Increased salt consumption induces body water conservation and decreases fluid intake
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BACKGROUND. The idea that increasing salt intake increases drinking and urine volume is widely accepted. We tested the hypothesis that an increase in salt intake of 6 g/d would change fluid balance in men living under ultra-long-term controlled conditions.

METHODS. Over the course of 2 separate space flight simulation studies of 105 and 205 days’ duration, we exposed 10 healthy men to 3 salt intake levels (12, 9, or 6 g/d). All other nutrients were maintained constant. We studied the effect of salt-driven changes in mineralocorticoid and glucocorticoid urinary excretion on day-to-day osmolyte and water balance.

RESULTS. A 6-g/d increase in salt intake increased urine osmolyte excretion, but reduced free-water clearance, indicating endogenous free water accrual by urine concentration. The resulting endogenous water surplus reduced fluid intake at the 12-g/d salt intake level. Across all 3 levels of salt intake, half-weekly and weekly rhythmical mineralocorticoid release promoted free water reabsorption via the renal concentration mechanism. Mineralocorticoid-coupled increases in free water reabsorption were counterbalanced by rhythmical glucocorticoid release, with excretion of endogenous osmolyte and water surplus by relative urine dilution. A 6-g/d increase in salt intake decreased the level of rhythmical mineralocorticoid release and elevated rhythmical glucocorticoid release. The projected effect of salt-driven hormone rhythm modulation corresponded well with the measured decrease in water intake and an increase in urine volume with surplus osmolyte excretion.

CONCLUSION. Humans regulate osmolyte and water balance by rhythmical mineralocorticoid and glucocorticoid release, endogenous accrual of surplus body water, and precise surplus excretion.

FUNDING. Federal Ministry for Economics and Technology/DLR; the Interdisciplinary Centre for Clinical Research; the NIH; the American Heart Association (AHA); the Renal Research Institute; and the TOYOBO Biotechnology Foundation. Food products were donated by APETITO, Coppenrath und Wiese, ENERVIT, HIPP, Katadyn, Kellogg, Molda, and Unilever.

Introduction

Balance studies (1–4) and direct 23Na MRI measurements (5–10) suggest that humans store significant amounts of Na+ in their bodies, without increasing body fluid content or body weight. We recently reported ultra-long-term balance studies in 10 healthy young men simulating a flight to Mars (11, 12). We found that Na+ was rhythmically stored and released with about-weekly (circaseptan) and circa-monthly (circalunar) periodicity. Rhythmical release of stored body Na+ was independent of salt intake and occurred when the subjects’ aldosterone excretory levels were low and glucocorticoid levels were high (11). Contrariwise, rhythmical body Na+ storage occurred when aldosterone excretory levels were high and glucocorticoid levels were low. Contrary to the traditional view (13–15), these rhythmical body Na+ changes occurred without parallel body weight changes, suggesting that the body maintains constant water content, while body Na+ content is variable. Earlier 28-day water balance studies in humans showed about-weekly rhythmical changes in urine excretion (16). These findings led us to reinvestigate long-term control of Na+ and water balance, as well as long-term Na+ balance in relation to water balance in humans.

In humans, a high dietary salt intake is assumed to increase fluid intake (15, 17). As a result, health authorities have suggested that a salt-driven increase in soft-drink consumption could contribute to the obesity epidemic (18). However, much of the data are based on epidemiological surveys rather than direct experiments (19, 20). In addition, some investigators have operated on the assumption that urine volume invariably reflects fluid intake
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behavior (17, 21). While an experimental increase in salt intake elevates fluid intake in various animal species (22–28), clinical studies have shown that varying salt intake in the range of 0.6 g/d to 24 g/d may not change fluid intake or urine volume in humans (29). We therefore reinvestigated the relationship between osmolyte and water balance in ultra-long-term experiments.

Results

Infradian biological variability in long-term salt and water homeostasis. In the 105-day Mars105 Study, we reduced the subjects’ average daily salt intake from 12 g/d (200 mmol/d sodium) to 9 g/d (150 mmol/d) and then to 6 g/d (100 mmol/d). In the 205-day Mars520 study, all subjects were additionally reexposed to the 12-g/d salt intake regime after salt intake had been reduced from 12 g/d to 6 g/d over the course of months. Long-term urinary Na⁺ excretion (UNaV), long-term urine volume, and long-term water intake (Figure 1A) are shown for a representative subject (see Supplemental Figure 1 for data on each individual subject; supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI88530DS1). As expected, the average daily urinary Na⁺ excretion led to a lagged elimination of dietary salt, resulting in periodically low and high levels of salt excretion at each dietary salt intake phase, which may have masked the diuretic effect of elevated salt intake. We therefore additionally classified UNaV values into 3 tertiles of low, medium, and high UNaV levels to test for the effect of renal Na⁺ elimination on water balance. We found that higher salt intake reproducibly reduced water intake (Figure 1B). Mixed linear model analysis showed that water intake was 293 ± 50 ml/d lower during the 6-g/d salt intake phase than during the 12-g/d salt intake phase (Figure 1C). Water intake in the lowest (first) tertile of UNaV was 237 ± 27 ml/d higher than in the highest (third) tertile of UNaV (Figure 1C). A 6-g/d increase in salt intake did not significantly increase the urine volume (Figure 1, D and E). In contrast, urine volume in the lowest (first) tertile of UNaV was 316 ± 24 ml/d lower than in the highest (third) tertile of UNaV (Figure 1E). We interpret this finding as showing that an increase in urinary Na⁺ excretion led to a lagged elimination of dietary salt, resulting in periodically low and high levels of salt excretion at each dietary salt intake phase, which may have masked the diuretic effect of elevated salt intake. We therefore additionally classified UNaV levels into 3 tertiles of low, medium, and high UNaV levels to test for the effect of renal Na⁺ elimination on water balance. We found that higher salt intake reproducibly reduced water intake (Figure 1B). Mixed linear model analysis showed that water intake was 293 ± 50 ml/d lower during the 6-g/d salt intake phase than during the 12-g/d salt intake phase (Figure 1C). Water intake in the lowest (first) tertile of UNaV was 237 ± 27 ml/d higher than in the highest (third) tertile of UNaV (Figure 1C). A 6-g/d increase in salt intake did not significantly increase the urine volume (Figure 1, D and E). In contrast, urine volume in the lowest (first) tertile of UNaV was 316 ± 24 ml/d lower than in the highest (third) tertile of UNaV (Figure 1E). We interpret this finding as showing that an increase in urinary salt excretion induced some diuresis. This salt-osmolyte-driven diuretic response was often prevented at the 12-g/d salt intake level. Finally, we confirmed the accuracy of our long-term Na⁺ balance approach. We found the expected increase of approximately 50 mmol/d in UNaV per salt phase when salt intake was increased by 3 g/d (Figure 1, F and G).

Urinary osmolyte excretion induces osmolyte-free water generation in the kidneys. The renal concentration process is characterized by osmolyte excretion with parallel renal water reabsorption, resulting in a negative clearance of osmolyte-free water. We therefore next tested the hypothesis that the salt-driven increase in urine
omosoluble excretion was coupled with enhanced free water reab-
sorption. We found that the sum of 2Na+ concentration (2-fold
Na+ to account for unmeasured accompanying anions), 2K+ con-
centration (2-fold K+ to account for unmeasured accompanying
anions), and urea concentration (2Na+2K+Urea) amounted to
almost 100% of the subjects' urine solutes (Supplemental Fig-
ure 2). We show the relationship between the salt intake phase,
omosoluble excretion, and free water clearance (FWC) in the same
representative subject (Figure 2A). Any decrease or increase in
the subject’s dietary salt intake resulted in a parallel decrease or
increase in his urine osmolyte excretion. The salt intake–driven
changes in urine osmolyte excretion, however, were coupled with
antiparallel changes in the subject’s osmolyte-free water excre-
tion in the urine. This finding, which indicates that increasing
osmolyte excretion was associated with renal water conservation,
was reproducible in 10 of 10 study subjects (see Supplemental Fig-
ure 3 for data on each individual subject). Quantitative analysis
showed that increasing the salt intake level gradually decreased
osmolyte excretion was coupled with enhanced free water reab-
sorption. We found that the sum of 2Na+ concentration (2-fold
Na+ to account for unmeasured accompanying anions), 2K+ con-
centration (2-fold K+ to account for unmeasured accompanying
anions), and urea concentration (2Na+2K+Urea) amounted to
almost 100% of the subjects’ urine solutes (Supplemental Fig-
ure 2). We show the relationship between the salt intake phase,
omosoluble excretion, and free water clearance (FWC) in the same
representative subject (Figure 2A). Any decrease or increase in
the subject’s dietary salt intake resulted in a parallel decrease or
increase in his urine osmolyte excretion. The salt intake–driven
changes in urine osmolyte excretion, however, were coupled with
antiparallel changes in the subject’s osmolyte-free water excre-
tion in the urine. This finding, which indicates that increasing
osmolyte excretion was associated with renal water conservation,
was reproducible in 10 of 10 study subjects (see Supplemental Fig-
ure 3 for data on each individual subject). Quantitative analysis
showed that increasing the salt intake level gradually decreased
omosoluble excretion was coupled with enhanced free water reab-
sorption. We found that the sum of 2Na+ concentration (2-fold
Na+ to account for unmeasured accompanying anions), 2K+ con-
centration (2-fold K+ to account for unmeasured accompanying
anions), and urea concentration (2Na+2K+Urea) amounted to
almost 100% of the subjects’ urine solutes (Supplemental Fig-
ure 2). We show the relationship between the salt intake phase,
omosoluble excretion, and free water clearance (FWC) in the same
representative subject (Figure 2A). Any decrease or increase in
the subject’s dietary salt intake resulted in a parallel decrease or
increase in his urine osmolyte excretion. The salt intake–driven
changes in urine osmolyte excretion, however, were coupled with
antiparallel changes in the subject’s osmolyte-free water excre-
tion in the urine. This finding, which indicates that increasing
osmolyte excretion was associated with renal water conservation,
was reproducible in 10 of 10 study subjects (see Supplemental Fig-
ure 3 for data on each individual subject). Quantitative analysis
showed that increasing the salt intake level gradually decreased
omosoluble excretion was coupled with enhanced free water reab-
sorption. We found that the sum of 2Na+ concentration (2-fold
Na+ to account for unmeasured accompanying anions), 2K+ con-
centration (2-fold K+ to account for unmeasured accompanying
anions), and urea concentration (2Na+2K+Urea) amounted to
almost 100% of the subjects’ urine solutes (Supplemental Fig-
ure 2). We show the relationship between the salt intake phase,
omosoluble excretion, and free water clearance (FWC) in the same
representative subject (Figure 2A). Any decrease or increase in
the subject’s dietary salt intake resulted in a parallel decrease or
increase in his urine osmolyte excretion. The salt intake–driven
changes in urine osmolyte excretion, however, were coupled with
antiparallel changes in the subject’s osmolyte-free water excre-
tion in the urine. This finding, which indicates that increasing
osmolyte excretion was associated with renal water conservation,
neously rhythmical 7.6 ± 0.2 μg/d UAldoV increase reduced urine volume by 219 ± 25 ml/d (PUAldoV < 0.001) and increased fluid intake by 95 ± 27 ml/d (PAldoV < 0.01; Figure 5A). The resulting increase in water balance was coupled with a measurable body weight increase in the subjects (+0.41 ± 0.10 kg; P < 0.001). Data are expressed as the mean ± SD and were statistically analyzed by mixed linear model analysis. Details on statistical analysis for Table 2 are provided in the Supplemental Materials (page 460). FWC was calculated as follows: urine volume × 1000/ (1 – UOsmo/POsmo), with an estimated constant plasma osmolality of 300 mOsm/kg. UOsmo, urine osmolality; POsmo, plasma osmolality.

Table 2. Urine volume, water intake, the resulting difference, i.e., water balance gap, body weight, and urine osmolality in response to the spontaneous changes in urine aldosterone and cortisone levels at 3 different levels of salt intake

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Salt Intake (g/d)</th>
<th>Urine volume (ml/d)</th>
<th>Water intake (ml/d)</th>
<th>Water balance gap (ml/d)</th>
<th>Body weight (kg)</th>
<th>Urine osmolality (mOsm/kg)</th>
<th>Calculated FWC (ml/d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12 g/d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAldoV tertile 1</td>
<td>1,914 ± 566</td>
<td>2,410 ± 525</td>
<td>512 ± 631</td>
<td>81.5 ± 7.9</td>
<td>486 ± 166</td>
<td>−924 ± 650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAldoV tertile 2</td>
<td>1,702 ± 480a</td>
<td>2,506 ± 519a</td>
<td>804 ± 585a</td>
<td>82.3 ± 8.6c</td>
<td>537 ± 177c</td>
<td>−1,118 ± 614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAldoV tertile 3</td>
<td>1,479 ± 427</td>
<td>2,492 ± 525</td>
<td>1,013 ± 567</td>
<td>81.8 ± 8.4</td>
<td>584 ± 193</td>
<td>−1,117 ± 558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCortisoneV tertile 1</td>
<td>2,128 ± 477a</td>
<td>2,497 ± 527</td>
<td>377 ± 591a</td>
<td>82.0 ± 8.4</td>
<td>448 ± 133e</td>
<td>−887 ± 672e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCortisoneV tertile 2</td>
<td>1,395 ± 443a</td>
<td>2,651 ± 525</td>
<td>695 ± 606a</td>
<td>81.8 ± 7.8</td>
<td>429 ± 117f</td>
<td>−710 ± 589f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCortisoneV tertile 3</td>
<td>1,702 ± 480a</td>
<td>2,506 ± 519a</td>
<td>1,221 ± 566</td>
<td>81.6 ± 7.8</td>
<td>568 ± 202</td>
<td>−997 ± 539</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At 9 g/d salt intake

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Salt Intake (g/d)</th>
<th>Urine volume (ml/d)</th>
<th>Water intake (ml/d)</th>
<th>Water balance gap (ml/d)</th>
<th>Body weight (kg)</th>
<th>Urine osmolality (mOsm/kg)</th>
<th>Calculated FWC (ml/d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UAldoV tertile 1</td>
<td>1,768 ± 503</td>
<td>2,573 ± 537</td>
<td>804 ± 647</td>
<td>81.7 ± 7.9</td>
<td>473 ± 156</td>
<td>−816 ± 602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAldoV tertile 2</td>
<td>1,544 ± 485c</td>
<td>2,710 ± 492d</td>
<td>1,166 ± 561c</td>
<td>81.7 ± 7.7</td>
<td>533 ± 184c</td>
<td>−961 ± 553c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAldoV tertile 3</td>
<td>1,392 ± 453</td>
<td>2,613 ± 504</td>
<td>1,221 ± 566</td>
<td>81.6 ± 7.8</td>
<td>568 ± 202</td>
<td>−997 ± 539</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At 6 g/d salt intake

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Salt Intake (g/d)</th>
<th>Urine volume (ml/d)</th>
<th>Water intake (ml/d)</th>
<th>Water balance gap (ml/d)</th>
<th>Body weight (kg)</th>
<th>Urine osmolality (mOsm/kg)</th>
<th>Calculated FWC (ml/d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UAldoV tertile 1</td>
<td>1,886 ± 576</td>
<td>2,764 ± 526</td>
<td>878 ± 687</td>
<td>79.9 ± 7.2</td>
<td>412 ± 172</td>
<td>−436 ± 744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAldoV tertile 2</td>
<td>1,660 ± 570a</td>
<td>2,805 ± 443</td>
<td>1,145 ± 60f</td>
<td>80.3 ± 7.3d</td>
<td>459 ± 181d</td>
<td>−600 ± 672d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAldoV tertile 3</td>
<td>1,449 ± 495</td>
<td>2,783 ± 438</td>
<td>1,334 ± 510</td>
<td>80.2 ± 7.2</td>
<td>507 ± 198</td>
<td>−738 ± 604</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PAldoV tertile 1 < 0.001, PAldoV tertile 2 < 0.01, PAldoV tertile 3 < 0.001. High rhythmical glucocorticoid release was therefore linked to increased diuresis in the context of the urine dilution mechanism by increasing FWC (Table 2). The clinical readout was predominant osmolyte-free water excretion (see the supplemental calculations in the Supplemental Materials and Figure 5B).

Projected and measured salt-induced change in water balance. A 6-g/d increase in salt intake reduced UAldoV excretion by 5.1 ± 0.2 μg/d. The high salt intake thus reduced the level of spontaneously rhythmical 7.6 ± 0.2 μg/d UAldoV release by 73%, resulting in a projected −5.1/7.6 = −0.67-fold change in water intake, urine excre-
tion, water balance, and body weight (Supplemental Figure 5). In contrast, a 6-g/d increase in salt intake increased UCortisoneV excretion by 11.4 ± 1.0 μg/d. The high salt intake thus increased the level of spontaneously rhythmical 33.8 ± 0.7 μg/d UCortisoneV release by 38%, resulting in a projected 11.4/33.8 = 0.34-fold change in urine volume formation, urine excretion, water balance, and body weight (Supplemental Figure 5).

Calculation of the combined effect of salt-driven mineralocorticoid suppression and glucocorticoid activation (Supplemental Figure 6) showed a projected 358-mL increase in urine volume formation in response to a 6-g/d increase in salt intake, which was associated with a projected –60 mL decrease in fluid intake (Figure 5C). These results suggest that increased glucocorticoid activity was associated with excretion of an endogenously generated water surplus at the high salt intake level. This projected salt-driven modulation of mineralocorticoid- and glucocorticoid-mediated adjustment of body fluid balance corresponded well with the measured 316 ± 24 mL increase in urine volume and the 546 ± 34 mL reduction in the water balance gap between fluid intake and urine volume in the third tertile of UNaV excretion (Figure 5, C and D). The 24-hour negative water balance in the third tertile of UNaV excretion was preceded by an increase in body weight of 882 ± 99 g on the morning before the start of the 24-hour collection period (Figure 5D). This state of affairs suggests that increased urine volume formation and reduced fluid intake in the third tertile of UNaV excretion characterize the release of surplus salt osmolytes, together with a preexisting endogenous water surplus within the following 24-hour urine collection period.

Discussion

We show that long-term water balance in humans is regulated by spontaneously rhythmical mineralocorticoid-coupled osmolyte-free water accrual, which results in a body water surplus, and by spontaneously rhythmical glucocorticoid-coupled osmolyte-free water excretion for elimination of the water surplus. We have reported previously that rhythmical about–half weekly (circaseptan) and about-weekly (circaseptan) aldosterone and cortisone release occurred independently of salt intake at each dietary salt intake level (11). We now show that this infradian rhythmical physiological regulatory pattern is linked to periodical generation of an endogenous water surplus. The process occurs via time-dependent mineralocorticoid release and promotion of antidiuresis, which alternates with time-dependent glucocorticoid release and promotion of diuresis and excretion of an endogenous water surplus. The obvious advantage of this endogenously driven generation and release of osmolyte-free water within the renal concentration or dilution mechanism is that long-term maintenance of body fluid homeostasis in humans may be less dependent on external water sources than is currently believed.

The physiological principle of water conservation within the renal concentration mechanism is that the excretion of surplus osmolytes is coupled with antidromic water conservation by reducing renal FWC. We show that increasing salt intake from 6 g/d to

### Table 3. Osmolyte excretion and osmolyte concentration in response to the spontaneous changes in urine aldosterone and cortisone levels at 3 different levels of salt intake

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Salt Intake</th>
<th>UNaV (mmol/d)</th>
<th>UKV (mmol/d)</th>
<th>UreaV (mmol/d)</th>
<th>U2Na2KUreaV (mmol/d)</th>
<th>UNa (mmol/l)</th>
<th>UK (mmol/l)</th>
<th>Urea (mmol/l)</th>
<th>U2Na2KUrea (mmol/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12 g/d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First UAldoV tertile (n = 244)</td>
<td>393 ± 85</td>
<td>163 ± 39</td>
<td>319 ± 73</td>
<td>869 ± 166</td>
<td>220 ± 71</td>
<td>94 ± 37</td>
<td>183 ± 71</td>
<td>497 ± 168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third UAldoV tertile (n = 244)</td>
<td>339 ± 77</td>
<td>194 ± 40</td>
<td>339 ± 85</td>
<td>867 ± 154</td>
<td>213 ± 67</td>
<td>124 ± 44</td>
<td>215 ± 80</td>
<td>549 ± 172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect of urine aldosterone level (Δ UAldoV tertile: 37 μg/d)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First UCortisoneV tertile (n = 244)</td>
<td>327 ± 73</td>
<td>170 ± 39</td>
<td>310 ± 64</td>
<td>803 ± 135</td>
<td>237 ± 78</td>
<td>128 ± 48</td>
<td>228 ± 85</td>
<td>589 ± 191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third UCortisoneV tertile (n = 244)</td>
<td>405 ± 74</td>
<td>189 ± 46</td>
<td>348 ± 87</td>
<td>941 ± 165</td>
<td>193 ± 54</td>
<td>94 ± 32</td>
<td>174 ± 64</td>
<td>467 ± 138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 9 g/d salt intake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First UAldoV tertile (n = 168)</td>
<td>297 ± 62</td>
<td>158 ± 35</td>
<td>325 ± 68</td>
<td>778 ± 136</td>
<td>179 ± 55</td>
<td>97 ± 36</td>
<td>201 ± 80</td>
<td>477 ± 160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third UAldoV tertile (n = 168)</td>
<td>229 ± 57</td>
<td>177 ± 44</td>
<td>350 ± 69</td>
<td>754 ± 146</td>
<td>160 ± 53</td>
<td>124 ± 44</td>
<td>252 ± 99</td>
<td>538 ± 184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect of urine aldosterone level (Δ UAldoV tertile: 30 μg/d)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First UCortisoneV tertile (n = 168)</td>
<td>234 ± 61</td>
<td>157 ± 37</td>
<td>325 ± 66</td>
<td>715 ± 127</td>
<td>182 ± 64</td>
<td>125 ± 51</td>
<td>261 ± 106</td>
<td>569 ± 204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third UCortisoneV tertile (n = 168)</td>
<td>299 ± 65</td>
<td>177 ± 44</td>
<td>345 ± 75</td>
<td>821 ± 135</td>
<td>159 ± 44</td>
<td>95 ± 27</td>
<td>187 ± 63</td>
<td>440 ± 120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 6 g/d salt intake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First UAldoV tertile (n = 136)</td>
<td>216 ± 71</td>
<td>153 ± 38</td>
<td>333 ± 77</td>
<td>702 ± 163</td>
<td>126 ± 55</td>
<td>90 ± 39</td>
<td>200 ± 95</td>
<td>417 ± 180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third UAldoV tertile (n = 136)</td>
<td>159 ± 52</td>
<td>167 ± 44</td>
<td>348 ± 77</td>
<td>671 ± 140</td>
<td>104 ± 39</td>
<td>113 ± 48</td>
<td>238 ± 102</td>
<td>454 ± 178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect of urine aldosterone level (Δ UAldoV tertile: 32 μg/d)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First UCortisoneV tertile (n = 136)</td>
<td>165 ± 62</td>
<td>151 ± 36</td>
<td>330 ± 71</td>
<td>646 ± 143</td>
<td>124 ± 52</td>
<td>118 ± 51</td>
<td>259 ± 112</td>
<td>501 ± 199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third UCortisoneV tertile (n = 136)</td>
<td>207 ± 66</td>
<td>169 ± 41</td>
<td>356 ± 86</td>
<td>729 ± 161</td>
<td>105 ± 43</td>
<td>86 ± 32</td>
<td>182 ± 75</td>
<td>372 ± 140</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
- Δ: Hormone tertile < 0.05, **P:** Hormone tertile < 0.01, ***P:** Hormone tertile < 0.001.
- Data are expressed as the mean ± SD and were statistically analyzed by mixed linear model.
- Details on statistical analysis for Table 3 are provided in the Supplemental Materials (page 748).
12 g/d led to endogenous osmolyte-free water accrual. The 6-g/d increase in salt intake decreased osmolyte-free water excretion by 540 ± 27 ml/d and resulted in the successful generation of an endogenous osmolyte-free water surplus. This water accrual process was so effective that a body water surplus was available at the 12-g/d salt intake level and could be excreted and thereby increase the urine volume. The endogenous nature of this water surplus explains the seemingly counterintuitive finding that the excretion of a Na⁺ and Cl⁻ osmolyte surplus of 201 ± 8 mmol/d at the 12-g/d salt intake level eventually reduced fluid intake, even though urine volume was increased. We conclude that the primary physiological response of the body to increased salt intake in our subjects was an antidromic management of osmolyte and water balance; osmolytes were excreted and water was retained. Thus, the kidneys acted as a biological barrier designed for water conservation. This barrier functionally separates osmolytes from water to prevent dehydration while conducting osmolyte balance. These renal changes occurred at a urine osmolality of approximately 500 to 600 mOsm/kg, which is not near the maximal values of more than 1,000 mOsm/kg for humans. This concentration maximum leaves a much wider range for endogenous water accrual before increased fluid intake in response to increased salt intake were to become necessary.

The idea is well accepted that increased salt intake induces thirst, thereby leading to increased fluid intake and secondary parallel elimination of the ingested excess salt and fluid in the urine (14, 15, 30) and supports arguments favoring strategies directed at reducing dietary salt intake in the population (18, 21). To test this hypothesis, we selected salt intake levels of 12 g/d, the value identified in the international worldwide INTERSALT study of approximately 9 g/d (31), as well as the value of 6 g/d or less recommended by guidelines committees (32). The fact that increasing salt intake may not increase fluid intake or urine volume has been demonstrated earlier in shorter sodium and water balance studies. Luft et al. varied daily salt intake from 10 mmol/d to 200 and 400 mmol/d for 7 days and found no influence of dietary salt intake levels on water intake or urine volume (29). Even increas-

---

**Figure 2.** Time series data presentation and mixed linear model analysis to visualize the effect of increasing salt intake and the resulting Na⁺ excretion on free water accrual by urine concentration. (A) Time series of the sum of 24-hour urine Na⁺ (with accompanying anions), K⁺ (with accompanying anions), and urea osmolyte excretion (U2Na2KUreaV) and FWC in the representative subject 54 during the 205-day experiment. (B) Average FWC per salt intake phase in all 10 subjects (n = 1,644). (C) Quantification of the changes in FWC per salt intake phase or per 24-hour UNaV tertile. (D) Average urine osmolyte excretion (U2Na2KUreaV) per salt intake phase in the same 10 subjects (n = 1,646). (E) Quantification of the changes in urine osmolyte excretion per salt intake phase or per 24-hour UNaV tertile. (F–H) Relationship among 24-hour osmolyte excretion, designated 24-hour Na⁺ intake, designated 24-hour fluid intake, and 24-hour FWC in the urine. Data are expressed as the average ± SD (B and D) or as the Δ change ± SEM (C and E). Data were statistically analyzed by mixed linear model (C and E) or simple linear regression (F–H). Details on statistical analysis for Figure 2 are provided in the Supplemental Materials (page 64).
ing salt intake to 660 mmol/d did not lead to detectable increases in urine volume in humans during completely controlled 4-week-long metabolic ward experiments (4), albeit with a fixed fluid intake maintained at 40 ml/kg/d.

Studies of the relationship between dietary salt ingestion and changes in urine volume without parallel measurement of fluid intake show divergent results. He et al. found increases in urine volume with increasing salt intake in clinical studies of hypertensive women and men under daily life conditions (17), which were similar to our results. In contrast, and in line with Luft’s earlier study (29), the Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) salt intake trial, in which UNaV was reduced from 159 ± 5 mmol/d to 67 ± 4 mmol/d by dietary salt reduction, indicated that dietary salt was concentrated in the urine, with no changes in urine volume (33). We have shown earlier that excretion of dietary salt in our subjects was characterized by half-weekly and weekly rhythmically changing patterns (11). The resulting rhythmical Na+ storage and release reduced the predictive value of an accurately collected 24-hour urine sample to correctly estimate a 3-g/d difference in salt intake to only 50% (12). Therefore, quantification of Na+ osmolyte excretion in the urine, and not of salt intake levels, was necessary to detect the effect of dietary salt intake on urine volume formation in our subjects. We are not convinced that epidemiological studies and information policy, which rely on associations among food intake, beverage consumption, and salt content in the urine to study a functional relationship among salt intake, soft drink consumption, and obesity in populations, rest on valid physiological and methodological assumptions (18, 19, 21). The fact that a 6-g/d increase in salt intake led to surplus body water without increasing fluid intake has prompted us to propose an alternative view on the long-term control of body fluids in humans with increased osmolyte excretion. We present our interpretation as 3 interconnected hypotheses.

**Hypothesis 1: Increasing salt intake promotes accrual of endogenous water.** This hypothesis is based on 3 findings. First, the long-term physiological pattern of increased Na+ (with anions), K+ (with anions), and urea excretion was coupled with decreased FWC in 10 of 10 study subjects. This water conservation principle with increased osmolyte excretion occurred spontaneously at each of our 3 dietary salt intake levels and was more likely to occur at the 12-g/d salt intake level, when the dietary salt-induced Na+ and Cl− osmolyte surplus of 201 ± 8 mmol/d was released. Second, the resulting body water accretion pattern during states of surplus osmolyte excretion such as that occurring with increased dietary salt intake is apparently efficient enough to generate an endogenous, fluid intake–independent water surplus, as demonstrated by a quantifiable increase in body weight despite decreased fluid intake. Third, all study subjects excreted the dietary Na+ within the urine concentration process. This physiological principle of dietary salt excretion has been demonstrated previously: excess dietary salt was concentrated in the urine in the DASH study (31), as well as in the clinical salt-loading study by Luft et al. (27). In addition, our data suggest that the more negative FWC needed to concentrate salt osmolytes in the urine requires the accumulation of other osmolytes in the renal interstitium to provide the alternative osmotic driving force necessary to reabsorb water and counterbalance the osmotic-diuretic effect of salt osmolyte excretion. We show that the concentration of dietary salt in the urine was characterized by a predominantly reduced urinary urea concentration. These findings suggest that, in states of high dietary salt excretion, humans accumulate urea osmolytes in the renal medulla to support the renal concentration mechanism and thereby successfully reabsorb water. Similarly, as demonstrated earlier by McCance and Widdowson (26), urea-driven fluid retention may limit renal water loss during exercise-induced water loss from the skin. The idea that increased salt intake modulates such natriuretic-ureotelic regulation of body fluids suggests that a major regulatory pattern of dietary salt excretion involves renal elimination of osmolytes, which is coupled with urea-driven, osmolyte-free fluid retention to prevent salt-driven osmotic diuresis and dehydration (Supplemental Figure 7).

**Hypothesis 2: Dietary salt modulates endogenous infradian-rhythmical control of osmolyte and water accrual and release.** This hypothesis is based on 3 findings. First, across all 3 salt intake levels and independent of salt intake, spontaneously rhythmical aldosterone release was linked to Na+ reabsorption and antidiuresis, favoring a body water surplus with a measurable increase in body weight, primarily by free water reabsorption. These effects occurred within the renal concentration mechanism. Second, across all 3 salt intake levels, and therefore not primarily dependent on salt intake, spontaneously rhythmical glucocorticoid release was linked to the excretion of all 3 major osmolytes and their accompanying anions and was associated with urine formation by relative urine dilution. The linkage between increased glucocorticoid excretion and osmolyte-free water excretion occurred in the absence of increased fluid intake, indicating excretion of a previously generated water surplus. Third, a 6-g/d increase in salt intake reduced the level of spontaneously rhythmical endogenous mineralocorticoid hormone release and increased the level of spontaneously rhythmical endogenous glucocorticoid hormone release. We show that the projected combined effect of salt-

**Figure 3. Salt-driven changes in FWC, fluid intake, urine osmolyte excretion, and urine osmolyte concentration.** (A) Effect of a 6-g/d increase in salt intake on 24-hour FWC and 24-hour fluid intake in the 10 subjects. (B) Effect of 6-g/d, 9-g/d, and 12-g/d salt intake on 24-hour osmolyte excretion (U2Na2KUreaV; n = 1,636) and osmolyte concentration in the 24-hour urine samples (U2Na2KUrea; n = 1,636) for the 10 subjects. Data were statistically analyzed by mixed linear model and are expressed as the Δ change ± SEM. Details on statistical analysis for Figure 3 are provided in the Supplemental Materials (page 100). The projected insensible water loss was estimated from the measured difference between fluid intake and urine volume in all subjects and at all phases of salt intake.
driven suppression of rhythmical mineralocorticoid release and promotion of rhythmical glucocorticoid release predicts a negative renal fluid balance, with reduced fluid intake, increased urine volume, and reduced body weight. This projected hormone-driven effect on fluid balance corresponds well to the measured negative renal water balance in the third tertile of renal Na+ excretion. This observation suggests that long-term water balance critically relies on rhythmical mineralocorticoid and glucocorticoid hormone action. In contrast to the predicted combined hormone-driven effect, the relative dominance of the glucocorticoid-associated osmolyte and water excretion pattern in the third tertile of UNaV excretion was characterized by a measured increase in body weight, not by a reduction in body weight. We interpret this finding as indicating that high glucocorticoid levels occur when increased osmolyte intake and increased endogenous water accrual have led to a surplus in body osmolyte and water content that requires excretion (Supplemental Figure 8).

Hypothesis 3: High salt intake induces glucocorticoid-driven metabolic water production. This hypothesis is based on 3 findings. First, a 6-g/d increase in salt intake not only suppressed urinary aldosterone excretion, but also increased cortisol and cortisone excretion in the urine (11). This finding is in line with the study by Kerstens et al. that examined the effect of dietary salt ingestion on glucocorticoid excretion in salt-resistant subjects under daily life conditions (34). Thus, a 6-g/d increase in salt intake induces a catabolic hormone profile in humans. Second, we found that high glucocorticoid levels occurred with increased Na+, K+, and urea osmolyte excretion. However, protein intake was constant during the study. Urea is generated from exogenous or endogenous protein sources. This process is energy intensive and utilizes 3 moles ATP per mole of generated urea osmolyte. The increased urea excretion at a constant protein intake level therefore suggests that the catabolic hormone profile predisposed the subjects to increases in urea production with higher energy expenditure when their salt intake was elevated. Third, approximately 90% of the diuretic response observed with high glucocorticoid levels was osmolyte-free water excretion, which occurred in the absence of increased water intake and resulted in a negative renal water balance. Increased body weight in the morning preceded a negative water balance within the next 24 hours. Thus, a 24-hour water balance at high levels of
Glucocorticoid action may increase metabolic water production by successful free water reabsorption. In addition, increased rhythmical free water surplus may have been acquired earlier as a result of suc-free water, which had not been accrued by drinking water. This glucocorticoid excretion occurred with excretion of a surplus of osmolyte-free water not only in the kidney barrier, but also simultaneously in the epithelial skin barrier, an unmeasured reduction in skin water loss could have significantly contributed to the body water surplus detected in our subjects. Similarly, we have no information on differential osmolyte and water handling in the lungs and intestinal barrier. These sites, while possibly important, require additional experiments beyond the scope of the present study.

While a 6-g/d increase in salt intake increased glucocorticoid levels and therefore induced a relatively catabolic hormone profile, we cannot provide direct or indirect measurements of metabolic water production at the tissue level. In the absence of indirect calorimetric measurements, molecular glucocorticoid receptor–binding studies, and MRI-based body composition studies, we can only hypothesize that the salt-driven increase in glucocorticoid levels led to increased breakdown of cellular fuels and increased metabolic water production, thereby contributing to the body water surplus observed in our subjects. We addressed this limitation in additional animal experiments and showed that a high-salt diet can increase corticosterone release and thereby induce a catabolic state, with increased fatty acid oxidation and exploitation of muscle nitrogen stores for urea osmolyte generation.

The experimental design of our study did not allow us to investigate the role of vasopressin release. In response to small increases in plasma osmolality, vasopressin release occurs before thirst is triggered. This neuroendocrine hormone system could be an important contributor to the endogenous rhythmical free water accrual and its modulation by dietary salt we observed in our subjects (36–38).

Summary. In summary, our ultra-long-term balance studies suggest that humans maintain water balance by endogenous rhythmical accrual and excretion of body water. Rhythmic mineralocorticoid regulation promotes Na⁺ retention and osmolyte-free water accrual by enhancing the water-economizing nature of the renal concentration mechanism (39, 40), resulting in increased body water. Contrariwise, rhythmical glucocorticoid release is associated with osmolyte excretion and inhibits the renal concentration mechanism in order to excrete surplus body water. This surplus had been accrued earlier, either by successful water conservation in the renal barrier, or by additional (unmeasured) water conservation in the extrarenal epithelial barriers, or, alternatively, by increasing the rate of (unmeasured) metabolic water generation. A 6-g/d increase in salt intake reduces rhythmical aldosterone and enhances rhythmical glucocorticoid action, increases endogenous osmolyte-free water accrual, and ultimately results in the excretion of surplus salt osmolytes, together with endogenously accrued surplus water. However, the accompanying relative predominance of rhythmical glucocorticoid release...
suggests that the maintenance of water homeostasis in states of surplus salt excretion is intimately coupled with changes in body energy expenditure in humans. Thus, salt-driven changes in energy metabolism may link high salt intake with diabetes mellitus (41–43), osteoporosis (44–48), and increased cardiovascular and neurovascular disease risk (49–54), even in the absence of any salt-sensitive blood pressure responses.

Methods

Study subjects
In the Mars105 and Mars520 space flight simulation studies, 12 healthy young male volunteers provided written informed consent following due approval to spend 105 and 520 days, respectively, in an enclosed habitat consisting of hermetically sealed interconnecting modules. The crews lived and worked like cosmonauts on the International Space Station. Environmental factors were maintained constant. Microgravity was not simulated. Anthropometric data on each participant are provided in Supplemental Table 1.

Diets and the ultra-long balance approach
Nutritional intervention took place during the complete Mars105 study and during the first 205 days of the simulated flight to Mars in the Mars520 study. Dietary salt intake was controlled throughout the study. Dietary salt reduction during the Mars105 study was performed stepwise from 12 g/d to 9 g/d to 6 g/d salt. Given the longer duration of the Mars520 study, we could reexpose the subjects to 12 g/d salt after salt deprecation to 6 g/day salt (Supplemental Table 2). Each salt intake level was maintained constant for at least 29 days. Calorie intake necessary to satisfy energy expenditure with a moderately active lifestyle was permitted, and all other nutrients in the diet were maintained constant throughout the study. In contrast to salt intake, the subjects had unrestricted access to fluids, which included tap water, tea, coffee, juice, and milk. Monitoring of beverage consumption during the Mars520 study showed that the salt intake level had no influence on the subjects’ beverage selection (Supplemental Table 3). The study subjects measured their fluid intake volumetrically and recorded each serving in their log books, which resulted in precise information on daily fluid intake. The subjects carefully collected 24-hour urine samples and measured their urine volume gravimetrically or volumetrically. Each subject was informed about the pitfalls of sodium and water balance studies and urine sampling in 4 separate 1-hour training sessions prior to the experiment. The subjects collected all their urine for a 24-hour period and each day transferred 4 aliquots of 10 ml, which were then frozen for later analysis. We defined inadequate caloric intake and lack of accuracy in daily sodium balance as dropout criteria. Accuracy was evaluated by individual average daily urinary sodium excretion as a percentage of the actual daily sodium intake. We excluded subjects from analysis when their weekly urinary sodium recovery was repeatedly less than 80% of sodium intake or when the subjects did not adhere to our daily menu plans. Only this strict focus on experimental accuracy allowed us to implement a long-term balance approach. Because 2 subjects did not comply with these criteria, we had to exclude them from further analysis.

Data analysis

Dietary salt intervention. We studied the dietary salt intervention by testing the effect of the prescribed salt intake phase (low salt intake: 6 g/d; medium salt intake: 9 g/d; high salt intake: 12 g/d) on the following: daily water intake and urine volume, with the result defined as the difference between measured fluid intake and urinary volume (water balance gap); urinary Na+, cortisone, and aldosterone excretion; urine Na+, K+, and urea concentration; and urine osmolality. We found that a reduction in salt intake from 12 g/d to 6 g/d affected our variables in a reversible fashion when salt intake was increased from 6 g/d to 12 g/d during the reexposure phase of the Mars520 experiment (Supplemental Table 4). Furthermore, the effects of a gradual reduction of initially high salt intake to low salt intake were comparable during the Mars105 and Mars520 studies (Supplemental Table 5). Recorded Na+ intake and measured Na+ excretion levels were approximately 10 to 20 mmol/d higher in the Mars105 experiment than in the Mars520 experiment. The 3 different salt intake levels resulted in the expected differences in average UNaV per salt phase, albeit with remarkable variability due to the previously reported day-to-day rhythmical variability in urine Na+ excretion (11, 12, 22). Therefore, we stratified our UNaV data into 3 tertiles — low (first tertile), medium (second tertile), and high (third tertile) UNaV — to test the effect of urine Na+ excretion per UNaV tertile on our variables.

Effect of urine mineralocorticoid and glucocorticoid levels. Likewise, we stratified our 24-hour aldosterone and cortisone excretion data into 3 tertiles of low (first tertile), medium (second tertile), and high (third tertile) hormone levels. We then analyzed the changes in the variables per tertile for urine cortisone or aldosterone excretion. The metrics of the resulting tertiles are provided in Table 1.

Statistics. We first graphed the variables for each subject per unit of time. We next expressed the variables’ average ± SD per salt intake phase or per tertile of UNaV, urine cortisone excretion, or urine aldosterone excretion. We then investigated the salt phase- or tertile-induced changes (Δ) in the variables by mixed linear model analysis and depicted the estimated changes in the dependent variables as the estimated Δ ± SEM. We found excellent agreement between the measured average ± SD difference and the estimated ± SEM change in the dependent variables. To facilitate data expression and interpretation, we primarily studied the effect of 6-g/d versus 12-g/d salt intake and the effect of first tertile versus third tertile changes in UNaV as well as in urine cortisone and urine aldosterone excretion on water balance in humans. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. SPSS software, version 21.0 (IBM) was used for statistical analysis. Detailed statistical analyses for each Figure and Table are provided in the Supplemental Materials.

Study approval
The isolation study was conducted at the Institute for Biomedical Problems in Moscow and approved by the Russian National Committee on Bioethics of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Physiology Panel, protocol numbers 241 (105-day study) and 269 (520-day study). All subjects provided informed consent prior to their participation in the study.
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