Spinal cord injury (SCI) is a devastating condition producing great personal and societal costs and for which there is no effective treatment. Stem cell transplantation is a promising therapeutic strategy, though much preclinical and clinical research work remains. Here, we briefly describe SCI epidemiology, pathophysiology, and experimental and clinical stem cell strategies. Research in stem cell biology and cell reprogramming is rapidly advancing, with the hope of moving stem cell therapy closer to helping people with SCI. We examine issues important for clinical translation and provide a commentary on recent developments, including termination of the first human embryonic stem cell transplantation trial in human SCI.

Introduction
Spinal cord injury (SCI) is a devastating condition, with sudden loss of sensory, motor, and autonomic function distal to the level of trauma. Despite major advances in the medical and surgical care of SCI patients, no effective treatment exists for the neurological deficits of major SCI (1). Current treatment includes surgery to decompress and stabilize the injury, prevention of secondary complications, management of any that do occur, and rehabilitation. Unfortunately, neurological recovery is limited, and most SCI patients still face substantial neurological dysfunction and lifelong disability. Stem cell therapy offers several highly attractive strategies for spinal cord repair, including replacement of damaged neuronal and glial cells, remyelination of spared axons, restoration of neuronal circuitry, bridging of lesion cavities, production of neurotrophic factors, antiinflammatory cytokines, and other molecules to promote tissue sparing and neovascularization, and a permissive environment for plasticity and axonal regeneration. This review builds on several excellent previous reviews (2–8) and discusses the incidence and pathophysiology of SCI as well as the key experimental and clinical stem cell strategies for SCI.

Epidemiology, etiology, incidence, and prevalence of SCI
Worldwide, the annual incidence of SCI is 15–40 cases per million people (9). In Canada, the Rick Hansen Institute estimates there are currently 85,000 people living with SCI, with more than 4,000 new cases per year (10), and in the United States, the Christopher and Dana Reeve Foundation estimates a prevalence of over 1 million patients with SCI and more than 12,000 new cases each year (11).

The primary causes of traumatic SCI are motor vehicle crashes, sports and recreation injuries, falls at home, and trauma at work (12). In young adults, males are four times more likely than females to sustain an SCI (13). Injury incidence shows a bimodal distribution, with the highest incidence in adolescents and young adults, with more than half aged 16–30 years old (10). The second incidence peak is in older adults, primarily as a result of falls, and the aging population has increased the average age of injury.

Clinical trial design and management of SCI
Assessment of therapy in patients has improved markedly due to the development of the American Spinal Injury Association (ASIA) grading scale and quantitative scores of sensory and motor function now used worldwide to assess the severity of SCI and response to treatment (1). The ASIA Impairment Scale (AIS) ranges from A to E, where A is a complete SCI and E denotes normal sensory and motor function. Acute treatment often involves surgical management, such as decompression, spinal stabilization, or realignment of displaced vertebrae (14) to prevent further injury from impingement on the spinal cord. Acutely injured patients often require intensive care monitoring to treat cardiovascular instability and respiratory insufficiency. Currently, there is limited pharmacotherapy for SCI patients. Methylprednisolone demonstrated some neuroprotective effects in early experimental and clinical studies (15, 16), but its use is controversial because of limited efficacy and harmful side effects. Many SCI centers have stopped using steroids (17). Other neuroprotective agents with promising results in experimental animals are now being investigated in clinical SCI trials, includingriluzole, a sodium channel blocker, and minocycline, anantiinflammatory agent (1, 18). However, neuroprotective agents alone may be insufficient to promote repair in major SCI where there is extensive tissue loss.

Pathophysiology of SCI
The most frequent type of traumatic SCI is acute compression of the spinal cord (12). Usually, some neurological tissue is preserved, particularly in the subpial region (19, 20). The primary mechanical trauma causes necrosis, edema, hemorrhage, and vasospasm. A cascade of secondary pathophysiological mechanisms is induced, including ischemia, apoptosis, fluid and electrolyte disturbances, excitotoxicity, lipid peroxidation, production of free radicals, and an inflammatory response, resulting in further damage due to swelling and blood flow reduction (21). Ultimately, a large fluid-filled cavity or cyst forms in the center of the cord, surrounded by a subpial rim containing some preserved axons, many of which are demyelinated (Figure 1). Hypertrophic astrocytes, macrophages, and other cells secrete extracellular matrix and inhibitory molecules that constitute the glial scar, resulting in a physical and chemical barrier to regeneration (22).
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Pathophysiology of SCI. The diagram shows a composite of pathophysiological events occurring after SCI, including the acute (e.g., edema and hemorrhage), subacute (e.g., inflammation), and chronic (e.g., cavitation) phases. The primary and secondary injury mechanisms involve edema, hemorrhage, inflammation, apoptosis, necrosis, excitotoxicity, lipid peroxidation, electrolyte imbalance, ischemia/vasospasm, and blood vessel occlusion. Oligodendrocytes and neurons die, resulting in axonal demyelination and disruption of synaptic transmission. In the subacute and chronic phases, a fluid-filled lentiform-shaped cavity or cyst forms in the center of the cord, with surrounding hypertrophic astrocytes and macrophages. These and other cells secrete extracellular matrix and inhibitory molecules, such as chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans (CSPG), which compose the glial scar, resulting in a physical and chemical barrier to regeneration.

Definition and rationale for stem cells
A stem cell, by definition, continuously proliferates and asymmetrically divides to self renew and generate daughter cells committed to differentiation. In contrast, progenitor cells demonstrate a limited proliferative capacity and differentiation potential. Several mechanisms for recovery have been proposed, depending on the cell type, including replacement of oligodendrocytes or neurons, remyelination of spared axons, restoration of neuronal circuitry, enhanced preservation of host neuronal and glial cells, increased expression of neurotrophins/cytokines by transplanted or host cells, promotion of angiogenesis, bridging of cysts or cavities, reduced inflammation or gliosis, stimulation of endogenous precursor cells, and creation of a favorable environment for plasticity and axonal regeneration (Figure 3). In most studies, the exact mechanisms of improvement were not completely defined.

ES cells
ES cells are pluripotent cells derived from the inner cell mass of developing blastocyst embryos that can differentiate into nearly all cell types (26). Human ES cells are typically obtained from preimplantation or blastocyst-stage embryos created during in vitro fertilization procedures and can also be generated by somatic cell nuclear transfer or parthenogenetic activation of eggs. Transplanted ES cells will form teratomas, and thus, ES cells must be predifferentiated prior to grafting. Protocols have been developed to differentiate ES cells into neural precursors (27–30) and specific neuronal (30–32) and glial lineages (33, 34). Predifferentiated mouse ES cells transplanted into the injured rat spinal cord differentiated into neurons and glia and showed partial functional recovery (35). As noted above, SCI causes extensive demyelination and oligodendrocytes are particularly vulnerable to apoptosis. ES cells predifferentiated into oligodendrocyte progenitor cells (OPCs) remyelinated spared axons and improved recovery when transplanted subacutely into the injured rat spinal cord (36, 37).

The advantage of ES cells is that they can be propagated in vitro almost indefinitely, since they retain high telomerase activity. However, it has been difficult to generate high-purity lineage-
specific cell lines without karyotypic abnormalities. The concerns with transplantation of ES cell–derived neural cells for SCI are the ethical issues of cell derivation and the possibility of tumorigenesis due to incomplete or aberrant differentiation resulting in the formation of nonneural cells (Table 1).

Based on promising preclinical data of human ES cell–derived OPC transplants in rodent SCI models (36, 37), the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved the first human ES cell trial in 2009. This phase I safety trial in SCI sponsored by Geron Corp. began in 2010 after further preclinical safety data were obtained concerning abnormal cyst formation in transplanted animals. The GRNOPC1 cell line (human ES cell–derived OPC) was transplanted subacutely (one to two weeks after injury) directly into the spinal cord of ASIA A patients with complete thoracic SCI. Patients received 2 million cells and were immunosuppressed for the first two months following transplantation. In 2011, Geron discontinued this trial due to funding challenges. No safety issues were reported in the five patients who received GRNOPC1 transplants, but complete results have not been published (Table 2).

**NSPCs**

NSPCs are multipotent cells committed to the neural lineage that can self renew and be readily expanded in vitro. NSPCs are typically grown as free-floating neurospheres in serum-free medium supplemented with EGF and FGF-2. Neurospheres are 3D aggregates comprising a mixture primarily of progenitor cells, a small percentage of stem cells, and small numbers of more differentiated cell types over multiple passages in culture. The neural stem cells respond to the growth factors in the culture medium and selectively proliferate in suspension to form neurospheres. When these cells are plated in growth factor–free medium containing serum, they differentiate into neurons, oligodendrocytes, and astrocytes.

NSPCs are found in both fetal and adult CNS (38). The isolation of adult neural stem cells in mammals was first reported in 1992 by Reynolds and Weiss (39). NSPCs reside within specific niches in the adult CNS, including the subventricular zone lining the lateral ventricles of the forebrain (40, 41), the dentate gyrus of the hippocampus (42), and the region of the central canal of the spinal cord (43). Multipotential, self-renewing NSPCs can be isolated and cultured from the adult rodent spinal cord when the cultured tissue includes regions of the central canal (44, 45). We have shown that these NSPCs primarily differentiate into oligodendrocytes in vitro (46) and in vivo (47, 48). Transplantation of these NSPCs into SCI rats promoted functional recovery with neuroprotective and neuroregenerative effects (49–51). Most studies with transplanted NSPCs have shown modest recovery of the injured spinal cord (3, 7), although allodynia was associated with astrocytic differentiation of grafted NSPCs (49). Adult mouse brain–derived NSPCs transplanted into the injured rat spinal cord with concomitant infusion of growth factors promoted oligodendrocyte differentiation of the grafted NSPCs, remyelination, and improved locomotor function (52, 53). NSPCs derived from fetal rat spinal cord differentiated into neurons that integrated into the injured spinal cord and improved recovery (54), and transplanted NSPCs combined with valproic acid administration promoted neuronal differentiation, resulting in restoration of disrupted neuronal circuitry and enhanced recovery (55). NSPCs have also demonstrated some immunomodulatory and pathotropic ability by homing toward damaged tissue (56, 57) as well as secreting various neurotrophic factors and cytokines (58–60).

Most experimental SCI studies with NSPC transplants have involved rodent cells because human stem cells were either not available or difficult to grow. Human NSPCs have been isolated from fetal brain and spinal cord from aborted fetuses (61–65) and from adult brain from surgical biopsy specimens and post-
mortem tissue (66–69). Recently, we demonstrated that self-renewing multipotent NSPCs can be passaged from the adult human spinal cord of organ transplant donors and that these cells differentiate into both neurons and glia following transplantation into rats with SCI (70). Stem cells isolated from the human fetal brain were transplanted into NOD/SCID mice with SCI, and the grafted cells expressed neural differentiation markers and improved recovery (71, 72). Extensive neuronal differentiation of human fetal NSPC grafts was reported after transplantation into the adult rat spinal cord (73). In addition, human fetal brain NSPCs (modified to express galectin-1) transplanted subacutely into the contused cervical spinal cord of adult common marmosets produced significantly greater grip strength than controls (74).

A registry of government and privately supported clinical trials from all countries is available (http://www.clinicaltrials.gov). Table 2 summarizes completed trials of stem cell therapy for SCI; Table 3 indicates ongoing trials. Recently, Stem Cells Inc. started a phase I/II (safety/efficacy) trial in Switzerland involving transplantation of human fetal brain stem cells into ASIA A–C patients with thoracic SCI. Currently, this is the only human trial involving NSPCs for SCI, and these patients require immunosuppression. Thus, human NSPCs have certain drawbacks, including ethical concerns about fetal-derived cells, difficulties in expanding adult-derived cells to clinically sufficient numbers, and unavailability of autologous sources.

**Skin-derived precursors**

An accessible, potentially autologous source of precursor cells for transplantation is skin-derived precursors (SKPs) residing within the dermis of rodents and humans, as described by Miller and other groups (75–77). SKPs are generated during embryogenesis, persist into adulthood, and share characteristics with embryonic neural crest stem cells, producing both mesodermal progeny and peripheral neurons and Schwann cells (78). SKP-derived Schwann cells transplanted into SCI rats showed lesion sparing, remyelination of spared axons with peripheral myelin, and, unlike other sources of Schwann cells, provided a conducive environment for axonal growth into the lesion, but with limited functional recovery (79).

**HSCs and MSCs**

Adult BM contains several different stem cell populations of non-neuronal origin, including HSCs and MSCs. HSCs are self-renewing adult stem cells found mainly in the BM that differentiate into blood and immune cells. In the early 1960s, James Till and Ernest McCulloch observed that BM cells injected into irradiated mice grew as colonies of cells in their spleens, and each colony grew from a single cell (80), now known as a stem cell. These Canadian scientists are recognized as the founders of HSC research. HSC transplantation has now been used for decades to treat blood cancers and other blood disorders (81).

HSCs are identified based on their expression of distinct cell-surface markers and nonadherence to plastic in culture. Typically, erythrocytes and platelets are separated from BM yielding the mononuclear cell fractions (MNCs) comprising HSCs and nonhematopoietic cells, including monocytes, macrophages, endothelial progenitor cells, and small numbers of MSCs. The advantages of HSCs or BM-derived mononuclear cells (BM-MNCs) are that they can be autologously derived and that they have a record of safety in humans. The drawbacks are that HSCs are rare (1 in 100,000 cells from BM) and pose major risks with graft rejection and graft-versus-host disease (GvHD). Subacute intraspinal transplantation of HSCs was shown to promote functional recovery after compression SCI in mice (82, 83). For clinical translation, HSCs are commonly harvested from peripheral blood following cytokine administration, which mobilizes the HSCs, and the MNC fraction is administered.
The most common nonneural cell type for transplantation in experimental SCI is the BMSC. BMSCs are isolated from the MNC fraction of BM and expanded in culture based on their adherence to tissue culture plastic and expression of distinct cell-surface antigens that do not include HSC markers. The major advantage of MSCs is that they can be autologously transplanted and that they express a variety of neurotrophic factors that are beneficial for repair. Other important features are their low immunogenicity and their reported immunomodulatory properties (ref. 84 and Table 1). MSCs are widespread throughout a variety of tissues (85), including Wharton jelly of the umbilical cord, adipose tissue, adult muscle, and the dental pulp of deciduous baby teeth. Recently, predifferentiated adipose-derived MSCs were transplanted into SCI rats, resulting in some functional recovery, perhaps due to paracrine effects of grafted cells wrapping demyelinated host axons and promoting their protection (86). Umbilical cord-derived HSCs or MSCs are attractive because this tissue is readily accessible and frequently discarded, and MSCs are less prone to rejection, as evidenced by a lower risk of developing GvHD (ref. 87 and Table 1). Compared with adult sources, the number of MSCs or HSCs obtained from cord blood or placental tissues is small, although they can be readily expanded and tissue can be frozen and used later for isolation (88).

Transplantation of BMSCs for SCI has been previously reviewed (2, 3, 6, 89, 90). Many studies have examined BMSCs in SCI rodents, with some showing improved locomotor recovery (91–93), while others did not (51, 94, 95), likely due to differences in culture conditions. Several studies have also shown MSC differentiation into neural lineages in vitro, although in vivo, this is controversial (88–90). Cumulative evidence suggests that even transient MSC engraftment may exert favorable effects that even transient MSC engraftment may exert favorable effects through secretion of cytokines and other paracrine factors that engage and recruit recipient cells in tissue repair (105). Evidence of no adverse effects (103, 104). Collectively, evidence suggests that even transient MSC engraftment may exert favorable effects through secretion of cytokines and other paracrine factors that engage and recruit recipient cells in tissue repair (105).

### iPSCs

iPSCs are generated by reprogramming mature, fully differentiated cells into a pluripotent state. The advantage of iPSCs is that easily accessible cells such as skin from an SCI patient could be reprogrammed, differentiated, and transplanted. iPSCs were developed in 2006 by Takahashi and Yamanaka, who showed that mouse somatic cells, such as fibroblasts, could be reprogrammed, differentiated, and transplanted. iPSCs were developed in 2006 by Takahashi and Yamanaka, who showed that mouse somatic cells, such as fibroblasts, could be reprogrammed to pluripotency with retroviral expression of the transcription factors OCT4, SOX2, KLF4, and c-MYC (106). iPSCs can also be generated from human somatic cells (107, 108). The ability to generate pluripotent cells from adult somatic cells without the need for an embryo was a major development in stem cell biology.

Puri and Nagy recently compared ES cells and iPSCs (109). iPSCs share many key properties with ES cells, including morphology, pluripotency, self renewal, and gene expression. During expansion and prolonged passage, human ES cell lines frequently acquire abnormal karyotypes and genetic amplification associated with oncogenic transformation, which is also the case with iPSC lines (109). One of the main problems with generation of iPSCs is the expression of reprogramming factors associated with teratoma formation (110). For this reason, several alternative delivery methods have been developed for reprogramming that do not require the use of viral vectors.

### Table 1

Summary of advantages and disadvantages of potential stem cell types for SCI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ES cells</th>
<th>NSPCs</th>
<th>SKPs</th>
<th>HSCs/BM-MNCs</th>
<th>BM-derived Other sources</th>
<th>MSCs</th>
<th>iPSCs</th>
<th>iNPCs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Availability</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ease of isolation</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autologous donors</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethical considerations</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differentiation potential</td>
<td>Pluripotent</td>
<td>Neural</td>
<td>Neural</td>
<td>Nonneural and peripheral myelin only</td>
<td>Nonneural and potentially neural</td>
<td>Nonneural and potentially neural</td>
<td>Pluripotent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumorigenicity</td>
<td>Yes, in some studies</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Some</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathotropism</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Yes, in many studies</td>
<td>Yes, but few</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficacy in preclinical studies</td>
<td>Yes, for ES cell–derived OPC Geron trial</td>
<td>Stem Cells Inc.</td>
<td>Untested</td>
<td>Untested</td>
<td>Yes, in some</td>
<td>Yes, in many</td>
<td>Untested</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety in human trials</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes, in some</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are a number of completed and currently ongoing SCI clinical trials involving autologous BM-MNC or BMSC transplantation as summarized in Tables 2 and 3. There are other reports of small numbers of patients treated with MSC transplants showing no adverse effects (103, 104). Collectively, evidence suggests that even transient MSC engraftment may exert favorable effects through secretion of cytokines and other paracrine factors that engage and recruit recipient cells in tissue repair (105).
Table 2
Summary of completed clinical trials of stem cell therapy for SCI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stem cell type</th>
<th>Country; sponsor</th>
<th>Study status; (reference)</th>
<th>Phase; ASIA scale</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Time of transplant after injury</th>
<th>Route of delivery</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ES cell-derived OPCs (GRNOPC1)</td>
<td>USA; Geron</td>
<td>Terminated 11/2011; NCT01217008; unpublished</td>
<td>I; ASIA A</td>
<td>10 enrolled, 5 transplanted</td>
<td>Subacute: 1–2 weeks</td>
<td>Intraspinal, single dose</td>
<td>No safety issue reported but complete results not published</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autologous BM-MNCs</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Completed (81)</td>
<td>I; ASIA A–C</td>
<td>10 (median age 34)</td>
<td>Chronic; mean 3 years Subacute: 10–30 days, chronic: 2–17 months</td>
<td>Intrathecal via LP, single dose</td>
<td>No adverse effects, but patients only followed for 12 weeks; efficacy not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autologous BM-MNCs</td>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>Completed (129)</td>
<td>I/II; ASIA A</td>
<td>20 (aged 19–41)</td>
<td>Acute: &gt;2 weeks, subacute: 2–8 weeks, chronic: &gt;8 weeks</td>
<td>Intraspinal; single dose</td>
<td>No complications reported, 5/6 patients who received cells intraarterially reported improvement, 5/7 acute but only 1/13 chronic patients reported improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autologous BM-MNCs</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>Completed (130)</td>
<td>I/II; ASIA A</td>
<td>35 (15–57)</td>
<td>Chronic: 10 months–3 years</td>
<td>Intraspinal; single dose</td>
<td>Some improvement reported in acute and subacute groups but not chronic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autologous BM-MNCs</td>
<td>Ecuador</td>
<td>Completed (131)</td>
<td>I/II</td>
<td>8 (aged 27–44)</td>
<td>Acute: 5 days–6 months, subacute: 2–8 weeks, chronic: &gt;8 weeks</td>
<td>Intraspinal, spinal canal, or intravenous, single dose</td>
<td>No adverse effects reported; improvement in bladder function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autologous BMSCs</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>Completed (132)</td>
<td>I/II; ASIA A–B</td>
<td>10 (aged 34–61)</td>
<td>Chronic: &gt;1 month</td>
<td>Intraspinal followed by intrathecal via LP (2 doses)</td>
<td>3 patients showed improvement in upper limb recovery with MRI and electrophysiological changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autologous BMSCs</td>
<td>Egypt; Cairo University</td>
<td>Completed 12/2008; NCT00816803</td>
<td>I/II; ASIA scale not indicated</td>
<td>80 (aged 10–36)</td>
<td>Chronic: 10 months–3 years</td>
<td>Not indicated</td>
<td>No published reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autologous BMSCs (adipose-derived)</td>
<td>Korea; RNL Bio Company Ltd.</td>
<td>Completed (133); NCT01274975</td>
<td>I; ASIA A–C</td>
<td>8 (aged 19–60)</td>
<td>&gt;2 months</td>
<td>Intravenous; single dose</td>
<td>No serious adverse events during 3 month follow-up were reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autologous BMSCs</td>
<td>India; International Stemcell Services Ltd.</td>
<td>Completed 8/2010; NCT01186679</td>
<td>I/II; ASIA A</td>
<td>12 (aged 20–55)</td>
<td>Acute: &gt;2 weeks, subacute: 2–8 weeks, chronic: &gt;6 months</td>
<td>Intrathecal for acute and subacute; intraspinal for chronic</td>
<td>No published reports</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Published results of clinical trials, if available, are referenced. Clinical trials currently recruiting or ongoing, or recently completed, are identified with the NCT number (www.clinicaltrials.gov). LP, lumbar puncture.
### Table 3
Summary of ongoing clinical trials of stem cell therapy for SCI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stem cell type</th>
<th>Country; sponsor</th>
<th>Study status; (reference)</th>
<th>Phase; ASIA scale</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Time of transplant after injury</th>
<th>Route of cell delivery</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Human fetal brain NSPCs (Hu-CNS-SC)</td>
<td>Switzerland; StemCells Inc.</td>
<td>Recruiting; initiated 3/2011; NCT01321333</td>
<td>I/II; ASIA A–C</td>
<td>12 estimated enrollment (eligible ages 18–60)</td>
<td>Chronic: 3–12 months</td>
<td>Intraspinal, single dose</td>
<td>Cells used in Batten disease phase I trial, no safety issues reported; estimated completion date: 3/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autologous BMSCs</td>
<td>USA; TCA Cellular Therapy, LLC</td>
<td>Ongoing, not recruiting; initiated 7/2010; NCT01162915</td>
<td>I; ASIA A</td>
<td>10 (aged 18–65)</td>
<td>&gt;2 weeks</td>
<td>Intrathecal via LP, single dose</td>
<td>Estimated completion date: 6/2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autologous BMSCs</td>
<td>Brazil; Hospital Sao Rafael</td>
<td>Ongoing, not recruiting; initiated 3/2011; NCT01325103</td>
<td>I; ASIA A</td>
<td>20 (aged 18–50)</td>
<td>Not indicated</td>
<td>Intraspinal</td>
<td>Estimated completion date: 1/2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbilical cord blood MNCs</td>
<td>China; Spinal Cord Injury Network</td>
<td>Ongoing, not recruiting; initiated 9/2010; NCT01354483</td>
<td>I/II; ASIA A</td>
<td>20 enrolled (aged 18–60)</td>
<td>Chronic: &gt;1 year</td>
<td>Intraspinal</td>
<td>3 groups: cord blood cell dose comparison; 2 groups: cord blood cells combined with methylprednisolone, cord blood cells with methylprednisolone and oral lithium; estimated completion date: 8/2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSCs (umbilical cord-derived)</td>
<td>China; General Hospital of Chinese Armed Police Forces</td>
<td>Recruiting; initiated 1/2011; NCT01393977</td>
<td>I/II; ASIA scale not indicated</td>
<td>60 estimated enrollment (eligible ages 20–50)</td>
<td>Interval time not indicated; acute and chronic transplants</td>
<td>Not indicated</td>
<td>Estimated enrollment: 20 acute patients, 20 chronic, 10 patients rehabilitation only, 10 patients, no treatment; estimated completion date: 5/2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autologous BMSCs</td>
<td>USA; Memorial Hermann Healthcare System</td>
<td>Recruiting; initiated 4/2011; NCT01328880</td>
<td>I/II; ASIA A–D children</td>
<td>10 estimated enrollment (eligible ages 1–15)</td>
<td>Chronic: 6 months–4 years</td>
<td>Intravenous</td>
<td>Estimated completion date: 10/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbilical cord blood MNCs</td>
<td>China; Spinal Cord Injury Network</td>
<td>Ongoing, not recruiting; initiated 9/2011; NCT01471613</td>
<td>I/II; ASIA A</td>
<td>60 enrolled (aged 18–65)</td>
<td>Acute/subacute &lt; 4 weeks</td>
<td>Intraspinal, single dose</td>
<td>4 groups: cord blood cell transplant combined with oral lithium, cord blood cells, lithium, and placebo control; estimated completion date: 5/2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autologous BMSCs</td>
<td>China; Guangzhou General Hospital of Guangzhou Military Command</td>
<td>Recruiting; initiated 10/2011; NCT01446640</td>
<td>I/II; ASIA A–B</td>
<td>20 estimated enrollment (eligible ages 16–60)</td>
<td>2 weeks–1 year</td>
<td>Combined intravenous and intrathecal via LP</td>
<td>Estimated completion date: 6/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autologous BMSCs</td>
<td>India; TotipotentRX Cell Therapy Pvt. Ltd.</td>
<td>Recruiting; initiated 10/2011; NCT01490242</td>
<td>I/II; ASIA A–C</td>
<td>15 estimated enrollment (eligible ages 18–60)</td>
<td>Chronic: 6 months–8 years</td>
<td>Not indicated</td>
<td>Estimated study completion date: 10/2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Published results of clinical trials, if available, are referenced. Clinical trials currently recruiting or ongoing, or recently completed, are identified with the NCT number.
permanent transgene integrations, such as adenovirus, the piggyBac transposon, and direct protein transduction (109, 111). These reprogramming factors are needed to initiate but not sustain somatic cell transformation into iPSCs, which is very important from a therapeutic standpoint. However, for clinical translation, the development of reproducible protocols for iPSC differentiation to specific neural lineages with complete elimination of residual pluripotent stem cells is necessary.

Recently, it was demonstrated that NSPCs can be derived from human iPSCs, but human iPSC differentiation to neural lineages occurs at a much lower frequency than with ES cells (112). Also, some types of iPSC-derived neural cells have an increased likelihood of tumor formation after transplantation into the CNS. Thus, safe iPSC-derived clones will need to be screened and selected (113, 114). Experimental studies using preselected “safe” iPSC-derived neurospheres transplanted subacutely after contusion SCI showed remyelination, axonal outgrowth of serotonergic fibers, and promotion of locomotor recovery (114). In contrast, transplantation of “unsafe” iPSC-derived neurospheres resulted in robust teratoma formation and sudden loss of locomotor function (114). Transplantation of murine iPSC-derived astrocytes into SCI rats resulted in allografting (115). Recently, Okano and colleagues grafted human iPSC-derived neurospheres into the injured mouse spinal cord and demonstrated improved locomotor recovery with synapse formation between host and grafted cells, expression of neurotrophic factors, angiogenesis, axonal regrowth, and increased myelination (116). No tumor formation occurred in the grafted mice with the preselected clones. Also, a recent study showed that transplanted human iPSC-derived self-renewing neuroepithelial-like stem cells differentiated into neuronal progeny in the injured mouse spinal cord and restored synaptic connections, contributing to improved motor function (117).

Direct conversion to neural cells

Recently, direct conversion of a cell into a different cell type bypassing the pluripotent stage was shown. For example, hematopoietic cells were generated directly from human dermal fibroblasts without establishing pluripotency via the ectopic expression of hematopoietic transcription factors (118), and mouse embryonic fibroblasts were directly reprogrammed to cardiomyocytes (119). Several studies demonstrated direct conversion of mouse and human skin or liver cells into neurons (termed induced neuronal cells) with the combinatorial expression of neural lineage-specific transcription factors (120–122). The reprogramming factors appear to lead to a switch in lineage fate rather than an induction of hybrid phenotypes, although the induced neuronal cells retain a small but detectable epigenetic memory of their donor cells (120).

The interconversion between adult cells from ontogenically different lineages by an induced transdifferentiation process without the need for establishing pluripotency provides a novel tool for adult cell fate modification (123).

Wernig and colleagues showed recently that mouse embryonic fibroblasts can be directly converted to self-renewing neural precursor cells that generate both neurons and glia and can be expanded in large numbers (124). The next step will be to determine whether similar induced neural precursor cells (iNPCs) can be generated from adult human fibroblasts and whether these are safe. These new developments in stem cell biology suggest that pluripotency is no longer a prerequisite for somatic cell reprogramming. This alternative approach to cellular reprogramming for autologous cell replacement therapies avoids complications associated with the use of human pluripotent stem cells (118), such as tumorigenicity. However, more work needs to be done to elucidate the process of direct conversion.

Summary of challenges for clinical translation of stem cell therapy for SCI

Clinical translation of stem cell therapy for SCI still faces enormous challenges, although much has been learned from previous SCI and other trials. However, most have been Phase I trials conducted with small numbers of patients without controls, and thus, assessment of efficacy is not possible (1). Enrolling sufficient numbers of SCI patients for clinical trials has been difficult because of differing severity and level of injury, age of patient, and associated injuries. Generally, for cell transplantation trials, the target SCI population has been ASIA A patients to avoid causing further damage, but these patients may have minimal ability to recover, and demonstration of effectiveness is impaired due to insensitive outcome measures. For example, short-length axonal regeneration would be undetected in thoracic injuries. Some of the obstacles the Geron trial encountered were the need to screen large numbers of patients, the need to inject a large number of cells (2 million per patient), and a relatively long wait time to ascertain clinical efficacy (more than six months). The process of creating clinically acceptable ES cell–derived cells is costly, and the same challenges apply to iPSC-derived cells. Recent developments with direct conversion methods indicate great potential for clinical stem cell therapy, but more work is needed. In contrast, there are 50 years of research in the adult stem cell field with HSCs, which are routinely used to treat patients with leukemia and related bone/blood cancers. Many clinical case reports describing MSC therapy for stroke, multiple sclerosis, and in orthopedic conditions have been published (125–128). Given the large amount of preclinical data and the safety record, it is understandable that so many clinical trials have used MSC-based therapies. However, answers will not come from small, uncoordinated phase I trials. Also, for reasons outlined in consensus panels, it is important for patients to avoid experimental therapy outside of a formal, clinical trial. The complexities of attenuating the tissue damage and secondary complications due to trauma and reconstructing the cytoarchitecture of the injured spinal cord are very challenging, but hopefully, the rapid advances being made in stem cell biology will result in effective experimental and clinical trials of stem cell therapy for SCI.
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