Extracellular vesicles and infectious diseases: new complexity to an old story
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Exosomes and other extracellular microvesicles (ExMVs) have important functions in intercellular communication and regulation. During the course of infection, these vesicles can convey pathogen molecules that serve as antigens or agonists of innate immune receptors to induce host defense and immunity, or that serve as regulators of host defense and mediators of immune evasion. These molecules may include proteins, nucleic acids, lipids, and carbohydrates. Pathogen molecules may be disseminated by incorporation into vesicles that are created and shed by host cells, or they may be incorporated into vesicles shed from microbial cells. Involvement of ExMVs in the induction of immunity and host defense is widespread among many pathogens, whereas their involvement in immune evasion mechanisms is prominent among pathogens that establish chronic infection and is found in some that cause acute infection. Because of their immunogenicity and enrichment of pathogen molecules, exosomes may also have potential in vaccine preparations and as diagnostic markers. Additionally, the ability of exosomes to deliver molecules to recipient cells raises the possibility of their use for drug/therapy delivery. Thus, ExMVs play a major role in the pathogenesis of infection and provide exciting potential for the development of novel diagnostic and therapeutic approaches.

Introduction

Cell-cell communication is critical for maintaining body homeostasis and responding quickly and appropriately to cellular damage and pathogen invasion. This communication can be mediated by direct cell-cell contact, but is often directed by soluble factors such as hormones, cytokines, and inflammatory mediators that can communicate to a large number of responding cells either locally or at dispersed sites. Recently, extracellular microvesicles (ExMVs) have also been found to facilitate cell-cell communication. Because ExMVs are released from most nucleated cells, and because their composition changes under different physiological and pathological conditions, they are increasingly recognized as important regulators of cellular functions and disease mechanisms. This Review will discuss recent studies of the composition and function of ExMVs during viral, bacterial, parasitic, and fungal infections as well as their role in the discrimination of infectious priors; in these settings, ExMVs may contribute to either host defense or pathogen immune evasion mechanisms.

The ExMVs released from cells during an infection can be either pathogen- or host-derived. The former includes outer membrane vesicles (OMVs) from gram-negative bacteria and membrane vesicles from gram-positive bacteria and mycobacteria (1, 2). The function and content of these bacteria-generated vesicles has been excellently reviewed elsewhere (3–5). Parasitic and fungal pathogens also release ExMVs, which may function in modulating the immune response (6–8). ExMVs from host cells are divided into three main categories: apoptotic bodies, exosomes, and microvesicles. All three of these cell-derived vesicles are enclosed by a lipid bilayer, but vary in size (from 30 nm to 2,000 nm in diameter) as well as in composition and mechanism of biosynthesis (9).

The unique features of ExMVs in cell-cell communication stem from their complex composition, which allows for a finely tuned control over the communication process and the potential engagement of multiple receptors and signaling pathways in responding cells. Further, the presence of signaling lipids, proteins, and various species of RNA within a single structure can lead to a rapid and profound change in the target cell, enabling a rapid response to cellular perturbations. The responding cell can in turn release molecules, which can act locally or systemically. These mechanisms may be induced under physiological or pathological conditions. Although the complexity of exosomes broadens their functional impact, it makes the study of their activity difficult, as the effect of the vesicles is a result of all the different components within them, including lipids, proteins, carbohydrates, and RNA. Moreover, ExMVs produced during the course of an infection will be diverse in activity and composition, as they are derived from multiple cell sources (potentially including vesicles derived from both host and pathogen). This makes defining their function challenging, as the different ExMV populations may have synergistic or even opposing effects on recipient cells.

Of the different ExMVs, exosomes have been the most studied in the context of infection. However, the purity of vesicles in various studies was not always defined, and the vesicle populations may have contained both exosomes and microvesicles, which
Immune responses to extracellular versus intracellular pathogens

Pathogens can reside intracellularly or extracellularly, and compartmental lifestyle is a major factor in dictating what evasion mechanisms are used by the pathogen and what types of host immune responses are protective. The pathogen’s lifestyle is also relevant to the role of ExMVs in disease mechanisms. For pathogens that are primarily extracellular, pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) that are shed or secreted either via membrane vesicles or as soluble factors can directly interact with pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) on the plasma membrane of host cells. Alternatively, PAMPs or antigens may be endocytosed by antigen-presenting cells (APCs), allowing interaction with intracellular vesicles or processing of antigens for presentation by MHC class II molecules. There are analogous mechanisms for intravacuolar pathogens, which communicate by endocytosis and exocytosis with the extracellular space. For example, macrophages infected by Mycobacterium tuberculosis may release bacterial molecules either via membrane vesicles derived from intraphagosomal bacteria (10) or by incorporation of bacterial molecules into host membranes or intraluminal vesicles (ILVs) for release through ExMVs (11, 12). In contrast, cytosolic pathogens penetrate through membranes to reach the cytosol (or nucleus), and their PAMPs may interact with cytosolic PRRs. The ability of cytoplasmic pathogens to release molecules into the extracellular space is unclear but may occur by lysis of the infected cell or release of ExMVs that include cytosol-derived materials. In this Review, we will discuss the biogenesis, composition, and function of ExMVs, including exosomes, during viral, bacterial, or parasitic infections and how the functions of these vesicles may benefit the host or pathogen.

Exosome production

Turnover of plasma membrane receptors includes endocytosis and trafficking to multivesicular bodies (MVBs), which can subsequently fuse with lysosomes to mediate protein degradation (13–15). However, a subpopulation of MVBs can also fuse with the plasma membrane, resulting in release of the ILVs as exosomes (16, 17). The mechanism for MVB biogenesis and exosome release is still being defined, but several models have been suggested as a mechanism for ILV formation (reviewed in ref. 18).

Not surprisingly, pathogens have been shown to hijack the host exosome biosynthetic machinery as part of their survival strategy. Viruses such as paramyxoviruses, hepatitis C virus (HCV), rhabdoviruses, filoviruses, herpesviruses, and hepatitis B virus (HBV) have been shown to utilize or require the endosomal-sorting complexes required for transport (ESCRT) pathway to promote their release (reviewed in ref. 19). Chlamydia trachomatis was recently shown to use MVBs as a source of sphingolipids, phospholipids, and cholesterol, and recruitment of MVBs to the chlamydial inclu-
transferred between cells, their function once transferred, and the mechanism by which they are trafficked to MVBs and into exosomes. Importantly, the RNA present within exosomes is biologically active, indicating that the RNA can function to modulate the protein profile and cellular state of the recipient cell (reviewed in ref. 36). However, exosomal RNA content is dictated by its cellular origin and the physiological state of the cell (37), indicating that the incorporation of RNA into vesicles is a regulated event leading to selective packaging of RNA into exosomes and other ExMVs (38, 39).

In addition to host RNA, there is clear evidence for incorporation of viral RNAs into exosomes. The presence of HCV viral RNA in exosomes was found to be dependent on the ESCRT machinery and annexin A2, an RNA-binding protein involved in membrane trafficking (40). Similarly, the ESCRT II subunit EAP30 forms a complex with HIV Gag and staufen 1 to control HIV-1 RNA trafficking and gene expression (41). The underlying mechanism by which EAP30 facilitates HIV-1 genomic RNA trafficking remains unclear, although EAP30 may constitute part of the ribonucleoprotein complex that mediates the nuclear export of viral RNA. Unspliced HIV-1 RNA species are also recruited to exosomes, and this recruitment is dependent on the 5′ end of the Gag p17 ORF (42). Interestingly, we have found mycobacterial RNA within exosomes released from *M. tuberculosis*-infected macrophages (43), suggesting that the sorting of pathogen RNA to exosomes may be a more general phenomenon of host cell infection. In most cases, the function of the pathogen-derived RNA within exosomes remains to be defined.
Modulation of the immune response by ExMVs during an infection

A fundamental question is whether the functions of ExMVs bearing pathogen-derived molecules drive host defense and pathogen elimination or mediate the dissemination of virulence factors to promote pathogen survival and disease. In some cases, both of these may occur simultaneously and in balance. This is a complex question that depends on both host and pathogen factors as well as environmental factors. The answer to this question for various pathogens has been elusive, as we lack many of the tools needed for investigation, e.g., robust methods to specifically inhibit production of exosomes or other ExMVs in order to evaluate their roles in immune responses and infection control. Nevertheless, studies using various in vitro and in vivo infection models have provided some insight into the function of exosomes and other ExMVs in host defense and immune evasion. A commonality observed for many in vivo infection studies is an elevated concentration of blood ExMVs, again supporting a functional relevance for these vesicles during an infection (44-46).

Contributions of extracellular vesicles to immune evasion. Exosomes and other ExMVs have been implicated in the pathogenesis of many different viruses (Figure 1). Upon release, these vesicles are “captured” by cells, and the transfer of host and viral proteins and/or RNA could enhance viral infection and replication in recipient cells, or inhibit the immune response through induction of apoptosis or by blocking key cellular responses. In the case of human CMV, microvesicles released by infected cells contain soluble DC-SIGN, a C-type lectin family molecule, in complex with CMV glycoprotein B. Release of this complex through microvesicles and its interaction with target cells appears to increase the susceptibility of recipient cells to CMV infection (47). Similarly, in HCV-infected patients, the interaction of the cellular membrane protein CD81 with HCV envelope glycoprotein E2 and the release of this complex within microvesicles and subsequent interaction with recipient cells increase the susceptibility of recipient cells to HCV (48). Exosomes and other ExMVs released from HIV-1-infected peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) or from megakaryocytes and platelets contain CCR5 and CXCR4, respectively. In both cases, the transfer of these chemokine receptors to target cells enhances their susceptibility to HIV infection (49, 50). Work by Campbell and colleagues showed that Nef is present in exosomes secreted from transfected HEK 293 cells, which, upon fusion with uninfected Jurkat T cells, restore infectivity to Nef-negative HIV virions (31). Together, these results suggest that ExMVs released during viral infections enhance the infectivity of neighboring cells, preparing the way for the soon-to-be-released viral particles.

Induction of apoptosis or functional suppression of immune cells appears to be another general role for ExMVs during a viral infection. For example, Nef-containing exosomes released from transfected SupT1 and Jurkat T cells can induce CD4+ T cell apoptosis in vitro, pointing to the possible role of these exosomes in the T cell depletion inherent to HIV pathogenesis (30). Similar results were observed for endothelial cells exposed to Nef (51). Galectin 9, which is present in exosomes released from EBV-infected cells, can interact with the T cell Ig mucin 3 and induce apoptosis of EBV-specific CD4+ T cells (52). Dukers and colleagues discovered that latent membrane protein 1 (LMP1), a signal transduction pro-tein important in EBV infection, blocked the proliferation of T cells and inhibited NK cell cytotoxicity (53). LMP1 is present on exosomes from EBV-infected cells, suggesting that exosomes could be a vehicle for the immunosuppressive effects of LMP1 during EBV infection. This receptor is known to negatively regulate both macrophage and T cell activation (54). Additionally, EBV encodes a large number of miRs (55-57) that not only modify the transcriptome of the infected cells, but also that of uninfected cells via exosomes. Pegtel and colleagues demonstrated that EBV-infected B cells release exosomes containing EBV miRs that induce miR-mediated repression of EBV target genes such as CXCL11 (58). The ability of EBV miRs to be transferred from infected B cells to non-infected T cells and monocyes suggests that exosomal transport of viral miRs could contribute to EBV persistence in humans.

The contributions of exosomes to immune evasion and pathogenesis of parasitic organisms, such as Leishmania species pluralis (spp.), have also been well studied. Initial work by Reiner and colleagues established that pathogen-derived exosomes are a vehicle for Leishmania protein secretion and uptake by target macrophages (59), thereby suppressing the immune response (8). A similar effect was seen in vivo, as mice treated with L. major- and L. donovani-releaseesxosomes prior to infection had a higher parasite load compared with that detected in untreated mice. Proteomic analysis of exosomes from L. mexicana–infected macrophages identified the virulence factor GP63, which could regulate gene expression in recipient cells, leading to a diminished response to infection (60). Moreover, exosomes containing GP63 released from L. donovani–infected macrophages target the pre-miR processor dicer1 in hepatocytes to prevent miR/ribonucleoprotein complex (miRNPs) formation, thereby blocking production of miR122, which results in altered serum cholesterol concentration and higher parasite burden (61). Host-derived microvesicles released from cells infected with other parasitic pathogens such as Plasmodium falciparum may also limit host immune surveillance, leading to increased parasite levels or increased pathology (62-64).

There are limited data on exosome-mediated immune suppression in the context of bacterial infections. Nevertheless, studies with mycobacterial components present on or in exosomes have been shown to suppress the immune response, as exosomes from M. tuberculosis–infected cells could partially suppress the ability of recipient macrophages to respond to IFN-γ (65). This inhibition was dependent on macrophage expression of TLR2 and MyD88 and reflects established mechanisms for TLR2-mediated inhibition of macrophage APC function (66). Moreover, ExMVs released from M. tuberculosis–infected macrophages contain lipoarabinomannan (LAM), which inhibits T cell receptor (TCR) signaling and T cell responses (67, 68), suggesting another possible mechanism for vesicle-mediated inhibition of immune responses in this infection model. Exosomes released from cells infected with Mycoplasma induce a mixed cytokine response, including production of both IFN-γ and IL-10 from B cells. However, in the context of T cell activation, these exosomes appear to be primarily inhibitory (69). Exosomes released from epithelial cells following Neisseria gonorrhoeae infection contain elevated levels of cellular inhibitor of apoptosis 2 (cIAP2), which may suppress apoptosis of epithelial cells and limit IL-1β production (70). Exosomes can also carry toxins, as shown by Abrami and colleagues, who found that...
The Journal of Clinical Investigation

Review Series: Extracellular Vesicles

The mechanism of T cell activation, exosomes, such as those released from CMV-infected human endothelial cells, can stimulate memory CD4+ T cells isolated from CMV-infected donors (84). Considering the overlap in the host components involved in virus and exosome biosynthesis and the presence of viral proteins in exosomes, it is likely that viral infections generally produce exosomes that can stimulate a T cell response; however, this has only been directly evaluated for a limited number of viral infections (85). Exosome-mediated antigen delivery is not limited to viral infections, as studies by Aline and colleagues found that DCs pulsed with Toxoplasma gondii proteins released exosomes that could stimulate a protective immune response against acute and chronic T. gondii infection when the DCs were adoptively transferred to mice. This response was antigen specific and included both cellular and humoral immunity (86). Martin-Jaular and colleagues found that exosomes isolated from the blood of Plasmodium yoelii–infected BALB/c mice contained parasite proteins and, when used as a vaccine in naive mice, provided protection against subsequent P. yoelii infection (87). These exosomes stimulated the production of IgG Abs that recognized P. yoelii–infected rbc, decreased the level of parasitemia, and improved survival. Exosomes released from Mycobacterium tuberculosis– or bacillus Calmette-Guérin–infected (BCG-infected) cells or from M. tuberculosis culture filtrate protein–treated (CFP-treated) macrophages were shown to activate antigen-specific CD4+ and CD8+ T cells in vivo and promote the activation and maturation of BM-derived DCs (BMDCs) (88). Moreover, vaccination of mice with exosomes released from CFP-treated macrophages protects against a low-dose, aerosolized M. tuberculosis inoculation (89). The release of mycobacterial antigens from infected macro-

In addition to these prototypical infectious agents, prion diseases, which are spread by an infectious misfolded prion protein (PrPSc), have also been linked to exosomes. Initial studies by Fevrier et al. demonstrated that PrPSc can be transported from infected to uninfected neurons by exosomes (73). The spread of PrPSc by exosomes was not limited to neurons, as non-neuronal cells could also receive the prion protein (74). Recent studies suggest that in addition to carrying PrPSc, the exosomes released from infected neuronal cells have a different repertoire of miRs compared with that of exosomes released from uninfected cells (75). This includes miRs that are associated with neurological disorders such as miR128a and miR146a. The importance of exosomes in prion transmission from cell to cell or organism to organism is still being defined.

Contributions of ExMVs to host defense and immune responses. Exosomes can function as antigen carriers, promoting an acquired immune response (Figure 2). T cell activation can be mediated by exosomes directly, as they have been shown to carry processed antigen as well as MHC class I and II molecules and costimulatory molecules (76–78); however, direct activation may be limited (79–81), and the major mechanism of T cell activation by exosomes is likely through their uptake by APCs and subsequent presentation by host cell MHC molecules (82, 83). Independently of the mechanism of T cell activation, exosomes, such as those released from CMV-infected human endothelial cells, can stimulate memory CD4+ T cells isolated from CMV-infected donors (84). Considering the overlap in the host components involved in virus and exosome biosynthesis and the presence of viral proteins in exosomes, it is likely that viral infections generally produce exosomes that can stimulate a T cell response; however, this has only been directly evaluated for a limited number of viral infections (85). Exosome-mediated antigen delivery is not limited to viral infections, as studies by Aline and colleagues found that DCs pulsed with Toxoplasma gondii proteins released exosomes that could stimulate a protective immune response against acute and chronic T. gondii infection when the DCs were adoptively transferred to mice. This response was antigen specific and included both cellular and humoral immunity (86). Martin-Jaular and colleagues found that exosomes isolated from the blood of Plasmodium yoelii–infected BALB/c mice contained parasite proteins and, when used as a vaccine in naive mice, provided protection against subsequent P. yoelii infection (87). These exosomes stimulated the production of IgG Abs that recognized P. yoelii–infected rbc, decreased the level of parasitemia, and improved survival. Exosomes released from Mycobacterium tuberculosis– or bacillus Calmette-Guérin–infected (BCG-infected) cells or from M. tuberculosis culture filtrate protein–treated (CFP-treated) macrophages were shown to activate antigen-specific CD4+ and CD8+ T cells in vivo and promote the activation and maturation of BM-derived DCs (BMDCs) (88). Moreover, vaccination of mice with exosomes released from CFP-treated macrophages protects against a low-dose, aerosolized M. tuberculosis inoculation (89). The release of mycobacterial antigens from infected macro-

Figure 2. Promoting an effective immune response to pathogen infection through exosomes and other ExMVs. Exosomes and other ExMVs released from virus-, parasite-, and bacteria-infected cells can function through antigen cross priming to activate antigen-specific CD4+ and CD8+ T cells. Exosomes from M. tuberculosis– and T. gondii–infected cells also contain PAMPS that can stimulate macrophage production of proinflammatory mediators like TNF-α. Similar results were seen with exosomes containing dUTPase released from EBV-infected cells.
phages can be exosome independent, as studies by Ramachandra et al. found that infection with *M. tuberculosis* or BCG resulted in increased release of both exosomes and microvesicles, which stimulated an antigen-specific T cell response (79). Bacterial membrane vesicles (BMVs) derived directly from intracellular *M. tuberculosis* are released from host cells (2, 10) and may potentially contribute to T cell responses.

The beneficial properties of exosomes are not restricted to their ability to carry antigens. During an HIV-1 infection, exosomes released from CD8+ T cells can suppress HIV-1 transcription within infected cells in a protein-dependent, but antigen-independent, manner (90). Furthermore, host-derived exosomes containing the cytidine deaminase APOBEC3G can inhibit HIV replication in the recipient cells (91). Exosomes from EBV-infected cells have also been shown to contain dUTPase and can induce a proinflammatory/antiviral response through activation of NF-κB and production of cytokines (92). Drex and colleagues reported that exosomes released from HCV-infected cells can induce IFN-α production from uninfected plasmacytoid DCs and that this activity is dependent on viral RNA present within the exosomes (40). These results suggest that export of viral RNA may serve both as a viral strategy to evade pathogen sensing within infected cells and as a host strategy to induce an innate response in bystander cells. Similar findings were reported by Li and colleagues, who showed that IFN-α-treated liver nonparenchymal cells release exosomes that contain a number of host molecules with antiviral activity (93). These and other studies suggest that infected cell–derived exosomes and other ExMVs can promote the innate and acquired immune response through cytokine production (93, 94). However, additional studies are needed to unravel how and when these ExMVs promote or limit antiviral immunity. One of the difficulties in this type of analysis is the separation of viral particles from exosomes, as they are often of similar size and density (19).

Exosomes can also promote effective immune responses to nonviral pathogens. Our previous studies indicate that exosomes released from THP-1 cells after *T. gondii* infection stimulate noninfected THP-1 cells to produce TNF-α and other proinflammatory mediators (95), although the exosomal component responsible for this activity remains undefined. Infection with the gastrointestinal parasite *Cryptosporidium parvum* was shown to increase exosome release from intestinal and biliary epithelial cells into the lumen of the gastrointestinal tract (46). These exosomes also carried antimicrobial peptides from the epithelial cells, the export of which was increased through TLR4 activation by the parasite. Couper and colleagues demonstrated that microvesicles isolated from the plasma of malaria-infected, but not naive, mice induce potent, TLR4-dependent activation of macrophages in vitro, as measured by CD40 upregulation and TNF-α production (64). Exosomes released from *Mycobacterium avium*–infected macrophages stimulated a proinflammatory response in noninfected or “bystander” macrophages in a TLR- and MyD88-dependent manner (96). Andan and colleagues observed increased exosome production in macrophages infected with *M. avium* and *Mycobacterium smegmatis* compared with that detected in uninfected cells, as well as increased levels of the host protein HSP70, which activates macrophages in vitro (97). Importantly, exosomes released from *M. tuberculosis*– or BCG-infected macrophages were also shown to be proinflammatory (95), and exosomes isolated from the bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) of BCG-infected mice contained mycobacterial components and were proinflammatory ex vivo (95). Moreover, the presence of TLR2-agonist lipopeptides in exosomes from *M. tuberculosis*-infected cells may allow TLR2-mediated enhancement of T cell responses, which has been reported for *M. tuberculosis* lipopeptides (98, 99). Together, these results suggest that exosomes from mycobacteria-infected cells can promote both the recruitment and activation of immune cells and may play a role in promoting the innate and acquired immune response upon mycobacterial infection.

Use of ExMVs in therapeutics

Soon after ExMVs were found to carry tumor antigens and stimulate an antitumor response in mice (100, 101), attention turned to their potential use as antitumor vaccines in humans, and clinical trials are ongoing to evaluate this possible clinical application (102, 103). The interest in using exosomes and other ExMVs as vaccines in disease diagnostics and as drug carriers has increased dramatically over the past few years. In the section below, we highlight some of the infectious diseases studies that evaluated ExMVs as vaccines, disease markers, and drug carriers, as well as some of the advantages and caveats associated with their use.

**Use of ExMVs as vaccines against pathogens.** There are a number of potential advantages to using exosomes as vaccines against pathogens, including (a) improved distribution of antigens due to the ability of exosomes to circulate in bodily fluids and reach distal organs; (b) stable conditions for maintaining protein structure; (c) efficient binding and uptake by APCs due to the expression of adhesion molecules on exosomes; and (d) exosomes as the body’s natural means for transferring antigens between cells. Although exosomes have a number of advantages over conventional vaccine strategies, they have been evaluated as vaccines against viral pathogens only sparingly and with limited demonstration of their efficacy (85, 104). In contrast, a number of studies have evaluated exosomes as vaccines against other types of pathogens. For example, Schnitzer and colleagues showed that DC-derived exosomes containing *Leishmania* antigens provide protective immunity against cutaneous leishmaniasis when used as a vaccine (105). Colino and colleagues isolated exosomes from BMDCs treated with diptheria toxin (DT) and found that the exosomes stimulated an antitumor response in mice (100, 101), attention turned to their potential use as antitumor vaccines in humans, and clinical trials are ongoing to evaluate this possible clinical application (102, 103). The interest in using exosomes and other ExMVs as vaccines in disease diagnostics and as drug carriers has increased dramatically over the past few years. In the section below, we highlight some of the infectious diseases studies that evaluated ExMVs as vaccines, disease markers, and drug carriers, as well as some of the advantages and caveats associated with their use.

**Use of ExMVs as vaccines against pathogens.** There are a number of potential advantages to using exosomes as vaccines against pathogens, including (a) improved distribution of antigens due to the ability of exosomes to circulate in bodily fluids and reach distal organs; (b) stable conditions for maintaining protein structure; (c) efficient binding and uptake by APCs due to the expression of adhesion molecules on exosomes; and (d) exosomes as the body’s natural means for transferring antigens between cells. Although exosomes have a number of advantages over conventional vaccine strategies, they have been evaluated as vaccines against viral pathogens only sparingly and with limited demonstration of their efficacy (85, 104). In contrast, a number of studies have evaluated exosomes as vaccines against other types of pathogens. For example, Schnitzer and colleagues showed that DC-derived exosomes containing *Leishmania* antigens provide protective immunity against cutaneous leishmaniasis when used as a vaccine (105). Colino and colleagues isolated exosomes from BMDCs treated with diptheria toxin (DT) and found that the exosomes stimulated an antitumor response in mice (100, 101), attention turned to their potential use as antitumor vaccines in humans, and clinical trials are ongoing to evaluate this possible clinical application (102, 103). The interest in using exosomes and other ExMVs as vaccines in disease diagnostics and as drug carriers has increased dramatically over the past few years. In the section below, we highlight some of the infectious diseases studies that evaluated ExMVs as vaccines, disease markers, and drug carriers, as well as some of the advantages and caveats associated with their use.

**Use of ExMVs as vaccines against pathogens.** There are a number of potential advantages to using exosomes as vaccines against pathogens, including (a) improved distribution of antigens due to the ability of exosomes to circulate in bodily fluids and reach distal organs; (b) stable conditions for maintaining protein structure; (c) efficient binding and uptake by APCs due to the expression of adhesion molecules on exosomes; and (d) exosomes as the body’s natural means for transferring antigens between cells. Although exosomes have a number of advantages over conventional vaccine strategies, they have been evaluated as vaccines against viral pathogens only sparingly and with limited demonstration of their efficacy (85, 104). In contrast, a number of studies have evaluated exosomes as vaccines against other types of pathogens. For example, Schnitzer and colleagues showed that DC-derived exosomes containing *Leishmania* antigens provide protective immunity against cutaneous leishmaniasis when used as a vaccine (105). Colino and colleagues isolated exosomes from BMDCs treated with diptheria toxin (DT) and found that the exosomes stimulated an antitumor response in mice (100, 101), attention turned to their potential use as antitumor vaccines in humans, and clinical trials are ongoing to evaluate this possible clinical application (102, 103). The interest in using exosomes and other ExMVs as vaccines in disease diagnostics and as drug carriers has increased dramatically over the past few years. In the section below, we highlight some of the infectious diseases studies that evaluated ExMVs as vaccines, disease markers, and drug carriers, as well as some of the advantages and caveats associated with their use.
additional studies are needed to delineate potential risks. Nevertheless, exosomes provide a unique approach to vaccine development, and this area of investigation will likely continue to receive significant attention in the coming years.

**ExMVs as diagnostic markers for infectious diseases.** A number of studies have shown quantitative and qualitative differences in exosome composition between healthy and diseased individuals (reviewed in ref. 108). These changes in exosome composition, combined with their easy accessibility, make exosomes excellent biomarker candidates. Moreover, exosomes can be an enriched source of pathogen and host protein and RNA. Many sources for disease biomarker detection (e.g., serum or plasma) are very complex, and most of the components are not valuable in the context of biomarkers. This leads to a high background and more difficult detection of the targeted proteins and RNA. Using purified exosomes greatly diminishes this background. Nevertheless, the use of exosomes in the diagnosis of infectious diseases is relatively new, but shows great promise, as the markers can be both host- and pathogen-derived. In support of their potential as biomarkers, we found the exosome concentration in serum from BCG- or *M. tuberculosis*-infected mice to be significantly higher when compared with serum exosomes from uninfected controls, and this concentration correlated with bacterial load (45). Moreover, exosomes isolated from *M. tuberculosis*-infected mice or the serum of tuberculosis (TB) patients contained mycobacterial proteins, suggesting that exosomes could be used as markers of active disease (109, 110). Surprisingly, we have also found that *M. tuberculosis*-infected macrophages release exosomes containing mycobacterial RNA (43), hinting at the possibility that exosomal RNA could also be a useful marker for active TB. Markers are not limited to pathogen-derived molecules, as host components may also differ qualitatively and quantitatively between exosomes from an infected individual and those from uninfected individuals, as has been shown for CD81 in serum ExMVs from patients with chronic hepatitis C (111). Nevertheless, the use of host components is more problematic, as these factors may be less specific and shared among a number of diseases (e.g., inflammatory mediators).

**ExMVs and delivery of therapeutic molecules.** The observation that exosomes can deliver RNA to recipient host cells (35) has generated great interest in the use of exosomes in drug delivery applications. The most attention has been paid to the delivery of RNA (siRNA, miR), but studies have also suggested the utility of exosomes for the delivery of chemotherapeutic agents for cancer and inhibitors of specific enzymes. Most studies of exosomes for drug delivery have used cancer models, and their potential for treatment of infectious diseases is less clear. It is possible that exosomes would have advantages for the treatment of intracellular infections if exosomes could be engineered to target drugs to the infected cells. Additionally, the study of exosomes may reveal functions and mechanisms that could be incorporated into the design of artificial vesicle nanoparticles for drug delivery and other therapeutic applications.

**Conclusion and future directions**

Although our knowledge of how exosomes and other ExMVs function in the context of infectious diseases is still limited, the data published thus far have generated interesting and compelling information. Continued growth in this area should lead to a better understanding of virulence mechanisms and immune responses as well as the development of new diagnostics and vaccines. This growth will require developing a better understanding of the cell types that produce ExMVs and the composition of these ExMVs. Defining exosome composition, both in the context of host and microbial components and how this changes during the course of an infection, is critical to defining the functional role of exosomes. Other questions include defining the ExMV recipient cells, the cellular response to exosome engagement, and the interplay between exosome-mediated responses and other components of an immune response. However, to be successful, we need to develop new methods, including the ability to specifically block the production of exosomes and other ExMVs, coupled with approaches for the evaluation of disease outcome. These approaches will help us understand whether exosomes function to benefit the host, or are used by pathogens to subvert the immune response. It is likely that both of these mechanisms exist and that the outcome is determined by a balance of drivers of immune responses and immune evasion to which ExMVs contribute. Further studies are needed to define this balance and determine how we can manipulate the process to our benefit.
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