The stem cell niche: tissue physiology at a single cell level
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Stem cells are the critical unit affecting tissue maintenance, regeneration, and repair, with particular relevance to the tissues with high cell turnover. Stem cell regulation accommodates the conflicting needs of prompt responsiveness to injury and long-term preservation through quiescence. They are, in essence, the fundamental unit by which a tissue handles changing physiologic needs throughout the lifetime of the organism. As such, they are the focal point of dynamic tissue function, and their governance is physiology expressed at a cellular and molecular level. Here, we discuss the multiple components representing the stem cell niche in hematopoiesis and argue for an unbiased mapping of the niche constituents under different conditions as the first step in developing systems physiology.

Introduction

The concept of a specialized microenvironment governing stem cell behavior is now commonly accepted, with cells contributing to the niche of tissues, like blood, gut, skin, and brain, increasingly being defined. While simple regulatory relationships between stem cell and niche elements are the substance of most studies following the model so well defined in invertebrates, the list of participants, in the bone marrow in particular, has become sufficiently rich that models of niche function must begin to accommodate complexity. Accomplishing this requires both a means of delineating contributions of individual parts and a clear sense of what the functional outcomes of greatest interest are. In its earliest and simplest formulation, the niche hypothesis described a heterologous cell interaction, fostering the preservation of the stem cell state. However, the functions of the niche have taken on new dimension, in keeping with an evolving sense of how stem cells behave, in parallel with an increasingly diverse array of participating elements of the microenvironment that regulates them. In the tissues with high turnover (gut, airway, skin, and blood) that provide critical defense from the outside world, there is a highly ordered production of massive numbers of cells, a process fraught with danger to a long-lived animal like the human. To put the production demands of the system in perspective, the number of cells produced daily to simply maintain the hematopoietic system alone exceeds the estimated number of stars in the Milky Way (1). The tens of millions of mitoses required per minute pose the inevitable consequence of mutation and potential exhaustion. The adverse consequences of mutation are mitigated by the organization of subpopulations within the tissues. That organizational schema follows the general rule of self-renewal being restricted to a stem cell pool of limited size and proliferative activity, thereby reducing the likelihood of accumulated genetic injury in any given cell: mutations in non–self-renewing progenitors would be of modest consequence if the cells inexorably progress toward death. However, it is now clear that in at least some high turnover systems, like the gut (2–4) and blood (5–8), stem cells are not uniformly quiescent. This variable behavior of stem cells raises the notion that niche components provide a means by which the stem cell state is preserved and also participate in governing the relative proliferative activity of stem cells.

Through modification of specific molecules in either a broad range of cells from the microenvironment or a selected subset, it is now clear that the microenvironment serves to integrate proliferative and differentiation events in hematopoiesis. For example, perturbation of RARγ signaling or Rb and p53 expression in the microenvironment results in myeloproliferative phenotypes with remote tissue infiltration (9, 10). Perhaps most strikingly, altering genes for the miRNA-processing enzymes, DICER, DROSHA, or DGCR8, or the ribosomal complex gene, SBDS, in osteolineage cells created a complex hematopoietic phenotype with multiple defects at the stem cell, progenitor, and mature cell level, creating a myelodysplasia-like state (11). The progression of stem cells to progenitors and mature cells was disordered sufficiently to compromise the number and even morphologic appearance of the cells. These hematopoietic effects seem to be dependent upon a particular subset of osteoilineage cells, specifically those expressing OSTERIX, a protein preferentially expressed in immature cells. No hematopoietic phenotype was seen when DICER1 was deleted in mature osteoblasts. The changes that were seen in hematopoietic function were entirely microenvironment dependent, as transplants of mutant cells into unmodified hosts reversed the myelodysplasia, and transplant of wild type cells into the modified host induced the phenotype (11). These data indicate that specific subsets of cells in the environment govern how the stem cell proceeds in its generation of its descendent cells.

Strikingly, in the DICER deletion model, a small number of animals developed an acute myeloid leukemia that involved multiple new genetic lesions, as shown by comparative genomic hybridization analysis (11). These data strongly support the notion that cells of the microenvironment are imposing constraints on the hematopoietic cells that when altered select for hematopoietic cells that may have acquired different function due to de novo mutations. The niche may indeed be imposing “fitness” determinants on hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (HSPCs), and when these fitness parameters change, abnormal cells may have
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a competitive advantage. Another study further supporting that concept demonstrated that HSCs expressing the oncoprotein AML1-ETO were preferentially supported when transplanted into aged compared with young animals (12). Niche characteristics may therefore be providing selective pressures enforcing particular stem cell characteristics by granting them competitive privilege.

The niche exerting fitness pressures on the stem cell is particularly intriguing in hematopoiesis because of the known circulation of these stem cells in the adult. The question of why stem cells continue to circulate in the adult mammal well after the establishment of hematopoiesis in the bone marrow has long been perplexing. Part of the basis for this has been thought to involve response to ongoing variations in cytokine levels, such as G-CSF, which affect expression of tethering molecules in the bone marrow (13). It is also increasingly recognized that structural elements within the niche such as osteolineage cells are undergoing substantial turnover under homeostatic conditions (14). Therefore, the HSC may essentially lose its niche periodically, as some of the cells that comprise it terminate. Neither of these scenarios fully explains why cells would be in the circulation and not just in the interstitial, extracellular space within the marrow, but both suggest dynamism of place that may implicitly impose a requirement for stem cells to effectively find themselves a new niche, with attendant demands on localization and engrafment functions. These requirements impose a de facto fitness challenge on the stem cells that may also offer some selective advantage for the organism.

Therefore, the niche should be regarded as a dynamic participant in the health of the tissue and the organism. Because the stem cell is at the root of tissue sustenance, it is somewhat expected that it will be multiply determined. It is also not surprising that the stem cell will be regulated by processes that can be modulated, are likely redundant, and are perhaps reciprocal in function to achieve outcomes reflective of complex organismal need. Studying complex tissue components that in sum affect stem cell function is a daunting challenge and is only minimally served by a reductionist approach. Yet, thus far, it is by reductionist means that we have learned anything at all about the niche. A summary of the recent findings in niche participants follows, along with a perspective on how we might take the necessary next step in untangling the weave of the niche.

Ultrastructure of the stem cell niche

HSPCs are not randomly located in the bone marrow, but preferentially reside in trabecular bone and are relatively infrequent in regions such as the diaphyses of long bones (15). From studies of cell position relative to progenitor function conducted in the 1970s, it was noted that the endosteal surfaces of bone are a preferential location for primitive hematopoietic cells (16, 17). With more precise in vivo microscopy, it is evident that transplanted cells take a position determined by their cell state. That is, HSCs localize to endosteal surfaces, while more mature hematopoietic progenitor cells localize further away (18–21), and HSCs tightly associated with the endosteum have been shown to have greater transplantation activity than those in the central marrow cavity (22). HSCs from aged donors were also shown to localize to sites further away from the endosteum than those from younger donors (18), suggesting that age influences interactions within the niche. Therefore, there is a coherent logic to marrow macroarchitecture, in which hematopoietic cell state and cell position correlate and yet can be modulated.

Given these earlier localization studies identifying the endosteum as a localization point for HSCs, osteolineage cells lining the endosteum were recognized as potential HSC supporters via in vitro studies by Taichman and colleagues (23, 24) and later through a series of in vivo studies (25–28). It should be noted that we have chosen to use the term “osteolineage cells” to describe these populations of HSC supportive cells, while many of these previous publications referred to these cells as “osteoblasts” due to cell morphology, endosteal localization, and various phenotypic markers. However, it has recently become clear that osteolineage cells exist on a spectrum of differentiation, similar to hematopoietic cells, and it is likely that these earlier studies encompassed an earlier component cell. It is the earlier osteolineage cell that appears to be involved in HSC regulation (11).

The populations of cells comprising the marrow in trabecular bone are highly complex, as it is a rich network of vessels, nerves, bone-remodeling elements, and subpopulations of hematopoietic cells comprising a region whose three-dimensional architecture crudely resembles that of sponge (Figure 1). The positioning of HSPCs in relation to these elements is variable. After transplantation, HSPCs were noted in CXCL12-rich vascular zones, in which they were positioned longer term and appeared to proliferate over time (29). By histology a large fraction of HSCs were seen localized to sinusoidal endothelium, with only a portion of HSCs associated with the endosteum (30). The presence of HSPCs next to vessels could simply reflect the cells in transit, a phenomenon that is known to occur with high frequency (31). However, the proportion of HSPCs in the perivascular position is large, and there is now ample evidence of perivascular mesenchymal cells playing a role in regulating HSPC function (32–34).

HSPCs residing in regions relatively equidistant from both endosteal lining cells and perivascular/sinusoidal components may be subject to a set of different conditions than those at a greater distance from the endosteum. For example, the endosteal surface bone remodeling activity is thought to generate high ionic calcium levels, and the calcium-sensing receptor is known to be expressed on HSPCs and affect their function (35). Further, the types of mesenchymal cells on the endosteal surface are different than those in the marrow interstitium, in that they include bone matrix-producing cells that elaborate distinct secreted and cell surface proteins such as agrin (36) and osteopontin (with higher protein levels at the endosteum; ref. 37), both defined HSPC regulators. Finally, the presence of the bone matrix itself also creates a distinct biophysical surface that has yet to be fully explored, but is likely to provide a unique set of interactions for HSPCs or the molecules that regulate them.

Those perivascular HSPCs at a distance from the endosteum may not just be exposed to fewer of the endosteal-specific components, but may also be engaging a distinct set of cells and their signals. The mesenchymal populations in the marrow are highly heterogeneous. Some, like osteolineage-committed cells, have a known position on the endosteum. Genetic manipulation of these cells has been shown to alter HSPC number, proliferative activity, and sensitivity to the mobilizing signal of G-CSF (8, 11, 25, 38). A number of primitive mesenchymal cells with multilineage or osteolineage potential have been identified in lineage-tracing studies and these have been characterized as nestin-positive (32) or Mx-1–expressing cells (14). Others are of unclear differentiation potential but express leptin receptor and high levels of kit ligand (39). Deletion of kit ligand in the leptin receptor–positive population markedly reduced bone marrow HSCs in a manner that was
not seen when the same gene deletion was performed in nestin-expressing or osteolineage cells (39).

There are also mesenchymal populations that are not necessarily perivascular that influence hematopoiesis. A CXCL12− mesenchymal cell of ambiguous function, termed the CXCL12−abundant reticular cell, was found to be the predominate CXCL12−expressing cell within the bone marrow and was found scattered throughout the intertrabecular space of the bone marrow cavity and adjacent to sinusoidal endothelial cells (34). Adipocytes also can affect HSPCs, by negatively regulating their number (40). These data all point to distinctive sub-environments in the marrow that may contribute variegated signals to HSPCs.

It is fair to conclude then that hematopoietic cells have differentiation stage-specific, or age-specific, positions, and their positioning exposes them to differing conditions. A popular hypothesis holds that the positioning corresponds to the increasingly recognized heterogeneity of the HSPC pool in terms of functions like cell cycling or responsiveness to mobilization signals, though that is yet to be experimentally defined.

**Niche connectivity**

Physiology is the study of the functional response to differing needs. If the niche is to be considered a quintessential expression of tissue physiology, it follows that it should have a high degree of connectedness to the state of the tissue and the organism. Perhaps the greatest manifestation of that connectedness is the link to the ultimate integrator of organism level information, the central nervous system. In a series of extraordinarily insightful studies, Paul Frenette's laboratory defined how the sympathetic nervous system (SNS) participates in HSPC regulation largely through β-adrenergic signals altering the function of mesenchymal cells, changing their expression of known mediators of HSPC localization, such as the chemokine, CXCL12, and its receptor, CXCR4 (32, 41, 42). Modulation of sympathetic tone occurs with central circadian oscillators, and the same group showed how rhythmic SNS activity results in cyclic changes in HSPC mobilization into the peripheral blood (43, 44). These data conclusively show that the hematopoietic niche is at the command of the highest order of organismal physiology, the brain.

Our understanding of how other nervous system components participate in the niche took an interesting turn when Yamazaki et al. described a population of nonmyelinating Schwann cells as HSPC regulators (45). These cells ensheathe sympathetic nerves in the bone marrow and were shown to be a primary producer of TGF-β, which Yamazaki and others have demonstrated is a quiescent signal for HSCs (46, 47). When the bone was denervated, TGF-β signaling was reduced, leading to a reduction in the HSC

---

**Figure 1**

Schematic representation of the HSC niche. HSCs reside in bone marrow niches, closely associated with osteolineage cells, the highly innervated nestin+ mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), perivascular CXCL12-abundant reticular cells, or sinusoidal lining leptin receptor+ cells, with overlapping regions and differing oxygen tensions. Resident macrophages provide a positive supporting factor maintaining osteolineage and mesenchymal stem cell activity, and megakaryocytes support osteolineage cells after injury through cell-to-cell contact. Similarly, HSCs making cell-to-cell contact can create signaling endosomes, enhancing osteolineage hematopoietic support, and transplanted HSCs facilitate sinusoidal endothelial repair following injury. Osteoclasts, in conjunction with osteoblasts, maintain bone formation and resorption, creating a soluble calcium gradient, which is a chemoattractant for HSCs. SNS signals regulate bone marrow niche components, and nonmyelinating Schwann cells ensheathing those neurons can directly regulate HSCs through TGF-β production.
pool (45). Thus, nervous system participation extends beyond events at the synaptic nerve terminal. The recent demonstration that hematopoietic cells in Drosophila larva rely on peripheral nervous system signaling (48) argues that connectivity between nervous and hematopoietic systems is highly conserved (49).

The vascular tree may also be regarded as a source of integration. We had previously shown, in collaboration with the laboratory of Charles Lin, that vascular subdomains exist in the bone marrow with abundant CXCL12 and E-selectin expression and that HSPCs preferentially localized to these sites, suggesting niche function (29). It has recently been shown that regeneration of sinusoidal endothelial cells is essential for hematopoietic reconstitution following myelosuppression (50) and bone marrow endothelial cells support the growth and expansion of HSCs ex vivo through the expression of “angiocrine” factors (51). In addition, the deletion of kit ligand in tie2-expressing endothelial cells results in a loss of HSCs from the bone marrow (39). Therefore, both a subset of endothelial cells and the mesenchymal cells that are adjacent to them participate in the niche. Their connection to circulating factors reflecting the state of the tissue and organism is a likely means by which host physiology broadly conceived can influence the HSC-driven hematopoietic response.

One such simple metabolic parameter in which the vasculature directly participates is that of oxygen tension. While oxygenation levels in the bone marrow are controversial, it is clear that oxygen-responsive genes alter niche function. HIF-1α-deficient mice have reduced expression of CRIPTO on endosteal osteolineage cells and a reduction of one of CRIPTO’s cognate receptors, GRP78, on HSCs (52). The CRIPTO/GRP78 signaling axis was shown to be an important regulator of HSC quiescence downstream of HIF-1α signaling, and it was postulated that GRP78 could be used as a marker to distinguish between quiescent and active HSCs. In mice in which the HIF-1α-responsive element on the Vegfa promoter was mutated, thereby preventing upregulated expression in hypoxic conditions, HSCs were found to have defects in their function (53), supporting a role of hypoxia-induced gene expression in the niche for maintenance of HSCs. These follow other studies in which HIF-1α has been shown to be necessary for HSFC cell cycle quiescence and resistance to stress (54). HIF-1α was also shown to increase production of CXCL12 (55) and CXCR4 (56) and prevent reactive oxygen species-mediated HSPC damage (57).

It is somewhat obvious that oxygen levels will have multiple means of altering HSPC function, including affecting niche function, but we are just beginning to gain granularity on how and where these alterations occur. It will take new technologies to accurately measure oxygen tension within the bone marrow microenvironment at high resolution to determine how oxygen levels themselves change and modify specific cells within the architecture of the marrow under different physiologic states.

**Bidirectional niche biology**

Connections of systemic inputs to HSPC function are generally the focus of niche studies, but recent evidence intriguingly posits that information may flow in reverse. Human CD34+ HSPCs cocultured with osteolineage cells were observed to exchange a portion of their membrane with the osteolineage cells, creating a signaling endosome (58). This caused osteolineage cells to down-regulate SMAD signaling and increase production of CXCL12. While these data were derived exclusively from in vitro studies, they raise the interesting possibility that hematopoietic cells may instruct osteolineage cells to create a more habitable environment, allowing them to directly affect their own microenvironment. This may suggest a concept that has long been proposed based on clinical observations under conditions in which the marrow space is infiltrated or demands of hematopoiesis are extreme: that the HSC can instruct surrounding cell neighbors to form a supportive microenvironmental niche.

Mature hematopoietic cells certainly have the ability to alter niche function, and three recent reports indicate a new role for macrophages in that capacity (59–61). Macrophages characterized as F4/80+Ly-6G+CD11b+ (61) or Gr-1negativeF4/80+CD115mid CD169+ (59) line the endosteal surfaces of bone and are reduced in number by G-CSF treatment. Normally, these macrophages produce soluble factors promoting osteolineage function and subsequent production of supportive hematopoietic niche molecules like SDF-1α (59–61). When macrophages are reduced in bone marrow with G-CSF treatment, this positive support on osteolineage cells is lost, resulting in an attenuation of the hematopoietic niche and HSPC mobilization to the periphery. A distinct monocyte lineage, osteoclasts, has also been previously reported to increase HSPC mobilization when stimulated by RANK ligand, due to cathepsin K degradation of tethering molecules (62, 63). Recent data indicating that bisphosphonate inhibition of osteoclast function did not perturb HSPC mobilization and that osteoclast proliferation in response to G-CSF may antedate mobilization suggest that the role of osteoclasts may be more nuanced (61, 64, 65).

Megakaryocytes may affect niche components as well. For example, after irradiation, megakaryocytes were shown to migrate toward the endosteal surface and were implicated in an expansion in osteolineage cells (66). Mice deficient in GATA-1 and NF-E2 transcription factor expression had significantly increased megakaryocyte numbers and a 6-fold expansion of osteolineage cells (67) dependent on direct cell-cell contact between megakaryocytes and osteolineage cells (68, 69).

While some indications of hematopoietic cell modification of niche function have been defined, this remains a generally understudied topic and is of particular interest when considering how feedback loops between HSPCs and their niche may tune the relative abundance of each under conditions such as growth, transplantation, or leukemia.

**Systems niche biology**

As new roles for the HSPC microenvironment and new components that comprise it are defined, it is apparent that the ecological concept first applied to it by Schofield (70), a niche, was prescient. There is an interweaving of niche, HSPC, and organismal activities that can be seen as connected biomes that are in dynamic equipoise, with any change in one altering the activity of the other. While reductionist experimental approaches have lead to considerable advances in our understanding of the niche, it is clear that the interconnectedness and complexity of the system requires a broader view. So how to proceed?

Complexity of interactions is far from unique to the niche and is a common problem facing biologists, whether they are focused on the molecular, cellular, or organismal levels. By systematically gathering unbiased and comprehensive data sets under varying conditions, “omics” approaches are offering insights into internal logic and nodes of control. While such an approach is extremely challenging when applied to tissue, the current process of unveiling single elements sequentially is increasingly revealing its limi-
The parable of blind men describing the elephant in entirely different terms depending on which part of the animal they happened to encounter is a fitting description of our current process. Notably, when authoring a piece on Schofield’s original conception of the niche some years ago, one of us (D.T. Scadden) requested a picture of Schofield from his colleague, Brian Lord. Within the background of the photograph in Schofield’s office is a drawing of blind men examining an elephant. Well before any experimental definition of the concept he provided was acquired, Schofield’s awareness of how standard reductionist approaches would restrict our vision was strikingly sage.

If reductionism is reaching its asymptote of utility, the alternative is to gather data in an unbiased manner, systematically. While many approaches may apply, what is ultimately required is to accomplish understanding of geographic relationships on a microscopic level within intact, physiologic niches. This would involve quantifying cell types, numbers of those types, gene expression of those types of cells, and correlations of how the products of expression of those genes in those cells alter the outcome of blood cell production and preservation under varying types of physiologic stress. That is an enormous undertaking, but it is one that is becoming possible to envision. There are emerging technologies combining microscopy with molecular measurement that can be either highly multiplexed or unbiased. Those approaches may enable molecular signatures of cells with physical proximity to HSPCs to be defined without prior knowledge of the cell type. Those signatures can be used to bin cell populations, with subsequent quantification of cells in those bins under specific physiologic conditions. The perturbations of particular molecules within the bin populations corresponding to changes in HSPC number, function, and outcome in the blood could be enumerated, and correlations could be computationally established. These first steps would only begin to highlight what would need to be subsequently accomplished through more traditional means of candidate validation. However, they would begin to map in a more comprehensive manner the parts and interactions of the parts of the elephant. This would be a first step at understanding the tissue systems physiology that the HSC and its niche represent. It may be the only way to make sense of the complex interconnectedness of the niche and to avoid niche biology being a collection of half-blind observations. It may also inform strategies for altering the niche to achieve clinically important effects.
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