Synergistic stimulation of type I interferons during influenza virus coinfection promotes Streptococcus pneumoniae colonization in mice
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Pneumococcal infection of the respiratory tract is often secondary to recent influenza virus infection and accounts for much of the morbidity and mortality during seasonal and pandemic influenza. Here, we show that coinfection of the upper respiratory tract of mice with influenza virus and pneumococcus leads to synergistic stimulation of type I IFNs and that this impairs the recruitment of macrophages, which are required for pneumococcal clearance, due to decreased production of the chemokine CCL2. Type I IFN expression was induced by pneumococcal colonization alone. Colonization followed by influenza coinfection led to a synergistic type I IFN response, resulting in increased density of colonizing bacteria and susceptibility to invasive infection. This enhanced type I IFN response inhibited production of the chemokine CCL2, which promotes the recruitment of macrophages and bacterial clearance. Stimulation of CCL2 by macrophages upon pneumococcal infection alone required the pattern recognition receptor Nod2 and expression of the pore-forming toxin pneumolysin. Indeed, the increased colonization associated with concurrent influenza virus infection was not observed in mice lacking Nod2 or the type I IFN receptor, or in mice challenged with pneumococci lacking pneumolysin. We therefore propose that the synergistic stimulation of type I IFN production during concurrent influenza virus and pneumococcal infection leads to increased bacterial colonization and suggest that this may contribute to the higher rates of disease associated with coinfection in humans.

Introduction

Streptococcus pneumoniae (the pneumococcus) transiently colonizes the mucosal surfaces of the human upper respiratory tract (URT) (1). Colonizing organisms in the nasopharynx provide the reservoir for transmission of the pneumococcus within the population. Under certain conditions, the organism may overwhelm the mucosal defenses of the URT and transit to normally sterile parts of the upper or lower airway or beyond. Because colonization and factors that allow the spread of organisms outside their niche in the URT are common, the pneumococcus is a leading cause of bacterial diseases, including otitis media, pneumonia, and sepsis. A recent survey of respiratory tract infection in children demonstrated that viral infection is temporally associated with an approximately 15-fold increase in the number of pneumococci detected in nasal cultures (2). Moreover, viral infection of the respiratory tract is frequently associated with increased incidence of invasive pneumococcal diseases (3–5). Influenza virus, in particular, predisposes to secondary pneumococcal pneumonia, and this coinfection accounts for much of the increased morbidity and mortality during seasonal and pandemic influenza (4).

A number of reports have used a murine model of coinfection to examine the mechanisms responsible for the higher incidence of pneumococcal infection of the lower respiratory tract (LRT) following influenza (secondary pneumococcal pneumonia). An impairment of macrophage or neutrophil function, upregulation of the platelet-activating factor receptor, and induction of the antiinflammatory cytokine IL-10 have each been implicated in promoting post-influenza secondary pneumococcal pneumonia (6–8). Although during natural influenza virus infection the mucosal surfaces of the URT are a prominent site of viral replication, these studies have relied on direct inoculation of bacteria and virus into the LRT and have not examined the effect of influenza infection on pneumococcal colonization localized to the URT (9).

In considering how viral infection impacts pneumococcal colonization, we focused on the role of IFNs, particularly type I IFNs, since we had previously determined that colonization is not affected in mice lacking the receptor for IFN-γ (unpublished observations). Type I IFNs, which are central to antiviral defenses, encompass a large family of antiviral cytokines that include multiple IFN-α proteins and a single IFN-β protein (10, 11). Type I IFNs signal through a common receptor, IFN-α/β receptor (IFNAR), resulting in the expression of proinflammatory genes that inhibit viral replication and affect various aspects of immunity (12, 13). S. pneumoniae nasopharyngeal colonization has been shown to induce type I IFNs and IFN-inducible genes in mice (14). While the importance of type I IFNs to antiviral defense is well established, our understanding of their role in bacterial defense, especially for extracellular bacteria such as S. pneumoniae, remains incomplete.

Our laboratory has examined a number of bacterial and host factors that contribute to the establishment and clearance of pneumococcal colonization in mice. Once colonization of the URT is established, there is a gradual clearance of organisms along the mucosal surfaces of the nasal spaces. During primary infection, we focused on the role of IFNs, particularly type I IFNs, since we had previously determined that colonization is not affected in mice lacking the receptor for IFN-γ (unpublished observations). Type I IFNs, which are central to antiviral defenses, encompass a large family of antiviral cytokines that include multiple IFN-α proteins and a single IFN-β protein (10, 11). Type I IFNs signal through a common receptor, IFN-α/β receptor (IFNAR), resulting in the expression of proinflammatory genes that inhibit viral replication and affect various aspects of immunity (12, 13). S. pneumoniae nasopharyngeal colonization has been shown to induce type I IFNs and IFN-inducible genes in mice (14). While the importance of type I IFNs to antiviral defense is well established, our understanding of their role in bacterial defense, especially for extracellular bacteria such as S. pneumoniae, remains incomplete.

Our laboratory has examined a number of bacterial and host factors that contribute to the establishment and clearance of pneumococcal colonization in mice. Once colonization of the URT is established, there is a gradual clearance of organisms along the mucosal surfaces of the nasal spaces. During primary...
recruitment of these cells correlates with the expression of the chemokine CCL2 and is deficient in mice lacking its receptor, CCR2, which is also required for early clearance (15, 17). Recognition of pneumococci by pattern recognition receptors TLR2 and Nod2 — which sense lipid-modified constituents and muramyl dipeptide-containing (MDP-containing) peptidoglycan fragments, respectively — contributes to the production of CCL2, the influx of macrophages, and bacterial clearance.

Here we show that influenza and pneumococcal coinfection of the URT results in synergistic stimulation of type I IFNs, which attenuates the production of CCL2 and impairs macrophage recruitment. These effects inhibit clearance and result in a higher bacterial load. We propose that altered colonization dynamics during influenza virus infection may play a role in the complications associated with coinfection that occur outside the nasopharynx.

Results

Pneumococcal nasopharyngeal colonization induces type I IFNs. The type I IFN response during murine pneumococcal colonization was investigated using strain P1121, a type 23F clinical isolate that does not cause invasive infection in mice (18). URT lavages were analyzed to evaluate the kinetics of colonization by quantitative culture and the cellular inflammatory response by flow cytometry. As previously documented, the density of P1121 decreased gradually over the observation period (Figure 1A), and the numbers of luminal neutrophils (Ly6G+CD45+ population) and macrophages (F4/80+ population) were maximal at day 3 and day 7 after inoculation, respectively (Figure 1B and ref. 15). The recruitment of macrophages correlated with the expression of the chemokine CCL2 (Figure 1C). There was a moderate increase in mRNA for Ifna and Ifnb in the URT, with a maximal response at day 1 after inoculation and decline that paralleled the loss of colonization (Figure 1D).

Figure 1

Type I IFNs are expressed during pneumococcal carriage. (A) URT lavages were analyzed for quantitative culture at the time points indicated, following intranasal inoculation of C57BL/6 WT mice with strain P1121. Dashed line represents the limit of detection. (B) URT lavages from colonized mice were analyzed by flow cytometry to quantify numbers of neutrophils and macrophages. (C) Levels of Ccl2 mRNA in URT tract lavages were determined using qRT-PCR at each time point and expressed relative to uncolonized mice. (D) Levels of type I IFN mRNA in URT lavages were determined using qRT-PCR at each time point and expressed relative to uncolonized mice. n = 10–15 mice per time point. Values represent mean ± SD.
sally without anesthesia to avoid aspiration, only low levels of influenza and no pneumococci were detected in lung homogenates. Furthermore, there were no pathological differences in lungs sections with or without influenza virus challenge (Figure 3D), suggesting that influenza infection was largely limited to the URT.

As shown in Figure 3E, intranasal inoculation of PR8 resulted in increased pneumococcal load at day 7 of bacterial infection. Coinfected mice showed a synergistic increase in IFN-β mRNA expression compared with mice infected with either S. pneumoniae or influenza virus alone (Figure 3F). The synergistic increase in IFN-β expression during co-infection was associated with an inhibition of macrophage, but not neutrophil, recruitment (Figure 3G), which correlated with decreased expression of Ccl2, but not KC (Figure 3H). In contrast, in Ifnar−/− mice there was no increase in the density of colonizing strain P1121 during coinfection with PR8 (Figure 4A). Likewise, there was no loss of macrophage recruitment or decrease in Ccl2 expression in coinfected Ifnar−/− mice (Figure 4B, C and D). These results indicated that (a) concurrent influenza infection of the URT amplifies type I IFN signaling and (b) the increased pneumococcal colonization density requires type I IFN signaling. Additionally, we examined the relationship between increasing pneumococcal colonization density and development of disease. We used an intranasal inoculum of an invasive serotype 6A pneumococcal strain with or without PR8 coinfection and compared survival. The rate of late-onset sepsis was higher in coinfected mice compared with mice receiving pneumococcal challenge alone (Figure 3I). These data indicated that the higher density of bacterial colonization induced by influenza virus may predispose to invasive disease and mortality.

In a separate set of experiments, we tested the effect of previously established viral infection on pneumococcal infection, a scenario commonly examined in models of secondary pneumonia. When strain P1121 was given on day 6 following intranasal administration of PR8 (1,000 TCID₅₀), there was no synergistic stimulation of type I IFNs or significant effect of antecedent viral infection on the density of pneumococcal colonization at day 7 (data not shown). Thus, the effect of influenza virus on pneumococcal colonization may be most relevant to concurrent infection.

The type I IFN response to S. pneumoniae requires macrophages and is Nod2 dependent. Because the bacterial contribution to type I IFN expression was necessary to affect colonization and disease, the mechanism for the type I IFN stimulation by S. pneumoniae was investigated further. The CCL2-CCR2–dependent recruitment of monocytes/macrophages contributed to the sustained production of type I IFNs. At day 7 after inoculation, Ccr2−/− mice showed lower levels of IFN-β transcription (Figure 5A). As shown in Figure 5B, there was no difference between Thr2−/− and Thr2−/− mice in the type I IFN mRNA expression in URT lavages at 3 days after infection with strain P1121. In contrast, the expression of IFN-β mRNA was no longer stimulated in Nod2−/− colonized mice (Figure 5C). This requirement for Nod2 for the stimulation of IFN-β was also observed in peritoneal macrophages treated ex vivo with P1121. Accordingly, Nod2−/− mice no longer showed a synergistic increase in IFN-β or suppression of Ccl2 mRNA levels in URT lavages during coinfection (Figure 5D and E). In the absence of the synergistic increase in IFN-β there was no increased pneumococcal colonization in the presence of influenza virus in Nod2−/− mice (Figure 5F). We also examined the role of specific transcription factors in the type I IFN response to S. pneumoniae. IFN-β transcription in P1121-stimulated bone marrow–derived macrophages was reduced in Irf3−/− and Irf5−/− macrophages (Figure 5G).

Type I IFN inhibits production of CCL2 in peritoneal macrophages. Both poly-ICLC treatment of colonized mice and coinfection inhibited the expression of CCL2 and influx of luminal macrophages, suggesting these effects required a synergistic type I IFN response. To further explore this question, we pretreated peritoneal macrophages isolated from WT mice with poly-ICLC or recombinant IFN-β and
then stimulated them with strain P1121. The stimulation of CCL2 protein and Ccl2 mRNA expression by P1121 was inhibited by both poly-ICLC and IFN-β (Figure 6, A and B). In contrast, the inhibitory effect of poly-ICLC and IFN-β was not seen in macrophages from Ifnar-/- mice. We had previously shown that the CCL2 response to S. pneumoniae was NF-κB–dependent and required sensing of peptidoglycan by Nod2 (17). To examine directly the inhibitory effect of poly-ICLC and IFN-β on Nod2 signaling, we used HEK293T cells transfected with a Nod2-expressing plasmid or empty vector control and NF-κB reporter plasmid. HEK293T cells were preincubated with poly-ICLC or IFN-β and then stimulated with the synthetic Nod2 ligand MDP (Figure 6C). NF-κB activation was inhibited by poly-ICLC or IFN-β, providing a direct demonstration that type I IFNs are sufficient to inhibit Nod2 signaling.

Type I IFN induction by S. pneumoniae is dependent on a pore-forming toxin, pneumolysin. An additional factor in Nod2-mediated responses to S. pneumoniae is the expression of the pneumococcal pore-forming toxin pneumolysin (17). We compared levels of IFN-β mRNA in URT lavages from singly infected and coinfected mice as determined by qRT-PCR. (G) Numbers of F4/80+CD45+ macrophages and Ly6G+CD45+ neutrophils recruited to the URT were analyzed by flow cytometry from uninfected, singly infected, and coinfectected mice. (H) Levels of Ccl2 and KC mRNA in URT lavages as determined by qRT-PCR. (I) Survival rates of mice infected with serotype 6A pneumooccocus alone (squares; n = 20) and serotype 6A pneumooccocus coinfected with PR8 (diamonds; n = 20). Values represent mean ± SD. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.

Figure 3
Coinfection with influenza virus induces synergistic IFN-β expression and enhances pneumococcal nasopharyngeal colonization. (A) Experimental protocol for coinfection model. WT mice were given an intranasal inoculation with S. pneumoniae (P1121 strain, 10^7 CFU) or PBS, followed a day later by an intranasal inoculation with influenza virus (PR8 strain, 1,000 TCID50) or PBS. (B) Mice infected with PR8 strain were monitored for body weight (white squares, P1121+PR8; black diamonds, PR8 alone). (C) Titer of influenza virus in singly infected and coinfectected mice was calculated based on viral RNA detected in URT lavages (black bars) and lung homogenates (white bars). (D) H&E staining of representative lung tissue sections from mice infected with S. pneumoniae alone and coinfectected with influenza virus. Original magnification, ×200. Horizontal lines indicate mean values. (E) The density of pneumococcal colonization was measured in singly infected and coinfectected mice at day 7. (F) Levels of IFN-β mRNA in URT lavages from singly infected and coinfectected mice were examined by qRT-PCR. (G) Numbers of F4/80+CD45+ macrophages and Ly6G+CD45+ neutrophils recruited to the URT were analyzed by flow cytometry from uninfected, singly infected, and coinfectected mice. (H) Levels of Ccl2 and KC mRNA in URT lavages from singly infected and coinfectected mice as determined by qRT-PCR. (I) Survival rates of mice infected with serotype 6A pneumococcus alone (squares; n = 20) and serotype 6A pneumococcus coinfected with PR8 (diamonds; n = 20). Values represent mean ± SD. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.

poly-ICLC or IFN-β, providing a direct demonstration that type I IFNs are sufficient to inhibit Nod2 signaling.
synergistic induction of IFN-β or increased colonization density during coinfection with influenza virus (Figure 7, B and C). This demonstrated that pore formation by pneumolysin plays a crucial role in the type I IFN–dependent exacerbation of pneumococcal colonization by influenza virus.

Discussion

Bacterial infection of the respiratory tract is common, often occurs in the setting of antecedent or concurrent viral infection, and typically involves the opportunistic pathogens that colonize the upper airway. In this study, we addressed whether influenza A virus impacts colonization, the initial step in the interaction of S. pneumoniae with its host, using a mouse model of URT coinfection. Our results demonstrated that concurrent influenza infection increased the density of colonizing pneumococci. This finding correlated with clinical surveys using quantitative nasal culture to assess the effect of concurrent viral infection in children and experimental influenza infection studies in adults — both of which showed increased isolation of S. pneumoniae from nasal cultures (2, 19). An increased burden of colonizing pneumococci could be an independent risk factor for the development of bacterial disease. Since the IFN response is common to many viruses, our report offers a mechanistic explanation for the association of acute viral infections and the increased rates of pneumococcal disease and its markedly seasonal pattern (5, 20). The consequence of increased bacterial load was also demonstrated in our study when an invasive strain was analyzed and, in the setting of coinfection, led to a higher rate of sepsis. An increased density of colonizing organisms during coinfection could also contribute to increased host-to-host transmission, as suggested in surveillance studies and recently demonstrated in animal models (19, 21, 22).

Previous studies examining coinfection in mice have focused on the LRT and the secondary bacterial pneumonia that occurs many days after viral infection is initiated. Our coinfection model, in contrast, avoided direct inoculation into the LRT and was associated with minimal viral and bacterial titers and pathology in the lung. Viral infection limited to the URT impacted concurrent or recently established bacterial colonization, and there was no detectable effect on colonization if the bacterial challenge was given during the recovery phase from influenza, when animals are most susceptible to overwhelming infection of the lung. This suggested that the mechanisms responsible for the effect of influenza virus on pneumococcal colonization might be distinct from those proposed for secondary pneumonia (23). Since colonization precedes pneumonia, both mechanisms — increased bacterial load in the URT and compromised innate immunity in the LRT — could contribute to the high rates of disease during coinfection.

We noted that pneumococcal infection alone was associated with a moderate increase in expression of IFN-α and IFN-β. Pretreatment of mice for 7 days with broad-spectrum oral antibiotics did not affect levels of URT type I IFNs, suggesting that the effect of the pneumococcus could not be explained by changes in the normal mouse flora (data not shown). Joyce et al. had previously described a microarray analysis of nasal-associated lymphoid tissue (NALT) and identified several hundred genes whose expression was significantly changed during pneumococcal carriage, including the induction of type I IFN and type I IFN–induced genes (14). In our study, this response did not seem to be sufficient to impact colonization, since pneumococcal colonization alone was unaltered in Ifnar−/− mice. Further stimulation of type I IFNs in S. pneumoniae–colonized mice using treatment with intranasal poly-ICLC, however, resulted in increased colonization density that was not observed in Ifnar−/− mice and therefore was dependent on type I IFN signaling. Moreover, this synergistic increase in type I IFNs was seen during coinfection with influenza virus and was necessary and sufficient to promote bacterial colonization. Thus, we focused our analysis on how this synergistic type I IFN response was induced and why it enhanced colonization.

We recently showed that production of chemokine CCL2 and its recognition by CCR2 drive the influx of macrophages into the lumen of the URT during pneumococcal colonization (15, 17). In the lung, overexpression of CCL2 is sufficient to improve pneumococcal clearance (24). The expression of CCL2 and influx of F4/80+ macrophages into the URT was inhibited during coinfection or by treatment with poly-ICLC. Accordingly, Zimmerer et al. profiled STAT1-dependent genes regulated by IFN-α and showed a suppression of Ccl2 expression (25). We observed no effect on URT expression of the chemokine KC or on neutrophil recruitment, which contrasts with the report by Shahangian et al. showing that type I IFNs inhibited KC expression and lead to attenuated neutrophil responses during secondary pneumococcal pneumonia (26). Our results also contrast with those of Antonelli et al., who showed that the increased pulmonary burden of Mycobacterium tuberculosis following intranasal poly-ICLC treatment was associated with a CCR2-dependent increase in a F4/80+ cell population permissive for infection (27). Additionally, Jia et al. reported that the induction of CCL2 and monocyte recruitment in response to Listeria monocytogenes infection was promoted by type I IFN signaling (28). Differences in the anatomic site and type of infecting agent may account for the varied effects of type I IFNs among these studies. The production of CCL2 during S. pneumoniae colonization is also...
In addition, TLR4-dependent responses to pneumolysin have been described, and although TLR4 signaling through its adaptor TRIF stimulates type I IFN expression via the Irf3 pathway, the effect of pneumolysin requires the adaptor Myd88 (32). The stimulation of type I IFNs by bacteria, including S. pneumoniae, has mainly been attributed to recognition of nucleic acids by cytosolic sensors, which act through the downstream signaling adaptors to induce type I IFN in a Irf-dependent manner (33, 34). In addition, recognition of bacterial cell wall fragments by the cytosolic sensor Nod2, which leads to Rip2-dependent NF-κB activation, has been shown to induce type I IFNs (35, 36). In the case of M. tuberculosis, induction of type I IFNs requires sensing of its peptidoglycan by Nod2 and is dependent on both Irf3 and Irf5 (35). In our colonization model, the dominant pathway for pneumococcal induction of IFN-β required sensing by the Nod2-dependent pathway. Moreover, in bone marrow–derived macrophages, Irf5 was needed for the IFN-β response to S. pneumoniae. A further observation was that the NF-κB activation in response to MDP, the peptidoglycan fragment sensed by Nod2, was completely blocked by either poly-ICLC or IFN-β, confirming the effects of type I IFN signaling on Nod2-dependent gene expression. However, the components of the signaling pathway downstream of Nod2 affected by the type I IFN response to S. pneumoniae have not yet been defined. It will be important to understand how the Nod2-dependent pathway synergizes with the influenza-stimulated pathway, since enhanced bacterial infection required their combined effects.

**Methods**

**Bacterial strains and culture.** S. pneumoniae strains were grown in tryptic soy medium as described previously (37). Strain P1121, a type 23F isolate obtained from a study of experimental human colonization, was selected for affected by the Th17 response (15). IL-17A production is required for mucosal immunity to S. pneumoniae and is Nod2 dependent (15, 17). However, Il17a transcription in response to P1121 was similar with and without PR8 (data not shown).

Our in vivo observations that synergistic stimulation of type I IFNs inhibits expression of CCL2 correlated with the effects of IFN-β and poly-ICLC on the transcription and production of CCL2 by ex vivo macrophages. Thus, macrophages could be both the source of CCL2 and the target of type I IFNs, which act to block the CCR2-dependent recruitment of additional macrophages to the site of infection. By day 7 after infection, pneumococci in the URT are seen in association with macrophages, and the loss of URT macrophages inhibits the clearance of pneumococcal colonization (15). Thus, the reduced numbers of URT macrophages in the setting of synergistic stimulation of type I IFNs could explain the increased colonization density seen on day 7 after infection.

There is a growing appreciation for the ability of bacteria to stimulate a type I IFN response, although most of the studies have emphasized intracellular pathogens. A number of pathways have been proposed (29). The pneumococcus is an extracellular pathogen, but it expresses a pore-forming toxin, pneumolysin, that can allow access of microbial products for sensing by cytosolic pathways (30, 31). In addition, TLR4-dependent responses to pneumolysin have been described, and although TLR4 signaling through its adaptor TRIF stimulates type I IFN expression via the Irf3 pathway, the effect of pneumolysin requires the adaptor Myd88 (32). The stimulation of type I IFNs by bacteria, including S. pneumoniae, has mainly been attributed to recognition of nucleic acids by cytosolic sensors, which act through the downstream signaling adaptors to induce type I IFN in a Irf-dependent manner (33, 34). In addition, recognition of bacterial cell wall fragments by the cytosolic sensor Nod2, which leads to Rip2-dependent NF-κB activation, has been shown to induce type I IFNs (35, 36). In the case of M. tuberculosis, induction of type I IFNs requires sensing of its peptidoglycan by Nod2 and is dependent on both Irf3 and Irf5 (35). In our colonization model, the dominant pathway for pneumococcal induction of IFN-β required sensing by the Nod2-dependent pathway. Moreover, in bone marrow–derived macrophages, Irf5 was needed for the IFN-β response to S. pneumoniae. A further observation was that the NF-κB activation in response to MDP, the peptidoglycan fragment sensed by Nod2, was completely blocked by either poly-ICLC or IFN-β, confirming the effects of type I IFN signaling on Nod2-dependent gene expression. However, the components of the signaling pathway downstream of Nod2 affected by the type I IFN response to S. pneumoniae have not yet been defined. It will be important to understand how the Nod2-dependent pathway synergizes with the influenza-stimulated pathway, since enhanced bacterial infection required their combined effects.

**Methods**

**Bacterial strains and culture.** S. pneumoniae strains were grown in tryptic soy medium as described previously (37). Strain P1121, a type 23F isolate obtained from a study of experimental human colonization, was selected for...
its ability to efficiently colonize the murine nasopharynx (15, 16, 18). The construction of defined pneumolysin mutants of P1121 using bicistronic positively and negatively selectable Janus cassette technology was previously described and included: (a) ply\textsuperscript{−}, a strain containing an unmarked, complete in-frame deletion of the pneumolysin gene; (b) ply\textsubscript{W433F}, a strain with the deletion restored with a point mutation previously shown to reduce the efficiency of pore formation 95%–99%, and (c) ply\textsuperscript{+}, a strain with the deletion restored with the entire ply gene (30, 38). All strains were passaged intranasally in mice before preparation of frozen stocks (–80°C).

**Pneumococcal colonization.** *S. pneumoniae* strain P1121 was grown until mid-log phase was reached (OD\textsubscript{620} = 0.5), pelleted, and resuspended in PBS to a density of 10\textsuperscript{7} CFU/ml. For colonization studies, 10\textsuperscript{7} CFU were inoculated at age 5–8 weeks. At the designated time point, mice were sacrificed by CO\textsubscript{2} asphyxiation, and the trachea was exposed and cannulated for instillation of the pneumococcal suspension instilled into the nares of each mouse without anesthesia. At the time point specified, mice were sacrificed by CO\textsubscript{2} asphyxiation, and the trachea was exposed and cannulated for instillation of 200 μl sterile PBS. The lavage fluid exiting the nares was collected, and *S. pneumoniae* was quantified by plating of serial dilutions of the nasal lavages onto tryptic soy agar plates supplemented with catalase (4,741 U/plate) (Worthington Biochemical Corp.) and incubated overnight at 37°C in a 5% CO\textsubscript{2} atmosphere. Neomycin (20 μg/ml) was added to plates to inhibit growth of contaminants. Where specified, for quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis, a second nasal lavage was collected using 600 μl RLT buffer (QIAGEN) with 1% β-mercaptoethanol and stored at –80°C until use.

Where indicated, WT mice were challenged intranasally with 1 × 10\textsuperscript{6} to 1 × 10\textsuperscript{7} CFU of strain P1547 (*S. pneumoniae* serotype 6A, mouse virulent, clinical isolate from blood) (40) with or without sequential influenza virus infection. Mice were observed for signs of sepsis over an 8-day period after challenge, and following euthanasia spleens were cultured to confirm the presence of pneumococcal bacteremia.

**Microbiota depletion.** To determine the effect of the microbiota on the induction of type I IFN, we depleted normal flora from mice by addition

**Figure 6**

IFN-β inhibits production of CCL2 in peritoneal macrophage stimulated with heat-killed *S. pneumoniae*. (A) Effect of treatment of peritoneal macrophages with poly-ICLC or IFN-β prior to stimulation with heat-killed strain P1121 on CCL2 production in culture supernatants as assessed by ELISA. (B) Levels of Ccl2 mRNA expression in P1121-stimulated peritoneal macrophages with the pretreatment indicated as analyzed by qRT-PCR. (C) Luciferase assay comparing NF-κB activity in Nod2-expressing 293T cells treated with MDP with or without pretreatment with poly-ICLC or IFN-β. Values are relative to empty vector controls and expressed as mean ± SD. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.

**Figure 7**

Pore formation by Ply contributes to type I IFN expression. (A) Levels of IFN-β mRNA determined by qRT-PCR in peritoneal macrophages following stimulation with heat-killed P1121 and isogenic mutants with a deletion of the entire ply (ply\textsuperscript{−}), with a W433F point mutant defective in pore formation (ply\textsubscript{W433F}), or with ply restored in the deletion mutant (ply\textsuperscript{+}). (B) The levels of IFN-β mRNA in URT lavages obtained from the mice coinfected with PR8 and the pneumococcal strain indicated were determined by qRT-PCR. (C) Comparison of the colonization density in URT lavages of WT mice singly infected or coinfected with the pneumolysin mutant indicated and PR8 at day 7 after influenza virus infection. Values represent mean ± SD. Horizontal lines indicate mean values. *P < 0.05.
of antibiotics (ampicillin 1 g/ml, Mediatech; neomycin sulfate 1 g/ml, Calbiochem; metronidazole 1 g/ml, Fluka; and vancomycin 0.5 g/ml, Sigma-Aldrich) in drinking water for 7 days (41).

Whole-lung preparations. Whole lungs were removed and homogenized in 500 μl PBS for quantitative bacterial culture. For RNA extraction from lung tissue, a portion of the lung was placed directly into 600 μl of RLT buffer with 1% β-mercaptoethanol and kept on ice. Lungs were homogenized using a QIAshredder Column (QIAGEN). For pathological analysis, whole lungs obtained from P1121-colonized mice with or without influenza virus were paraffin embedded and processed for H&E staining.

Influenza virus infection and quantification. Mouse-adapted influenza virus strain A/Puerto Rico/8/34 (H1N1) (PR8; a gift from Peter Palese, Mount Sinai Hospital, New York, New York, USA) was grown in the allantoic fluid of embryonated eggs. For intranasal inoculation, a dose of 1,000 TCID₅₀ live virus in 20 μl of Delbecco’s PBS was given without anesthesia. Influenza infection was then monitored by daily measurement of body weight less than 0.05 were considered significant.
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