Disrupting hedgehog and WNT signaling interactions promotes cleft lip pathogenesis
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Cleft lip, which results from impaired facial process growth and fusion, is one of the most common craniofacial birth defects. Many genes are known to be involved in the etiology of this disorder; however, our understanding of cleft lip pathogenesis remains incomplete. In the present study, we uncovered a role for sonic hedgehog (SHH) signaling during lip fusion. Mice carrying compound mutations in hedgehog acyltransferase (Hhat) and patched1 (Ptch1) exhibited perturbations in the SHH gradient during frontonasal development, which led to hypoplastic nasal process outgrowth, epithelial seam persistence, and cleft lip. Further investigation revealed that enhanced SHH signaling restricts canonical WNT signaling in the lambdoidal region by promoting expression of genes encoding WNT inhibitors. Moreover, reduction of canonical WNT signaling perturbed p63/interferon regulatory factor 6 (p63/IRF6) signaling, resulting in increased proliferation and decreased cell death, which was followed by persistence of the epithelial seam and cleft lip. Consistent with our results, mutations in genes that disrupt SHH and WNT signaling have been identified in both mice and humans with cleft lip. Collectively, our data illustrate that altered SHH signaling contributes to the etiology and pathogenesis of cleft lip through antagonistic interactions with other gene regulatory networks, including the canonical WNT and p63/IRF6 signaling pathways.

Introduction
Craniofacial development depends on the proper growth and fusion of distinct facial processes during embryogenesis. Perturbation of either developmental event can lead to facial cleft phenotypes (1, 2). It is well known that, during facial process fusion, epithelial cells at the tip of each process are eliminated by several mechanisms, including cell death in both lip and secondary palate fusion (2, 3) and epithelial mesenchymal transition during secondary palate fusion (4, 5). One of the most common sites of fusion failure is at the lambdoidal junction between the maxillary process and medial nasal process (MNP) and lateral nasal process (LNP). Failure or delay of lambdoidal junction development or fusion leads to cleft lip with or without cleft palate (CL/P). This is one of the most common birth defects, with an incidence of 1 in 700 newborns (6). Many signaling pathways are known to be associated with the etiology of CL/P. Sonic hedgehog (SHH) signaling, for example, is indispensable for proper craniofacial development, and perturbation of this pathway is associated with CL/P in certain human congenital diseases, such as nevoid basal cell carcinoma syndrome and holoprosencephaly (7, 8). Furthermore, conditional epithelial or mesenchymal elimination of SHH signaling results in palatal fusion defects in mice (9, 10), as does chemical inhibition of SHH signaling (11). These results strongly suggest that SHH signaling plays an important role in CL/P etiology; however, the detailed molecular mechanism remains to be elucidated.

Interestingly, disruption of canonical WNT signaling is also associated with CL/P both in mice and humans (12–14). WNT signaling functions as a positive regulator of p63 and interferon regulatory factor 6 (IRF6) (15), which regulates proper facial process fusion by controlling epithelial cell proliferation and differentiation (16, 17). In the present study, we discovered that mouse embryos, which carry compound mutations in hedgehog acyltransferase (Hhat) (Hhatref/fic) (18) and patched1 (Ptch1ref/fic) (19), exhibit cleft lip in association with expanded SHH signaling during craniofacial development. We also discovered that expanded SHH signaling concomitantly inhibited canonical WNT signaling through the regulation of WNT inhibitory genes. Furthermore, we uncovered compromised p63/IRF6 activity, altered domains of cell death, and increased cell proliferation. Collectively, this led to persistence of the epithelial seam in the lambdoidal region and pathogenesis of cleft lip. Therefore, our results have uncovered important signaling interactions between the HH and WNT pathways during craniofacial development, which underpin the etiology and pathogenesis of cleft lip.

Results
Hhatref/fic Ptch1ref/fic embryos exhibit multiple developmental defects, including cleft lip. Hhat encodes an acyltransferase that is responsible for modifying hedgehog (HH) proteins through the addition of palmitic acid. Disruption of Hhat diminishes palmitoylation of SHH, which perturbs its secretion and long-range activity (20, 21). Consistent with these findings, Hhatref/fic mice exhibit severe craniofacial defects, which are caused by a disruption of HH signaling (18). A holoprosencephaly phenotype is clearly evident in association with small head size in E13.5 Hhatref/fic embryos (Figure 1C) compared with control embryos (Figure 1A). Furthermore, ventral views of the craniofacial skeleton reveal severe bone and cartilage defects in E16.5 Hhatref/fic embryos (Figure 1F) compared with controls (Figure 1D). In particular, the palatal process of premaxilla bone is missing and both sides of the maxilla bone are fused, resulting in a single bone fragment at the center of the cranial base in Hhatref/fic embryos (Figure 1F). These phenotypes are consistent with a loss of SHH signaling (18).
In contrast, PTCH1 is a receptor for HH ligands and works as a repressor of SHH signaling in the absence of SHH ligand. Consistent with this role, disruption of Ptc1 in mice results in an elevation of SHH signaling (22). Through an N-ethyl-N-nitrosourea mutagenesis screen in mice (19), we generated a novel allele of Ptc1. Genome sequencing revealed a T to A nucleotide change in intron 15 of Ptc1, which created a new splice acceptor site, resulting in a premature stop codon in exon 16 and generation of a truncated protein. Ptc1<sup>wiggable</sup> embryos die in utero at around E12.0 as a result of various defects, including open neural tube and hypertelorism of the face (19). These phenotypes are consistent with a gain of function in HH signaling. In an effort to mutually rescue the Hhat<sup>reflex</sup> and Ptc1<sup>wiggable</sup> phenotypes, we crossed Hhat<sup>reflex</sup> mice with Ptc1<sup>wiggable</sup> mice to generate double homozygotes (Hhat<sup>reflex</sup> Pax<sup>1wiggable</sup>) and partially restored head morphology and craniofacial structures. Interestingly, however, these embryos presented with cleft lip (Figure 1B) and fissure of the premaxilla bone at E16.5 (Figure 1E), implying that Hhat and PTCH1 played an important role in regulating HH signaling during lip development.

MNPs and LNPs fail to fuse in Hhat<sup>reflex</sup> Pax1<sup>wiggable</sup> embryos. To investigate the mechanism underlying the pathogenesis of cleft lip in Hhat<sup>reflex</sup> Pax1<sup>wiggable</sup> mice, we explored the onset of developmental anomalies in individual and compound mutants (Figure 2). At E10.0, Pax1<sup>wiggable</sup> embryos showed severe craniofacial defects, including open neural tube, together with frontonasal and branchial arch anomalies (Figure 2C). Hhat<sup>reflex</sup> embryos displayed a hypoplastic first branchial arch and minor frontonasal process (FNP) deformities (Figure 2D). Hhat<sup>reflex</sup> Pax1<sup>wiggable</sup> embryos similarly presented with a smaller FNP (Figure 2B) compared with that of control embryos (Figure 2A). By E11.0, wild-type embryos exhibited prominent MNPs and LNPs (Figure 2E). In contrast, Pax1<sup>wiggable</sup> embryos displayed enlarged maxillary processes, but neither the MNPs nor LNPs could be readily distinguished at this stage (Figure 2G). Hhat<sup>reflex</sup> embryos showed facial deformities represented by reduced spacing between the bilateral nasal slits with hypoplastic maxillary and mandibular processes (Figure 2H). Hhat<sup>reflex</sup> Pax1<sup>wiggable</sup> embryos showed a substantial restoration of facial development compared with each single mutant; however, these double mutants still displayed deficient MNP and LNP growth (Figure 2F). By E11.5, the MNP and LNP fused at the lambdoidal region in control embryos to form the future lip and primary palate (Figure 2, I, M, and Q). In contrast, Pax1<sup>wiggable</sup> embryos displayed severe defects in nasal process growth as well as nasal epithelium invagination (Figure 2, K and O, and Supplemental Figure 1, A–C; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI72688DS1). Substantial MNP defects could also be observed in Hhat<sup>reflex</sup> Pax1<sup>wiggable</sup> embryos in the form of a single nasal slit at the midline of the face (Figure 2, L and P, and Supplemental Figure 1, D–F). E11.5 Hhat<sup>reflex</sup> Pax1<sup>wiggable</sup> embryos showed considerable outgrowth of the MNPs and LNPs compared with that at earlier stages; however, the failure of these processes to fuse left a large gap that leads to cleft lip and primary cleft palate (Figure 2, J, N, and R).

Altered balance of cell proliferation and cell death in the nasal processes of Hhat<sup>reflex</sup> Pax1<sup>wiggable</sup> embryos leads to failure of epithelial seam removal. Epithelial cell removal via cell death is a crucial event during facial process fusion (2). In the case of normal development, epithelial seam cells between the FNP and MNP break down via loss of e-cadherin (ECAD) expression and apoptosis, as shown by TUNEL staining, which resulted in formation of a mesenchymal bridge (Figure 3, A–C, white arrow). Epithelial seam cell death usually occurs just at the tip of the fusing processes, which is similar to the process underlying secondary palate fusion. Hhat<sup>reflex</sup> Pax1<sup>wiggable</sup> embryos exhibited reduced apoptosis in the seam where epithelial cell break down should normally occur (Figure 3F, white arrow). Together with the persistence of ECAD-positive cells, this lead to the failure to form a mesenchymal bridge (Figure 3, D–F, white arrow).
arrow). Conversely, we found very few mitotic cells in the epithelial seam in control embryos, as measured by phospho-histone H3 immunostaining (Figure 3, G–I and O). However, there was a considerable increase in phospho-histone H3–labeled cells in Hhatcreface Ptch1 wiggable embryos (Figure 3, J–L, yellow arrowheads, and O). These results suggest that during normal development epithelial seam cells stop proliferating and undergo apoptosis in order to break down epithelial cells as part of the mechanism of lip fusion. In contrast, the ECAD-positive epithelial cell layer (Figure 3, J–L) was thicker in Hhatcreface Ptch1 wiggable embryos than in control embryos (Figure 3, G–I). We also assessed the persistence of periderm cells using an antibody that recognizes SSEA1 protein. Interestingly, the intensity of SSEA1 was stronger in the nasal process epithelia of Hhatcreface Ptch1 wiggable embryos (Supplemental Figure 2, B and D) compared with that of control embryos (Supplemental Figure 2, A and C). These results suggest a persistence of periderm cells in the nasal processes of Hhatcreface Ptch1 wiggable embryos in association with the failure of lip fusion.

The gradient of SHH signaling during facial morphogenesis was perturbed in each mutant. Since HHAT and PTCH1 are the key regulators of SHH signaling (18, 21, 22), we hypothesized that each mutant should have altered SHH signaling during facial morphogenesis. Hence, we assessed Shh mRNA expression via in situ hybridization in developing E9.5 embryos. Regardless of the genotype, each embryo showed strong Shh mRNA expression in the notochord and floor plate (Figure 4, A–D). We also observed that there was no Shh mRNA activity in the MNP and LNP among all the embryos at E11.0 (Figure 4, E–H). We next performed Pch1 and Gli1 in situ hybridization to document the degree of spatial perturbation of SHH signaling, since the activity of these genes is widely considered as representative of the gradient and range of SHH signaling. In control embryos, Pch1 as well as Gli1 mRNA were expressed inten-
sively in the mesenchyme and epithelia of the MNPs, with gradually reduced signal and an absence of expression in the LNPs (Figure 4, I and M). As expected, Ptch1wiggable embryos showed significantly stronger and expanded Ptch1 and Gli1 expression throughout the whole FNP, as evidenced by both in situ hybridization and RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) (Figure 4, K and O, and Supplemental Figure 3). In contrast, Hhatcreface embryos showed considerably reduced expression of Ptch1 and Gli1 (Figure 4, L and P, and Supplemental Figure 3, B and C), which is consistent with a diminished range and gradient of SHH signaling (18). Craniofacial structures are partially restored in Hhatcreface Ptch1wiggable double-homozygous embryos, and, consistent with this, the quantity of Ptch1 and Gli1 mRNA in the maxillary complex was returned to normal, as assessed by RNA-seq (Supplemental Figure 3, B and C). However, most importantly, the distribution of gene activity in Hhatcreface Ptch1wiggable embryos was not fully restored to a wild-type pattern, as ectopic expression remained in the LNP (Figure 4, J and N).

Complementary SHH and canonical WNT signaling during craniofacial development. To investigate the gene regulatory network governing the cleft lip phenotype observed in double-mutant embryos, we performed RNA-seq analyses and identified a downregulation of canonical WNT signaling (Supplemental Figure 3, G–I) in association with enhanced SHH signaling (Supplemental Figure 3, B and C). We also discovered that cells that respond to canonical WNT signaling localize in complementary domains to SHH-responding cells in developing FNPs, as observed through LacZ reporter expression in TOPgal (23) and Ptch1-LacZ (22) embryos, respectively (Supplemental Figure 4, A–F). These results suggest that SHH signaling and canonical WNT signaling regulate complementary territories during proper craniofacial morphogenesis and patterning.

Canonical WNT signaling is inhibited by SHH during frontonasal development. To further investigate the relationship between SHH and canonical WNT activity during craniofacial morphogenesis, we crossed each mutant to TOPgal mice and used LacZ expression as a

Figure 3
Cell death and proliferation in epithelial seams of E11.5 Hhatcreface Ptch1wiggable embryos. (A–F) TUNEL staining (green) with ECAD immunolabeling (red) in E11.5 control and Hhatcreface Ptch1wiggable embryo FNPs. (A–C) Control embryo epithelial seam cells underwent cell death and diminished ECAD immunostaining to remove the epithelial cells (white arrow). (D–F) Hhatcreface Ptch1wiggable embryos showed thicker epithelial seam and lacked proper cell death (white arrow). (G–I) Immunolabeling of phospho-histone H3 (green) and ECAD (red) in E11.5 control and Hhatcreface Ptch1wiggable embryo FNPs. (G–I) Very few proliferating cells could be detected in control embryo epithelial seams. (J–L) In contrast, increased cell proliferation was detected in Hhatcreface Ptch1wiggable embryo epithelial seam cells (yellow arrowheads). (O) Statistical analysis showed significant difference in number of proliferating cells between Hhatcreface Ptch1wiggable (MT) and control embryos. (P) Percentage of apoptotic cells in epithelial seam cells of control and Hhatcreface Ptch1wiggable embryos. *P < 0.05, Student’s t test. Data are represented as mean ± SEM. (M and N) Each yellow line indicates which plane was used in these images. Scale bars: 50 μm.
read out of canonical WNT signaling. At E10.0, Hhatcreface embryos expressed LacZ in the LNPs (Figure 5D, red arrowhead), while embryos of the other genotypes did not (Figure 5, A–C). At E10.5, a considerable reduction in LacZ expression was detected in Ptch1wiggable embryos (Figure 5G) as well as in Hhatcreface Ptch1wiggable embryos (Figure 5F) compared with that in control embryos (Figure 5E). In contrast, Hhatcreface embryos showed expanded WNT activity in the LNPs (Figure 5H). By E11.0, the stage when the LNP and MNP fuse, strong LacZ activity could be observed at the lambdoidal junction in control embryos (Figure 5I, red arrowhead), while Hhatcreface embryos lacked activity in the same territory (Figure 5J, red arrowhead). Furthermore, histological sections clearly indicated that LacZ expression in Hhatcreface Ptch1wiggable embryos (Figure 5N, red arrowhead) was considerably reduced, especially in the olfactory epithelia, compared with that in control embryos (Figure 5M, red arrowhead). These findings are consistent with the results from our RNA-seq analysis (Supplemental Figure 3, D–I) confirming the spatial reduction in canonical WNT signaling. We also performed whole embryo culture using TOPgal embryos in the presence of a SHH signaling activator (purmorphamine). As expected, embryos cultured with purmorphamine showed a considerable reduction in WNT activity (LacZ) in the lambdoidal region (Supplemental Figure 5B) compared with that in DMSO-treated embryos (Supplemental Figure 5A). These results clearly indicate that SHH signaling restricts canonical WNT signaling during frontonasal development.

Canonical WNT inhibitor activity is modulated by SHH signaling. Since canonical WNT signaling is restricted by SHH signaling, we hypothesized that this may occur via activation of WNT inhibitors. From our RNA-seq data, we speculated that Vax1 and Sfrps might be modified by altered SHH signaling. To test our hypothesis, we performed in situ hybridization for those genes in our mutants (Figure 6). Interestingly, the Vax1 expression domain was shifted distally in the nasal epithelia of Hhatcreface Ptch1wiggable embryos (Figure 6B) compared with that of control embryos (Figure 6A). Similarly to Vax1, the expression pattern of Sfrp1 was also changed in compound mutant embryos (Figure 6, E and F). Furthermore, Sfrp2 and Frzb (also known as Sfrp3), which are primarily expressed in mesenchyme cells in control embryos (Figure 6, I and M, aster-
isks), exhibited elevated expression in Hhat\textsuperscript{creface} Ptch1\textsuperscript{wiggable} embryos (Figure 6, J and N, asterisks). These results suggest that during craniofacial morphogenesis SHH signaling and its intersection with WNT signaling can be modulated by the distinct expression of individual WNT inhibitors in the facial processes.

Reduced canonical WNT signaling affects p63/IRF6 activity at the lambdoidal junction. TP63 is a member of the TP63 family of genes, and mutations in TP63 are known to be responsible for causing CL/P in mice and humans with certain syndromic diseases (24, 25). Recently, several studies have shown that the p63/IRF6 signaling pathway plays critical roles in palatal growth and fusion (16, 17). Furthermore, canonical WNT signaling functions upstream of this signaling cascade (15). We therefore hypothesized that SHH signaling–associated cleft lip occurred via disruption of WNT-mediated p63/IRF6 signaling. Via in situ hybridization, we found p63/IRF6 signaling noticeably inhibited at the lambdoidal junction in Ptch1\textsuperscript{wiggable} embryos (Figure 7, B and F, and Supplemental Figure 6, B and F) compared with that in control embryos (Figure 7, A and E, and Supplemental Figure 6, A and E). In contrast Hhat\textsuperscript{creface} embryos exhibited expanded expression (Figure 7, D and H, and Supplemental Figure 6, D and H). These results are consistent with the results from our TOPgal activity studies in each mutant and suggest that modulating SHH signaling alters canonical WNT signaling, which in turn affects the p63/IRF6 signaling pathway in the pathogenesis of CL/P (Figure 8).

Tfap2a expression is diminished in the FNPs in response to altered SHH signaling. During craniofacial development, cranial neural crest

Figure 5
Canonical WNT signaling is affected by altering SHH signaling during craniofacial development. (A–D) Lateral views of heads of LacZ-stained E10.0 embryos of the indicated genotypes crossed with TOPgal mice (orientation is the same as that shown in Figure 2, A–D). (D) Hhat\textsuperscript{creface} embryos showed LacZ expression at LNP (red arrowhead), (A–C) while none of other embryos showed it. (E–H) Ventral views of E10.5 embryo MNPs (yellow dotted lines) and LNPs (red dotted lines) of each indicated genotype (orientation is the same as that shown in Figure 2, I–L). (E and G) Hhat\textsuperscript{creface} Ptch1\textsuperscript{wiggable} and Ptch1\textsuperscript{wiggable} embryos both showed noticeable reduction of LacZ expression in MNPs and LNPs compared with controls (E). (H) Hhat\textsuperscript{creface} embryos showed expanded LacZ expression in LNP. (I–L) Ventral views of E11.0 FNPs of each indicated genotype (orientation is the same as that shown in Figure 2, I–L). (I) Control embryos strongly expressed LacZ at the lambdoidal region where the MNP and LNP fuse (red arrowhead). (J) Hhat\textsuperscript{creface} Ptch1\textsuperscript{wiggable} embryos showed reduced expression of LacZ at the lambdoidal region (red arrowhead). (K) Ptch1\textsuperscript{wiggable} embryos showed even more reduction at FNPs. (M–P) Frontal sections of LacZ-stained embryos at E11.0. (M) Control embryos showed strong LacZ expression at epithelial seam and nasal epithelium (red arrowheads). (N) Hhat\textsuperscript{creface} Ptch1\textsuperscript{wiggable} embryo LacZ expression was restricted compared with that of control embryos (red arrowheads). (O) Ptch1\textsuperscript{wiggable} embryos showed very weak LacZ expression, and (P) Hhat\textsuperscript{creface} mice still maintained LacZ expression in FNPs. Scale bars: 200 μm (A–L); 50 μm (M–P).
CNCs play critical roles in producing cartilage, bone, and connective tissues as well as regulating facial patterning (26–28). SHH signaling has been shown to be a critical regulator of CNC development (29). Hence, we assessed the formation and patterning of CNCs via in situ hybridization using *Crabp1*, *Snail1*, and *Tfap2a* as general markers of CNCs. Both *Crabp1* and *Snail1* showed equivalent expression patterns in the FNPs among all genotypes (Supplemental Figure 7). However, the activity of *Tfap2a*, which is a transcription factor that directly binds to the *Irf6* promoter (30), was diminished in the FNPs in *Ptch1wiggable* embryos (Figure 7K and Supplemental Figure 6K). Additionally, *Tfap2a* expression was noticeably reduced in *Hhatcreface Ptch1wiggable* embryos (Figure 7J and Supplemental Figure 6J) compared with that in controls (Figure 7I and Supplemental Figure 6I). We confirmed that TFAP2A protein is expressed in the epithelial seam in association with diminished ECAD in E11.5 control embryos (Supplemental Figure 6M). Interestingly, TFAP2A activity in the epithelial seams of *Ptch1wiggable* and *Hhatreface Ptch1wiggable* mouse embryos was considerably reduced, correlating with persistent ECAD activity (Supplemental Figure 6, N and O). These results strongly suggest that altered or expanded SHH signaling during craniofacial development can affect the expression of *Tfap2a* in CNCs and TFAP2A in epithelial seam cells, which directly modifies IRF6 activity and contributes to epithelial seam persistence in the pathogenesis of cleft lip (Figure 8).

**Discussion**

Disruption of the SHH signaling gradient during craniofacial development results in CL/P via persistence of epithelial cells between facial processes. Disruption of SHH signaling is associated with craniofacial defects, such as holoprosencephaly (loss of function) and nevoid basal cell carcinoma syndrome (gain of function) (31). The fact that both of these disorders present with CL/P highlights the indispensable role of SHH signaling during FNP growth and fusion (8, 32). We recently reported 2 novel mouse lines, both of which exhibit craniofacial defects caused by either reduced (*Hhatreface*) (18) or enhanced (*Ptch1wiggable*) (19) HH signaling, respectively. Interestingly, double-homozygous embryos (*Hhatreface Ptch1wiggable*) exhibit partially rescued craniofacial patterning presumably as a result of restoring SHH signaling to normal levels. However, *Hhatreface Ptch1wiggable* embryos still displayed cleft lip with a primary palate bone cleft (Figure 1, B and E). There are several studies that have described secondary cleft palate via diminished...
or elevated SHH signaling (9, 10, 33, 34). However, the mechanisms underpinning the pathogenesis of cleft lip arising from altered SHH signaling are still poorly understood. Detailed observations of the developing face of Hhatcreface Ptch1wiggable embryos revealed hypoplastic nasal process development at E11.0, leading to pathogenesis of a cleft between the MNPs and LNPs at E11.5, which suggests that growth as well as fusion of these processes was impaired (Figure 2, F, J, and N). It is well known that during nasal process fusion epithelial cells need to be removed in order to form a mesenchymal bridge, which is critical for a rigid connection in the developing lip and primary palate (3). From histological sections of the lambdoidal region, we discovered that SHH signaling is overactivated in Hhatcreface Ptch1wiggable embryos in the absence of HH ligands (37). These results clearly explain the reason that SHH signaling is overactivated in Ptch1wiggable embryos in the absence of SHH ligand.

Precise levels and distribution of SHH signaling are necessary for craniofacial development. In order to assess how SHH signaling was affected in each mutant, we performed in situ hybridization for Ptch1 and Gli1, since they are direct targets of the SHH signaling cascade. During normal craniofacial development, Ptch1 and Gli1 were highly expressed in midline facial fissions and formed a gradient toward the lateral side of the face with no expression in the LNPs (Figure 4, I and M). Expression of both Ptch1 and Gli1 was noticeably upregulated in the FNP and expanded toward the LNPs in Ptch1wiggable embryos (Figure 4, K and O). The Ptch1wiggable mutation causes a C-terminal truncation of PTCH1 protein, which is one of the key receptors of SHH. It is well known that the C-terminal intracellular domain of PTCH1 is responsible for suppressing smoothened activity by forming heterodimers in the absence of HH ligands (37). These results clearly explain the reason that SHH signaling is overactivated in Ptch1wiggable embryos in the absence of SHH ligand.

In contrast, Hhatcreface embryos showed noticeably reduced SHH signaling during facial development (Figure 4, L and P). This is because Hhatcreface embryos are unable to palmitoylate SHH, which...
diminishes its capacity for diffusion and limits the SHH signaling gradient activity (18, 20, 21). Our results demonstrate that facial development can be partially restored in double-homozygous embryos (Figure 2). The total amount of Ptc1 and Gli1 expression in the maxillary complex of Hhatcreface Ptch1wiggable embryos was restored (Supplemental Figure 3, B and C); however, their domain of activity revealed persistent expression in the LNPs, suggesting that the distribution of SHH signaling was still affected (Figure 4, J and N). These results clearly demonstrate that craniofacial morphology is altered in association with the strength and pattern of Shh signaling.

Interaction of SHH and canonical WNT signaling during craniofacial development. Similar to SHH signaling, canonical WNT signaling is also well known to be a critical regulator of craniofacial development (38). Previous reports have shown that reduced canonical WNT signaling through deletion of Wnt9b or Lrp6 during craniofacial development leads to facial deformity, including CL/P, in mice (13, 14). Furthermore, a strong correlation between ectodermal canonical WNT signaling and nasal process growth has been reported (39). From our observations of the patterns of SHH signaling (Ptc1-LacZ) and canonical WNT signaling (TOPgal) during craniofacial development, we found that those 2 signaling pathways complement each other during different facial development (Supplemental Figure 4). Furthermore, our RNA-seq data revealed that some canonical WNT signaling genes, such as Axin2 and Tcf4, are inversely expressed relative to Gli1 and Ptc1, which are targets of SHH signaling (Supplemental Figure 3). These findings led us to hypothesize that SHH signaling represses canonical WNT signaling in order to generate defined facial compartments during craniofacial development. By crossing all mutants to TOPgal mice and assessing LacZ expression, we observed enhanced canonical WNT signaling in the growing LNPs of Hhatcreface embryos, which exhibited reduced SHH signaling from E10.0 to E10.5 (Figure 5, D and H). Conversely, attenuated canonical WNT signaling was observed in Ptc1wiggable and Hhatcreface Ptc1wiggable embryos in concert with expanded SHH signaling (Figure 5, F and G). Importantly, at E11.0, when the MNP and LNP begin to fuse, the lambdoidal region expressed high levels of Wnt activity (Figure 5I). However, this activity was considerably reduced in Hhatcreface Ptc1wiggable embryos (Figure 5J). This reduction of canonical WNT signaling in the lambdoidal region is also observed in other mice in association with cleft lip (13, 14, 40). Consistent with these observations, we could also reproduce this phenotype by culturing whole embryos in the presence of a SHH signaling agonist (Supplemental Figure 5). These results clearly show that SHH signaling inhibits canonical WNT signaling during the process of normal lip fusion.

Canonical WNT inhibitory gene activity is altered by modulating SHH signaling. Since there was a clear inhibition of canonical WNT activity by increased SHH activity during craniofacial development, we explored a role for canonical WNT inhibitory genes in this process. Numerous canonical WNT inhibitory genes have been reported (41), and 2 classes of secreted factor exist. One is the dickkopf (Dkk) class and another is the secreted frizzled-related (Sfrp) class (42). We interrogated our RNA-seq data in combination with the literature to identify factors, which were activated by enhanced SHH signaling. As a result, we focused on Vax1 and Sfrp family genes. Recent studies reported significant genetic associations between VAX1 mutations and CL/P in humans (43–45). Furthermore, Vax1-null mice display cleft palate (46). Vax2 has been shown to be activated by SHH signaling (47), and Vax1, together with Vax2, regulate a dominant-negative truncated transcription factor, Tcf7l2, to antagonize canonical WNT signaling (48). In the present study, we observed altered Vax1 expression in Hhatcreface Ptc1wiggable embryos, particularly in the MNP close to the region in which process fusion takes place (Figure 6B). Sfrp1 and Sfrp2 double-null mice display craniofacial deformity, illustrating their important roles during craniofacial development (49, 50). Sfrp1 transcription has been shown to be activated by SHH signaling during retinal development (51), and Sfrp2 is activated by SHH and plays a role in restricting canonical WNT signaling during neural tube patterning (52). In the present study, we found altered Sfrp1 expression in the vicinity of the lambdoidal region in Hhatcreface Ptc1wiggable embryos similar to that of Vax1 (Figure 6F). Sfrp2 and Fzrb showed increased activity in the MNPs of Hhatcreface Ptc1wiggable embryos (Figure 6, J and N). These results clearly demonstrate that diminished canonical WNT signaling in the lambdoidal junction in Hhatcreface Ptc1wiggable embryos correlates with altered or increased expression of canonical WNT inhibitory genes mediated by SHH signaling. We identified GLI2 and GLI3 consensus binding sequences in potential regulatory regions of

Figure 8
Schematic summary of the critical role of SHH signaling in nasal processes growth and fusion. (A) SHH signaling is important to the removal of the epithelial seam between the nasal processes by maintaining the p63/IF6 signaling cascade via proper WNT signaling and Tfl2a expression. (B) Expanded SHH signaling leads to cleft lip phenotype by disrupting various signaling cascades required for epithelial seam breakdown.
expression, in Hhatcreface Ptch1wiggable and Tp63 embryos (Figure 7, B, C, F, and G). Taken together, with increased cell proliferation (Figure 1, K, L, and O) and stronger SSEA1 expression (Figure 6, B, C, F, and G), leads to CL/P in mice (24) and is also known to be responsible for certain human syndromes that display CL/P as part of the phenotype (25, 53). Interestingly, recent studies demonstrated that p63 directly disrupts WNT signaling is tightly associated with the pathogenesis of CL/P (17). In the present study, we confirmed Irf6 downregulation in the lambdoidal region, together with attenuated Tp63 expression, in Hhatcreface Ptch1wiggable and Ptch1wiggable embryos (Figure 7, B, C, F, and G). Taken together with increased cell proliferation (Figure 7, K, L, and O) and stronger SSEA1 expression (Supplemental Figure 2) in the epithelium of the nasal process coming into closer proximity with the highly hypoplastic bridge at the seam.

A novel pathway in the pathogenesis of cleft lip. Tp63 disruption leads to CL/P in mice (24) and is also known to be responsible for certain human syndromes that display CL/P as part of the phenotype (25, 53). Irf6 has also been identified as a candidate gene for isolated CL/P as well as Van der Woude’s syndrome (54). Interestingly, recent studies demonstrated that p63 directly activates Irf6 transcription, allowing epithelial cells to exit the cell cycle during proper processes fusion (16, 17, 36, 55). Furthermore, inhibiting the p63/IRF6 signaling cascade had been shown to facilitate the persistence of excessive periderm cells in the secondary palate, which is mechanistically associated with the pathogenesis of CL/P (17). In the present study, we confirmed Irf6 downregulation in the lambdoidal region, together with attenuated Tp63 expression, in Hhatcreface Ptch1wiggable and Tp63 embryos (Figure 7, B, C, F, and G). Taken together with increased cell proliferation (Figure 3, K, L, and O) and stronger SSEA1 expression (Supplemental Figure 2) in the epithelium of the nasal process coming into closer proximity with the highly hypoplastic bridge at the seam.

Collectively, our results demonstrate a novel mechanism whereby SHH signaling modulates WNT signaling and TFAP2A expression via a p63/IRF6 cascade during facial process growth, morphogenesis, and fusion (Figure 8). Disruption of any component can result in cleft lip/palate, highlighting the signaling complexity that is required for normal nasal process growth and fusion.

Methods

Mouse lines. Ptch1wiggable mice were generated through N-ethyl-N-nitrosourea mutagenesis in our lab (19). Hhatcreface, TOPgal, and Ptch1lacZ mice were maintained as described previously (18, 22, 23). For embryonic staging, the morning of vaginal plug identification was defined as E0.5. We designated embryos carrying homozygous mutations as Hhatcreface or Ptch1wiggable, respectively, and Hhatcreface Ptch1wiggable as double-homozygous mice. Control mice described in the present study were either wild-type or heterozygous littermates.

Skeletal preparations. Embryos were collected at E16.5. Cartilage and bone were visualized by staining with Alizarin red and Alcian blue as described previously (18).

Whole-mount nuclear fluorescent imaging. For analyzing facial morphological structure, we fixed the embryos in 4% PFA overnight at 4°C. Fixed embryos were washed several times in PBS and stained directly with DAPI (Sigma-Aldrich) (1:1,000) in PBS overnight at 4°C and visualized and photographed as previously described (62).

Immunohistochemistry and cell death detection. Frozen sections (10-μm thick) were mounted on adhesive slides. The slides were washed in TBST (TBS with 0.1% Tween) and blocked with 1% BSA in PBS for 1 hour at room temperature. Slides were incubated with anti-ECAD (Abcam), anti–phospho-Histone-3 (Upstate/Millipore), anti–TFAP2A (3B5, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank), and anti-SSEA1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Antibodies were diluted 1:500 for ECAD and phospho-Histone-3, 1:50 for TFAP2A, and 1:200 for SSEA1 overnight at 4°C and washed several times in TBST. The slides were incubated using the appropriate secondary antibody for 1 hour at room temperature and counterstained with DAPI (Sigma-Aldrich) (1:1,000) for 10 minutes at room temperature and mounted with fluorescent mounting medium (DakoCytomation). To visualize apoptosis in conjunction with ECAD immunostaining, we used the In Situ Cell Death Detection Kit Fluorescein (Roche) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

In situ hybridization. Embryos were collected as described above and fixed overnight in 4% PFA at 4°C. For whole-mount in situ hybridization (WISH), the samples were dehydrated in graded methanol and stored at –20°C until use. We rehydrated the samples and performed standard ISH protocol, which has been described before (63). Some whole-mount embryos labelled by in situ hybridization were processed were processed through a sucrose gradient, embedded in Tissue-Tek (OCT compound, Sakura), and cut into 18-μm-thick sections for detailed observation. Section in situ hybridization was performed using 10-μm-thick frozen sections. Hybridization and color development were performed as previously reported (64). The constructs used to produce Tp63 and Irf6 as well as Vax1 riboprobes were provided by Licia Selleri (Cornell University Medical School, New York, New York, USA) and Douglas J. Epstein (University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA), respectively.

RNA-seq. Total RNA was isolated from the E11.5 maxillary complex (encompassing MNP, LNP, and maxillary process) of embryos of each genotype (Supplemental Figure 3A) using the RNeasy Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Sequences for each RNA sample were sequenced using TopHat (v1.4.0). The reference genome and annotation files used for the alignment were from the UCSC mm9 genome and the ensemble build 65. Gene expression levels for genes are calculated using cufflinks.
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(v1.3.0) (65, 66) with TopHat-aligned reads. We generated read counts that were mapped to exons of genes using BEDTOOLS.

β-Galactosidase staining. Hbargalα+Pkb1algal mice were mated to TOPgal mice (23), and embryos were collected at E10.0, E10.5, and E11.0. All embryos were stained using the β-Galactosidase Staining Solution Kit (Chemicon/Millipore) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Some of stained embryos were processed for 18-μm-thick frozen sections for detailed observation as described above.

Whole embryo culture with Shh agonist. TOPgal embryos were obtained from pregnant female mice at E10.0 and placed into Tyrode’s solution. The decidua and Reichert’s membrane were carefully removed, and a minimal incision was made in yolk sac and amnion to expose the head of the embryos. The embryos were transferred into 37 °C 65% oxygen gas-supplied whole embryo culture rat serum (Harlan) with 2 mg/ml D-(+)-Glucose solution (Sigma-Aldrich) (67). 10 μM Purmorphamine (Millipore) was added to the culture media as an agonist of SHH signaling. After 24-hour culture, the embryos were collected and processed though β-galactosidase staining as described above.

Statistics. Two-tailed Student’s t tests were performed for data represented in Figure 3O. P values in Supplemental Figure 3 were adjusted using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure to control the false discovery rate. An R package, DESeq, was used to find differentially expressed genes between control and mutant samples. P values of less than 0.05 were considered significant in all experiments.

Study approval. Mice were housed in the Laboratory Animal Services Facility at the Stowers Institute for Medical Research. Welfare guidelines and procedures were performed with approval of the Stowers Medical Research IACUC.
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