Type 1 angiotensin receptors on macrophages ameliorate IL-1 receptor–mediated kidney fibrosis
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In a wide array of kidney diseases, type 1 angiotensin (AT1) receptors are present on the immune cells that infiltrate the renal interstitium. Here, we examined the actions of AT1 receptors on macrophages in progressive renal fibrosis and found that macrophage-specific AT1 receptor deficiency exacerbates kidney fibrosis induced by unilateral ureteral obstruction (UUO). Macrophages isolated from obstructed kidneys of mice lacking AT1 receptors solely on macrophages had heightened expression of proinflammatory M1 cytokines, including IL-1. Evaluation of isolated AT1 receptor–deficient macrophages confirmed the propensity of these cells to produce exaggerated levels of M1 cytokines, which led to more severe renal epithelial cell damage via IL-1 receptor activation in coculture compared with WT macrophages. A murine kidney crosstransplantation concomitant with UUO model revealed that augmentation of renal fibrosis instigated by AT1 receptor–deficient macrophages is mediated by IL-1 receptor stimulation in the kidney. This study indicates that a key role of AT1 receptors on macrophages is to protect the kidney from fibrosis by limiting activation of IL-1 receptors in the kidney.

Introduction

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) constitutes a major public health concern. Hematopoietic cells infiltrate the injured kidney and modulate the progression of immune-mediated glomerulonephritides and even “nonimmune” forms of kidney damage related to hypertension and ischemia-reperfusion (1–3). Among BM-derived cell lineages, macrophages play a prominent role in regulating kidney fibrosis (4), and the extent of fibrosis predicts organ failure in chronic diseases of the kidney and other tissues (5).

After infiltrating the kidney, macrophages can promote inflammatory injury or direct tissue repair depending on their phenotype, summarized in a dichotomous “M1 versus M2” paradigm (6). In this vernacular, proinflammatory M1 macrophages induce damage by secreting cytokines such as TNF-α and IL-1β, whereas reparative M2 macrophages secrete the decoy IL-1 receptor (IL-1R2) and other immunosuppressive mediators. However, the mechanisms through which key drivers of kidney fibrosis contribute to macrophage polarization require elucidation.

As the principal effector molecule of the renin-angiotensin system (RAS), Ang II is a fundamental driver of renal fibrosis (7). Accordingly, treatment with type 1 angiotensin (AT1) receptor blockers has achieved considerable success in managing CKD patients (8). Ang II provokes accumulation of macrophages in the kidney (9), and macrophages express all components of the RAS including AT1 receptors (10, 11). However, previous experiments have not determined the functions of AT1 receptors specifically on macrophages in vivo.

In the current studies, we therefore generated “Macro KO” mice lacking AT1 receptors solely on myeloid cells (LysM-Cre+ Agtr1a flox/flox mice; Supplemental Paragraph 1 and Supplemental Figures 1–4; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI61368DS1) to determine whether AT1 receptor activation on macrophages exacerbates CKD by promoting a proinflammatory M1 phenotype. We employed the unilateral ureteral obstruction–induced (UUO-induced) kidney fibrosis model of CKD because UUO stimulates the RAS and macrophages are critical to the pathogenesis of renal fibrosis (12, 13). However, we found that AT1 receptors on macrophages protect the kidney from fibrosis and suppress M1 cytokine production.

Results and Discussion

AT1A receptor deficiency on macrophages exacerbates kidney fibrosis. To examine infiltration of LysM-expressing macrophages in the kidney during renal fibrosis, we subjected LysM-Cre+ mT/mG reporter mice to UUO. By day 7 of UUO, robust accumulation of GFP+ macrophages was detected in the interstitial areas of obstructed kidneys, but not the contralateral, unobstructed kidneys (Figure 1A), confirming that LysM-Cre–mediated gene excision affects macrophages infiltrating the diseased kidney. To evaluate the actions of AT1 receptors on macrophages that infiltrate the kidney undergoing fibrosis, we harvested kidneys from mice with macrophage–specific deletion of Agtr1a (LysM-Cre+ Agtr1a flox/flox, herein referred to as Macro KO) and WT mice 7 days following UUO. Obstructed kidneys from Macro KO mice contained 64%...
more interstitial fibrosis (Figure 1B and Supplemental Figure 5), 80% more collagen-producing myofibroblasts (Supplemental Figure 6), and 80% more collagen I (Col I) protein (Figure 1C) than in WT mice. Moreover, at day 7 after UUO, mRNA expressions of Col I, PAI-1, and TGF-β1 were enhanced by 129%, 47%, and 40%, respectively, in Macro KO kidneys compared with WTs (Figure 1D). Additional experiments further confirmed our results (Supplemental Paragraph 2 and Supplemental Figures 7 and 8).

These findings contrast starkly with studies in which global AT1A receptor deficiency ameliorates kidney fibrosis (14), but align with reports of exaggerated tissue damage in AT1A receptor–deficient BM chimeras (15, 16). Collectively, our data indicate that activation of AT1 receptors on infiltrating macrophages protects the kidney from progressive fibrosis.

The heterogeneity of macrophage polarization plays a critical role in the pathogenesis of kidney damage and fibrosis (6). In simplified terms, the balance between proinflammatory M1 and reparative M2 macrophages determines the local composition of cytokine reservoirs that orchestrate disruptions in renal architecture following an insult. Therefore, on days 3 and 7 after UUO, we profiled the renal expression of M1 and M2 markers, including M1 cytokines IL-1β and TNF-α, which have been implicated in the progression of renal fibrosis (Figure 1E). Obstructed Macro KO kidneys had significantly higher expression of M1 markers, including IL-1β, IL-1R1, TNF-α, IL-12p40, and CCL2, compared with obstructed WT kidneys 3 days after UUO, whereas expression of M2 markers Arg1, FIZZ1, YM-1, and IL-1R2 was virtually identical between cohorts. A similar pattern was noted at day 7 after UUO (Figure 1E), suggesting that activation of AT1 receptors on macrophages represses M1 cytokine expression during kidney fibrosis.

**Figure 1**

**AT1A receptor deficiency on macrophages exacerbates kidney fibrosis induced by UUO.** (A) Representative kidney sections from LysM-Cre+ mT/mG mice 7 days after UUO with contralateral unobstructed kidney on left and obstructed kidney on right. (B) Polarized images of representative sections from obstructed WT and Macro KO kidneys stained with picrosirius red for collagen fibrils at day 7 after UUO. Original magnification, ×20. (C) Western blot for Col I in whole kidney at day 7 after UUO. (D) mRNA expression of ColI, Pai1, and Tgfb1 in obstructed kidney at day 7 after UUO. (E) Gene expression of M1 markers Il1b, Il1r1, Tnfa, Il12b, and Ccl2 and M2 markers Arg1, Fizz1, Ym1, and Il1r2 in obstructed kidneys at 3 and 7 days after UUO. At day 3, *P < 0.02 vs. WT, †P = 0.02 vs. WT, ‡P = 0.01 vs. WT, §P = 0.03, ¶P < 0.02. At day 7, *P < 0.03 vs. WT, †P = 0.02 vs. WT, #P = 0.05, ¶P < 0.001.
array (TLDA) card–based microarray was performed to survey gene expression for inflammatory mediators and signaling molecules in the Ly6C⁹⁶-activated macrophages (Figure 2A and Supplementary Table 1). Among the upregulated genes, IL-1β but not TNF-α showed enhanced expression in Macro KO kidneys at both days 3 and 7 of UUO (Figure 1E). Moreover, by real-time PCR, Ly6C⁹⁶ and Ly6Cl⁰ macrophages from the Macro KO kidneys had higher IL-1β expression levels than found in WT controls (Figure 2B).

These data indicate that AT1A receptor activation constrains infiltrating macrophage activation by suppressing key inflammatory cytokines including IL-1 during kidney fibrosis.

To explore in vitro the role of the macrophage AT1A receptor in regulating macrophage activation, we cultured Macro KO and WT peritoneal macrophages and subjected them to M1- or M2-polarizing stimuli or vehicle (M0). M1 stimulation increased mRNA expression of M1 markers in both groups, confirming...
M1 polarization (Figure 2C). However, M1 macrophages lacking the AT1A receptor showed exaggerated expression levels for each marker. These findings together with M2 polarization studies (Supplemental Paragraph 4 and Supplemental Figures 12 and 13) suggest that AT1A receptor activation during M1 differentiation constrains M1 cytokine production without altering the macrophage’s susceptibility to M2 differentiation.

Enhanced IL-1 production by AT1 receptor–deficient macrophages drives renal cell injury in coculture. Proinflammatory cytokines including IL-1β have been implicated in renal tubular cell (RTC) damage (18). We therefore hypothesized that exaggerated production of inflammatory cytokines by AT1A receptor–deficient macrophages could alter gene expression programs for injury within the kidney tubular cell. Testing this hypothesis in vitro, we measured expres-
sion of Col I and kidney injury marker neutrophil gelatinase–asso-
ciated lipocalin (NGAL) in RTCs following coculture with M0- or
M1-conditioned macrophages from our experimental animals
(Figure 2D). At 6 hours, M1 macrophages from Macro WT or KO
mice, but not M0 macrophages, upregulated Col I and Ngal mRNA
expression in RTCs. Thus, cytokines from activated macrophages
can mediate damage to kidney tubular cells without direct con-
tact. Moreover, compared with WT M1 macrophages, M1 macro-
phages lacking AT1A receptors induced an even more profound
increase in RTX expression of Col I and NGAL (Figure 2D). To
explore whether AT1 receptor–deficient macrophages mediate this
exaggerated tubular cell damage through enhanced IL-1 produc-
tion acting on the renal cell IL-1 receptor (IL-1R1), we repeated our
coculture experiments with Il1r1-deficient (KO) RTCs (Figure 2D).
In Il1r1 KO RTCs, coincubation with M1-conditioned macro-
phages from WT or Macro KO animals induced severely blunted
and equivalent increases in Col I and NGAL expression. Finally,
recombinant IL-1β induced robust expression of Col I and NGAL
in WT but not Il1r1 KO RTCs (Supplemental Figure 14). Thus,
activation of the IL-1R on kidney cells by IL-1 secreted from acti-
vated macrophages triggers RTX damage. We therefore posited
that AT1 receptor activation on infiltrating macrophages limits their
IL-1 production, which in turn suppresses gene expression
programs for injury in kidney parenchymal cells by preventing
renal IL-1R stimulation.

The kidney cross-transplant UUO model. Testing this possibility in
vivo requires a system that can separate the contribution of AT1
receptor activation on macrophages from the downstream effects
of renal IL-1R activation during UUO-mediated renal fibrosis. We
therefore developed a kidney transplantation–ureteral obstruction
(KT-UO) model (Supplemental Paragraph 5 and Supplemental
Figure 15). To examine the infiltration of macrophages from the
recipient kidney into the donor KT-UO kidney, we transplanted a
nonfluorescent WT kidney into a LysM-Cre+ mT/mG recipient mouse in which green GFP signals present in the KT-UO kidney
represented macrophages from the kidney recipient, and red fluo-
rescent protein (RFP) signals represented other BM-derived cells
from the recipient not of the myeloid lineage. Seven days following
KT-UO, robust GFP signals that mark infiltrating macrophages
were evident in the KT-UO kidneys along with a far less promi-
nent infiltrating population of red-fluorescing nonmyeloid cells
(Figure 3A). Thus, the vast majority of BM-derived cells infiltrat-
ing the donor kidney in the KT-UO model are macrophages.
In contrast, the native unobstructed kidney in the LysM-Cre+ mT/mG recipient contained only a rare GFP fluorescing macro-
phage (Figure 3A). Next, we transplanted a kidney from a LysM-Cre+
mT/mG animal into a LysM-Cre+ mT/mG recipient whose native
unobstructed kidney fluoresces red due to the absence of Cre-
mediated RFP excision (Figure 3B). Here, GFP signals in the
KT-UO kidney represented macrophages resident in the trans-
planted kidney that could constitute an alternative source of
inflammatory cytokines. However, only sparse GFP signals were
detected in LysM-Cre+ mT/mG KT-UO kidney sections (Figure 3B).
Thus, the overwhelming majority of macrophages present in the
KT-UO kidney arrive from the circulation of the kidney recipient.

Activation of the AT1 receptor on infiltrating macrophages ameliorates kidney fibrosis by limiting renal IL-1R stimulation. After validating
our model, we transplanted Il1r1 WT or Il1r1 KO kidneys into Macro
WT or Macro KO animals (Supplemental Paragraph 6 and Supple-
mental Table 2) to quantify the contribution of macrophage-gen-
erated IL-1 from the recipient to IL-1R-mediated fibrosis in the
donor kidney. Seven days after KT-UO, the transplanted kidney in
WT mice exhibited a moderate level of fibrosis similar to that seen
earlier in nontransplanted WT mice (Figure 3C and Figure 1B).
The extent of fibrosis in the KT-UO kidney from Macro KO Il1r1
WT mice (Figure 3C) exceeded that in the WT KT-UO kidney by
approximately 50%, comparable to the discrepancy seen in the
nontransplanted WT and Macro KO groups (Supplemental Figure
5), confirming that AT1A receptor deficiency on macrophages in
the recipient mice exacerbates the severity of kidney fibrosis in the
KT-UO model. The abrogation of IL-1R signaling in the KT-UO
kidney of the Macro WT Il1r1 KO mice reduced the level of kid-
ney fibrosis by a third compared with the WT group, implicating the
renal IL-1R as a key mediator of kidney fibrosis in our model.
IL-1R deletion in the KT-UO kidneys of Macro KO Il1r1 KO mice
decreased the extent of kidney fibrosis by half compared with their
Macro KO Il1r1 WT counterparts lacking AT1A receptors on macro-
phages, but expressing IL-1Rs in the KT-UO kidney, and down
to levels seen in the Macro WT Il1r1 KOs (Figure 3C). Col I protein
content in the KT-UO kidney (Supplemental Figure 16) mirrored the
levels of fibrosis across the groups. Thus, enhanced activation of
the kidney IL-1R accounts for the exaggerated renal fibrosis that
accrues from AT1 receptor deficiency on macrophages. As seen
earlier in the nontransplanted groups, renal macrophage infiltra-
tion in the Macro KO Il1r1 WT group was numerically but not sig-
fificantly higher than in the WT group (Supplemental Figure 17).
Levels of renal macrophage accumulation in the 2 groups lack-
ing IL-1Rs on the KT-UO kidney were similar to each other, but
significantly reduced compared with their counterparts with Il1r1
WT KT-UO kidneys, highlighting a role for renal IL-1R signals to
recruit macrophages into the kidney undergoing fibrosis. Finally,
Col I and NGAL expression (Figure 3, D and E) in the KT-UO kid-
nneys followed a pattern strikingly similar to that of fibrosis across the
transplant groups and corroborated our coculture findings.

Summary. These experiments establish a mechanism through
which AT1 receptors on macrophages function to protect the kid-
ney from progressive fibrosis. Activation of AT1 receptors on infil-
trating macrophages suppresses their release of the proinflamma-
tory cytokine IL-1 and thereby prevents stimulation of the kidney
IL-1R. We also establish a new KT-UO model that, in conjunction
with conditional gene targeting, can serve as a tool for elucidating
interactions between hematopoietic and kidney parenchymal cells.
The ability of AT1 receptor activation on macrophages to mitigate
kidney damage has broad implications for the design of potent
therapies to overcome the shortcomings of global angiotensin
receptor blockade related not only to previously recognized side
effects but also to patently detrimental effects of blocking angio-
tensin receptors on hematopoietic cells.

Methods
Detailed Supplemental Methods are available online.

Statistics. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM and analyzed by 2-tailed
unpaired t test or ANOVA according to statistical methods described in
detail in the Supplemental Methods. *P < 0.05 was considered significant.

Study approval. Mice were bred and maintained in the Association for
Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care–accredited ani-
mal facilities at the Durham Veterans’ Affairs Medical Center (DVAMC)
per NIH guidelines. The animal studies were approved by the DVAMC
Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and conducted in accord-
dance with the NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.
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