGTC |
| Rac1-5 | GGTCATTTAACATTGGCATCCTC |
|  | CGACTACCTGCTCACCATCTTTT |
| $\beta$-actin | GTGCTCAGGGCTTCTTGTCCTTTCC |
|  | tTtCtCCATGTCGTCCCAGTTGGT |

