Emerging roles for macrophages in cardiac injury: cytoprotection, repair, and regeneration
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Cardiac macrophages: resident and recruited by damage

The adult mammalian heart contains a population of resident macrophages that expands in response to myocardial infarction through the recruitment of monocytes. Infarct macrophages exhibit high phenotypic diversity and respond to microenvironmental cues by altering their functional properties and secretory profile. In this issue of the JCI, de Couto and colleagues demonstrate that infiltrating macrophages can be primed to acquire a cardioprotective phenotype in ischemic heart and exert this proactive effect through activation of an antiapoptotic program in cardiomyocytes. This study supports the growing body of evidence that suggests that macrophage subpopulations can be modulated to mediate cytoprotective, reparative, and even regenerative functions in the infarcted heart. The cellular mechanisms and molecular signals driving these macrophage phenotypes are yet unknown; however, harnessing the remarkable potential of the macrophage in regulating cell survival and tissue regeneration may hold therapeutic promise for myocardial infarction.

Over the last ten years, members of the Marbán group have pioneered the use of cardiosphere-derived cells (CDCs), a population of cardiac-derived cells with reparative and regenerative functions, as cell therapy for myocardial infarction (8). In experimental animal models of myocardial infarction, administration of CDCs results in a wide range of beneficial actions, including enhanced regeneration, improved function, attenuation of adverse remodeling, and reduced infarct size; however, it is not clear how CDCs exert their protective effect. In this issue, de Couto and coworkers (9) report that infarct macrophages can acquire cardioprotective phenotypes that promote survival of ischemic cardiomyocytes and that these protective effects are conferred by CDCs. Specifically, CDCs induced a unique, protective macrophage phenotype that attenuates oxidative-stress-mediated cardiomyocyte apoptosis. Moreover, therapy with CDC-primed macrophages alone recapitulated the beneficial actions of the CDCs on the ischemic and reperfused heart. These findings add to a growing body of recent in vivo evidence that suggests that macrophages exert protective actions on the injured heart (10, 11).

Macrophages regulate cardiomyocyte survival in ischemic myocardium

Myocardial ischemia activates a wave of ischemic death that moves as a front and is characterized by transmural progression of cellular necrosis from the more vulnerable subendocardial areas to the subepicardium, as the duration of coronary occlusion increases (12). The susceptibility of cardiomyocytes to ischemic death is dependent on several microenvironmental factors. Cardiomyocytes are enmeshed into an extracellular matrix network and are often in close contact with interstitial cells, including fibroblasts, vascular cells, and macrophages. While extracellular matrix proteins transduce key prosurvival signals that may prevent cardiomyocyte apoptosis in the ischemic environment, interstitial, noncardiomyocytes may secrete cytokines, growth factors, and proteases that critically regulate cardiomyocyte survival. De Couto and coworkers provide in vivo evidence that suggests that a unique subpopulation of CDC-primed macrophages activates antiapoptotic pathways and is thereby capable of protecting cardiomyocytes from ischemic death (9). Although de Couto and colleagues did not dissect the molecular signals that are required for macrophage-mediated cardioprotection, several distinct pathways may be involved (Figure 1). First, CDC-modulated macrophages may secrete factors with antiapoptotic actions. A recent study identified infarct monocytes and macrophages as an important source of myeloid-derived growth factor (MYDGF), a secreted protein that promotes cardiomyocyte survival (13). In addition, release of IL-10, FGF-1, IGF-1,
and leukemia inhibitory factor by activated macrophages has been suggested to inhibit apoptosis of hypoxic cardiomyocytes in vitro (7, 14). Second, contact-dependent interactions between CDC-primed macrophages and cardiomyocytes may transduce prosurvival signaling. Proapoptotic effects of macrophages on immune cells and smooth muscle cells have been reported previously and have been found to be dependent on cell-to-cell contact (15) and integrin modulation (16). Integrin signaling protects cardiomyocytes from ischemic death (17), and contact-dependent, macrophage-mediated activation of integrin-dependent cascades may be responsible for the protective actions. Third, as key cellular effectors of matrix remodeling, macrophages may promote prosurvival actions on cardiomyocytes by altering the cardiac extracellular matrix network. Myocardial ischemia stimulates protease activation, resulting in rapid generation of matrix fragments in the infarcted area that may exert proinflammatory and/or proapoptotic actions (18). Removal of injurious matrix fragments by activated macrophages or deposition of macrophage-derived matrix-cellular proteins (19) may transduce prosurvival signals and protect ischemic cardiomyocytes from death.

**Which signals drive a cardioprotective macrophage phenotype?**

The findings by de Couto and coworkers suggest that CDC-primed macrophages acquire a unique phenotype that is characterized by reduced expression of M1 macrophage markers and absence of the expected, concomitant increase in M2-related markers (9). Considering the enormous phenotypic diversity of macrophages, this observation is not surprising, as the concept of M1/M2 macrophage polarization is derived from in vitro studies and does not reflect the more nuanced phenotypes observed in vivo. The study by de Couto et al. provides limited information on the CDC-derived molecular signals that are responsible for the generation of the cardioprotective macrophages; however, the intriguing findings of these authors generate several important questions. Is the acquisition of a cardioprotective macrophage phenotype driven by secreted mediators or by exosomes?
released by CDCs? Is priming dependent on contact interactions between CDCs and macrophages? Do CDCs promote recruitment or mobilization of unique mononuclear cell subsets? Are the effects of CDCs on macrophage phenotype shared by other cell types? Answering these questions will be crucial for designing strategies for therapeutic manipulation of macrophages to protect the infarcted heart.

**Macrophages in cardiac repair and regeneration**

The protective actions of infarct macrophages are not limited to prosurvival effects on cardiomyocytes. Experimental evidence also suggests that macrophage subsets play a crucial role in regulating all aspects of the reparative response following infarction (20, 21). During the inflammatory phase of infarct healing, macrophages with potent phagocytic properties clear the infarct of dead cells and matrix debris, and distinct macrophage subsets may contribute to suppression and resolution of inflammation after infarction. Upon ingestion of apoptotic cells, macrophages release antiinflammatory cytokines that may restrain inflammatory injury, attenuating adverse cardiac remodeling (22). Specialized macrophage subpopulations may also promote scar formation and angiogenesis in the infarct. Due to their abundance and phenotypic plasticity, macrophages are ideally suited to orchestrate the reparative response following infarction; however, the molecular pathways involved in generation of macrophage subsets with distinct functional properties remain poorly understood.

Recent studies suggest that macrophage subpopulations are capable of activating a regenerative program. For example, Porrello and coworkers showed that the injured heart can be remodeled in neonatal animals following apical resection and that this regenerative capacity is lost at 7 days of age (23). Macrophage depletion abrogated remodeling of the infarcted neonatal heart, suggesting that the unique phenotypic characteristics of neonatal macrophages may be critical for activation of a regenerative response (10). Moreover, diphtheria toxin receptor-based genetic ablation of cardiomyocytes in neonatal mice resulted in expansion of embryonic-derived cardiac macrophages that stimulated cardiomyocyte proliferation (11). In contrast, proinflammatory monocytes were recruited to the heart and inhibited the regenerative response following cardiomyocyte ablation in adult mice. Taken together, these studies suggest that cardiac macrophages coordinate myocardial regeneration; however, the molecular signals that drive macrophages toward a regenerative phenotype remain unknown. Moreover, a neonatal macrophage phenotype may not be sufficient to induce remuscularization, as formation of new myocardium may also require phenotypic plasticity of other myocardial cell types.

**Macrophage omnipotence: myth or reality?**

Over the last two years, our understanding of cardiac macrophages in homeostasis and disease has evolved. Emerging evidence suggests that, in the infarcted heart, macrophages have almost unlimited potential and can be primed to activate prosurvival, reparative, and regenerative responses (10, 11). It has been suggested that distinct macrophage subpopulations protect ischemic cardiomyocytes from death, regulate inflammation following injury, stimulate fibroblasts and vascular cells, and orchestrate remuscularization of the infarct (11). Considering their abundance, diversity, and phenotypic plasticity, macrophages are attractive candidates for serving as cellular effectors in tissue injury and repair. Manipulation of macrophage phenotypes could be exploited therapeutically to improve outcome in myocardial infarction. Unfortunately, our current knowledge of macrophage biology has substantial limitations, and conclusions about the role of macrophages in the heart following myocardial infarction are based on nonselective experimental strategies that target all monocytes and macrophages, with limited associative data on the contribution of specific macrophage subsets. Moreover, the molecular cascades involved in driving macrophages toward specific phenotypic profiles are poorly understood. Importantly, most of the evidence is derived from mouse models, and the data on phenotype and functions of human macrophages in homeostasis and disease are sparse. Despite these limitations, the recent emergence of exciting experimental evidence has placed macrophages in the center stage of cardiac pathology.
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