The Human Orphan Nuclear Receptor PXR Is Activated by Compounds That Regulate CYP3A4 Gene Expression and Cause Drug Interactions
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Abstract

The cytochrome P-450 monooxygenase 3A4 (CYP3A4) is responsible for the oxidative metabolism of a wide variety of xenobiotics including an estimated 60% of all clinically used drugs. Although expression of the CYP3A4 gene is known to be induced in response to a variety of compounds, the mechanism underlying this induction, which represents a basis for drug interactions in patients, has remained unclear. We report the identification of a human (h) orphan nuclear receptor, termed the pregnane X receptor (PXR), that binds to a response element in the CYP3A4 promoter and is activated by a range of drugs known to induce CYP3A4 expression. Comparison of hPXR with the recently cloned mouse PXR reveals marked differences in their activation by certain drugs, which may account in part for the species-specific effects of compounds on CYP3A gene expression. These findings provide a molecular explanation for the ability of disparate chemicals to induce CYP3A4 levels and, furthermore, provide a basis for developing in vitro assays to aid in predicting whether drugs will interact in humans. (J. Clin. Invest. 1998. 102:1016–1023.) Key words: nuclear receptor • orphan receptor • transcription factor • cytochrome P450 3A • drug interactions

Introduction

Members of the cytochrome P-450 (CYP) family of hemoproteins are critical in the oxidative metabolism of a wide variety of endogenous substances and xenobiotics, including various carcinogens and toxins (1). In humans, the CYP3A4 monoxygenase plays a major role in the biotransformation of drugs due to its abundance in liver and intestine and its broad substrate specificity. CYP3A4 catalyzes the metabolism of > 60% of all drugs that are in use including contraceptive steroids, immunosuppressive agents, imidazole antimycotics, and macrolide antibiotics (2).

Expression of the CYP3A4 gene is markedly induced both in vivo and in primary hepatocytes in response to treatment with a variety of compounds. Many of the most efficacious inducers of CYP3A4 expression are commonly used drugs such as the glucocorticoid dexamethasone, the antibiotic rifampicin, and the antimycotic clotrimazole (2, 3). The inducibility of CYP3A4 expression levels coupled with the broad substrate specificity of the CYP3A4 protein represent a basis for drug interactions in patients undergoing combination drug therapy. While attempts have been made to develop in vitro assays with which to profile efficiently the effects of new compounds on CYP3A expression levels, these efforts have been hampered by species-specific effects that have limited the utility of using animals tissues and cells for testing purposes. Thus, analysis of the effects of compounds on CYP3A4 gene expression has been largely restricted to laborious assays involving human liver tissue.

Recently, several laboratories have investigated the molecular basis for the induction of CYP3A4 gene expression. The CYP3A4 promoter has been cloned, and a 20-bp region residing ~ 150 bp upstream of the transcription initiation site has been shown to confer responsiveness to dexamethasone and rifampicin (4, 5). This region contains two copies of the AG(G/T)TCA motif recognized by members of the nuclear receptor superfamily, suggesting that a nuclear receptor might be responsible for mediating at least some of the effects of the chemical inducers of CYP3A4 expression. However, the proteins that bind to this response element have not yet been characterized.

In this report, we identify a nuclear receptor, termed hPXR, that binds to the rifampicin/dexamethasone response element in the CYP3A4 promoter as a heterodimer with the 9-cis retinoic acid receptor RXR. hPXR is related to the mouse (m) PXR1, which we recently cloned and showed to be activated by dexamethasone, pregnenolone 16α-carbonitrile (PCN), and other compounds known to induce expression of the CYP3A1 gene, the predominant form of CYP3A in rat liver and intestine (4, 5). The hPXR/RXR complex is activated by dexamethasone, rifampicin, and a variety of other, structurally diverse compounds previously shown to modulate CYP3A4 expression. We provide several lines of evidence indicating that hPXR serves as a key transcriptional regulator of the CYP3A4 gene.

Methods

Chemicals. Dexamethasone-t-butylacetate and RU486 were purchased from Research Plus, Inc. (Bayonne, NJ) and Biomol (Ply-
mouth Meeting, PA), respectively. All other compounds were purchased from either Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO) or Steraloids, Inc. (Wilton, NH).

**Molecular cloning of hPXR cDNAs.** An expressed sequence tag (EST) was identified in the Incyte LifeSeq® proprietary database (clone identification number 2211526) that contained nucleotides 444–2111 of the hPXR sequence. An oligonucleotide derived from this EST sequence (5'-CTGCTGGCATTCCGACAT-3') was used to screen a pCMV-SPORT human liver cDNA library (GIBCO BRL, Gaithersburg, MD) using Gene Trapper solution hybridization cloning technology (GIBCO BRL). Two clones were obtained that encoded full-length hPXR, one containing nucleotides 1–2146, the other containing nucleotides 102–2118. The sequence of the longer clone is shown in Fig. 1A. Sequences were aligned and analyzed by the University of Wisconsin Genetics Computer Group programs.

**Plasmids.** The expression vector pSG5-hPXR was generated by PCR amplification and subcloning of nucleotides 1–1608 of the hPXR clone into the pSG5 expression vector (Strategene, La Jolla, CA). pSG5-hPXRΔATG was generated by PCR amplification of cDNA encoding amino acids 1–434 of hPXR using oligonucleotides 5'-GGGGTGTTGGGAAAATTCACCACCATGGAGGTGAGACCCAAAGA-3' (sense) and 5'-GGGTGTGGGGGATCCTCAGCTAC-3' (antisense) and insertion into Eco RI/Bam HI-cut pSG5. The bacterial expression vector pGEX-hPXR was generated by PCR amplification of cDNA encoding amino acids 108–434 and insertion into pGEX-2T (Pharmacia, Piscataway, NJ). The reporter plasmid (DR3)-tk-CAT was generated by insertion of four copies of a double-stranded oligonucleotide containing the CYP3A1 DR3 PXRE (5'-GATCAATATGTTCTCAAAGGAGAACAGTG-3') into the Bam HI site of pBLCAT2 (6). The reporter plasmid (ER6)-tk-CAT was generated by insertion of three copies of the CYP3A4 ER6 PXRE (5'-GATCAATATGAACTCAAAGGAGGTCATGTCG-3') into the Bam HI site of pBL2CAT. The pPRE-SRC1.14 expression plasmid has been previously described (7).

**cotransfection assays.** CV-1 cells were plated in 24-well plates in DME medium supplemented with 10% charcoal-stripped fetal calf serum at a density of 1.2 × 10⁶ cells per well. In general, transfection mixtures contained 33 ng of receptor expression vector, 100 ng of reporter plasmid, 200 ng of β-galactosidase expression vector (pCH110, Pharmacia), and 166 ng of carrier plasmid. Cells were transfected overnight by lipofection using Lipofectamine (GIBCO BRL) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The medium was changed to DME medium supplemented with 10% delipidated calf serum (Sigma) and cells were incubated for an additional 24 h. Cell extracts were harvested and assayed for CAT and β-galactosidase activities as previously described (8).

**Northern analysis.** An ~1.0-kb fragment encoding the ligand binding domain (LBD) of hPXR was [32P]-labeled by random priming and used to probe human multiple tissue Northern blots (Clontech, Palo Alto, CA). Blots were hybridized in ExpressHyb solution (Clontech) at 42°C overnight. Final washes were performed with 0.1 X SSC, 0.1% SDS at 58°C.

**Band shift assays.** hPXR, mPXR1, and hRXRα were synthesized in vitro using the TNT rabbit reticulocyte lysate coupled in vitro transcription/translation system (Promega, Madison, WI) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Gel mobility shift assays (20 μl) contained 10 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 40 mM KCl, 0.05% NP-40, 6% glycerol, 1 mM DTT, 0.2 μg of poly(dI-dC), and 2.5 μl each of in vitro synthesized PXR and RXR proteins. Competitor oligonucleotides were included at a 10- or 50-fold excess as indicated in the figure legends. After a 10-min incubation on ice, 10 ng of [32P]-labeled oligonucleotide was added, and the incubation continued for an additional 10 min. DNA-protein complexes were resolved on a 4% polyacrylamide gel in 0.5 × TBE (1 × TBE = 90 mM Tris, 90 mM boric acid, 2 mM EDTA). Gels were dried and subjected to autoradiography at ~70°C. The following oligonucleotides were used as either radiolabeled probes or competitors (sense strand is shown): CYP3A4 ER6: 5'-GATCATATATGGTTCTCAAGAAAGACGAATCTGAAGGTCATGTCG-3'; CYP3A4 ER6m1: 5'-GATCATATATGGTTCTCAAGAAAGACGAATCTGAAGGTCATGTCG-3'; CYP3A4 ER6m2: 5'-GATCATATATGGTTCTCAAGAAAGACGAATCTGAAGGTCATGTCG-3'; CYP3A1 DR3: 5'-GATGACAGACAGTTCTCAAGAAAGACGAATCTGAAGGTCATGTCG-3'.

**CARLA.** GST-hPXR fusion protein was expressed in BL21-(DE3)pLYS cells and bacterial extracts prepared by one cycle of freeze-thaw of the cells in protein lysis buffer containing 10 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 50 mM KCl, 10 mM DTT, and 1% NP-40 followed by centrifugation at 40,000 × g for 30 min. Glycerol was added to the resulting supernatant to a final concentration of 10%. lysates were stored at -80°C. [35S]SRC1.14 was generated using the TNT rabbit reticulocyte system (Promega) in the presence of Pro-Mix (Amersham, Arlington Heights, IL). Coprecipitation reactions included 25 μl of lysate containing GST-hPXR fusion protein, 25 μl incubation buffer (50 mM KCl, 40 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 0.1% Tween-20, 1% nonfat dry milk), 5 μl [35S]SRC1.14, and vehicle (1% DMSO) or compounds as indicated in the figure legends. The mixtures were incubated for 25 min at 4°C with gentle mixing before the addition of 15 μg of glutathione-Sepharose 4B beads (Pharmacia) that had been extensively washed with protein lysis buffer. Reactions were incubated with gentle mixing at 4°C for an additional 25 min. The beads were pelleted at 3,000 rpm in a microfuge and washed three times with protein incubation buffer containing either vehicle alone, dexamethasone-t-butyrlactate, rifampicin, or clotrimazole. After the last wash, the beads were resuspended in 25 μl of 2 × SDS-PAGE sample buffer containing 50 mM DTT. Samples were heated at 100°C for 5 min and loaded onto a 10% Bis-Tris PAGE gel. Gels were dried and subjected to autoradiography.

**Results**

**Molecular cloning and tissue expression pattern of hPXR.** A human EST was identified in the Incyte database that was highly homologous to a portion of the mPXR1 cDNA (7). Two larger clones were isolated in a screen of a human liver cDNA library using an oligonucleotide within the EST as a probe. The longest of these clones was 2,146 bp in length (Fig. 1A) and encoded a new member of the nuclear receptor superfamily that was 96% and 76% identical to mPXR1 in the DNA binding domain (DBD) and LBD, respectively (Fig. 1B). In terms of other members of the nuclear receptor superfamily, hPXR was most closely related to the Xenopus laevis orphan receptor ONR1 (9) and the vitamin D receptor (Fig. 1B). Notably, the hPXR sequence lacked an AUG initiator codon in between an in-frame stop codon (nucleotides 205–207 in the hPXR sequence) and the start of the region encoding the DBD. However, translation experiments performed in CV-1 cells with the hPXR clone and a reporter plasmid containing four copies of an established mPXR binding site from the rat CYP3A1 gene promoter inserted upstream of the minimal thymidine kinase (tk) promoter and the chloramphenicol acetyltransferase (CAT) gene (7) demonstrated that the hPXR clone encoded a functional nuclear receptor that was activated efficiently by dexamethasone-t-butyrlactate, a known mPXR1 ligand (7, Fig. 1C).

**Examination of the hPXR sequence revealed an in-frame CUG codon (nucleotides 304–306) surrounded by a favorable Kozak sequence (10). There is precedent for the use of CUG codons to initiate translation of eukaryotic proteins, including the nuclear receptor RARβ4 (10, 11). Initiation of translation at this CUG codon would yield a protein of 434 amino acids, three longer than mPXR1, with a predicted MW of 50 kD. To determine whether translation of the hPXR cDNA initiated at
CYP3A1 DR3 PXRE. Cells were treated with vehicle alone (0.1% DMSO) or 10 μM of dexamethasone-t-butylacetate. Cell extracts were subsequently assayed for CAT activity. Data points represent the mean of assays performed in duplicate. (D) Translation of the full-length hPXR initiates at a non-AUG codon. In vitro transcription and translation were performed with the pSG5-hPXR expression vector containing the wild-type 5′ region of the hPXR cDNA or pSG5-hPXR AUG, in which the CUG codon at nucleotide positions 304–306 was modified to AUG. The 50-kD product synthesized when either template was used is indicated by an open arrow and an asterisk. Two shorter products that are likely to represent translation initiation at methionine-56 and methionine-69 within the DBD are indicated by closed arrows. A longer translation product present at low levels is indicated by the bent arrow. Size markers (in kilodaltons) are indicated at left.
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The induction of CYP3A4 expression in response to dexamethasone and rifampicin has been localized to an ~20-bp region of the promoter that contains two copies of the nuclear receptor half-site sequence AG(G/T)TCA organized as an everted repeat (ER) and separated by 6 bp, an ER6 motif (5; Fig. 3 B). This ER6 motif is highly conserved in the promoters of CYP3A gene family members of several species (5). Interestingly, this half-site configuration is very different from that found in the CYP3A1 PXR response element (PXRE), which is composed of two half-sites organized as a direct repeat (DR) with a three nucleotide spacer, a DR3 motif (7). To determine whether hPXR could regulate transcription through the ER6 motif, a reporter plasmid was generated containing three copies of the CYP3A4 ER6 response element upstream of the tk promoter and CAT gene. Cotransfection assays were performed with the (ER6)3-tk-CAT reporter and pSG5-hPXR-ΔATG expression plasmids in CV-1 cells that were either treated with vehicle alone or 10 μM dexamethasone-t-butylacetate (closed bars) or 10 μM dexamethasone-t-butylacetate (open bars). Cell extracts were subsequently assayed for CAT activity. Data represent the mean of assays performed in triplicate ±SE.

Figure 3. hPXR activates transcription through an ER6 element in the CYP3A4 promoter. (A) CV-1 cells were cotransfected with the (ER6)3-tk-CAT reporter plasmid and treated with vehicle alone (open bars) or 10 μM dexamethasone-t-butylacetate (closed bars). Cell extracts were subsequently assayed for CAT activity. Data represent the mean of assays performed in triplicate ±SE. (B) Oligonucleotides used in band shift assays. The positions of nuclear receptor half-site motifs and mutations are indicated. (C) Band shift assays were performed with a radiolabeled oligonucleotide containing the CYP3A4 ER6 PXRE and hRXRα and either hPXR (top) or mPXR1 (bottom). Unlabeled competitor oligonucleotides were added at a 10-fold or 50-fold molar excess as indicated.
etate. hPXR induced reporter levels in the presence of dexamethasone-t-butylocetate (Fig. 3A), demonstrating that hPXR can activate transcription through the CYP3A4 ER6 motif.

To determine whether hPXR interacted directly with the CYP3A4 ER6 response element, band shift assays were performed. Since mPXR1 binds to DNA as a heterodimer with RXR (7), we suspected that hPXR would require RXR for high-affinity interactions with DNA. Neither hPXR nor RXR bound to a radiolabeled oligonucleotide containing the CYP3A4 ER6 motif on their own (Fig. 3C). However, hPXR and RXR bound efficiently as a heterodimer to the ER6 PXRE. The hPXR/RXR complex was competed efficiently by unlabeled oligonucleotides encoding either the ER6 PXRE from the CYP3A4 promoter or the DR3 PXRE from the CYP3A1 promoter that we previously defined as a mPXR1/RXR binding site (7; Fig. 3C). Thus, the hPXR/RXR heterodimer interacted efficiently with two response elements with remarkably different architecture. Little or no competition was seen when competitor oligonucleotides were used that contained mutations in either the 5’ half-site or both half-site sequences of the ER6 PXRE (Fig. 3C). The same binding profile was observed when the mPXR1 was substituted for hPXR (Fig. 3C). We conclude from these experiments that hPXR binds efficiently to the CYP3A4 ER6 PXRE as a heterodimer with RXR and that hPXR and mPXR1 have very similar DNA binding profiles.

Differential activation of human and mPXR. CYP3A4 gene expression is induced in response to a remarkable array of xenobiotics including synthetic steroids (15–18), macrolide antibiotics (19), antinmectyotics (20), HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors (statins) (21, 22), and phenobarbital and related compounds (17). We next sought to determine whether hPXR might mediate the effects of some or all of these compounds on CYP3A4 expression. CV-1 cells were cotransfected with the pSG5-hPXR/ATG expression plasmid and the (ER6)3-tk-CAT reporter plasmid, and the cells were treated with micromolar concentrations of a number of compounds that are known to induce CYP3A4 gene expression in humans and/or rodents. As shown in Fig. 4A, hPXR was activated by the synthetic steroids dexamethasone, dexamethasone-t-butylocetate, PCN, RU486, spironolactone, and cyproterone-acetate. Dexamethasone-t-butylocetate and RU486 were the most efficacious activators of hPXR among the synthetic steroids tested. Notably, the antibiotic rifampicin and the anticyntomic clotrimazole were both efficacious activators of hPXR (Fig. 4A). The antihypercholesterolemic drug lovastatin also activated hPXR as did phenobarbital and the organochlorine pesticide transnonachlor (Fig. 4A). Thus, hPXR is activated by a remarkably diverse group of synthetic compounds that are known to induce CYP3A4 gene expression (Fig. 4C).

Complete dose response analysis was performed on several of the synthetic hPXR activators including dexamethasone, RU486, rifampicin, clotrimazole, and lovastatin. Rifampicin was the most potent of these compounds, activating hPXR with a half-maximal effective concentration (EC50) of ~800 nM (Fig. 4B). This EC50 value is in good agreement with that for rifampicin-mediated induction of CYP3A4 activity in human primary hepatocytes (E. LeCluyse, personal communication). We note that in patients treated with the standard 600-mg oral dose of rifampicin, peak blood levels average 10 μM and can reach levels as high as 40 μM, well above the concentration required to activate hPXR (23). Lovastatin and clotrimazole activated hPXR with EC50 values of roughly 1–5 μM, and dexamethasone and RU486 activated hPXR with EC50 values of ~10 μM (Fig. 4B). These concentrations are consistent with those used to induce CYP3A gene expression in either rodent and/or human primary hepatocytes (15, 16, 19–22). Although blood concentrations of dexamethasone, lovastatin, and clotrimazole do not normally reach micromolar concentrations in patients, the fact that these drugs are administered in oral doses that can range from several milligrams for dexamethasone to 60 mg/kg/day for clotrimazole (23–25) suggests that these drugs are likely to reach the concentrations required to activate hPXR during first-pass metabolism in the intestine and liver.

We also tested several naturally occurring C21 steroids on hPXR that were previously shown to activate mPXR1 (7). Pregnenolone, progesterone, and 3β-pregnene-3,20-dione all activated hPXR roughly fourfold. The 17-hydroxy derivatives of pregnenolone and progesterone were weak activators of hPXR (Fig. 4A). These natural steroids all activated hPXR in transient transfection assays with EC50 values >10 μM, suggesting that they are unlikely to be natural hPXR ligands. However, related pregnanes or pregnane metabolites may serve as natural hPXR ligands.

Analyses of the effects of chemical inducers of CYP3A gene expression in primary hepatocytes obtained from either rodents or humans have revealed significant interspecies differences (5, 15). For example, rifampicin is an efficacious inducer of CYP3A4 gene expression in human hepatocytes but has little or no effect on CYP3A1 levels in rat hepatocytes. In contrast, PCN has marked effects on CYP3A levels in rat hepatocytes but only modest effects in human hepatocytes. To examine whether differences in PXR activation profiles might account for these interspecies variations, we tested the same panel of compounds on mPXR1. As shown in Fig. 4A, there were marked differences in the response profiles of the mouse and human homologs of PXR. Whereas rifampicin was an efficacious activator of hPXR, it was only a weak activator of mPXR1 (Fig. 4A). Clotrimazole, lovastatin, and phenobarbital were also more efficacious activators of hPXR than mPXR1. In contrast, PCN only activated hPXR approximately threefold but activated mPXR1 roughly ninefold (Fig. 4A). Taken together, these data indicate that much of the interspecies variability in CYP3A regulation may be due to differences in PXR activation profiles.

We also profiled the panel of chemicals that induce CYP3A expression on the human glucocorticoid receptor (GR). As shown in Fig. 4A, only dexamethasone and dexamethasone-t-butylocetate were efficacious activators of the GR. None of the other compounds activated the GR >1.5-fold (Fig. 4A). We note that in contrast to a recent report (26), we failed to see activation of the GR by rifampicin. Since this previous work was performed in HepG2 cells, it may be that rifampicin is differentially metabolized in various cell lines. As expected, pregnenolone, progesterone, and their 17-hydroxy derivatives did not have an effect on GR activity (Fig. 4A). Thus, the broad activation profile that we observed for the human and mouse homologs of PXR with inducers of CYP3A gene expression is not a general property of other steroid hormone receptors.

The hPXR activators we identified in transfection assays were not sufficiently potent for use as radioligands in standard binding assays to determine whether they interacted directly with this orphan receptor. Thus, we turned to a sensitive coac-
tator-based assay as a biochemical means to determine whether these compounds interacted directly with hPXR (7, 27). This assay is predicated on the finding that ligands induce the interaction of nuclear receptors with accessory proteins termed coactivators (27). We recently demonstrated that several steroidal activators of mPXRI including dexamethasone-t-butylacetate and PCN promote the interaction of the mPXRI LBD with a 14-kD fragment of the steroid receptor
coactivator 1 (SRC1.14) (7). To examine whether the structurally
diverse compounds that activate hPXR do so by acting as
ligands, we selected three of the more potent activators repre-
senting different chemical classes, dexamethasone-t-buty lac-
etate, rifampicin, or clotrimazole. [35S]SRC1.14 synthesized in vitro
in the presence of vehicle alone (1% DMSO) or 10 μM of dexamethasone-t-buty lacetate,
rifampicin, or clotrimazole. [35S]SRC1.14 complexed with GST-
hPXR or GST-mPXR1 (Fig. 5) top or GST-mPXR1 (bottom) was precipitated with glutathione-sepharose beads.

Discussion
Over the past decade, expression of the CYP3A4 gene has
been found to be induced by an array of structurally diverse compounds (2, 3). Given the importance of CYP3A4 in the
metabolism of a variety of drugs, an understanding of this phe-
nomenon is crucial in minimizing the potential for interactions
between drugs. However, the molecular basis for the induction of the CYP3A4 gene by these compounds had remained an
e mita. Moreover, the structural diversity of the compounds that induce CYP3A4 transcription and the fact that some of
these compounds were known to interact with classic steroid hormone receptors suggested that multiple signal transduction
pathways might be involved. We now provide evidence that
many of the compounds that exert effects on CYP3A4 gene expression do so through the activation of a single orphan nu-
clear receptor, hPXR. Our results not only suggest a molecular mechanism underlying the effects of these disparate com-
pounds on CYP3A4 induction but also suggest that hPXR transactivation assays could be used to predict the effects of
compounds on CYP3A4 induction.

Given that hPXR and mPXR1 share ~ 80% amino acid
identity in their LBDs and have activation profiles that differ
with respect to certain compounds, the question arises as to
whether these two clones are in fact bona fide homologs. We
believe that hPXR and mPXR1 are homologs for several rea-
sons. First, the two orphan receptors are very closely related in
their DBDs, sharing 96% amino acid identity. Consistent with
this fact, hPXR and mPXR1 display virtually identical DNA
binding properties (Fig. 3C and data not shown). Both hPXR
and mPXR1 bind efficiently as heterodimers with RXR to the
DR3- and ER6-type PXREs that are crucial in the regulation of the CYP3A1 and CYP3A4 genes, respectively. Second,
hPXR and mPXR1 display similar tissue expression patterns.
Both receptors are predominantly expressed in the liver and
tissues of the gastrointestinal tract. These are the same tissues
in which the CYP3A4 genes are most abundantly expressed.
And third, hPXR and mPXR1 are activated by many of the
same compounds, including naturally occurring pregnanes
such as pregnenolone and progesterone, suggesting that they
may share a common natural ligand. While these data together
are suggestive, proof that these orphan receptors are homologs
awaits a more thorough characterization of the human and
mouse genomes.

Previous work had demonstrated marked interspecies dif-
fferences in the induction of CYP3A4 genes in response to vari-
ous compounds in primary hepatocytes (5, 15). Transfection
studies in which CYP3A4 promoter plasmids were introduced
into primary hepatocytes from different species indicated that
these differences were a consequence of host cell factors rather
than the promoter regions of the CYP3A4 genes (5). Our data
with hPXR now provide a molecular explanation for these
species-specific effects. Although hPXR and mPXR1 are acti-
vated by many of the same compounds, there are important
differences in their activation profiles. Notably, rifampicin had
virtually no activity on mPXR1 but was a very efficient activa-
tor of hPXR. Conversely, PCN was only a weak activator of
hPXR but an efficacious activator of mPXR1. These differ-
ences in PXR activation profiles correlate well with CYP3A4
induction data obtained from experiments performed with rat
and human primary hepatocytes (5, 15). Thus, much of the
species specificity in the induction of CYP3A4 by xenobiotics
is likely to be a consequence of differences at the level of PXR
activation.

Because of the importance of CYP3A4 in the metabolism
of drugs, the development of in vitro assays for rapidly and ac-
curately predicting the effects of compounds on CYP3A4 gene
expression in humans has been a long-standing goal in the
fields of pharmacology and toxicology. To date, CYP3A4 in-
duction assays have been almost exclusively dependent upon
the use of human liver tissue and primary hepatocytes. Thus,
the use of these assays was severely limited by the availability
and quality of donor tissue. We now have demonstrated that a
number of compounds that are known to regulate CYP3A4 levels
function as activators of hPXR in transfection studies.
While additional studies are required to strengthen this corre-
lation, the use of hPXR transactivation assays appears to pro-
vide a rapid and relatively inexpensive means for predicting
whether compounds will induce CYP3A4 levels in vivo. Such
assays will be useful for studying the basis for interactions be-
tween drugs that are currently in use as well as in minimizing
the potential for drug interactions as new medicines are devel-
oped.
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