Inducible nitric oxide synthase is an endogenous neuroprotectant after traumatic brain injury in rats and mice
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Nitric oxide (NO) derived from the inducible isoform of NO synthase (iNOS) is an inflammatory product implicated both in secondary damage and in recovery from brain injury. To address the role of iNOS in experimental traumatic brain injury (TBI), we used 2 paradigms in 2 species. In a model of controlled cortical impact (CCI) with secondary hypoxemia, rats were treated with vehicle or with 1 of 2 iNOS inhibitors (aminoguanidine and l-N-iminoethyl-lysine), administered by Alzet pump for 5 days and 1.5 days after injury, respectively. In a model of CCI, knockout mice lacking the iNOS gene (iNOS–/–) were compared with wild-type (iNOS+/+) mice. Functional outcome (motor and cognitive) during the first 20 days after injury, and histopathology at 21 days, were assessed in both studies. Treatment of rats with either of the iNOS inhibitors after TBI significantly exacerbated deficits in cognitive performance, as assessed by Morris water maze (MWM) and increased neuron loss in vulnerable regions (CA3 and CA1) of hippocampus. Uninjured iNOS+/+ and iNOS–/– mice performed equally well in both motor and cognitive tasks. However, after TBI, iNOS–/– mice showed markedly worse performance in the MWM task than iNOS+/+ mice. A beneficial role for iNOS in TBI is supported.


Introduction

In some diseases of the central nervous system (CNS), such as meningitis, Alzheimer’s disease, multiple sclerosis, and stroke, the local inflammatory response contributes to neurologic damage (1–3). Prevailing wisdom has been that secondary damage from ischemia and traumatic brain injury (TBI) is partly due to inflammatory mediators (4, 5). However, the inflammatory response could also facilitate neurologic recovery (6). Several proinflammatory mediators (e.g., TNF-α, IL-1β, NF-κB) exhibit neuroprotective properties (7–10). Thus, the endogenous inflammatory response may exacerbate secondary injury, improve neurologic recovery, or both.

Nitric oxide (NO) is an inflammatory product that is implicated both in secondary damage (11) and in neurologic recovery (12). The location (13), timing (14–16), and amount of NO production (17) may determine its role, as may the type of insult (18). NO produced by endothelial NO synthase (eNOS) provides benefit in models of TBI and focal cerebral ischemia by augmenting penumbral blood flow (19, 20). This benefit prompted a recent trial of l-arginine in human TBI (C. Robertson, personal communication). In contrast, NO produced by neuronal NO synthase (nNOS) contributes to neuron damage after ischemic or excitotoxic insult (21–23). eNOS and nNOS are isoforms of constitutive NO synthase that are regulated by calcium and are responsible for intermittent production of small amounts of NO (24).

Inducible NO synthase (iNOS) is neither calcium-regulated nor detectable in normal brain. It is induced by a variety of inflammatory stimuli such as cytokines. Gene expression of iNOS requires activation of the
transcriptional factor NF-κB (24, 25). Typically, iNOS is not found in brain until 6–12 hours after TBI (26). Upregulation of iNOS in rat hippocampus has been reported after fluid percussion (FP) TBI (27). Once expressed, iNOS produces large amounts of NO for sustained periods, limited only by substrate and cofactor availability. After experimental focal cerebral ischemia, and in FP-TBI within 96 hours, iNOS-derived NO is acutely detrimental (28), possibly because of toxic effects of NO metabolites such as peroxynitrite. Infarct size is decreased after cerebral ischemia by the iNOS inhibitor aminoguanidine (AG) (29, 30). Iadecola et al. (15) confirmed this finding, showing a reduction in infarct volume after focal ischemia in iNOS–/– mice compared with wild-type mice.

In contrast, AG exacerbates brain injury associated with lymphocytic choriomeningitis in mice (18), and iNOS–/– mice develop more severe experimental allergic encephalitis (EAE) than do wild-type mice (31, 32), indicating a protective role for iNOS in models of CNS infection and immune-mediated damage. Unlike focal cerebral ischemia, in EAE symptoms develop slowly, leading to neurologic impairment after 21–25 days (31).

We sought to determine the effects of iNOS on functional and neuropathologic outcome after TBI using 2 models: a rat model using treatment with the iNOS inhibitors AG or L-NAME-iminoethyl-lysine (L-NIL), and assessment of TBI in iNOS–/– mice.

**Methods**

The University of Pittsburgh Animal Care and Use Committee approved all of the experiments in this report.

**Rat model of TBI.** A previously described rat model of controlled cortical impact (CCI) with a secondary hypoxic insult was used (33–35). In adult male Sprague-Dawley rats, anesthesia was induced with 4% isoflurane (Anaquest Inc., Memphis, Tennessee, USA) in O2. The trachea was intubated with a 14-gauge angiocatheter, and the lungs were mechanically ventilated with 2% isoflurane in N2O (66%) and O2 (32%). A femoral arterial catheter was inserted for blood sampling, monitoring mean arterial blood pressure (MABP), and administration of pancuronium bromide (0.1 mg/kg; Elkins-Sinn, Cherry Hill, New Jersey, USA). A rectal probe was inserted to monitor temperature. Before injury, an arterial blood sample was obtained, and pH, blood gases, hematocrit, and blood glucose were measured. To simulate the clinical setting of TBI, secondary insult, and resuscitation, we used the following protocol (33). A craniotomy was made over the left parietal cortex. A probe was inserted through a burr hole in the left parietal cortex to monitor brain temperature. Rats were then warmed to a brain temperature of 37.0 ± 0.5 °C and allowed to equilibrate under anesthesia (1.1% isoflurane, and N2O/O2, 2:1) for 30 minutes. After removal of the bone flap, injury was produced using the CCI device (34, 35). A penetration depth of 2.5 mm and a velocity of 4 m/s were target insult parameters. Impact velocity was measured (35). To produce moderate hypoxemia, N2O, air, and O2 were blended to achieve an FiO2 of 11%, beginning 1 minute after CCI (33). In prior studies, this produced a PaO2 in rats of approximately 44 mmHg (33, 36). Arterial blood gas tensions, MABP, and blood glucose were measured at 25 minutes after trauma. The bone flap was replaced and sealed with dental cement (Vernon Benshoff Co., Albany, New York, USA), and the scalp incision was closed. Hypoxemia was maintained for 30 minutes; then FiO2 was increased to 100%, and Alzet pumps (Alza Corp., Palo Alto, California, USA) preloaded with iNOS inhibitor or saline vehicle were inserted (see protocol below). Once recovered from anesthesia, rats were extubated and then observed in 100% O2 for 30 minutes before being returned to their cages.

**Mouse model of TBI.** A previously described mouse model of CCI was used (37, 38). Anesthesia was induced in male mice (12 weeks old) with 4% isoflurane in O2. Mice were positioned in a stereotaxic frame, spontaneously breathing 2% isoflurane, 66% N2O, and 32% O2. A temperature probe was inserted into the left frontal cortex. A craniotomy was made over the left parietal cortex. Isoflurane was then reduced to 1%. Once a brain temperature of 37.0 ± 0.5 °C was reached, it was maintained at that level for 5 minutes before a vertically...
Table 1
Controlled and observed physiologic variables in rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Vehicle</th>
<th>AG</th>
<th>L-NIL</th>
<th>Sham</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before injury</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (g)</td>
<td>345 ± 5</td>
<td>346 ± 7</td>
<td>351 ± 7</td>
<td>357 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood pH</td>
<td>7.38 ± 0.01</td>
<td>7.38 ± 0.01</td>
<td>7.39 ± 0.01</td>
<td>7.37 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaCO2 (mmHg)</td>
<td>40.4 ± 1.1</td>
<td>40.8 ± 1.3</td>
<td>37.5 ± 1.6</td>
<td>39.8 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaO2 (mmHg)</td>
<td>173 ± 4</td>
<td>160 ± 5</td>
<td>142 ± 7a</td>
<td>158 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MABP (mmHg)</td>
<td>118 ± 10</td>
<td>110 ± 5</td>
<td>108 ± 5</td>
<td>105 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain temperature (°C)</td>
<td>37.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td>37.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>37.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td>37.0 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocrit (%)</td>
<td>34.2 ± 1.2</td>
<td>35.9 ± 0.9</td>
<td>36.7 ± 1.4</td>
<td>33.5 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood glucose (mg/dL)</td>
<td>212 ± 17</td>
<td>199 ± 17</td>
<td>211 ± 19</td>
<td>171 ± 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After injury (25 min)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood pH</td>
<td>7.40 ± 0.01</td>
<td>7.38 ± 0.01</td>
<td>7.38 ± 0.01</td>
<td>7.37 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaCO2 (mmHg)</td>
<td>38.9 ± 2.0</td>
<td>39.4 ± 1.9</td>
<td>40.1 ± 1.1</td>
<td>42.2 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaO2 (mmHg)</td>
<td>46 ± 1</td>
<td>44 ± 1</td>
<td>41 ± 1</td>
<td>128 ± 28a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MABP (mmHg)</td>
<td>64 ± 4</td>
<td>70 ± 4</td>
<td>68 ± 4</td>
<td>96 ± 11a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain temperature (°C)</td>
<td>37.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>37.0 ± 0.8</td>
<td>37.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>37.1 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood glucose (mg/dL)</td>
<td>237 ± 18</td>
<td>195 ± 17</td>
<td>223 ± 26</td>
<td>218 ± 59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05 vs. vehicle- and AG-treated groups. **P < 0.05 vs. all other groups subjected to TBI.

directed CCI was delivered, using a 3-mm flat-tipped impounder with a depth of 1.0 mm and a velocity of 6 m/s. The bone flap was replaced with dental cement, the scalp was sutured closed, and the anesthetic was discontinued. Mice were observed for 30 minutes in supplemental O2 and then returned to their cages.

*iNOS RT-PCR in rats and mice.* RT-PCR was performed to determine the temporal expression of iNOS mRNA in brain after TBI. Injured cortex and ipsilateral hippocampus from adult male Sprague-Dawley uninjured rats and rats at 2, 6, 24, and 72 hours after TBI (n = 2 rats per group) were assayed. Injured cortex from C57BL/6 uninjured mice and mice at 2, 6, 24, 48, and 72 hours after TBI (n = 3 mice per group) was assayed. Animals were perfused transcardially with ice-cold saline. Brain regions of interest were dissected and removed, and total RNA was extracted with an RNA isolation kit (Molecular Research Center, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA). Concentration and purity of RNA were determined by measuring absorbance at 260/280 nm. Samples were digested with 4 mL of DNase I and 0.5 mL of RNase inhibitor (Promega Corp., Madison, Wisconsin, USA) in buffer containing 40 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 6 mM MgCl2, and 2 mM CaCl2. Treated samples were incubated at 37°C for 30 minutes. RNA was again extracted.

For RT-PCR, 8 µL of sample, 2.5 µM of random hexamers, and 20 µL of RNase inhibitor were heated to 94°C for 2 minutes and cooled on ice for 5 minutes (39). To this mixture, 4 µL of 5X H–reverse transcriptase (H–rt) buffer, DTT (0.01 M), 2 mL dNTP (1 mM), and 20 µL of RNase inhibitor were added. The mixture was incubated at 41°C for 15 minutes, and 2 µL (200 U/µL) of Superscript RNase H–rt enzyme ( GibCO BRL, Baltimore, Maryland, USA) was added. This mixture was incubated for 60 minutes at 41°C, heated to 99°C for 5 minutes to inactivate the H–RT enzyme, and cooled to 4°C.

PCR amplification of cDNA was performed with the following primers: rat iNOS forward primer 5’-CATTCAGATCC-GCAAACGTAC-3’, rat iNOS reverse primer 5’-AGCGCTATGGTAACACGTTTCT-3’, rat iNOS reverse primer 5’-TGAAGGGAAATCGTGCCTTG-3’, rat actin forward primer 5’-TCACTGTAGTGC-CACAGGATTC-3’, mouse iNOS forward primer 5’-ATGACAGTATAAGCAGCG-3’, mouse iNOS reverse primer 5’-GCTCTGGATAGGCTATATG-3’, mouse iNOS reverse primer 5’-CTCTGTGGTTCGCCATAGGTC-3’, and mouse actin reverse primer 5’-CAGCAGTGTCCGCATAGG-3’. For cDNA from rat samples, the following were added to yield a final reaction volume of 100 µL: 1 µL of the cDNA product; 10 µL PCR buffer (50 mM KCl and 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.3); 4 mM MgCl2; 0.2 mM each of dATP, dCTP, dGTP, and dTTP; 1 µL forward primer; 1 µL reverse primer; 10 µL DMSO; and water. The mixture was overlaid with mineral oil and heated at 94°C for 5 minutes before the addition of 2.5 U of AmpliTaq DNA polymerase (Perkin-Elmer Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, USA). Rat iNOS cDNA was amplified at 94°C for 1 minute, 60°C for 1 minute, and 72°C for 3 minutes, for 40 cycles. For cDNA from mouse samples, the following were added to give a final reaction volume of 100 µL: 1 µL of the cDNA product; 10 µL PCR buffer (75 mM (NH4)2SO4, 300 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.5)); 2.5 mM MgCl2; 0.1 mM each of dATP, dCTP, dGTP, and dTTP; 1 µL forward primer; 1 µL reverse primer; and water. The mixture was overlaid with mineral oil and heated at 94°C for 5 minutes before 1 U of Taq DNA polymerase (Perkin-Elmer Applied Biosystems) was added. Mouse iNOS cDNA and actin cDNA were amplified at 94°C for 1 minute, 57°C for 1 minute, and 72°C for 3 minutes, for 40 cycles. For cDNA from mouse samples, the following were added to give a final reaction volume of 100 µL: 1 µL of the cDNA product; 10 µL PCR buffer (75 mM (NH4)2SO4, 300 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.5)); 2.5 mM MgCl2; 0.1 mM each of dATP, dCTP, dGTP, and dTTP; 1 µL forward primer; 1 µL reverse primer; and water. The mixture was overlaid with mineral oil and heated at 94°C for 5 minutes before 1 U of Taq DNA polymerase (Perkin-Elmer Applied Biosystems) was added. Mouse iNOS cDNA and actin cDNA were amplified at 94°C for 1 minute, 57°C for 2 minutes, and 72°C for 3 minutes, for 30 cycles. RT-PCR products were separated on 8% acrylamide gels, stained with ethidium bromide, and photographed. Controls included samples run without RNA and reverse transcription.

PCR of mouse genomic DNA. PCR was performed on brain genomic DNA from iNOS+/– and iNOS–/– mice. DNA was extracted using the Wizard Genomic DNA purification system (Promega Corp.). DNA was quantified, and 200 ng was used in each reaction. The choice of primers for amplification of murine iNOS DNA was as follows: a 5’ primer flanking the iNOS2 gene (5’-ATCAAGCCCTTTCTCCTGCC-3’), a 3’ primer specific for the wild-type allele (5’-GGCTTTCTGTGTCTTCTC-3’), and a 3’ primer specific for the
mutant allele (5′-GCCTGAAGAGAGATCAGCAGCCTCTG-3′). PCR conditions were as follows: 1 cycle at 96°C for 3 minutes; 30 cycles at 94°C for 30 seconds, 65°C for 45 seconds, and 72°C for 3 minutes; and 1 cycle at 72°C for 7 minutes, using a DNA Thermal Cycler (model 480; Perkin-Elmer Applied Biosystems). Known nOS−/− and iNOS−/− mouse DNA (identified previously by Southern blot) served as positive controls. An aliquot (25 μL) of the PCR reaction product was stained and viewed on a 2% agarose gel.

Assessment of motor performance. Gross vestibulomotor function was assessed using a beam-balance task (40). This task consisted of placing a rat on a suspended, narrow wooden beam (1.5 cm wide) and measuring the latency (up to 60 seconds) that it remained on the beam. Training consisted of 3 trials, which served as baseline. Finer components of vestibulomotor function and coordination were assessed in rats by a blinded observer, using a beam-walking task (41). Two days before injury, rats were trained to escape a bright light and loud white noise by traversing a narrow wooden beam (2.5 · 100 cm) to enter a darkened goal box at the opposite end of the beam. Rats were placed at one end of the beam close to the source of light and noise. The noise and light were terminated when the rat entered the goal box. Performance was assessed by measuring the rat’s latency to traverse the beam (mean of 3 trials).

In mice, vestibulomotor function was assessed using a wire-grip test (42). Mice were placed on a metal wire 40 cm long, suspended 40 cm above a foam mat between 2 vertical bars. Mice were introduced to the wire so that both front paws came in contact with the wire and there was an equal chance at grasping the wire. Mice were allowed to either fall to the pad, remain hanging on the wire, or crawl along the wire to one of the supporting poles. The latency that a mouse remained on the wire within a 30-second interval was measured. Grip-test score was also quantified using a 5-point scale (42). Motor performance during Morris water maze (MWM) testing was assessed in both rats and mice by measuring swim speed with a video tracking system.

Spatial memory performance assessments. A spatial memory acquisition paradigm of the MWM was used for cognitive assessment. The MWM for rats used a circular pool 180 cm in diameter and 60 cm high, filled with water to a depth of 28 cm. A Plexiglas platform 10 cm in diameter and 26 cm high (i.e., 2 cm below the water’s surface) was used as a hidden goal platform. The water maze for mice used a pool 85 cm in diameter and 26 cm high. Each pool was located in a room with numerous extramaze cues (shapes on the walls) that remained constant during the studies. Water was kept at 20–22°C. A video tracking system (San Diego Instruments Inc., San Diego, California, USA) was used to record and quantify the swimming motions of the animals. The tracking system consisted of a charge-coupled device interfaced to a personal computer. The hidden-platform version of the MWM was used to assess an animal’s ability to learn spatial relations between distal cues and the escape platform. Performance of this task is impaired by lesions to the hippocampus and cortex. Four daily trials over 7 days were used. A blinded observer placed each animal in the pool facing the wall. Animals began a trial from each of the 4 possible start locations in random order. Platform location was kept constant for each animal. Animals were given up to 120 seconds to find the hidden platform. If an animal failed to find the platform, it was placed on the platform. Animals were allowed to remain on the platform for 30 seconds before being placed in a heated incubator between trials (4-minute intertrial interval). Testing on the final 2 days consisted of a visible-platform task in which the platform was raised to 2 cm above the water surface. (The visible-platform task tests for potential nonspecific deficits in visual and motor function.) Motor testing was done on each of the first 5 days after TBI. Spatial memory was tested beginning at 14 days after TBI.

Assessment of neuropathology. At 21 days after TBI, rats or mice were anesthetized and perfused with 500 mL or 100 mL of 2% paraformaldehyde, respectively. Brains were removed and stored in 2% paraformaldehyde. Coronal frozen sections (10 μm) were prepared through the entire brain at 1-mm (rats) or 0.5-mm (mice) intervals from the occiput; in rats, sections were also taken through the lesion center (~2.6 mm posterior to bregma) for hippocampal neuron counts. Sections were stained with cresyl violet. In the serial sections, the margins of both the contusion and the total

![Figure 2](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI6670)
left hemisphere were outlined by a blinded observer (M4; Imaging Research Inc., St. Catharine’s, Ontario, Canada). Contusion and hemispheric areas were measured. Contusion volume was calculated and expressed as percentage of the noninjured hemisphere. In sections taken through the lesion in rats, CA1 and CA3 neurons in dorsal hippocampus were counted in 6 fields (×400). Only normal-appearing neurons (having a clearly defined cell body and nucleus) were counted (33). Cell counts were expressed as the mean number of surviving neurons per high-power field (HPF). To produce a robust functional deficit in mice (without hypoxemic insult) required an injury level that breached the hippocampus, precluding assessment of CA1 and CA3 neuron counts in mice.

**Rat study protocol.** Immediately after injury, coded unprimed Alzet pumps were implanted subcutaneously to deliver AG (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, Missouri, USA; 180 mg/kg per day for 5 days) (41), L-NIL (Alexis Corp., Laufelfingen, Switzerland; 60 mg/kg per day for 1.5 days) (43–45), or saline vehicle (for 5 days). Therapies were assigned in a randomized and blinded fashion; all outcome parameters were assessed by investigators blinded to group. Rats (n = 32) were injured to achieve a sample size of 10 per group, to survive 21 days. Six shams (with craniotomy but no hypoxemic insult) were also studied. Outcome assessments included beam-balance and beam-walking latencies (days 1–5), cognition (MWM, days 14–20), and neuropathology (day 21).

**Mouse study protocol.** Targeted disruption of the murine iNOS gene was used to generate iNOS−/− mice, as described previously (46). Knockout mice were backcrossed 4 times onto a C57BL/6J background. PCR of genomic DNA was used to confirm the genotype of iNOS−/− and iNOS+/− mice in randomly selected animals (data not shown). Male iNOS−/− mice (n = 10) were compared with male wild-type mice (iNOS+/−, n = 11). Wire grip was assessed on days 1–5 after injury, while MWM performance was assessed on days 14–20. Neuropathologic outcome was assessed on day 21. Because the role of iNOS in motor performance and spatial memory acquisition was not known, we also assessed uninjured mice (iNOS+/− and iNOS−/−) using both MWM and motor tests. Therefore, there were 4 groups of mice tested in this study: iNOS−/− and iNOS+/− mice subjected to either CCI or no injury. Outcome was assessed by investigators blinded to group.

**Statistics.** Results of the motor and MWM tests were analyzed using 2-way ANOVA for repeated measures. Lesion volumes and hippocampal neuron counts were compared using ANOVA or Student’s 2-tailed t tests. Appropriate post hoc multiple comparison tests were applied. Data are presented as mean ± SEM.

**Results**

**iNOS mRNA is increased after TBI in rats and mice.** RT-PCR verified that iNOS mRNA is increased in brain of both rats and mice after TBI. The time course of iNOS mRNA expression is shown in Figure 1, a and b. In rats, iNOS mRNA is increased at 2–72 hours in injured cortex (compared with uninjured) and at 2–6 hours in ipsilateral hippocampus. Maximal iNOS mRNA expression occurs at 6 hours. We were unable to detect iNOS PCR product in rat brain at either 30 or 60 minutes after TBI (data not shown). Compared with rats, brain iNOS mRNA expression in mice after TBI was delayed. In injured cortex from iNOS−/− mice, iNOS mRNA was not detected by RT-PCR until 24 hours after TBI, with increasing expression up to 72 hours. These data suggest that the temporal expression of iNOS is species-dependent. Transcription of iNOS is more rapid in rats than in mice after TBI.

**Rat functional and neuropathologic outcome.** Two of 32 traumatized rats died (1 each in the vehicle- and AG-treated groups). These rats died on days 2 and 3 after injury. Physiologic parameters (body weight, arterial blood gas values, MABP, hematocrit, and blood glucose) in rats, both before and at 25 minutes after TBI, are shown in Table 1. There was only 1 significant difference in any physiologic parameter between groups before TBI. PaO2 was lower in the L-NIL–treated rats before TBI (compared with vehicle and AG treatment). However, all rats in the study had a PaO2 over 110 mmHg before injury. At 25 minutes after injury, as expected, shams had higher PaO2 and MABP than did any of the injury groups (shams were not subjected to CCI or hypoxemia). Impact velocity did not differ between groups (data not shown).

All injured rats showed an equal decrement in motor function (measured with beam-balance and beam-walking tasks) compared with shams (Figures 2 and 3). Func-
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**Figure 3**

Mean latencies (± SEM) of rats to traverse a beam before and after TBI with secondary hypoxemic insult. Before injury, rats were trained to traverse the beam within 5 seconds (Before). Beginning 1 day after injury, latencies were measured daily for 5 days. All injured groups had longer (impaired) latencies on days 1–3 after injury. Shown are saline (filled diamonds), AG (filled circles), and L-NIL (open triangles) treatments. *P < 0.05 vs. sham (open squares; shams were not subjected to CCI or hypoxemia). There were no differences between groups treated with iNOS inhibitors vs. saline after TBI.
The effects of TBI with secondary hypoxic insult and treatment with iNOS inhibitors on MWM performance in rats. Mean latencies (±SEM) to find a submerged (hidden) platform on days 14–18 after TBI. All injured groups had the longest (most impaired) latencies on day 14 after injury. However, rats treated with either of the iNOS inhibitors (AG or L-NIL) exhibited persistently higher latencies to find the platform on days 16, 17, and 18 after injury, compared with sham (open squares). Shown are saline (filled diamonds), AG (filled circles), and L-NIL (open triangles) treatments. *P < 0.05 vs. sham. Improved performance on visible-platform testing (compared with hidden-platform testing) done on days 19–20 indicates that the deficits seen were not caused by visual impairment.

Discussion

An endogenous beneficial effect of iNOS is shown in experimental TBI. This effect translated into significant worsening of functional endpoints in 2 species when iNOS was inhibited or lacking. Although the mechanisms producing this effect were not determined, this is, to our knowledge, the first study that
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Number of surviving CA3 hippocampal neurons per HPF (×400) ± SEM at 21 days after TBI with secondary hypoxic insult in rats treated with saline, AG, or L-NIL, and in shams. The number of surviving CA3 hippocampal neurons was reduced by TBI (*P < 0.05 for saline vs. sham). Treatment with either of the iNOS inhibitors further reduced CA3 hippocampal neuron survival (AG and L-NIL both P < 0.05 vs. *sham or **saline).
characterizes the long-term effect of treatment with iNOS inhibitors, or the use of mice deficient in iNOS, on functional outcome in a TBI model.

Our studies suggest that uninjured iNOS−/− mice perform normally in motor and spatial memory acquisition tasks compared with wild-type mice. This argues against an essential role for iNOS in the events involved in completing these behavioral tasks, as was seen for eNOS in long-term potentiation (46–48), and argues strongly against a nonspecific functional deficit in our mutant mice (49).

The finding that iNOS can have beneficial effects in CNS disease has also been reported in a murine model of lymphocytic choriomeningitis (18). Expression of iNOS in infiltrating inflammatory cells prompted the assumption that iNOS-derived NO contributes to secondary damage (18). However, treatment with AG exacerbated neurologic injury and death. We previously reported upregulation of iNOS in infiltrating leukocytes and vasculature at 24–48 hours after TBI in rats (26). Our results in TBI are similar to recent reports in murine EAE. Two separate laboratories (31, 32) have reported worse outcomes in EAE models in iNOS−/− mice than in iNOS+/+ mice. In both studies, the disease was earlier in onset and/or more aggressive in iNOS−/− mice.

Unlike our findings in rats, we were unable to show exacerbation of hippocampal neuron damage at 21 days after TBI in mice. This may relate to the fact that in coronal brain sections taken through the injury in our mouse model, there is nearly complete hippocampal neuron loss. Alternatively, because the substrates for the functional deficits have yet to be determined in experimental TBI, the endogenous beneficial effect of iNOS on functional outcome could be mediated by mechanisms other than hippocampal neuron loss.

Our studies indicate that the inhibition of iNOS produces different effects in experimental TBI than those seen in models of focal cerebral ischemia, despite the use of identical species (14, 15, 50). Cockcroft et al. (29) reported reductions in infarct volume 24 hours after temporary focal cerebral ischemia in rats given AG (320 mg/kg) 15 minutes after the onset of ischemia. Iadecola et al. (28) reported a 33% reduction in infarct volume (compared with vehicle treatment) using a 3-day treatment regimen of AG (100 mg/kg administered twice daily), beginning 24 hours after middle cerebral artery occlusion in spontaneously hypertensive rats. Infarct volume was assessed 4 days after occlusion. A 26% reduction in infarct volume at 4 days (compared with vehicle treatment) was also seen in rats subjected to focal cerebral ischemia and treated with this AG regimen, which inhibited brain iNOS activity (28, 30). We based our dosing for this study on that work. Finally, Iadecola et al. (15), reported an improvement in neurologic deficits and infarct volume 96 hours after focal ischemia in iNOS−/− mice. Although L-NIL is a more selective inhibitor of NOS than is AG (43, 51), our report is the first to use this inhibitor in a model of brain injury.

There are differences between our study and studies by others of inhibition or deletion of iNOS in models of focal cerebral ischemia and FP-TBI. In our study, animals were serially evaluated for functional outcome over long periods of time, and histology was assessed at a delayed time (3 weeks) after injury. In contrast, studies of inhibition or deletion of iNOS in models of focal cerebral ischemia have invariably involved quicker follow-up (24–96 hours). These differences might be magnified by both of the sustained treatment paradigms in the rat experiments and in the iNOS−/− mice, where the beneficial effects of iNOS-derived NO are absent for the duration of the study. Marked temporal dependence of the effect of AG treatment has also been reported in EAE (52). Treatment with AG before onset

**Figure 6**

Number of surviving CA1 hippocampal neurons per HPF (×400) ± SEM at 21 days after TBI with secondary hypoxic insult in rats treated with saline, AG, or L-NIL, and in shams. Treatment with either of the iNOS inhibitors reduced CA1 hippocampal neuron survival (*AG and L-NIL both P < 0.05 vs. sham). Rats treated with AG exhibited reduced CA1 neuron survival vs. saline-treated rats after TBI (***P < 0.05).

**Figure 7**

Assessment of motor function in mice using wire-grip scores (mean ± SEM). Uninjured iNOS+/+ (open squares) and iNOS−/− (filled squares) mice performed equally well in this task, as assessed for 5 days. In 2 separate groups of mice, both iNOS+/+ (open circles) and iNOS−/− (filled circles) exhibited reduced scores (deficits) in this task after injury (day 1 shows preinjury score; day 2 of testing represents the first postinjury day). *P < 0.05 vs. preinjury scores. There were no differences between iNOS+/+ and iNOS−/− groups after injury.
of symptoms was beneficial, while treatment after the onset of disease worsened outcome. Our findings are similar to recent work investigating the role of TNF-α in TBI. Soon after injury in rats or mice, TNF-α is detrimental (53, 54). However, TNF-α−/− mice exhibit worse outcomes than do TNF-α+/− mice after TBI when outcome assessment is delayed beyond 1 week, supporting an endogenous beneficial effect (54). Consistent with a putative detrimental effect of iNOS soon after brain injury, but a beneficial long-term effect, Wada et al. (55) and Stoffel et al. (56) have reported beneficial effects on neuron survival and edema (respectively) during the initial 72 hours in rats treated with AG immediately after cortical FP or freeze injury, respectively.

Beneficial effects in TBI may be conferred by iNOS through a variety of mechanisms. Excitotoxicity mediates secondary damage after TBI (57). After N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor activation, NO can be either neuroprotective or neurodestructive, depending on the ambient redox milieu (16). NO-mediated S-nitrosylation of thiol groups on the NMDA receptor’s redox modulatory site downregulates NMDA receptor activity, attenuating excitotoxicity (16). iNOS may also inhibit apoptosis (58–61). This could involve S-nitrosylation of caspases (62) or cGMP-mediated inhibition of apoptosis signaling (58, 60, 61). NO delays death of trophic factor–deprived PC12 cells by a cGMP-mediated effect, and prolongs survival of embryonic motor neurons (60, 61).

Beneficial effects of iNOS in TBI could be related to inflammatory mechanisms. Protective effects of iNOS in EAE models may be mediated by an NO-mediated shift in the T-helper (Th) lymphocyte cytokine balance from a Th1 (proinflammatory) to a Th2 (anti-inflammatory) profile (31, 32). By inhibiting IL-12 synthesis, iNOS blocks the development of Th1 cytokine-producing cells (63). A marked Th1-type cytokine response develops in iNOS−/− mice in response to chronic inflammatory stimuli (64). Also, iNOS+/− mice have shown enhanced leukocyte-endothelium interactions in response to endotoxin (65). (Leukocytes accumulate after TBI; ref. 66.)

iNOS may also play a role in signaling the neurotrophic response after TBI. A 60% reduction in IL-6 and G-CSF mRNA levels and NF-κB activation in liver and lung is seen after hemorrhagic shock in iNOS−/− mice compared with iNOS+/+ mice (67). Inhibition of cytokines downregulates the production of nerve growth factor (NGF) in contused brain (9). Th2-derived cytokines stimulate NGF synthesis, while Th1-derived cytokines inhibit NGF synthesis, supporting a possible link between delayed NO production and NGF (68–70). However, our preliminary studies do not support this mechanism to explain the observed difference in outcome. A 3-fold increase in NGF in cortical brain homogenates taken 24 hours after CCI was observed in both iNOS+/+ and iNOS−/− mice (data not shown).

Finally, hypoperfusion develops in the contusion for 8–24 hours after experimental TBI, and iNOS-derived NO may improve perfusion in penumbral regions (19, 71–73).

There are several limitations to this study. In mice, we cannot rule out unrecognized physiologic differences between groups. Also, we did not perform dose-response studies with AG and L-NIL, nor did we confirm the duration or extent of inhibition of brain iNOS activity that each produces. This may be important for dosing of L-NIL, because it has not been studied in ischemia or TBI. However, our doses of L-NIL were similar to those used to attenuate systemic nitrite production in rats after endotoxin administration (45). Although the blood-brain barrier (BBB) penetration of L-NIL is uncertain, the BBB is permeable to albumin for at least 8 hours after CCI (74).

An endogenous beneficial role for iNOS is shown after experimental TBI in both rats and mice. Although the mechanism of this effect remains to be determined, our findings mirror those seen in models of subacute or chronic inflammation within and outside the CNS, and suggest a beneficial role for iNOS on long-term outcome after TBI.
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