Supplementary Table 1. T-cell receptor sequences of HERV-K(HML-2)-specific CD8⁺ T cell clone.

alpha	ATGCTCCTGCTGCTCGTCCCAGTGCTCGAGGTGATTTTTACTCTGGGAGGAACCAGAGCC
	CAGTCGGTGACCCAGCTTGACAGCCACGTCTCTGTCTCTGAAGGAACCCCGGTGCTGCTG
	AGGTGCAACTACTCATCTTCTTATTCACCATCTCTTCTGGTATGTGCAACACCCCAAC
	AAAGGACTCCAGCTTCTCCTGAAGTACACATCAGCGGCCACCCTGGTTAAAGGCATCAAC
	GGTTTTGAGGCTGAATTTAAGAAGAGTGAAACCTCCTTCCACCTGACGAAACCCTCAGCC
	CATATGAGCGACGCGGCTGAGTACTTCTGTGTTGTGAGTACTCTCAAGATCATCTTTGGA
	AAAGGGACACGACTTCATATTCTCCCCAATATCCAGAACCCTGACCCTGCCGTGTACCAG
	CTGAGAGACTCTAAATCCAGTGACAAGTCTGTCTGCCTATTCACCGATTTTGATTCTCAA
	ACAAATGTGTCACAAAGTAAGGATTCTGATGTGTATATCACAGACAAAACTGTGCTAGAC
	ATGAGGTCTATGGACTTCAAGAGCAACAGTGCTGTGGCCTGGAGCAACAAATCTGACTTT
	GCATGTGCAAACGCCTTCAACAACAGCATTATTCCAGAAGACACCTTCTTCCCCAGCCCA
	GAAAGTTCCTGTGATGTCAAGCTGGTCGAGAAAAGCTTTGAAACAGATACGAACCTAAA
	CTTTCAAAACCTGTCAGTGATTGGGTTCCGAATCCTCCTGAAAGTGGCCGGGTTTAAT
	CTGCTCATGACGCTGCGGCTGTGGTCCAGCTGA
beta	ATGGGCACCAGCCTCCTCTGCTGGATGGCCCTGTGTCTCCTGGGGGGCAGATCACGCAGAT
	ACTGGAGTCTCCCAGGACCCCAGACACAAGATCACAAAGAGGGGACAGAATGTAACTTT
	CAGGTGTGATCCAATTTCTGAACACAACCGCCTTTATTGGTACCGACAGACCCTGGGGGCA
	GGGCCCAGAGTTTCTGACTTACTTCCAGAATGAAGCTCAACTAGAAAAATCAAGGCTGCT
	CAGTGATCGGTTCTCTGCAGAGAGGGCCTAAGGGATCTTTCTCCACCTTGGAGATCCAGCG
	CACAGAGCAGGGGGACTCGGCCATGTATCTCTGTGCCAGCAGCATAGGCCCGTCTGAAG
	CTTTCTTTGGACAAGGCACCAGACTCACAGTTGTAGAGGACCTGAACAAGGTGTTCCCAC
	CCGAGGTCGCTGTGTTTGAGCCATCAGAAGCAGAGATCTCCCACACCCAAAAGGCCACA
	CTGGTGTGCCTGGCCACAGGCTTCTTCCCTGACCACGTGGAGCTGAGCTGGTGGGTG
	GGGAAGGAGGTGCACAGTGGGGTCAGCACGGACCCGCAGCCCCTCAAGGAGCAGCCCGC
	CCTCAATGACTCCAGATACTGCCTGAGCAGCCGCCTGAGGGTCTCGGCCACCTTCTGGCA
	GAACCCCCGCAACCACTTCCGCTGTCAAGTCCAGTTCTACGGGCTCTCGGAGAATGACGA
	GTGGACCCAGGATAGGGCCAAACCCGTCACCCAGATCGTCAGCGCCGAGGCCTGGGGTA
	GAGCAGACTGTGGCTTTACCTCGGTGTCCTACCAGCAAGGGGTCCTGTCTGCCACCATCC
	TCTATGAGATCCTGCTAGGGAAGGCCACCCTGTATGCTGTGCTGGTCAGCGCCCTTGTGTT
	GATG GCCATGGTCAAGAGAAAGGATTTCTGA
1	

Supplementary Table 2. Results summary from IMGT/V-QUEST tool – alpha chain of HERV-K(HML-2)-specific CD8⁺ T cell clone TCR.

Result summary:	Productive TRA rearranged sequence (no stop codon and in-frame junction)		
V-GENE and allele	<u>Homsap TRAV8-2*01</u> <u>F</u>	score = 1360	identity = 100,00% (273/273 nt)
J-GENE and allele	Homsap TRAJ30*01 F	score = 209	identity = 91,84% (45/49 nt)
FR-IMGT lengths, CDR-IMGT lengths and AA JUNCTION	[26.17.34.11]	[6.8.8]	CVVSTLKIIF

Supplementary Table 3. Results summary from IMGT/V-QUEST tool – beta chain

of HERV-K(HML-2)-specific CD8⁺ T cell clone TCR.

Result summary:	Productive TRB rearranged sequence (no stop codon and in-frame junction)		
V-GENE and allele	<u>Homsap TRBV7-9*03</u> <u>F</u>	score = 1375	identity = 100,00% (276/276 nt)
J-GENE and allele	<u>Homsap TRBJ1-1*01</u> <u>F</u>	score = 186	identity = 87,50% (42/48 nt)
D-GENE and allele by IMGT/JunctionAnalysis	Homsap TRBD1*01 F	D-REGION is	s in reading frame 1
FR-IMGT lengths, CDR-IMGT lengths and AA JUNCTION	[26.17.38.10]	[5.6.10]	CASSIGPSEAFF

Full Length Accession Coreceptor Clade/CRF Catalog # **Reference ID** K03454 2521 ELI X4 А 11245 99KE KNH1135 AF47605 R5 А 00KE KNH1209 AF457069 11248 R5 А 99KE KNH1088 11244 AF457063 R5 А 00KE KNH1207 11247 AF47068 R5 А 11250 94US 33931N AY713410 R5 В 91 US1 R5 В 7686 AY173952 90TH BK132 X4 В 7691 AY173951 85US_Ba_L 510 AY713409 В R5 С 11254 02ET 14 AY255825 R5 7697 С 89SM 145 AY713415 R5 02 ET288 С 11258 AY713417 R5 С 98US MSC5016 R5 11253 AY444801 93IN 101 С 2900 N/A R5 98UG 57128 R5 D 11259 AF484502 00UG J32228M4 11263 AF484516 R5 D 1165MB 10047 N/A Not tested D G 3187 G3 NA R5 01CM 1475MV AY371138 CRF02AG 11283 R5

91DJ 263

AF063223

Supplementary Table 4. HIV-1 viruses.

CRF02AG

7685

R5

А	3512	HIV-2 7924A	N/A	Not tested
А	3513	HIV-2 60415K	N/A	Not tested
N/A	3060	SIVhu	N/A	Not tested
N/A	253	SIVmac251	N/A	Not tested

Supplementary Table 5. Quantitative PCR primers and probes.

	Forward Primer (5'-3')	Reverse Primer (5'-3')	Probe (5'-3')
HERV-K-HML-2-gag	TCGGGAAACGAGCAAAGG	GAATTGGGAATGCCCCAGTT	6FAM-CTCAGGCCCCACAAC-MGBNFQ
HERV-K-HML-2-pol	GGGAATGCTTAATAGTCCAACTATTTG	TGAAAACTTGTCTCTAACTGGTTGAAG	6FAM-CAGACTTTTGTAGCTCAAG-MGBNFQ
HERV-K-HML-2-env	GGGTACCTGGCCCCATAGA	CATCATCCCTTCTTCCTCAGGTT	6FAM-ATCGCTGCCCTGCC-MGBNFQ
HERV-K-HML-2-rec	GTGACACAAACCCCAGAGAGTATG	CTGCAGACACCATTGATACAATCA	6FAM-TGCTTGCAGCCTTG-MGBNFQ
ТВР	AAGTTGGGTTTTCCAGCTAAGTTC	CATCACAGCTCCCCACCATAT	6FAM-TGGACTTCAAGATTCAG-MGBNFQ
PP1A	TCTGCCCACCTTACAGACC	GATCAAATCCGCCACCTCTA	N/A
GAPDH	TTGACCTCAGCTGCACATTC	AGGATGGTCTCGAGTGCTTG	N/A
β-Actin	CACAGGGGAGGTGATAGCAT	CACGAAGGCTCATCATTCAA	N/A

Supplementary Results.

Additional Characterization of HERV-K(HML-2)-Env-specific T cell clone.

Additional Characterization of T-cell Determinant.

The EC₅₀ of the HERV-K(HML-2)-Env-specific T cell clone to the optimal epitope, at approximately 4μ M, is considerably higher than that typically observed with virus-specific T cell responses. One possible explanation for this is that this T cell clone is of relatively low avidity. However it is also possible that this response was primarily raised against a distinct HERV-K-Env sequence containing mismatches with the consensus HERV-K(HML-2)-Env CIDSTFNWHQRI sequence. We assembled an alignment of HERV-K-Env sequences and selected the following variants of this sequence: CIDLTFNWQHRI, CIDSTFDWQHHI, CIDSTFDWQHYI, CIDSTSDWQHHI. Peptides corresponding to these sequences were manufactured, but

each of these completely failed to stimulate the clone (data not shown). This does not represent an exhaustive list of HERV-K variant sequences, however, and we feel that it may be important for future studies to identify precisely which subset of HERV-K insertions are induced upon HIV-1 infection.

A third possible explanation for the high EC_{50} of the clone recognizes is that it may recognize only a modified version of the determinant that is present at low abundance in our synthetic peptide. It is important to note that we can strictly rule out the possibility that the clone could be recognizing a minor foreign contaminating peptide by virtue of the consistent recognition of 6 different batches of the CIDSTFNWQHRI peptide (from two different manfacturers), by the logical fine-mapping of the epitope where any peptide containing the minimal epitope is recognized while shorter peptides are not, and by the very similar titration curves observed with different peptide preparations (ex. CIDSTFNWQHRILLV vs CIDSTFNWQHRI, Figure 3D). One possibility is that the clone recognizes the native peptide sequence but that this sequence is present at low abundance in the synthetic peptide. It has previously been demonstrated that sulfhydryl modification of cysteine is responsible for reducing the antigenicity of the influenza virus nuclear protein determinants NP₃₉₋₄₇ and NP₂₁₈₋₂₂₆ and that replacing cysteine residues in these determinants with either serine or alanine resulted in substantial increases in antigenicity (1). Similarly, substitution of the cysteine residue in the lympocytic choriomeningitis virus T cell determinant KAVYNFATC with methionine, to give KAVYNFATM, results in a decrease in the EC₅₀ from $>5\mu$ M to 21nM by preventing the formation of cysteine dimers (2). We tested the effect of replacing the N-terminal cysteine in CIDSTFNWQHRI with either alanine, serine methionine or tyrosine

5

(AIDSTFNWQHRI, SIDSTFNWQHRI, MIDSTFNWQHRI and YIDSTFNWQHRI) on the antigenicity of the peptide. Substitution with either alanine, serine, or threonine completely abolished recognition by the HERV-K(HML-2)-Env-specific T cell clone, while the methionine-substituted peptide was recognized at a reduced level (data not shown). We further tested the response of the T cell clone to reduced (TCEP treated) CIDSTFNWQHRI peptide as well as oxidized dimeric peptide and observed lesser antigenicity of both of these modified peptides as compared to the untreated peptide (data not shown). Taken together, these data suggest that a modification of the cysteine residue in this peptide may be required for optimal immunogenicity – but that this is not simply related to redox state.

Next, we tested the possibility that a minor species of the CIDSTFNWQHRILLV peptide bearing an unknown modification is the primary T cell determinant recognized by the HERV-K(HML-2)-Env specific T cell clone, 50mg of crude peptide was divided into 60 fractions by C18 reversed-phase HPLC (JPT Peptide Technologies) and each fraction was tested for its ability to stimulate the T cell clone in IFN-y ELISPOT assays. The T cell clone responded maximally to fraction 8 with lesser responses to fractions 9-11 and no response observed to later fraction. This overlapped only partially with the elution profile of the native CIDSTFNWQHRILLV peptide (identified by a 923 mw/z peak) that was the primary product in fractions 8 - 18 (data not shown). The elution of CIDSTFNWQHRILLV peaked at fractions 12 and 13, while the clone did not respond to these fractions (data not shown). These data indicate that the T cell determinant of the HERV-K(HML-2)-Env specific Т cell clone is a modified version of CIDSTFNWQHRILLV, which is slightly less hydrophobic than the native peptide (elutes

6

earlier). Peptide titration experiments performed on fraction 8 demonstrated an EC₅₀ of 2μ M, only slightly lower than the 4μ M of the previously tested >98% pure CIDSTFNWQHRILLV. This corresponds with the observation that fraction 8 does not represent an isolated modified species but rather is still comprised primarily of native peptide. In fact, no unique peak could be observed in fractions 8-11 on the corresponding mass spectra, suggesting that the T-cell agonist is still present as only a minor component in these fractions. This has prevented us from using these data to identify the peptide modification needed for antigenicity. Deamidation of asparagine or glutamine residues represents one common peptide modification that has been shown to alter antigenicity(3). We therefore tested whether demamidation of the asparagine (N) residue in CIDSTFNWQHRI to asparate or iso-aspartate (CIDSTFDWHQRI or CIDSTF-iso-Asp-WQHRI) resulted in a more antigenic peptide. Both of these modified peptides completely failed to stimulate the HERV-K(HML-2)-Env-specific T-cell clone (data not shown).

Taken together, these data indicate that the HERV-K(HML-2)-Env-specific T-cell clone is remarkably specific for its cognate determinant, as a number of very subtle changes in the peptide sequence abolished recognition. The peptide fractionation experiment clearly demonstrates that the unmodified CIDSTFNWQHRILLV peptide is not the determinant recognized by the clone – however, we have been unable to identify the precise identity of the modification required to render this peptide antigenic. The fact that the exact chemical composition of determinants recognized by T-cells is generally unknown has been highlighted by others (4)

Recognition of low-level HIV-1 infections at 16 hours post-infection by HERV-K(HML-2)-Env-specific T-cells.

The majority of recognition assays presented in this manuscript tested the ability of HERV-K(HML-2)-Env-specific T-cells to recognize high-levels of HIV-1 infection. One of the side observations from the ARV suppression recognition assay presented in Figure 6 was that even the small amount of HIV-1 infection that occurred in nevirapinetreated cells was sufficient to induce measurable recognition by the T-cell clone, although this was reduced as compared to cells infected to high-levels in the absence of ARVs. We repeated this experiment and again observed that even a low-level HIV-1 infection – defined by both a low frequency of Gag⁺ cells and low-levels of Gag expression on a percell basis – was sufficient to trigger robust recognition by the HERV-K(HML-2)-Envspecific T-cell clone. Also of note, the co-culture between clone and target was initiated at 16 hours post-infection. The addition of brefledin A at the time of co-culture would have blocked additional MHC-I antigen presentation over the course of this co-culture. Thus, low levels of HIV-1 infection are detectable by HERV-K(HML-2)-Env-specific Tcell clones within at least 16 hours of infection.





Supplementary Figure 1. HERV-K(HML-2)-Env-specific T-cells recognize lowlevels of HIV-1 infection within 16 hours of infection. Primary CD4⁺ T-cells autologous to the HERV-K(HML-2)-Env-specific T-cell clone were treated with either nevirapine, a mix of ARVs (see Figure 6 legend), or maintained as no ARV controls. These cells were subsequently infected with HIV-1. At 16 hours post-infection, these infected targets were co-cultured with the HERV-K(HML-2)-Env-specific T-cell clone in the presence of brefeldin A for 12 hours. Shown are flow cytometry plots gated on CD8⁺ cells and depicting CD107a by IFN-γ.

Elimination of SIV-infected cells by HERV-K(HML-2)-Env-specific T-cells.

Here we present additional data supporting that the HERV-K(HML-2)-Envspecific T-cell clone specifically eliminates SIV-infected cells. The percentage of SIVinfected primary CD4⁺ T-cells was substantially reduced upon co-culture with HERV-K(HML-2)-specific, but not with CMV-pp65- or HIV-1-Gag-specific CD8⁺ T-cell clones (Supplementary Figure 2A, B). Pretreatment of these target cells with the anti-MHC-I antibody DX17 reduced this effect. We also observed dose-dependent elimination of SIVmac251-infected targets upon the co-culture with different clone (effector) to target ratios of HERV-K(HML-2)-Env-specific T-cell clone (Supplementary Figure 2C).



Supplementary Figure 2

Supplementary Figure 2. HERV-K(HML-2)-Env-specific T-cells eliminate SIVinfected cells. Primary CD4+ T-cells from subject OM9 were infected with the indicated SIV viruses. Infections were allowed to proceed for 72 hours and then either CMV-pp65-

, HIV-1-Gag, or HERV-K(HML-2)-Env-specific T-cell clones were added at a ratio of 1 clone:10 targets (**A**, **B**) or at the indicated effector (clone) to target ratios and cultured for 24 hours. Where indicated, these target cells were pre-incubated with the anti-MHC-I antibody DX17 at 10µg/ml prior to the addition of T-cell clone. (**C**) SIVmac239-infected target cells were co-cultured with the HERV-K(HML-2)-Env-specific T-cell clone at the indicated effector (clone) to target ratios. Levels of infection were measured by flow cytometry staining for CD4 and intracellular HIV-1-Gag.

Supplementary References.

- 1. Chen, W., Yewdell, J.W., Levine, R.L., and Bennink, J.R. 1999. Modification of cysteine residues in vitro and in vivo affects the immunogenicity and antigenicity of major histocompatibility complex class I-restricted viral determinants. *J Exp Med* 189:1757-1764.
- 2. Puglielli, M.T., Zajac, A.J., van der Most, R.G., Dzuris, J.L., Sette, A., Altman, J.D., and Ahmed, R. 2001. In vivo selection of a lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus variant that affects recognition of the GP33-43 epitope by H-2Db but not H-2Kb. *J Virol* 75:5099-5107.
- 3. Arentz-Hansen, H., Korner, R., Molberg, O., Quarsten, H., Vader, W., Kooy, Y.M., Lundin, K.E., Koning, F., Roepstorff, P., Sollid, L.M., et al. 2000. The intestinal T cell response to alpha-gliadin in adult celiac disease is focused on a single deamidated glutamine targeted by tissue transglutaminase. *J Exp Med* 191:603-612.
- 4. Yewdell, J.W. 2005. The seven dirty little secrets of major histocompatibility complex class I antigen processing. *Immunol Rev* 207:8-18.