Dual CD19 and CD123 targeting prevents antigen-loss relapses after CD19-directed immunotherapies
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Potent CD19-directed immunotherapies, such as chimeric antigen receptor T cells (CART) and blinatumomab, have drastically changed the outcome of patients with relapsed/refractory B cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (B-ALL). However, CD19-negative relapses have emerged as a major problem that is observed in approximately 30% of treated patients. Developing approaches to preventing and treating antigen-loss escapes would therefore represent a vertical advance in the field. Here, we found that in primary patient samples, the IL-3 receptor α chain CD123 was highly expressed on leukemia-initiating cells and CD19-negative blasts in bulk B-ALL at baseline and at relapse after CART19 administration. Using intravital imaging in an antigen-loss CD19-negative relapse xenograft model, we determined that CART123, but not CART19, recognized leukemic blasts, established protracted synapses, and eradicated CD19-negative leukemia, leading to prolonged survival. Furthermore, combining CART19 and CART123 prevented antigen-loss relapses in xenograft models. Finally, we devised a dual CAR-expressing construct that combined CD19- and CD123-mediated T cell activation and demonstrated that it provides superior in vivo activity against B-ALL compared with single-expressing CART or pooled combination CART. In conclusion, these findings indicate that targeting CD19 and CD123 on leukemic blasts represents an effective strategy for treating and preventing antigen-loss relapses occurring after CD19-directed therapies.

Introduction

Chemo-refractory or relapsing (R/R) B cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (B-ALL) is associated with a poor prognosis (1–4) yet remains responsive to targeted immune-based therapy. In particular, anti-CD19 chimeric antigen receptor T cells (CART19) and bispecific anti-CD19/CD3 antibodies (blinatumomab) generate unprecedented complete response rates in this patient population (5–9). Both approaches redirect autologous T cells to recognize CD19-expressing cells. Blinatumomab infusion recruits endogenous T cells to engage the tumor, while CART19 cells are genetically modified ex vivo to express an anti-CD19 single-chain variable fragment (scFv) fused to the T cell receptor signaling molecule CD3-ζ with built-in costimulatory domains and then reinfused into the patient (10). We recently showed that approximately 90% of patients with R/R B-ALL treated with CART19 (CTL019) achieved complete remission (CR) (6), and similar results have been found by other groups. (7, 8, 11) Despite high initial response rates, some patients relapse, and up to 30% of relapses after blinatumomab and 60% after CART19 are characterized by the loss of CD19 antigen, rendering the malignant cells invisible to CD19-specific immunotherapies (5, 6, 12, 13). This observation simultaneously illustrates the power and the shortcoming of antigen-specific immunotherapy.

CD19 is a prototypic B cell lineage marker that is widely expressed during B cell development. CD19 plays an important role in B cell biology, as CD19-deficient B cells exhibit selective growth disadvantage (14). Thus, the absence of CD19 is a very unusual finding in B-ALL and was reported in only rare cases prior to the advent of potent CD19-directed immunotherapies (15–17). Our group recently described one mechanism for CD19 loss, finding that in some patients, alternative exon splicing of CD19 leads to loss of the CD19 epitope that is recognized by CART19 (18), prompting us to search for additional mechanisms that would allow B-ALL cells to escape anti-CD19 immunotherapy. We hypothesized that the existence of immature CD19 negative B-ALL precursors could predispose to antigen-loss escape.

The IL-3 receptor α chain (CD123) is expressed in several hematologic neoplasms, including acute myeloid leukemia (AML), plasmacytoid dendritic cell neoplasm (19), hairy cell leukemia...
Due to these characteristics, multiple modalities to target CD123 in hematological neoplasms have been developed, such as the IL-3 diphtheria toxin fusion protein (SL-401, DT388IL3) (31, 32), unconjugated anti-CD123 monoclonal antibodies (CSL-360, CSL-362) (33), antibody-drug conjugates (34), bispecific antibodies (35, 36) or CD3Fv-IL-3 fusion constructs (37), and more recently, CART123 (22, 38–40). Our group found that targeting CART123 in preclinical xenograft models engenders deep and durable responses in human primary AML xenografts and can establish an anti-leukemia T cell memory (38). Several phase 1 clinical trials testing anti-CD123 CART are enrolling patients at the time of this writing, including one such trial at the University of Pennsylvania (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT02623582). Here we demonstrate in B-ALL the existence of rare CD19-negative malignant cells expressing CD123 at baseline and show that these cells can be responsible for relapse. We also find that CD123 is retained in B-ALL patients relapsing after CART19 therapy. Finally, we show that by combin-
might contribute to antigen-loss relapses (41). To demonstrate that some CD19-negative cells in B-ALL arise from the malignant clone, we selected primary B-ALL specimens with an informative cytogenetic profile from our institutional repository and sorted CD19–CD123–, CD19–CD123+, CD19+CD123–, and CD19+CD123+ cells from the B-ALL blast population (CD45 dim, SSClo, where SSC indicates side scatter; gating strategy shown in Supplemental Figure 2, A and B), followed by FISH for the informative marker (Figure 1D). We found that CD19–CD123+ blasts carried the disease-associated genetic aberration, albeit at a lower frequency than the CD19+ blasts, whereas CD19–CD123– cells did not (Figure 1D, Supplemental Figure 2, A–C, and Table 1). In 6 of the 6 samples (100%) we tested, the CD19–CD123+ blasts harbored some level of the disease-associated genetic aberration (Figure 1E). This finding indicates that targeting CD19 alone could, in some cases, lead to a subclonal relapse derived from preexisting CD19–CD123+ cells. Furthermore, injection of sorted CD19-negative CD123-positive subpopulations into NSG mice led to reconstitution of the original B-ALL phenotype, confirming this population’s leukemic stem cell function (Figure 1F).

Finally, the expression of CD123 was also evaluated in archived samples of B-ALL patients who relapsed after CTL019 with loss of CD19. Notably, in contrast to the complete loss of CD19, the majority of patients maintained CD123 expression in CART123 cells with the current CART19 approach, we can treat and prevent CD19-loss relapses in B-ALL xenografts, thus paving the way to combination immunotherapy in the clinic.

**Results**

**CD123 is expressed in B-ALL within both bulk and the leukemia-initiating cell populations and is retained in post-CTL019 CD19-negative relapses.** In order to evaluate the expression of CD123 in B-ALL, we analyzed 42 samples from adult and pediatric ALL patients, including 14 subjects enrolled in our current CTL019 clinical trials. As shown in Figure 1, A and B, and Supplemental Figure 1A (supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI87336DS1), CD123 is robustly and homogeneously expressed on the surface of most ALL blasts, representing a potential candidate for targeted therapy. Moreover, CD123 is also found to be expressed in leukemia-initiating cells (LIC), both as identified by phenotype (CD34+CD38−) (Figure 1C) and by their ability to engraft in NOD-SCID γ chain-deficient (NSG) mice, giving rise to overt leukemia (Supplemental Figure 1B). Small subpopulations of CD19-negative CD123-positive CD45dim cells could be identified in B-ALL patients (Figure 1D), and they have a LIC-like phenotype (CD34+CD38−) (Supplemental Figure 1C). We hypothesized that these cells could be clonal and therefore might contribute to antigen-loss relapses (41). To demonstrate that some CD19-negative cells in B-ALL arise from the malignant clone, we selected primary B-ALL specimens with an informative cytogenetic profile from our institutional repository and sorted CD19–CD123–, CD19–CD123+, CD19+CD123–, and CD19+CD123+ cells from the B-ALL blast population (CD45 dim, SSClo, where SSC indicates side scatter; gating strategy shown in Supplemental Figure 2, A and B), followed by FISH for the informative marker (Figure 1D). We found that CD19–CD123+ blasts carried the disease-associated genetic aberration, albeit at a lower frequency than the CD19+ blasts, whereas CD19–CD123– cells did not (Figure 1D, Supplemental Figure 2, A–C, and Table 1). In 6 of the 6 samples (100%) we tested, the CD19–CD123+ blasts harbored some level of the disease-associated genetic aberration (Figure 1E). This finding indicates that targeting CD19 alone could, in some cases, lead to a subclonal relapse derived from preexisting CD19–CD123+ cells. Furthermore, injection of sorted CD19-negative CD123-positive subpopulations into NSG mice led to reconstitution of the original B-ALL phenotype, confirming this population’s leukemic stem cell function (Figure 1F). Finally, the expression of CD123 was also evaluated in archived samples of B-ALL patients who relapsed after CTL019 with loss of CD19. Notably, in contrast to the complete loss of CD19, the majority of patients maintained CD123 expression in CART123 cells with the current CART19 approach, we can treat and prevent CD19-loss relapses in B-ALL xenografts, thus paving the way to combination immunotherapy in the clinic.

**Table 1. Characteristics of B-ALL samples**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Subset frequency (%)</th>
<th>Cytogenetics</th>
<th>FISH probe</th>
<th>FISH-positive cells (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#1 PB</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>46.XX.t(9;22)(q34;q11.2) [10]/46.XX,-t(9;22)(q34;q11.2)[3]/46.XX[12]</td>
<td>Vysis LSI BCR/ABL1 dual color, dual fusion translocation probe ABL1 (9q34) spectrum orange, BCR (22q11.2) spectrum green</td>
<td>97.5 100 96.5 15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2 PB</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>46.XY.del(9)(p21)[2]</td>
<td>Vysis CDKN2A / CEP 9 FISH probe kit CDKN2A (9p21) spectrum orange, CEP 9 (9p11-q11) spectrum green</td>
<td>100 96 7.5 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#3 PB</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>13.18</td>
<td>45, XY.del(7;9)(q10;q10)[9]/46.idem.+X[5]/46.XY[6]</td>
<td>Vysis CDKN2A / CEP 9 FISH probe kit CDKN2A (9q21) spectrum orange, CEP 9 (9p11-q11) spectrum green</td>
<td>100 98 10 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#4 BM</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>44.XY.t(9;22)(q34;q11.2),<a href="q10">17</a>(p13)[5]/46.XY.add(19)(p11.2)[3]/46.XY[1]</td>
<td>Vysis LSI BCR/ABL1 dual color, dual fusion translocation probe ABL1 (9q34) spectrum orange, BCR (22q11.2) spectrum green</td>
<td>100 100 90 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#5 PB</td>
<td>78.96</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>Molecular pathology: t(9;22) BCR/ABL (b3a2)</td>
<td>Vysis LSI BCR/ABL1 dual color, dual fusion translocation probe ABL1 (9q34) spectrum orange, BCR (22q11.2) spectrum green</td>
<td>99 73.5 17.5 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#6 PB</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>45,XX.add(3)[q24],-7(18)/46.XX[2]; FLT3 ITD mutation on NGS and 18/20 cells with monosomy 7 by cytogenetics</td>
<td>Vysis D5486/CEP 7 FISH probe kit LSI D5486 (7q31) spectrum orange, CEP 7 (7p11.1q11.1) spectrum green</td>
<td>100 29 77 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Samples were sorted for 4 cell subsets based on the expression of CD19 and CD123. FISH was then performed in each subset to investigate the presence of the chromosomal abnormality specific for that patient’s leukemia. DP, double positive; DN, double negative.
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expression at relapse (Figure 1G and Supplemental Figure 2D). These findings indicate that CD123 represents a potential therapeutic target in the setting of CD19-negative ALL occurring after CART19 or blinatumomab.

CART123 are active against human B-ALL in vitro and in vivo. Having previously generated CART123 for AML (38), we next evaluated their activity against B-ALL using the CD19+CD123+ B-ALL cell line NALM6 as well as primary B-ALL samples. CART123 and CART19 demonstrated equivalent degranulation, dose-dependent killing, proliferation, and cytokine production (Figure 2, A–D). These results support the potential role of CART123 in B-ALL. In order to confirm these data in an in vivo model, we utilized a primary ALL xenograft model (42). NSG mice engrafted with GFP-Luc+ primary ALL blasts (patient UPN#11, CD45dim, CD19+, CD123+, CD10+, CD34+/–) were randomized to receive CART19, CART123, or control untransduced T cells (UTD). Mice treated with control T cells succumbed quickly to disease (median survival, 75 days), while mice treated with either CART19 or CART123 showed tumor eradication and long-term survival (median survival not reached, \( P < 0.0001 \)) (Figure 2E).
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Figure 3. CART123, but not CART19, can successfully target CD19-negative B-ALL relapses. (A) A human xenograft model of CD19-negative relapse; experiment schematic. Two groups of NSG mice were respectively engrafted with B-ALL blasts (luciferase positive) originally obtained from the same patient (patient UPN#09) at baseline and when the patient relapsed with a CD19-negative disease after CART19. At day 17, mice in each group were randomized to receive CART19 or control T cells (UTD). Leukemia burden was followed using bioluminescence (BLI). (B) In both groups (baseline and relapse), mice treated with UTD showed disease progression (top graph). CART19 are only capable of inducing responses in the group of mice engrafted with baseline disease, while no antileukemia effect is observed in the group engrafted with CD19-negative relapse disease (bottom graph). (C) In another in vivo model, baseline (CD19+, CBG luciferase+) and relapsed (CD19–, CBR luciferase+) blasts from the same patient were mixed 1:1 and injected in the same NSG mice. Mice were then treated with CART19 or UTD, and the 2 B-ALL populations (baseline vs. relapse) were followed by bioluminescence. In mice treated with UTD, both populations showed progression (CBG and CBR bioluminescence), while in CART19-treated mice, only the relapsed clone (CD19-negative) showed frank progression (CBR). (D) CART123 successfully eliminated CD19-negative B-ALL relapses in vivo. Experiment schematic: luciferase-positive primary CD19-negative relapsed B-ALL blasts were injected in NSG mice, and after 17 days, mice were randomized based on tumor burden to receive either CART123, CART19, control T cells (UTD), or no treatment. (E) Mice receiving CART123 but not CART19 or UTD showed quick leukemia remission that was maintained in the long term (>120 days), leading to a significant advantage in overall survival (F). All graphs are representative of 2 independent experiments (6-8 mice per group). Student’s t test or ANOVA for each time point/ratio was used. Survival curves were compared using the log-rank test. ***P < 0.001; ****P < 0.0001.

Supplemental Figure 3A). CART123 T cells significantly expanded in the peripheral blood (PB) of the mice compared with control T cells and expressed high levels of CART123 (Supplemental Figure 3B). The antileukemia activity of CART123 was specific and based on the recognition of CD123 in the surface of the blasts, as when we engrafted mice with a rare CD123 CD19+ leukemia from our repository (patient UPN#12), only CART19 showed antileukemia activity, while CART123 had no effect as compared with control UTD (P = 0.0003) (Supplemental Figure 3, C and D).

CART123 but not CART19 are active in a preclinical model of antigen-loss relapse. In order to test new strategies for targeting CD19-negative relapses, we developed an vivo model of anti-
gen-loss relapse. B cell blasts obtained from a patient (UPN#09) enrolled in one of our CTL019 clinical trials were collected at baseline (before CART19 therapy), when the disease was CD19+, and CD123+ and at relapse when the disease was CD19-CD123+ (CBR luciferase+). At day 17, mice were randomized to receive CART19, control T cells (UTD), or the 1:1 pooled combination of CART123 and CART19. (B) Mice treated with UTD showed progression of both CD19+ baseline ALL and CD19- relapsed ALL, while mice receiving CART19 showed progression with a CD19-negative disease. Only CART123 or the combination of CART123 and CART19 was able to prevent the onset of CD19+ relapse. (C) Representative leukemia phenotype observed in the spleen of mice sacrificed at day 50 (gated on human SSClo, CD45dim, live, single, GFP+ blasts); UTD-treated mice progress, with both CD19+ and CD19- ALL, while CART19-treated mice showed clear CD19-negative progression. CART123 and the combination of CART123 and CART19 led to the clearance of both CD19+ and CD19- leukemia in most of the mice. Representative of 2 independent experiments. Student’s t test for each time point/ratio was used. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01.

Figure 4. Preventing CD19-negative relapses using combination of CART19 and CART123. (A) Experiment schematic: NSG mice were engrafted with a 1:1 mixture of B-ALL blasts obtained from a patient (patient UPN#09) at baseline when the disease was CD19+CD123+ (CBG luciferase+) and at relapse when the disease was CD19-CD123+ (CBR luciferase+). At day 17, mice were randomized to receive CART19, control T cells (UTD), or the 1:1 pooled combination of CART123 and CART19. (B) Mice treated with UTD showed progression of both CD19+ baseline ALL and CD19- relapsed ALL, while mice receiving CART19 showed progression with a CD19-negative disease. Only CART123 or the combination of CART123 and CART19 was able to prevent the onset of CD19+ relapse. (C) Representative leukemia phenotype observed in the spleen of mice sacrificed at day 50 (gated on human SSClo, CD45dim, live, single, GFP+ blasts); UTD-treated mice progress, with both CD19+ and CD19- ALL, while CART19-treated mice showed clear CD19-negative progression. CART123 and the combination of CART123 and CART19 led to the clearance of both CD19+ and CD19- leukemia in most of the mice. Representative of 2 independent experiments. Student’s t test for each time point/ratio was used. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01.
Tracker Orange or TRITC, red) in mice bearing CD19-positive primary or CD19-negative relapsed blasts (both blasts express GFP) and, approximately 24 hours after injection (experiment schematic, Supplemental Figure 5A), imaged these populations using intravital 2-photon microscopy of calvarial marrow. These studies showed that CART19 and CART123 trafficked to marrow spaces containing leukemia blasts and that upon CART cell recognition of cognate antigen, the T cells underwent motility arrest as previously described in other tumor and infectious models (43). Specifically, in mice engrafted with the baseline CD19+/CD123+ leukemia, 62.9% (±3.8%) of CART19 and 81.1% (±1.2%) of CART123 were found to be stalled with a rounded morphology adjacent to blasts, whereas in mice engrafted with the relapsed CD19−/CD123+ leukemia, only CART123 cells arrested next to tumor cells (CART123 80.9% ± 5.1% vs. CART19 12.4% ± 2.2%, P < 0.01) (Supplemental Figure 5, B and C, and Supplemental Videos 1 and 2). These findings indicate that in CD19-negative relapsed ALL, only CART123 were able to establish productive synapses with the leukemia cells (GFP), whereas CART19 cells continued sampling and moving in the environment without recognizing the leukemia blasts. The combination of CART123 and CART19 is able to prevent CD19-negative relapses. Having shown that CART123 can treat CART19-resistant CD19-negative relapses, we next sought to develop a combinatorial preemptive approach to treating active CD19-positive disease while simultaneously preventing antigen-loss relapses. In order to test this hypothesis, we modeled the emerging clinical problem of B-ALL with a potential for CD19-negative escape by injecting primary CD19− (at time of relapse) and CD19+ disease (at baseline, from the same patient) together into NSG mice. Mice were then randomized to receive control T
Figure 6. Dual CART19/123 cells are highly effective against B-ALL in vivo. (A) NSG mice were engrafted with a B-ALL cell line (NALM6, CBG+). At day 7, mice were randomized based on tumor burden (BLI) to receive control T cells (UTD), CART19, CART123, the 1:1 pooled combination of CART123 and CART19, or the dual CART19/123 (same total number of CAR+ cells). The tumor burden 6 days after T cell infusion (day 13) is shown in the graph: the deepest short-term antileukemia response is observed in the dual CART group. Monitoring of tumor burden (BLI) over time shows that only the dual CART19/123-treated mice have long-term CR (P = 0.02 at day 63). (B) Bioluminescence imaging of mice receiving the different treatments at day 7 (before T cell infusion) and day 13 (6 days after T cell infusion). Only mice receiving dual CART19/123 reached a quick and deep complete response. All graphs representative of 2 independent experiments. (C) In a primary B-ALL xenograft model (patient UPN#11), dual CART19/123 have a superior antileukemia activity (shown as reduced bioluminescence) as compared with pooled CART19 + CART123 at early time point (day 9), and this was correlated by a significantly higher T cell engraftment in the PB (D). (E) Tumor burden imaging (as mean bioluminescence) demonstrated a better antileukemia activity of dual CART19/123 as compared with pooled CART19 + CART123 or single CART. Panels B–E are representative of at least 2 independent experiments. Student’s t test was used to compare 2 groups; in analysis where multiple groups were compared, 1-way ANOVA was performed with Holm-Šidák correction for multiple comparisons. When multiple groups at multiple time points/ratios were compared, the Student’s t test or ANOVA for each time point/ratio was used. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001; ****P < 0.0001.
cells (UTD), CART19, or a combination of CART19 and CART123, with the same total cell dose (Figure 4A). As shown in Figure 4B, mice treated with control T cells had progression of both leukemia clones (day 50, \( P = 0.0079 \)) and mice treated with CART19 showed rapid progression mostly of the CD19-negative disease (day 50, \( P = 0.0079 \)). In contrast, mice treated with CART123 or with a combination of CART123 and CART19 showed clearance of the disease. Analysis of mice sacrificed at day 50 showed progression of both CD19+ and CD19- disease in UTD-treated mice, while there was no evidence of residual leukemia in most of the mice of the combination CART cell group. In contrast, mice with progressive disease after CART19 monotherapy showed a CD19-negative phenotype (Figure 4C).

We hypothesized that dual-targeted T cells, i.e., expressing 2 CARs in the same T cells, as opposed to “pooled” CART, where 2 groups of CART express 2 different CARs, could provide a stronger antitumor effect than either CART alone. We transduced T cells with 2 lentiviruses, one carrying CART19 and the other CART123, in order to develop a CART capable of being activated by either CD19 or CD123. We could detect 4 differently transduced T cell subsets: CART19 and CART123 double-negative, CART19 single-positive, CART123 single-positive, and double-positive CART19/CART123 T cells (Supplemental Figure 6A). We sorted these 4 subsets and tested their functionality and specificity against K562 WT, K562 CD19-, or K562 CD123-. Figure 5A shows, as expected, that single CART cells responded to their specific target, while only the double-positive population was able to degranulate in the presence of both CD19- and CD123-expressing targets. We then generated a single bicistronic plasmid carrying both second-generation 41-BBz CARs, (CART19BBz-P2A-CART123BBz) that was shown to result in similar expression of both CARs (Figure 5B). Expression of 2 full second-generation CARs in the same plasmid was obtained utilizing codon-optimization strategies of the costimulatory domains (data not shown). In order to understand whether the presence of 2 CAR constructs in the same T cells could lead to better T cell activation, we generated WT, CART19-, CART123-, and dual CART19/123 Jurkat NFAT GFP reporter cell lines and analyzed NFAT activation by flow cytometry. Dual CART19/123 cells showed significantly higher NFAT activation at 1 hour compared with single CAR+ T cells (Figure 5C). Finally, we aimed to study the immune synapse of the dual CART. CART19-GFP and CART123-mCherry fusion lentiviral constructs were generated and used to cotransduce T cells. Double-positive (dual) CART19/123 cells were isolated, cocultured with CellTrace Violet- NALM6 cells, and imaged by confocal microscopy. Interestingly, both CART19 (green) and CART123 (red) were engaged in the same immunological synapse (yellow) in dual CART19/123, as shown in Figure 5D.

Importantly, when tested in vivo, dual-stimulated CART19/123 cells exhibited higher efficacy against the B-ALL cell line NALM6 in comparison with an equivalent number of single-stimulated CART19 cells, single-stimulated CART123 cells, or pooled CART19 and CART123 cells at short \( (P = 0.006) \) and long term (Figure 6, A and B, and Supplemental Figure 6B), suggesting that a further increase in efficacy can be obtained by using a CAR that is triggered by 2 different antigens simultaneously. In order to test dual CART with a more clinically relevant model, we engrafted NSG mice with primary B-ALL blasts (patient UPN#09) that were transduced with a luciferase gene. Also in this model, dual CART19/123 exhibited higher antileukemia activity early (day 9) (Figure 6C), and this increased dual CART antitumor activity was correlated with a significantly higher peak T cell expansion in the PB (day 9), as shown in Figure 6D. In the long term, dual CART19/123 still maintained a significantly better antileukemia effect as compared with the other groups (Figure 6E).

Discussion

CD19-directed immunotherapies are changing the paradigm of treatment of relapsed refractory B-ALL. Patients with a previously dismal outcome now have a realistic potential to achieve a complete response and long-term disease remission (9). However, as shown under some circumstances for other types of leukemia treated with other forms of potent targeted therapy, leukemia cells are able to develop escape mechanisms that lead to resistance and relapse (44–46). In the case of CART19, 2 main patterns of relapses have been observed. Patients with early loss of CART19 through failure of persistence are at risk for relapse of the original clone; indeed, minimal residual disease analyses indicate that between 1 and 6 months of sustained CART activity may be required to completely eradicate malignancy (6, 41). In contrast, around 60% of relapses at our centers occur despite CART19 persistence and are characterized by the occurrence of a CD19-negative leukemia. The latter observation implicates potent selective pressure by CART19. Notably, CD19-negative relapses have also occurred after blinatumomab therapy, although these represent the minority of relapses after this arguably less persistent therapy (5). There are likely multiple potential mechanisms for the development of CD19-negative disease, while this phenomenon has been observed only anecdotally in animal models (D.M. Barrett, unpublished observations), and it is difficult to adequately recapitulate it in preclinical models. One of the mechanisms of antigen-loss relapse was recently reported by our group as alternative splicing of the CD19 gene that leads to the expression of variants lacking the domains required for recognition by CART19 (18). The goal of the current study was to define novel strategies to treat patients relapsing with antigen loss after CD19-directed therapies. Here, we describe the existence of rare CD19-negative cells in the malignant clone and propose that the selective pressure of CART19 therapy leads to their emergence as a clear example of immunoediting (41). We postulate that these CD19-negative cells are immature B-ALL precursors, as they exhibit the same cytogenetic aberration as the bulk leukemia and express the immature marker CD123, which has also been described as an LIC marker in AML (22). CD123 is, at the time of this writing, being studied as a target for immunotherapy in hematological neoplasms in 13 clinical trials (ClinicalTrials.gov as of 05/26/2016), including the CART123 trial for patients with R/R myeloid leukemia that is, at the time of this writing, enrolling patients at the University of Pennsylvania. Genomic analysis of the clonal origins of relapsed ALL (47) indicates, as expected, that cells corresponding to the relapse clone are present as minor subpopulations at baseline, supporting our observation that minor CD19-negative clones are present at baseline, which is based on a combination of immunophenotyping and cytogenetics (47). Pre-
Our group has previously shown the preclinical efficacy of anti-CD123 chimeric antigen receptor for the treatment of AML (38). In vivo expansion of primary B-ALL blasts. Please see our previous descriptions of the establishment and maintenance of primary ALL and the 2 color luciferase imaging methods as described (42). **FISH.** FISH analysis and immunohistochemistry were performed according to the standard method and as described (60). In brief, harvested ALL cells were suspended in fixative (acetic acid and metha-
the Stem Cell and Xenograft Core of the University of Pennsylvania are discussed in detail in the relevant figure legends. NSG mice previously described (63). Schemas of the utilized xenograft models were formed as previously described (38, 64). Normal donor CD4 and CD8 T cells or peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were obtained from the Human Immunology Core of the University of Pennsylvania. Prior to all experiments, T cells were thawed and rested overnight at 37°C.

**Generation of CAR constructs and CART cells.** The murine anti-CD19 chimeric antigen receptor (CD8 hinge, 4-1BB costimulatory domain, and CD3-ζ signaling domain) was generated as previously described (61, 62). This is the same construct used at the time of this writing, in the CTL019 clinical trials at the University of Pennsylvania. For CART123, we used a scFv anti-CD123 (clone 32716) and the same backbone construct of CART19 (38). Production of CART cells was performed as previously described (38). Normal donor CD4 and CD8 T cells or peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were obtained from the Human Immunology Core of the University of Pennsylvania. Prior to all experiments, T cells were thawed and rested overnight at 37°C.

**Multiparametric flow cytometry.** Flow cytometry was performed as previously described (63). Anti-human antibodies were purchased from BioLegend, eBioscience, or BD (please refer to Supplemental Table 1). For cell number quantitation, Countbright (Invitrogen) beads were used according to the manufacturer’s instructions. In all analyses, the population of interest was gated based on forward- versus side-scatter characteristics followed by singlet gating, and live cells were gated using Live Dead Fixable Aqua (Invitrogen). Time gating was included for quality control. Surface expression of CART19 was detected as previously described, using an anti-idiotype antibody provided by L. Cooper (MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas, USA) or using APC-conjugated CD19-Fc/His protein (64). Detection of CART123 was performed using goat anti-mouse antibody (Jackson Laboratories), CD123-Fc/His (Sino Biologicals) and anti-His-APC (R&D), PE (AbCam), or directly PE-conjugated CD123 protein. Flow cytometry was performed on a 4-laser Fortress–LSR II cytometer (BD) and analyzed with FlowJo X 10.0.7r2 (Tree Star).

**In vitro T cell effector function assays.** Degranulation, CFSE proliferation, cytotoxicity assays, and cytokine measurements were performed as previously described (38, 64).

**Animal experiments.** In vivo experiments were performed as previously described (63). Schemas of the utilized xenograft models are discussed in detail in the relevant figure legends. NSG mice originally obtained from Jackson Laboratories were purchased from the Stem Cell and Xenograft Core of the University of Pennsylvania. Cells (leukemia cell lines or T cells) were injected in 100 to 200 μl of PBS at the indicated concentration into the tail veins of mice. Bioluminescent imaging was performed using a Xenogen IVIS-200 Spectrum camera and analyzed with LivingImage software v. 4.3.1 (Caliper LifeSciences). Animals were euthanized at the end of the experiment or when they met prespecified end points according to the IACUC protocols.

**Multiphoton microscopy.** Mice were anesthetized and maintained at core temperatures of 37°C. BM was imaged after removing the scalp and immobilizing the skull. Imaging was performed using a Leica SP5 2-photon microscope system (Leica Microsystems) equipped with a picosecond laser (Coherent). Each imaging acquisition lasted 20 minutes followed by an assessment of mouse sedation. CellTrace Violet, GPF, and CellTrace Orange (or TRITC) were excited using a laser light of 850 nm. Images were obtained using a 20x water-dipping lens. The resulting images were analyzed with Volocity software (PerkinElmer).

**Confocal microscopy.** Fluorescently tagged CART19-BB-ζ and CART123-BB-ζ lentiviral constructs were generated by introducing in-frame fusions of mCherry and GFP, respectively, using standard molecular cloning. Normal donor single or dual fluorescent CART cells were generated as described (65). T cells were cocultured with CellTrace Violet NALM6 at a 1:1 effector-to-target (E:T) ratio for 2 hours on poly-D-lysine coated glass coverslips. Cells were washed, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, mounted onto slides with ProLong Diamond Antifade Mountant (Thermo Fisher), and imaged with a 63x oil immersion lens on a Leica TCS SP8 Laser Scanning confocal microscope (Leica Microsystems).

**Statistics.** All statistics were performed as indicated using GraphPad Prism 6 for Windows, version 6.05. Student’s t test was used to compare 2 groups; in analysis where multiple groups were compared, 1-way ANOVA was performed with Holm-Sidak correction for multiple comparisons. When multiple groups at multiple time points/ratios were compared, Student’s t test (2-tailed) or ANOVA for each time point/ratio was used. Survival curves were compared using the log-rank test. Significance was defined as P < 0.05.

**Study approval.** Human samples were obtained from the Stem Cell and Xenograft Core or the Human Immunology Core or were collected as research samples during the CTL019 clinical trials at the University of Pennsylvania. All patients or their parents gave informed consent. Animal experiments were performed according to a protocol (no. 803230) approved by the University of Pennsylvania IACUC that adheres to the NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (National Academies Press. 2011.).
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