Breaking down brain barrier breaches in cerebral malaria
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Recent findings have linked brain swelling to death in cerebral malaria (CM). These observations have prompted a number of investigations into the mechanisms of this pathology with the goal of identifying potential therapeutic targets. In this issue of the JCI, Gallego-Delgado and colleagues present evidence that implicates angiotensin receptors and the relocation of β-catenin to the endothelial cell nucleus in CM. This study provides a renewed focus on infected erythrocyte debris as the cause of endothelial damage and challenges previous work implicating direct effects of infected erythrocyte sequestration in the brain as the major driver of disease. While this work provides potential therapeutic avenues for CM, it leaves a number of questions unanswered.

Cerebral malaria

The management of patients with severe infections of the malaria parasite Plasmodium falciparum remains a major challenge in the combat against malaria-related death. Despite the ability of current antimalarial therapies to clear the infecting parasites, patients who receive treatment after the onset of severe manifestations still have a high mortality rate. For example, patients with cerebral malaria (CM), which is characterized by impaired consciousness, have an 18% mortality rate, even when given artemisinin-based combination treatments (1). At present, no targeted adjunctive therapies, aside from supportive care and fluid management, are available for those that present with this complication.

CM is associated with disruption of the blood-brain barrier (BBB), various degrees of hemorrhagic lesions, localized vascular thrombosis, and accumulation of monocytes (2). The development of CM is thought to be driven by the sequestration of infected erythrocytes in the brain, although the relative importance of the sequestration itself and an unfavorable inflammatory immune response in the brain as a result of sequestration remains a much debated topic. Recently, work looking at associations with severe malaria has suggested that microvascular obstruction and endothelial activation are independent variables in CM pathology (3).

MRI scans of children who died from CM suggest that respiratory arrest due to brain swelling is a major cause of death (4). So, although the complete picture of pathogenesis remains to be elucidated, and the disruption of the BBB or even edema is unlikely to be the only cause of CM, strategies aimed at maintenance of BBB integrity might be sufficient to offset some of the pathophysiological changes caused by P. falciparum infection, including brain edema and even death.

In regard to preserving BBB function, the renin-angiotensin system (RAS) may be an interesting target for adjunctive therapies for CM, as angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) have been shown to protect the barrier integrity of brain endothelial cells in vitro (5). While ARBs inhibit angiotensin II-mediated barrier disruption, these blockers will need to inhibit the disruption of the endothelial barrier caused by malaria infection to be feasible for treatment of CM.

Parasite-infected erythrocyte rupture and CM development

In this issue, Gallego-Delgado and colleagues have demonstrated that the rupture of malaria-infected erythrocytes causes endothelial cell apoptosis and have linked these events to the translocation of β-catenin from the intercellular junctions to the cell nucleus, where it functions as a transcription factor (6). Moreover, the signaling cascade that results from the translocation of β-catenin to the nucleus and the subsequent death of endothelial cells could be interrupted by blockade of the angiotensin II type 1 receptor (AT1) or stimulation of the angiotensin II type 2 receptor (AT2), both of which are present on the surface of these cells.

In line with earlier studies (7, 8), Gallego-Delgado et al. also determined that BBB disruption requires erythrocyte rupture, as adhesion of trophozoite-stage-infected erythrocytes, and erythrocytes infected with parasites arrested at the schizont stage, did not result in the loss of barrier integrity or translocation of β-catenin to the nucleus (6). While Gallego-Delgado and colleagues argue that sequestration of infected erythrocytes in the brain is likely required to allow the soluble factors released by rupturing infected erythrocytes to reach damaging concentrations, their findings contradict other studies that have shown that the endothelial barrier can be disrupted without the rupture of infected erythrocytes. In vitro data suggest that the P. falciparum adhesion protein PfEMP1 increases susceptibility to thrombin-induced barrier disruption (9, 10) by blocking activated protein C (APC) functions, and thus the BBB can be indirectly affected by the adherence of infected erythrocytes. This susceptibility is under-
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lined by the loss of available endothelial protein C receptor (EPCR) on the surface of vessels in the brain, which occurs in conjunction with the sequestering of infected erythrocytes in vivo (11). The added insult of soluble factors that results from bursting infected erythrocytes, as reported by Gallego-Delgado et al. (6), could be augmented by the adherence of infected erythrocytes to endothelial cells, resulting in accumulating endothelial activation, barrier disruption, vascular damage, and subsequent CM. Furthermore, APC has been shown to inhibit the ability of released plasmodial and human histones to activate the endothelium (8), and thus impairing protein C activation might exacerbate the effect of histones, which are a candidate for the factor that is locally released by erythrocyte rupture and leads to CM-associated damage in the brain.

Data presented by Gallego-Delgado et al. suggest that only endothelial cells that originate from the brain are affected by rupturing erythrocytes (6). It will be very interesting if this hypothesis is correct; however, technical issues relating to the use of primary, nonbrain cells and immortalized brain endothelial cells provide an alternative explanation for these results. The inconsistent association between overall parasitemia and CM observed in patients (12) prompts the question of whether the rupture of infected erythrocytes alone entirely explains the loss of brain endothelial barrier integrity in CM. A simple answer for the observed tissue-specific response in CM is that edema in the brain, unlike in other organs, can be particularly detrimental because of the confines of the skull and thus brain endothelial cells have specific responses to stimuli. This interpretation would still not explain the difference in CM development and clinical outcome seen in patients with equivalent parasitemia, unless the nature of soluble factors differs between parasite isolates or, perhaps more likely, there are differences in the host response to these factors. Further studies of the localization of β-catenin in endothelial cells from postmortem CM cases could help illuminate the endothelial responses in these patients, including those with little or no vascular pathology. Moreover, such examinations of postmortem tissue may further clarify the feasibility of targeting the RAS system for treating CM.

AT1 and AT2 as potential therapeutic targets
Gallego-Delgado and colleagues provide further evidence to substantiate the potential utility of targeting the AT1 and AT2 pathway as an adjunctive therapy strategy for CM. Specifically, they showed a marked reduction of CM-associated mortality in C57BL/6J mice infected with Plasmodium berghei ANKA in response to administration of the AT1 blocker irbesartan or the AT2 agonist compound 21, along with chloroquine, compared with infected animals treated with chloroquine alone (6). Regardless of the discrepancies in etiology of mouse experimental model and human CM, these results are encouraging, as they suggest a potentially safe option for adjunctive therapies that may have a quicker path toward clinical application through drug repurposing. Moreover, these results add to the collection of therapeutic avenues based on targeting of the EPCR/PAR axis (13, 14).

Indeed, evidence of an overlap between the AT1/AT2 and EPCR pathways has been presented and has implications for malaria. Angiotensin II has been shown to upregulate angiotensin 2 in bovine retinal endothelial cells through AT1 (15). Angiotensin 2 is released upon endothelial activation, for example through PAR1 cleavage by thrombin, and is closely associated with the presentation of severe malaria symptoms, including CM. Moreover, aside from reducing the inflammatory effect of histones released from rupturing erythrocytes, APC also signals through sphingosine-1-phosphate receptor 1 (S1P1) to stabilize the endothelial barrier (16). In recent studies, artesinin has been shown to downregulate β-catenin (17) and protect the BBB after a subarachnoid hemorrhage in rats. APC-mediated protection involved the S1P1/phosphatidylinositol pathway and subsequent stabilization of β-catenin at intercellular junctions (18). In some endothelial cells, barrier integrity is also affected by EPCR-mediated signaling through the S1P1 pathway (16, 19). It would thus be interesting to investigate the BBB-protective effects of APC, AT1 blockade, or AT2 agonists in combination with an artesinin derivative, such as artesunate, compared with the quinine based treatment regimen employed by Delgado et al. (6). Such a comparison would allow investigation of the hypothesis that the improved effects of artesunate over quinine (reduced mortality, faster coma recovery, and fewer neurological sequelae; ref. 1) relate, at least in part, to its effects on S1P1.

The complex pathogenesis of CM and the difficulties of examining both adhesion and inflammation in a model system make the development of novel adjunctive therapies a big challenge. However, targeting the BBB to reduce the brain swelling is a compelling strategy for this potentially deadly complication. A similar, albeit controversial, rationale for using statins and ARBs to protect the endothelial barrier from damage has been proposed for infectious diseases other than P. falciparum malaria, including bacterial sepsis and Ebola (20). While each infectious disease provides specific challenges for proving the feasibility of BBB-protective therapies, the development of better strategies to circumvent breaches of the BBB is certainly attractive for all.
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