FOXP1 controls mesenchymal stem cell commitment and senescence during skeletal aging
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A hallmark of aged mesenchymal stem/progenitor cells (MSCs) in bone marrow is the pivot of differentiation potency from osteoblast to adipocyte coupled with a decrease in self-renewal capacity. However, how these cellular events are orchestrated in the aging process is not fully understood. In this study, we have used molecular and genetic approaches to investigate the role of forkhead box P1 (FOXP1) in transcriptional control of MSC senescence. In bone marrow MSCs, FOXP1 expression levels declined with age in an inverse manner with those of the senescence marker p16

Introduction

Bone aging, the main risk factor for primary osteoporosis, results in a decrease in bone mass and a parallel increase of BM adiposity (1–3). At the cellular level, BM mesenchymal stem/progenitor cells (MSCs), which are the common progenitors from which osteoblasts and adipocytes arise, undergo senescence along with bone aging (4). Anatomically, MSCs, defined as PDGF-α+Sca1+CD31–CD45–, reside primarily within the perivasculature — a region that can be specifically targeted by nestin-Cre (5), Prxl-Cre (6), or Lepr-Cre (7). As compared with young cells, senescent MSCs have reduced self-renewal capacity and predominantly differentiate into adipocytes as opposed to osteoblasts (8, 9). Such osteogenic or adipogenic commitment of MSCs is controlled by several crucial transcription factors. For example, PPARγ and CEBPα/β/δ constitute an essential cascade for the adipocyte program, whereas RUNX2 and osteiker are master regulators of osteoblast differentiation (10–12).

Cellular senescence, a state of irreversible growth arrest, is mainly controlled by the p16

Results

Foxp1 expression in BM MSCs declines with age. Nestin+ MSCs in BM represent a population that constitutes an essential hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) niche (5). Immunohistochemical (IHC) examination revealed colocalization of nestin and FOXP1 in a subset of stromal cells within neonatal BM in vivo (Figure 1A). Colocalization was validated in vitro in a subpopulation of MSC in culture (Supplemental Figure 1A; supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI89511DS1). Of the 4 alternatively spliced FOXP1 isoforms in mouse tissues (42), we detected 3 in BM MSCs: FOXP1α, FOXP1β, and FOXP1δ (24), or BUBRI (25). In addition, Rb determines cell-fate choice and prevents premature aging of MSCs (26, 27). However, the molecular network orchestrating adipogen-osteogenic balance and cellular senescence of MSCs remains largely unknown.

Forkhead box P1 (FOXP1) is a transcriptional factor that controls multiple cell-differentiation pathways, including embryonic stem cell pluripotency (28), T and B cell development (29–31), lung epithelial cell-fate determination (32–35), cardiac myocyte proliferation (33, 36), hair follicle stem cell quiescence (37), neuronal activity (38, 39), and glucose homeostasis (40). FOXP1 haploinsufficiency in humans leads to deformity in craniofacial structure and speech ability (41). In this study, we observed that Foxp1 deficiency in MSCs resulted in prototypical premature bone aging. These and additional results herein suggest that age-dependent bone loss may, in part, be orchestrated by the multifaceted action of FOXP1 during differentiation and senescence of MSCs.
For all 3 FOXP1 isoforms (Figure 1C). Of note, FOXP1 expression in MSCs from human donors in ages ranging from 26 to 82 years was consistent with Foxp1 expression levels in mice (Figure 1, D and E). Curiously, Foxp1 levels in MSCs of different ages inversely correlated with those of the cellular senescence marker p16 INK4A (Figure 1F). This inverse correlation was recapitulated in cultures of MSCs expanded in vitro for 6 passages (P1 to P6; Figure 1G).

Figure 1. Foxp1 expression declines with age in BM MSCs. (A) Representative image of IHC analysis. IHC showed overlapping of FOXP1 (green) and nestin (red) in fibroblast-like cells adjacent to endosteal (white arrows) in neonatal BM. Scale bar: 100 μm. (B) Western blotting for the FOXP1 protein levels in BM MSCs. Western blotting for BM MSCs at 1, 8, and 30 months detected 3 major isoforms: FOXP1A (95 kD), FOXP1D (70 kD), and FOXP1C (50 kD). n = 3. (C) qPCR for the relative expression of Foxp1 isoforms AB, ABD, ACD, and ABCD in MPCs from BM of 1 and 8 months old. n = 3. (D) Relative expression levels of FOXP1 in young and aged hMPC as detected by qPCR. (E) Western blotting for FOXP1 protein levels in human BM MSCs from donors ages 26, 27, 33, 41, 74, 75 and 82 years. (F) Inverse expressions of Foxp1 and p16INK4A (p16) were assessed by qPCR in primitive MSCs obtained from BM of 1-, 8-, and 30-month-old mice. (G) Inverse correlation of Foxp1 and p16INK4A expression levels during in vitro expansion and passaging (P1–P6) of murine MSCs. n = 3. (H) Methylation of CpG islands within the Foxp1 promoter variant 3 (−196 to 1) as detected by bisulfite sequencing in 1- and 12-month-old MSCs. Black circles represent methylated CpG islands and white circles unmethylated CpG islands. n = 3. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01.
Meanwhile, the frequency of DNA methylation of CpG islands (43) within the proximal Foxp1 promoter (nucleotides -196 to +1) nearly doubled (~29% to 58%) as MSCs aged from 1 to 12 months (Figure 1H). These observations indicated that FOXP1 expression inversely correlates with the progression of MSC aging.

**Ablation of Foxp1 in MSCs results in age-dependent bone loss.** To test the role of FOXP1 in MSC differentiation and senescence, we inactivated it specifically in early BM MSCs by crossing a floxed (fl) allele Foxp1fl/fl (31) with Prx1-Cre (44). Quantitative PCR (qPCR) and Western blotting confirmed efficient depletion of Foxp1 expression (Supplemental Figure 2, A and B). Prx1-Cre Foxp1fl/fl conditional KO mice (hereafter designated as Foxp1Prx1Δ/Δ) showed no changes in size, weight, or growth as compared with Foxp1fl/fl controls (Figure 2A and data not shown). Notably, H&E staining revealed relative enrichment of adipose tissues within the BM of Foxp1Prx1Δ/Δ mutants (Figure 2, B and C). In addition, the relative volume of BM adipose tissue was increased in Foxp1Prx1Δ/Δ mutants, as revealed by microcomputer tomography (μCT) analyses following osmium staining (Figure 2, B and D). μCT analyses further showed that Foxp1Prx1Δ/Δ mice displayed age-related bone loss as compared with WT controls. TV, total tissue volume. n = 5. (E and F) Representative images of trabecular (E) and cortical bones (F) of tibia by μCT analyses of Foxp1Prx1Δ/Δ mutant and Foxp1fl/fl controls at 6, 12, and 16 months. (G) Representative H&E staining for primary ossification center of tibia bones at 6 months old. (H) Quantification of osteoblasts per mm trabecular bone surface in G. n = 4. Scale bar: 100 μm. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001.
FACS analysis of osteoclast progenitor cells in BM at 3 months (Supplemental Figure 3). Collectively, these perturbations in bone and adipose tissue indicated that Foxp1-deficient bones displayed premature aging-related characteristics.

**Foxp1 regulates the potential of MSCs to differentiate into adipocytes and osteoblasts.** To investigate the role of Foxp1 in cell-fate choice, MSCs were flushed from BM and induced to differentiate in vitro (detailed in Methods). Within 14 days following adipogenic or osteogenic CFU fibroblast (CFU-F) induction, the number of Foxp1-Prx1Δ/Δ adipocyte CFUs (Ad-CFUs) significantly increased, whereas the number of mutant osteoblast CFUs (Ob-CFUs) decreased relative to controls (Figure 3, A–C). This fate switch in MSC differentiation potential was validated by parallel downregulation of osteoblast-specific transcripts (Alp, Colla1) and upregulation of adipocyte-related markers (Pgarg, Cebpα, and Fabp4) (Figure 3, D and E). Next, we stably overexpressed Foxp1 in C3H10T1/2 mesenchymal cells by retroviral transduction. We then assessed cell differentiation either by oil red O staining 6 days after adipogenic induction or by alkaline phosphatase (ALP) staining 14 days after osteogenic induction (Figure 3F). As predicted by our KO results, enforced expression of Foxp1 significantly enhanced osteogenic differentiation at the expense of adipogenic differentiation, as evidenced by increased or decreased levels, respectively, of the aforementioned osteoblast and adipocyte marker transcripts (Figure 3, G and H). Next, we transfected primary MSCs with a phosphorylated murine stem cell virus–driven (pMSCV-driven) FOXP1 retrovirus and examined differentiation by staining and expression of the same molecular markers employed for Figure 3, G and H (Supplemental Figure 4). Again, we observed that FOXP1 potentiated the osteogenic differentiation of MSCs.

To further validate the action of Foxp1 in MSC differentiation, we generated Nestin-Cre Foxp1fl/fl conditional KO mice (designated as Foxp1Prx1Δ/Δ). Nestin-Cre targets a distinct population of MSCs within BM that constitute niches for HSC (5). Foxp1Prx1Δ/Δ mutant mice displayed far more severe defects in bone growth than did Foxp1Prx1Δ/Δ mice (Supplemental Figure 5A and Supplemental Figure 6A). Foxp1Prx1Δ/Δ mutants died within 6 weeks and displayed obvious growth arrest relative to controls, perhaps as a result of defects in neuronal activity (38, 39). In Foxp1Prx1Δ/Δ mutants, the
osteogenic and adipogenic potential of MSCs was similarly altered, as evidenced by impaired bone formation (Supplemental Figure 5, B and C), enrichment of adipose tissue (Supplemental Figure 5, C and D), and altered numbers of Ad-CFU and Ob-CFU following MSC differentiation (Supplemental Figure 6, D–F) as well as by increased expression levels of PPARY and its downstream target gene, Fabp4 (45) (Supplemental Figure 6G).

Given that Prxl-Cre and nestin-Cre target both osteoblast and osteocytes, the defects in bone mass accrual in Foxp1 Foxp1-/- and Foxp1 Foxp1-/-+/- mutants may result from loss of function of either. Thus, we crossed Foxp1 Foxp1-/- mice with Col2al-Cre (46), which targets osteoprogenitors and osteoblasts. We detected no changes in bone mass accrual as measured by μCT analyses in Foxp1 Foxp1-/- Col2al-/- mutants as compared with WT controls at 3 months of age (Supplemental Figure 7, A and B). In addition, the loss of FOXP1 did not influence growth plate organization or chondrogenic differentiation during MSC induction (Supplemental Figure 7, C and D). These observations indicate that FOXP1 primarily exerts its influence on MSCs rather than chondrocytes or osteoblasts. Taken together, the defects in Foxp1 Foxp1-/- and Foxp1 Foxp1-/-+/- mutant mice indicate that Foxp1 deficiency in MSCs favors adipogenesis over osteogenesis. Consequently, FOXP1 is essential in establishing fate choice between bone and adipose tissue in vivo.

Foxp1 controls adipocyte and osteoblast differentiation by interacting with CEBPβ/δ and RBPjk. Adipogenic differentiation of MSCs is initiated by a program of sequential activation of the transcription factors CEBPβ/δ, CEBPα, and PPARY (10). We observed by coimmunoprecipitation (co-IP) assays that FOXP1 interacts with CEBPβ and CEBPα in 3T3-L1 cells (Figure 4, A and B) and in BM MSCs (Figure 4C). FOXP1 and CEBPβ/δ colocalize within nuclei following transfection of C3H10T1/2 cells with vectors encoding either FOXP1-His/CEBPβ/δ or FOXP1/CEBPβ/δ-Flag (Figure 4D and Supplemental Figure 8B).

CEBPα and CEBPβ/δ act upstream to induce Pparg transcription during terminal adipocyte differentiation (47). Luciferase reporter assays in C3H10T1/2 cells revealed that transactivation of Pparg-Luc by either CEBPα or CEBPβ/δ was repressed by FOXP1 (Figure 4E and Supplemental Figure 8A). In contrast, expression of PPARY and FABP4 was relatively elevated in Foxp1-deficient MSCs compared with controls (Figure 4, F and G). We identified a consensus FOXP1-binding site –112 bp upstream of the Pparg transcriptional start site (data not shown). ChIP-PCR indicated strong FOXP1 binding to that site (Figure 4, H and I), indicative of direct FOXP1 repression of Pparg transcription. Consistent with this interpretation, overexpression of FOXP1 repressed Pparg and Fapb4 transcription following adipogenic differentiation of C3H10T1/2 cells (Figure 3G). Collectively, our observations suggest that a FOXP1-CEBPβ/δ complex attenuates Pparg transcription to restrain adipogenic differentiation of MSCs.

Notch/recombination signal binding protein for immunoglobulin κ J region (RBPjk) signaling maintains MSC identity by suppressing osteoblast differentiation (48, 49). Via co-IP, we detected interaction of FOXP1 with RBPjk in both C3H10T1/2 mesenchymal cells and BM MSCs (Figure 4, J and K). Co-localization of FOXP1 and RBPjk protein within C3H10T1/2 nuclei was also detected (Figure 4D and Supplemental Figure 8B). Next, we observed that, through this interaction, FOXP1 repressed the activation of RBPjk-Luc via the intracellular domain of Notch (NICD) (Figure 4I). Additionally, Foxp1 Foxp1-/- BM MSCs exhibited elevated expression of Hey1 and Heyl — 2 quintessential downstream targets of Notch signaling (Figure 4M). Accordingly, enforced expression of FOXP1 in C3H10T1/2 cells repressed expression of Hey1 and Heyl (Figure 4N). These findings suggest that FOXP1 promotes osteogenic differentiation of MSCs through repression of Notch signaling during postnatal skeletal aging. However, further studies are needed to more robustly confirm this hypothesis.

Foxp1 attenuates MSC senescence through repression of p16INK4A transcription. In terms of stem cell replication capacity, explants of Foxp1 Foxp1-/- MSCs displayed marked arrest in population doubling measured in vitro at 1 and 6 months (Figure 5A). Following 48-hour BrdU pulse-chase, FACS analyses revealed a smaller proportion of BrdU+ MSCs (CD31 CD45 CD29 Sca1+) in the BM of Foxp1 Foxp1-/- mice (Figure 5, B and C). We further observed that expression levels of cell cycle inhibitors p16INK4A, p21, and p27 were relatively increased in MSCs following isolation from Foxp1-deficient BM (Figure 5D). However, the number of CFU-F colonies began to progressively decrease at 6 months (Figure 5, E and F), consistent with a reduction in self-renewal ability upon loss of Foxp1. Expression levels of p16INK4A, a marker for cellular senescence (18), were also significantly increased (Figure 5G). Yet expression of another 2 aging-associated markers, H3K9me3 and LAP2β (50), was decreased in Foxp1-deficient MSCs (Figure 5G). Whereas second-passage MSC cultures of Foxp1 Foxp1-/- BM had relatively fewer Ki67+ cells than Foxp1 Foxp1-/- BM controls (Figure 5, H and I), a greater number of γH2AX- and senescence-associated β-galactosidase activity (SA–β-gal+ cells) as well as increased ROS levels were detected in mutant MSC cultures (Figure 5, H–K, and Supplemental Figure 8D). This decreased distribution of nuclear LAP2β in Foxp1-deficient MSCs was confirmed by high-resolution IHC (Figure 5H) and was consistent with the Western blot data (Figure 5G). These in vivo and in vitro experiments indicated that Foxp1-deficient MSCs undergo a decline in self-renewal capacity. This, in turn, leads to swift accumulation of DNA damage and premature senescence during expansion.

Tight transcriptional control of the p16INK4A locus is important in regulating senescence of a number of adult stem cell lineages during aging (13, 14, 51, 52). Promoter occupancy analysis identified FOXP1-binding sites within the p16INK4A promoter (1701 to 1695, Figure 6A). Luciferase reporter assays employing p16-Luc (driven by its essential 2.8 kb promoter) revealed that FOXP1 repressed expression of the p16INK4A WT, but not the FOXP1-binding site–mutated p16INK4A reporter (Figure 6, B and C). These findings suggested that FOXP1 restrains MSC senescence through repression of p16INK4A transcription during skeletal aging.

To further test this hypothesis, we investigated the phenotypes of doubly deleted Foxp1 and p16INK4A conditional KO mice (Foxp1 Foxp1-/- p16-/-). Even though singular loss of p16INK4A had shown no effect on MSC expansion or bone growth at 3 months of age as described previously (52), we observed a partial rescue of the replicative capacity in Foxp1 Foxp1-/- p16-/- double-mutant mice (Figure 6D). μCT analyses of bone parameters showed that, as compared with single Foxp1 Foxp1-/- mutants, double-mutant mice displayed significantly increased volume, number, and BMD of trabecular bones (Figure 6, E and F). We also observed decreased anatomical separation of trabecular,
but not of cortical, bones (data not shown). These results suggest that deletion of p16\(^{INK4A}\) partially compensates for defects in the replicative function of Foxp1-deficient MSCs.

**Overexpression of Foxp1 in Human Mesenchymal Progenitors Augments their Replication Capacity.** Given that Foxp1 expression correlated with the aging progress of murine MSCs, we tested whether overexpression of Foxp1 could compromise senescence in human mesenchymal progenitor cells (hMPCs). Overexpression of lentiviral Foxp1 (Supplemental Figure 8E) within hMPCs collected from donors of both sexes at ages ranging from 27 to 82 years significantly augmented their replicative capacity, as assessed by measurement of population doubling (Figure 7, A–D). Of note, overexpression of Foxp1 hMPCs from a 74-year-old donor increased their expansion capacity to levels comparable to that of hMPCs from a 27-year-old donor (Figure 7D). This was coupled with a dramatic repression of p16\(^{INK4A}\) transcription within the fifth passage of Foxp1-overexpressing hMPCs (Figure 7E). We also observed that hMPCs transduced with Foxp1 had greater osteogenic potential and reduced adipogenic potential, as evidenced by ALP and oil red O staining, by qPCR for osteogenic markers (ALP, COL1A1, HEY1, and by Western blotting for adipogenic markers (PPAR\(_\beta\) and CEBP\(_\beta\)) and CEBP\(_\delta\) and CEBP\(_\kappa\) (Supplemental Figure 8E) within FOXP1 and CEBP\(_\kappa\) or RBPj\(_\kappa\)-expressing vectors. Green, anti-His antibody; red, antibodies for CEBP\(_\beta\) or RBPj\(_\kappa\); blue, DAPI staining for nucleus. Scale bar: 50 μm. (E) FOXP1 represses the transactivation ability of CEBP\(_\beta\) and CEBP\(_\kappa\) in inducing Pparg-Luc luciferase activity in C3H10T1/2 cells. n = 3. (F) Western blot detection of PPAR\(_\gamma\) and FABP4 levels in Foxp1\(_{p16\text{Δ/Δ}}\) mutant BM at 8 months. (G) qRT-PCR of Pparg mRNA levels in MSCs. n = 3. (H and I) Promoter occupancy of Pparg gene as assessed by anti-FOXP1 ChIP-PCR in MSCs. (J and K) Co-IP of Foxp1 with RBPj\(_\kappa\) in C3H10T1/2 cells (J) and BM MSCs (K). (L) Foxp1 repression of luciferase activity as judged by Rbpj\(_\kappa\)-Luc reporter activity following the induction by NICD in C3H10T1/2 cells. n = 3. (M and N) qPCR confirms relatively higher expression levels of Hey1 and Hey1 in MSCs from Foxp1\(_{p16\text{Δ/Δ}}\) mutant BM (M) or C3H10T1/2 cells transfected with pMSCV-Foxp1 (N). n = 3. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001.

**Discussion**

Studies of mesenchymal progenitor-associated transcription factors in humans first reported that Foxp1 expression was higher in hMPCs than in their differentiated progenies (53). Expanding upon that observation, we identified Foxp1 as a dose-dependent orchestrator of MSC senescence and differentiation potential during skeletal aging. Our data suggest a model in which high levels of Foxp1 expression in young MSCs prevent their premature senescence, leading to an outcome that favors bone formation over adipogenesis (Figure 7I). In aged MSCs, a decline in Foxp1 expression is the earliest sign of aging (Figure 7I). In aged MSCs, a decline in Foxp1 expression is the earliest sign of aging (Figure 7I).

In a previous study, neither Foxp1 nor p16\(^{INK4A}\) expression was found to significantly decrease in MSCs from middle-aged to elderly donors (54). Perhaps Foxp1 expression in MSCs from middle-aged donors had already begun to decrease when their measurements were made. In support of this interpretation, Foxp1 expression in 8-month-old murine MSCs decreased approximately 60% as compared with that in 1-month-old mice (Figure 1, B and F). Epigenetic modifications, such as promoter-associated DNA methylation, appear to be a hallmark of MSC senescence (55). DNA methylation within the Foxp1 promoter region is increased with age, thereby potentially contributing to the progressive downregulation of Foxp1 expression. That Foxp1 directly represses Pparg transcription in MSCs may, at least in part, explain the chronic increase of PPAR\(_\gamma\) expression in senescent MSCs (56). PPAR\(_\gamma\) acts as a master regulator of adipocyte differentiation by suppressing osteoblast differentiation (57–59). Thus, we contend that a decrease in Foxp1 levels, coupled with augmentation of PPAR\(_\gamma\) expression, contributes to the increased adiposity in aged bones. We also found that Foxp1 may restrain the osteogenic potential of MSCs by suppressing Notch signaling (Figure 4, J–N). Collectively, our data indicate that Foxp1 acts as a critical effector of aging by controlling adipo-osteogenic balance during MSC differentiation.

A previous study claimed that siRNA-mediated knockdown (KD) of Foxp1 impaired adipogenesis, but not osteogenic differentiation, when tested in vitro (53). However, the efficacy and specificity of FOXP1 KD — a critical parameter for drawing this conclusion — were not presented in this study. Given the highly conserved sequence and redundant functions of FOXP1/2/4 isoforms (60), one cannot exclude the possibility that inefficient and/or mistargeted KD led to this conclusion.

Our results, derived both from in vivo and in vitro analyses, demonstrated a critical function for Foxp1 in osteogenic MSC differentiation. In addition, elevation of Foxp1 expression in aged hMPCs reversed their decline in expansion capacity and osteogenic potential. The current anabolic targets for osteoporosis, such as parathyroid hormone (PTH) or Wnt agonists, mainly promote osteoblast differentiation. Several studies have shown that Foxp1 can inhibit osteoblast differentiation and promote adipogenesis in mice (57–59). The multiple actions of Foxp1 in regulating MSC plasticity and senescence engender it as a potential anabolic target for osteoporosis therapy.

A central finding of our study is that Foxp1 attenuates MSC aging by directly regulating p16\(^{INK4A}\) transcription. Most relevant to this, FOXP1 overexpression has been associated with a variety of cancers (62–71). Given that the state of senescence can protect cells against the development of cancer (14), we submit that our findings may be instructive in understanding FOXP1-associated mechanisms of tumourigenesis. Further studies are needed to address how the FOXP1/p16 cascade protects multiple cell lineages against premature senescence or unlimited overgrowth.

**Methods**

*Mice. Foxp1\(_{p16\text{Δ/Δ}}\) (29), p16\(^{-/-}\) (17), Prxl-Cre (72), and nestin-Cre (S) mice were generated as described elsewhere. The genetic backgrounds of all KO mice were uniform mixtures of 129S1/SvImJ and C57BL/6. Mice were bred in pathogen-free conditions.*
Figure 5. Ablation of Foxp1 results in premature senescence of MSCs. (A) In vitro population doublings of MSCs from Foxp1<sup>Prx1<sup>Δ<sup>Δ</sup>BMM at 1 and 6 months. n = 4. (B) FACS analysis dot plot of BrdU<sup>+</sup> labeled MSCs (defined as CD31<sup>−</sup>CD45<sup>−</sup>CD29<sup>+</sup>Sca1<sup>+</sup>) following 48-hour pulse-chase in BM of 3-month-old mice. n = 3. (C) Quantification of the percentages of BrdU<sup>+</sup> MSCs in 3-month-old mice. n = 4. (D) qPCR assessment of cell-cycle inhibitor (p16<sup>INK4A</sup>, p21, and p27) expression in BM MSCs. n = 3. (E) Giemsa staining for the CFU-F colonies of BM MSCs from Foxp1<sup>Prx1<sup>Δ<sup>Δ</sup>BMM mutant mice at 3, 6, and 12 months. n = 3. (F) Quantification of the number of CFU-F colonies in E. n = 3. (G) Western blot detection of FOXP1, p16<sup>INK4A</sup>, H3K9me3, and LAP2β protein levels in BM MSCs. (H) SA-β-gal staining, Ki67, γH2AX, and LAP2β immunostaining of the second passage of MSCs. Scale bars: 50 μm. (I and J) Quantification of Ki67<sup>+</sup> and γH2AX<sup>+</sup> frequencies in MSCs from H. n = 3. (K) Quantification of the DCFDA fluorescence intensities reveals increased ROS levels in MSCs (CD31<sup>−</sup>CD45<sup>−</sup>CD29<sup>−</sup>Sca1<sup>+</sup>) from Foxp1<sup>Prx1<sup>Δ<sup>Δ</sup>BMM at 3 months. n = 4. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001.
CTAn software. The region of interest (ROI) selected was 5 mm below the growth plate of bones.

**Cell cultures.** Mouse MSCs were enumerated and expanded using the mouse MesenCult Proliferation Kit (STEMCELL Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s protocols. Briefly, mouse BM cells from tibia and femur were flushed out with 2 ml IMDM with 2% FBS and filtered through a 70-μm cell strainer (BD Falcon). Nucleated cells were counted using acetic acid with methylene blue. Cell numbers and volumes were adjusted using MesenCult medium (STEMCELL Technologies) according to assay requirements. Cell lines C3H10T1/2 and 3T3-L1 were obtained from ATCC.

**qPCR.** Total RNA was extracted with TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen), and cDNA was generated using the GoScript Reverse Transcription System (Promega). Real-time qPCR was performed with the ABI 7500 System (Applied Biosciences) using SYBR Green (Roche). The primers used for qPCR are listed in Supplemental Table 1.

Osmostium tetraoxide staining and μCT analysis. Femurs or tibias were dissected from mice and fixed in 70% ethanol at 4°C. To visualize and quantify BM fat, bones were decalcified and stained by osmium tetraoxide as described previously (73). μCT scanning of bone and fat was performed on SkyScan 1176 (Bruker). A 3D model was reconstructed, and structural indices were calculated using CTAn software. The region of interest (ROI) selected was 5 mm below the growth plate of bones.

**Figure 6. Foxp1 attenuates MSC senescence through repression of p16^{INK4A} transcripton.** (A) Promoter occupancy of p16^{INK4A} as assessed by anti-FOXPI ChIP-PCR in MSCs. Sequence analysis identified a consensus FOXP1-binding site within the p16^{INK4A} promoter (1701-1695). (B) FOXP1 repression of p16^{INK4A} transcription as revealed by p16-Luc reporter assays in C3H10T1/2 cells transfected with the indicated levels of cotransfected FOXP1. (C) FOXP1 repressed transcription of p16-Luc reporter, but not the mutant reporter p16-Luc (mut). (D) Doubling times of BM MSCs expanded in vitro. The replication capacity of Foxp1<sup>Prx1Δ/Δ</sup>p16<sup>−/−</sup> double mutants is significantly higher than that of Foxp1<sup>Prx1Δ/Δ</sup> single mutants, but lower than that of control mice. n = 4. (E) Representative images of μCT analyses of trabecular bones of tibia in 3-month single (Foxp1<sup>Prx1Δ/Δ</sup>) and double (Foxp1<sup>Prx1Δ/Δ</sup>p16<sup>−/−</sup>) mutants. (F) Bone volume, BMD, and number of trabecular bones are significantly rescued in Foxp1<sup>Prx1Δ/Δ</sup>p16<sup>−/−</sup> double-mutant compared with Foxp1<sup>Prx1Δ/Δ</sup> single-mutant mice. n = 4. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001. Tb. BV/TV, trabecular bone volume/total volume; Tb. N, trabecular number; Tb. Sp, trabecular spacing; Tb. Th, trabecular thickness.
For mouse CFU-F assays, 2 million BM cells were plated per well in 6-well plates, each group was plated in duplicate or triplicate, and cells were cultured for 14 days at 37°C in 5% CO₂. CFU-F-derived colonies were stained by Giemsa staining solution and enumerated.

For CFU-Ob assays, 1 million BM cells were plated on 35-mm dishes in 2 ml complete MesenCult medium. After approximately 7 to 9 days, osteogenic medium (10 nM dexamethasone, 10 mM β-glycerophosphate, and 50 μM ascorbic acid) in α-MEM [HyClone] containing 10% FBS [HyClone]) was added. At 14 days, cells were fixed and stained for ALP using TRACP & ALP Double-Stain Kit (Clonetics) or Alizarin red S. For CFU-Ad assays, 2 million BM cells were plated per well in 24-well plates with 0.5 ml Complete MesenCult Medium (STEMCELL Technologies). Culture conditions were changed to adipogenic medium (DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 1 μM dexamethasone, 10 μg/ml insulin, 0.5 mM 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine, and 200 μM indomethacin) when cells reached 80% to 90% confluence at 80% to 90%.

Figure 7. Overexpression of FOXP1 in hMPCs augments their expansion capacity. (A–D) In vitro expansion of hMPCs isolated from BM donors ages 27 (male, A), 75 (female, B), 82 (male, C), and 74 (male, D) years. hMPCs were transfected with lentiviral LV-FOXP1 or LV-GFP. Control 27 yr, hMPCs were from a 27-year-old male donor. (E) Expression of FOXP1 and p16⁰⁰⁶⁶⁶⁶ in the fifth passage of hMPCs with FOXP1 overexpression from a 74-year-old donor. (F) Representative images of ALP and oil red O staining 14 days after osteogenic differentiation of the 74-year-old donor’s hMPCs transfected with LV-FOXP1. Scale bar: 100 μm. (G) Western blot for PPARγ and FABP4 expression in adipogenic cultures of hMPCs in F. (H) qPCR of FOXP1, ALP, COL1A1, HEY1, and HEYL expression in osteogenic cultures of FOXP1-expressing hMPCs in F. n = 3. (I) Model by which FOXP1 regulates BM MSC aging. In young MSCs, high expression of Foxp1 represses the activation of p16⁰⁰⁶⁶⁶⁶ to maintain the replication capacity of MSCs while sustaining osteogenic rather than adipogenic differentiation. In old MSCs, reduction of Foxp1 expression releases p16⁰⁰⁶⁶⁶⁶ repression, leading to impaired MSC replication capacity while promoting adipogenic differentiation at the expense of osteogenic differentiation. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001.
confluence. Cells were cultured for 1 week before oil red O staining. Oil red O staining was performed according to standard protocols. For population doubling assays, the first passaged mouse MSCs were seeded in 10-cm plates with 5 × 10^5 cells and hMPCs were seeded in 35-mm dishes with 1 × 10^5 cells. Medium changes were performed every 3 days and cells passaged at weekly intervals. Cells were counted at each passage to calculate population doublings.

hMPCs were isolated from vertebrae or femur bones of trauma patients between 20 and 80 years old. hMPCs were then separated using the Human Marrow Lymphocyte Medium Kit (Sangon Biotech) and cultured using the MesenCult Proliferation Kit (human) (STEM-CELL Technologies). For osteogenic differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells, 0.1 million hMPCs were seeded per well of 24-well plates with the same medium for CFU-Ob assays above. After 9 days of induction, cells were fixed and stained for ALP.

**Viril infection.** To obtain transformants stably expressing FOXPI, pMSCV-FOXPI-puro and pMSCV-GFP-puro control retroviruses were transfected into Plat-E packaging cells using FuGENE HD (Promega). After 48 hours, culture supernatants were collected and used for infection. Retroviruses were introduced into C3H10T1/2 cells, and stable transformants were selected with 5 μg/ml puromycin. For osteoblast and adipocyte differentiation, cells were cultured in osteogenic and adipogenic medium described above, respectively. For lentivirus infections, hMPCs were plated at a density of 1 × 10^5 cells in 3.5-mm dishes. LV-FOXPI or LV-GFP virus was added at a multiplicity of infection of 20, and cells were exposed to virus for 24 hours and selected with 1 μg/ml puromycin for 3 days. Lentiviruses were obtained from Hanbio.

**ChIP assay.** Briefly, after MSCs were isolated from mouse BM and cultured for 2 weeks, chromatin was crosslinked with 1% formaldehyde and sheared to 300- to 500-bp fragments by sonication (Sonics VCX130, 30% amplitude, 5 seconds on and 10 seconds off for 16 cycles). FOXP1 antibody (Millipore, ABE68, 1:200) and normal rabbit IgG (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., sc-2027, 1:500), γH2A antibody (GeneTex, GTX11174, 1:1000), Bmi (Abcam, ab14389, 1:1000), pPARγ (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., sc-22020, 1:500), p16INK4a (Millipore, 04-239, 1:100), H3K9me3 (Abcam, ab899, 1:1000), LAP2β (BD, 611000, 1:1000), His-Tag (MBL, M136-3, 1:2000), FLAG (Agilent, 200471, 1:2000), β-actin (Cell Signaling, A1016, 1:2000), FLAG (Millipore, ABE68, 1:1000), eBioscience), CD31-APC (17-0311, eBioscience), Sca1-PerCP (45-5981, eBioscience), CD11b-APC (17-0112, eBioscience), CD31-APC (17-0112, eBioscience), CD31-APC (17-0311, eBioscience), Sca1-PerCP (45-5981, eBioscience).

Bone marrow cells were flushed from the femora and tibias of WT mice. Cells were lysed by NP40 lysis buffer (Beyotime) and cultured using the MesenCult Proliferation Kit (human) (STEM-CELL Technologies) supplemented with protease inhibitor and phosphatase inhibitor cocktails (Selleck). Protein samples were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to a nitrocellulose filter membrane (Bio-Rad), blocked with 5% nonfat milk (in TBST), and incubated with primary antibodies against FOXP1 (Millipore, ABE68, 1:1000), C/EBPβ (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., sc-150, 1:500), C/EBPδ (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., sc-151, 1:500), FABP4 (Abcam, ab13979, 1:1000), RBPjκ (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., sc-28713, 1:500), γH2A antibody (GeneTex, GTX11174, 1:1000), Bmi (Abcam, ab14389, 1:1000), pPARγ (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., sc-22020, 1:500), p16INK4a (Millipore, 04-239, 1:100), H3K9me3 (Abcam, ab899, 1:1000), LAP2β (BD, 611000, 1:1000), His-Tag (MBL, M136-3, 1:2000), FLAG (Agilent, 200471, 1:2000), and β-actin (Cell Signaling, A1016, 1:2000) at 4°C overnight. Proteins were visualized using horseradish peroxidase–conjugated (HRP-conjugated) secondary antibody and chemiluminescent HRP substrate (Millipore).

**Immunohistochemistry and confocal microscope.** Cultured MFCs were fixed in 4% PFA for 10 minutes at room temperature. After blocking with 10% normal goat serum, cells were then incubated with γH2A antibody (GeneTex, GTX11174, 1:1000), Ki67 antibody (Abcam, ab15580, 1:500), and LAP2β (BD, 611000, 1:1000), followed by incubation with Alexa Fluor 488- or Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated secondary antibodies. For SA-β-gal staining, cells were fixed with 0.5% glutaraldehyde in PBS (pH 7.2) for 5 minutes at room temperature, then washed with PBS containing MgCl2 (pH 7.2, 1 mM MgCl2) and stained with X-gal solution (1 mg/mg X-gal, 0.12 mM K4Fe(CN)6, 1 mM MgCl2 in PBS, pH 6.0) overnight at 37°C.

**Flow cytometry analysis.** For BrdU labeling of MSCs, mice were intraperitoneally injected with BrdU (100 μg/g of body mass, Sigma-Aldrich) and sacrificed 48 hours later. BM cells were flushed out and collected in DMEM with 2% FBS, then diluted to 8 million cells in 400 μl of medium and incubated with anti-mouse CD45-APC (17-0431, eBioscience), CD11b-APC (17-0112, eBioscience), Ter119-APC (17-5921, eBioscience), CD31-APC (17-0311, eBioscience), Sca1-PerCP (45-5981, eBioscience).
eBioscience), and CD29-PE antibodies (12-0291, eBioscience) in the dark for 30 minutes at 4°C. After surface staining, cells were fixed with 1% PFA and permeabilized with 0.5% Tween-20. DNA was fragmented by DNase I; then cells were stained with anti–BrdU–FITC (11-5071, eBioscience) antibody or DCFDA for 45 minutes at room temperature. Cells were acquired on a BD FACSCalibur, and analysis was performed with FlowJo software, version 7.6. For MSC sorting, BM cells were stained with CD45-APC, Ter119-APC, CD31-APC, Sca1-PerCP, and CD29-PE antibodies and sorted on a BD FACSAria II.

Genomic DNA isolation and bisulfite sequencing PCR. MSC genomic DNA was extracted with the TIANamp genomic DNA kit (TIANGEN), and bisulfite sequencing PCR was performed with Oebiotech reagents. The sequencing primers were 5′-ATAGTAATTAAAGAG-GAGTGGTGGGG-3′ and 5′-CCTAACACTCTCCATATAACCRC-3′.

Statistics. All data are presented as mean ± SEM. Error bars indicate SEM. Nonlinear regression analysis with exponential 2-phase decay was used for Foxp1 and p16 expression. Two-tailed Student’s t tests were used for comparisons between 2 groups, and 1-way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s post-hoc analysis was used for multiple comparisons (3 or more groups). P < 0.05 was considered significant.

Study approval. All murine studies were conducted under the approved Shanghai Jiao Tong University IACUC protocol SYXK 2011-0112. For all human studies, written informed consent was received from participants prior to inclusion in the study where required. All samples were obtained in accordance with standard protocols of the Bioethics Committee of Bio-X Institutes at Shanghai Jiao Tong University.

Author contributions
HL, PL, SX, ZY, and XG designed and performed experiments. YL, ZZ, YH, GY, TT, YF, and YR provided human biospecimens. BL, JDD, and HOT provided mouse lines. XG wrote the manuscript.

Acknowledgments
This work was supported by research funding from the National Major Fundamental Research 973 Program of China (2014CB949202 and 2012CB969603) and grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (3117396, 31271553, 81421061, 31100624, and 81200586 to XG), the NIH (R01CA13534), the Cancer Prevention Research Institute of Texas (RP120348 and RP120459), the Marie Betszner Morrow Centennial Endowment (to HOT), and the Lymphoma Research Foundation (300463 to JDD).

Address correspondence to: Xizhi Guo, 800 Dongchuan Road, Biomedical Building #1-205, Shanghai, China, 200240. Phone: 86.021.34206736; E-mail: xzguo2005@sjtu.edu.cn.


