Normal human dermis contains distinct populations of CD11c⁺BDCA-1⁺ dendritic cells and CD163⁺FXIIIA⁺ macrophages
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We used a panel of monoclonal antibodies to characterize DCs in the dermis of normal human skin. Staining for the CD11c integrin, which is abundant on many kinds of DCs, revealed cells in the upper dermis. These cells were positive for blood DC antigen–1 (BDCA-1; also known as CD1c), HLA-DR, and CD45, markers that are also expressed by circulating myeloid DCs. A small subset of CD11c⁺dermal cells expressed DEC-205/CD205 and DC-lysosomal–associated membrane glycoprotein/CD208 (DC-LAMP/CD208), suggesting some differentiation or maturation. When BDCA-1⁺ cells were selected from collagenase digests of normal dermis, they proved to be strong stimulators for T cells in a mixed leukocyte reaction. A second major population of cells located throughout the dermis was positive for factor XIIIA (FXIIIA), but lacked CD11c and BDCA-1. They expressed the macrophage scavenger receptor CD163 and stained weakly for HLA-DR and CD45. Isolated CD163⁺ dermal cells were inactive in stimulating T cell proliferation, but in biopsies of tattoos, these cells were selectively laden with granular pigments. Plasmacytoid DCs were also present in the dermis, marked by CD123 and BDCA-2. In summary, the normal dermis contains typical immunostimulatory myeloid DCs identified by CD11c and BDCA-1, as well as an additional population of poorly stimulatory macrophages marked by CD163 and FXIIIA.

Introduction

DCs represent a major resident leukocyte population in human skin. Two main types of DCs are found in noninflamed skin: epidermal Langerhans cells (LCs) and dermal DCs (1, 2). LCs express Langerin/CD207, an endocytic receptor that localizes to and forms Birbeck granules, as well as the CD1a class I–like molecule that presents glycolipids (3). Dermal DCs have long been defined on the basis of high expression of HLA-DR expression and T cell–stimulating activity. Surprisingly, FXIIIA⁺ cells behaved more like macrophages since they were weak initiators of T cell responses in the mixed leukocyte reaction (MLR) and had numerous phagocytosed pigment–containing vacuoles in a tattoo.

Currently, so-called myeloid or conventional DCs in many tissues are often identified on the basis of high expression of HLA-DR antigen-presenting molecules and the CD11c integrin. DCs are negative for Lin, a cocktail of antibodies to other cell lineages, including T cells (CD3), B cells (CD19 and CD20), monocytes (CD14), and granulocytes and NK cells (CD16 and CD56) (7, 8). Circulating myeloid DCs can be further classified as 3 mutually exclusive subsets—in order of immunostimulatory capacity, blood DC antigen–1–positive (BDCA-1⁻), CD16⁺, and BDCA-3⁻ (9)—but these markers have seen very little use in studies of the skin. Because a large number of prevalent dermatologic conditions from atopic dermatitis to psoriasis are characterized by extensive dermal T cell infiltration, and as DCs are pivotal antigen-presenting cells for T cells, it is important to pursue these distinct phenotypic definitions of DCs in normal skin and peripheral blood. Here we report that CD11c and FXIIIA marked mutually exclusive populations, the former coexpressing BDCA-1 (also known as CD1c; ref. 10), and the latter uniformly positively for CD163, a scavenger receptor for hemoglobin/haptoglobin complexes. CD11c⁺ cells were more typical of DCs, with higher HLA-DR expression and T cell–stimulating activity. Surprisingly, FXIIIA⁺ cells behaved more like macrophages since they were weak initiators of T cell responses in the mixed leukocyte reaction (MLR) and had numerous phagocytosed pigment–containing vacuoles in a tattoo.

Results

FXIIIA⁺ and CD11c⁺ cells are discrete dermal populations. Immunohistochemistry of normal human dermis showed distinct staining patterns for FXIIIA⁺ and CD11c⁺ cells (Figure 1A). The larger FXIIIA⁺ cells were located throughout the dermis. In contrast, CD11c⁺ myeloid cells were located in the papillary and upper reticular dermis. We counted the absolute number of FXIIIA⁺ and CD11c⁺ cells using matched tissue sections from 15 normal volunteers (Figure 1B). In the epidermis there were no FXIIIA⁺ cells and a mean of 4 CD11c⁺ cells per mm (P = 0.04). In the dermis, there was a mean of 83 FXIIIA⁺ cells compared with 61 CD11c⁺ cells per mm (P = 0.19). Double-labeled immunofluorescence using FXIIIA and CD11c confirmed that these 2 antigens were not expressed on the same cell (Figure 1C). A polyclonal FXIIIA antibody has typically been used for staining dermal DCs in the past. We used FXIIIA affinity-purified sheep antibody for all of our double-label immunofluorescence studies, as we found it to yield the best
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staining. There was almost complete coexpression of the sheep FXIIIa antibody and a commonly used mouse monoclonal (clone AC-1A1), while other mouse monoclonal antibodies to FXIIIa produced weaker and less consistent staining (data not shown). These data indicate that 2 commonly used markers for dermal DCs are actually expressed by comparably abundant but different populations.

*FXIIIa* and CD11c cells are not LCs or plasmacytoid DCs.

To further characterize these 2 dermal cell populations, we first examined expression of markers used to identify LCs and/or plasmacytoid DCs (PDCs), each applied in combination with antibodies to either FXIIIa or CD11c. Neither FXIIIa nor CD11c co-localized with the LC markers CD1a (Figure 2, A and B) and Langerin (Supplemental Figure 1, A and B; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI32282DS1), nor the PDC markers CD123 (Figure 2, C and D) and BDCA-2 (Supplemental Figure 1, C and D). Therefore, FXIIIa- and CD11c+ cells are distinct from additional LC and PDC populations in normal skin. Table 1 summarizes the expression of various leukocyte markers on the FXIIIa- and CD11c+ cells.

CD11c+ cells coexpress the blood DC marker BDCA-1, and a small fraction also express DC-lysosomal–associated membrane glycoprotein/CD208 and DEC-205/CD205. BDCA-1 also marks a population of CD11c+ myeloid DCs in blood, and we noted coexpression of these 2 markers in the dermis as well (Figure 3, A and B). Two other markers that are expressed by tissue DCs are lysosomal marker DC-lysosomal–associated membrane glycoprotein/CD208 (DC-LAMP/CD208) and endocytic receptor DEC-205/CD205. A small fraction of the CD11c+ cells expressed these 2 markers (Figure 3, C–F). The fraction of CD11c+DC-LAMP+ cells was qualitatively smaller than the fraction of CD11c+DEC-205+ cells. DC-LAMP/CD208 is expressed during DC maturation, and we noted that cells positive for this marker were often in dermal aggregates with other CD11c−DC-LAMP− cells. These results indicate that CD11c+ cells in the dermis share features with the myeloid DCs in blood, but some are in a more mature state of differentiation (11).

*FXIIIa* cells express the macrophage marker and scavenger receptor CD163. CD163, a hemoglobin/haptoglobin complex scavenger receptor, identifies tissue resident macrophages, and it was the only marker we studied that was uniformly coexpressed by both FXIIIa+ cells and not by CD11c+ cells (Figure 4, A and B). Several other markers were expressed on a fraction of FXIIIa+ and CD11c+ cells. These included the uptake receptors macrophage mannose receptor/CD206 (MMR/CD206; Figure 4, C and D), DC-specific ICAM-3–grabbing nonintegrin/CD209 (DC-SIGN/CD209; Figure 4, E and F), CD45, and HLA-DR (Figure 5). In normal skin, both MMR/CD206 and DC-SIGN/CD209 were more abundant on macrophages (FXIIIa+ cells) than DCs (CD11c+ cells), which is consistent with recent studies in inflamed skin and lymph nodes (11, 12). Reciprocally, CD45 and HLA-DR were more abundant on CD11c+ than on FXIIIa+ cells. All these observations are consistent with the interpretation that CD11c+ cells in the dermis are part of the DC pathway of differentiation, while FXIIIa+ cells are more macrophage-like.

**Other markers of potential interest.** Other markers used for characterization of FXIIIa+ and CD11c+ cells were CD14 (identifies monocytes); CD68, CD11b, and RFD7 (commonly used macrophage markers); and CD63 (present on macrophages and DCs). These markers were not helpful in discriminating these 2 populations in normal skin (Supplemental Figure 2). CD14, a component of the LPS receptor on monocytes (13), was present on a few FXIIIa+ cells and CD11c+ cells. We have previously shown that CD68 identifies a subset of CD11c+ cells that produce IL-20 in psoriasis (14), so this is not an exclusive macrophage marker. In keeping with this, CD68 also stained both CD11c+ and FXIIIa-
cells. CD11b and RFD7, considered to be common macrophage markers (13, 15), were present on both CD11c+ and FXXIIIA+ cells. CD63, an MHC class II internalization antigen, marked occasional CD11c+ and FXIIIA+ cells in normal skin.

Isolated CD11c+ dermal cells contain nonoverlapping major and minor populations of BDCA-1+ and BDCA-3+ cells. To confirm our in situ data and assess whether the 3 myeloid DC subsets found in blood were also found in tissue, we performed 6-color FACS on single-cell suspensions of normal human skin compared with peripheral blood, using a custom-made group of monoclonal antibodies to lineage (Lin) that did not contain monoclonal antibodies to CD14 or CD16. Peripheral blood FACS dot plots are shown in Figure 6, A and B, and the parallel analysis from dermal cells is shown in Figure 6, C and D. There were 3 discrete Lin-CD11c+HLA-DR+ DC populations in peripheral blood, BDCA-1+, CD16hi, and BDCA-3+ (Figure 6A), but only 2 clear populations in skin, BDCA-1+CD16lo and BDCA-3+CD16lo (Figure 6C). In blood, 2 discrete CD11c+ DC populations, BDCA-1+ and BDCA-3+, were both HLA-DR+CD14+ (Figure 6B). In contrast, BDCA-1+ cells from skin increased HLA-DR expression, and BDCA-3+ cells acquired low-level CD14 expression (Figure 6D). We also confirmed that BDCA-1 and BDCA-3 identified discrete populations in normal skin in situ (data not shown).

BDCA-1 and CD163 are superior markers to CD11c and FXIIIA, respectively, for FACS of isolated dermal cells. To begin to test the functional properties of cell populations isolated from dermis, we required markers that would be optimal for FACS. While culture conditions may alter cellular surface phenotype, it was important to compare DC populations using the new BDCA-1 and CD163 markers with previous studies on dermal DCs. It was necessary to balance obtaining sufficient cells to study with as little manipulation as possible. An overnight culture in dispase/collagenase media (16) yielded insufficient cells to perform our MLR experiments. Enzymic alteration of surface epitopes is also possible, although experiments on PBMCs did not show loss of FACS staining after culture in dispase collagenase media.

Table 1
Characterization of CD11c+ and FXXIIIA+ cells in normal human dermis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antigen</th>
<th>Main cell location</th>
<th>FXIIIA</th>
<th>CD11c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CD1a</td>
<td>LCs, IDECs</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langerin/CD207</td>
<td>LCs</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD123</td>
<td>PDCs</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDCA-2</td>
<td>PDCs</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDCA-1</td>
<td>Myeloid DCs</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-LAMP/CD208</td>
<td>Mature DCs</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEC-205/CD205</td>
<td>Mature DCs</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD163</td>
<td>Macrophages</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMR/CD206</td>
<td>Macrophages, DCs</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-SIGN/CD209</td>
<td>Macrophages, immature DCs</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD45</td>
<td>Bone marrow-derived cells</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLA-DR</td>
<td>Antigen-presenting cells</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD14</td>
<td>Monocyte-derived cells</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD68</td>
<td>Macrophages, DCs</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD11b</td>
<td>Myeloid cells</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFD7</td>
<td>Macrophages, DCs</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD63</td>
<td>Macrophages, DCs</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Qualitative coexpression of FXIIIA and CD11c with additional antibody is denoted as follows: –, no coexpression; +, some cells show coexpression; ++, a moderate number of cells show coexpression; ++++, most cells show coexpression. IDEC, inflammatory dendritic epidermal cell.
much higher median fluorescence intensity (MFI) than did CD11c (data not shown). Likewise, CD163, which showed 100% coexpression with FXIIIa in situ (Figure 4A), proved to be a much better FACS marker. FXIIIa antibodies bound non-specifically to dermal cells in suspension, perhaps as a result of adherence of platelet fragments rich in FXIIIa, which is upregulated during cell culture (5, 6).

Double-label immunofluorescence of BDCA-1 and CD163 confirmed that they were distinct populations in situ (Figure 7A), which supports their use as alternative markers for CD11c and FXIIIa, respectively, in FACS studies. Bulk dermal single-cell suspensions showed distinct BDCA-1+ and CD163hi populations by FACS (Figure 7B). BDCA-1+ gated cells had a higher MFI for the CD11c than the CD163hi population (Figure 7C), most likely because of increased sensitivity of FACS compared with immunohistochemistry. CD163hi cells had a higher MFI for FXIIIa than did BDCA-1+ cells (Figure 7C). BDCA-1+ gated cells also had a higher MFI for HLA-DR and CD45 than did CD163hi gated cells (Figure 7C). A subset of BDCA-1+ cells was positive for DC maturation markers CD86, CD83, and DC-LAMP/CD208 (Figure 7D). Because B cells may also express BDCA-1, we confirmed that none of the BDCA-1+ cells were CD19+ by FACS (data not shown). Thus the dermal cells have a pattern of expression similar to in situ characterization.

BDCA-1+ cells are a major immunostimulatory population from normal human skin. To test for the immunostimulatory properties of dermal leukocytes, we focused on FACS-sorted populations of BDCA-1+ and CD163hi cells released from the dermis with collagenase. Data are summarized in Supplemental Table 3, and Figure 8 shows representative FACS plots of the MLR. The sorted cells were 99% pure compared with isotype (Figure 8A). In the MLR induced by in vitro monocyte-derived mature DCs, 63% of the surviving T cells had undergone extensive proliferation at a stimulator/responder ratio of 1:100 on day 8 after sorting (Figure 8B). In parallel cultures stimulated by BDCA-1+ cells, 9.1% of the T cells had proliferated (1:10 ratio), compared with 2.1% of CD163+ cells and 1.0% background T cell proliferation (Figure 8C). When these sorted BDCA-1+ and CD163+ populations were cultured for 2 days in a DC-maturing cytokine cocktail before setting up the MLR, the immunostimulatory capability of BDCA-1+ cells was increased to 25.2% (1:100 ratio), but the capacity of CD163+ cells was unchanged (2.2%; 1:250 ratio, low because of low cell survival during the culture period; Figure 8D). BDCA-1+ sorted cells were cultured for 2 days without cytokines, and the supernatant from these cells after culture also increased T cell proliferation (data not shown).

CD163+ cells phagocytose large particles in a tattoo and have the structural features of macrophages. Ultrathin sections of a green dye tattoo were cut from tattoo-bearing normal skin. These sections confirmed that the dye was intracytoplasmic and located mostly in cells clustered around blood vessels (Figure 9A). Electron microscopy of the tattoo revealed membrane-bound (Figure 9B, blue arrow) tattoo dye particles (red arrow) and microwillous projections (green arrow), confirming the identity of these cells as macrophages. Immunohistochemistry using BDCA-1 (Figure 9C) and CD163 (Figure 9D) showed that dye-laden cells were CD163+ and not BDCA-1+, confirming that typical macrophages rather than DCs had ingested the pigment.

**Figure 3**

CD11c+ cells are defined by BDCA-1, DC-LAMP/CD208, and DEC-205/CD205. FXIIIa did not overlap with BDCA-1 (A), DC-LAMP/CD208 (C), or DEC-205/CD205 (E). Most CD11c+ cells coexpressed BDCA-1 (B). Small subsets of CD11c+ cells coexpressed DC-LAMP/CD208 (D) and DEC-205/CD205 (F). Scale bar: 100 μm.
CD11c colocalized with several well-recognized DC markers: BDCA-1, DC-LAMP/CD208, and DEC-205/CD205. BDCA-1, also known as CD1c (10), is an invariant MHC class I-like antigen receptor molecule that recognizes lipids in mycobacterial cell walls. BDCA-1 is found on immature and mature DCs, and also on a subset of B cells. BDCA-1, in the absence of the B cell markers CD19 and CD20, would therefore seem to be a valuable marker to compare dermal DCs in both normal skin (22) and inflammatory skin diseases (23). DC-LAMP/CD208 is a lysosomal protein that specifically marks mature DCs (24). DEC-205/CD205 is a surface receptor that participates in DC antigen endocytosis; its expression increases during maturation, and it has been previously demonstrated in normal human skin (25). The low frequency of CD11c+ DCs expressing these 2 antigens observed in normal skin is consistent with their expected immature DC status. CD11c+ cells also stained brightly with HLA-DR and CD45, confirming their antigen-presenting potential and bone marrow origin, respectively. The phenotype of BDCA-1+ cells from skin indicates that they are all CD45hiHLA-DRhi and that a subset of these cells is CD86hi and CD83hi.

Our studies show that FXIIIa identifies a population of tissue-resident macrophages in normal skin. The only antibody that overlapped completely with FXIIIa in situ was the scavenger receptor CD163, which is selectively expressed on monocytes and macrophages (reviewed in ref. 26). The best-characterized function of CD163 is to bind hemoglobin/haptoglobin complexes, which may be important in homeostasis. There was low-level CD45 and HLA-DR expression, consistent with other tissue macrophages, and limited antigen-presenting capacity (27). MMR/CD206 and DC-SIGN/CD209 are C-type lectin receptors that are found on both macrophages and DCs (11, 28), so it was not surprising that there was expression of both lectin receptors on both FXIIIa+ and CD11c+ cells in normal human skin.

To evaluate the function of these 2 dominant dermal populations, we used 2 approaches. By comparing BDCA-1 and CD163 cells selected from collagenase digests of normal skin as inducers of an allogeneic MLR, we found that BDCA-1+ cells were the main immunostimulatory population. These BDCA-1+ cells induced increased T cell proliferation when cultured before setting up the MLR, but were not as immunostimulatory as in vitro–matured DCs, suggesting that there were few mature DCs in normal skin compared with skin under inflammatory conditions such as psoriasis. This result reflects studies by Nestle et al. showing that bulk tissue émigrés from normal skin are not as stimulatory as those from patients with psoriasis (20). In comparison, CD163+ cells were not immunostimulatory in an allo-MLR, nor were they induced to be stimulatory, although they may possess some antigen-presenting capacity with upregulation of MHC class II molecules (29).

Skin tattoos provided a second functional study: the phagocytic activity of tissue macrophages. Pigment granules were found in lysosomal-like cellular structures, and cells containing pigment stained uniformly with CD163. The fate of tattoo pigment injected into dermal tissues has been studied in the past, and fibroblasts were considered to be the primary long-term reservoir of the pigment granules, with pigment in occasional macrophages (30, 31). However, our data suggest that macrophages are indeed a significant store of the dermal pigment. The cells with pigment were

Discussion

DCs are important sentinels of the cutaneous immune system, performing central roles in both the innate and the acquired immune systems. Previous characterization of DC subsets in human dermis has been influenced by results with a rabbit polyclonal antibody to FXIIIa, which identifies dermal cells with a dendritic morphology (4, 17–20). Here we have characterized populations of cells within the normal dermis and found that, surprisingly, cells expressing the CD11c integrin and the BDCA-1 antigen-presenting molecule represent a distinct population functionally differentiated along the DC pathway, whereas FXIIIa+ cells are differentiated along the macrophage pathway. Whereas mouse skin contains approximately 1 CD11c+ DC for every 5 macrophages (21), the concentration of CD11c+ DCs in human skin is much higher, closer to a 1:1 ratio.

Figure 4

The macrophage marker CD163 defines FXIIIa+ cells. FXIIIa+ cells expressed macrophage marker CD163 (A), and a subset overlapped with MMR/CD206 (C) and DC-SIGN/CD209 (E). CD11c did not overlap with CD163 (B), but a subset overlapped with MMR/CD206 (D) and DC-SIGN/CD209 (F). Scale bar: 100 μm.
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CD163+ and BDCA-1−, were round, had numerous microvillous projections, and the pigment was contained within membrane-bound structures (lysosomes). These characteristics are consistent with macrophages (31, 32).

In summary, we have identified CD11c+ cells as immature myeloid DCs in normal human skin and FXIIIA+ cells as tissue-resident macrophages, not DCs, as they were previously classified. In future studies of cutaneous DCs, we recommend considering the use of CD11c/BDCA-1 and CD163 as alternative markers to identify dermal DCs and macrophages, respectively, because they are more specific and more useful in flow cytometry applications.

Methods
Skin samples. Skin punch biopsies (6 mm diameter) were obtained from 15 normal volunteers under a protocol approved by the Rockefeller University Institutional Review Board. Informed consent was obtained. Biopsies were frozen in OTC (Sakura) and stored at −80°C for immunohistochemistry and immunofluorescence. Normal samples from abdominoplasty were processed within 4 hours after surgery. These abdominoplasty samples were also the source of tattoo material (n = 2).

Immunohistochemistry. Normal skin sections (n = 15) were stained with purified mouse anti-human CD11c (diluted 1:100) and sheep affinity-purified anti-human FXIIIA (diluted 1:100; Enzyme Research Laboratories). Biotin-labeled horse anti-mouse and biotin-labeled rabbit anti-sheep antibodies (Vector Laboratories) were used to detect CD11c and FXIIIA antibodies, respectively. The staining signal was amplified with avidin-biotin complex (Vector Laboratories) and developed using chromogen 3-amino-9-ethylcarbazole (Sigma-Aldrich). The number of positive cells per mm was counted manually using computer-assisted image analysis (NIH Image 6.1; http://rsb.info.nih.gov/nih-image). For FXIIIA immunostaining, several additional antibodies were screened, including AC-1A1 (Labvision), E5014 (Spring Bioscience), and E980.1 (Biogenex). We chose the sheep affinity-purified antibody because it gave the most specific cellular staining with the least background epidermal staining.

Antibodies. All antibodies used for immunofluorescence and FACS of normal tissue are listed in Supplemental Tables 1 and 2.

Immunofluorescence. Normal skin sections (n = 6) were fixed with acetone and blocked in 10% normal goat serum (Vector Laboratories) for 30 minutes. Primary antibodies CD11c and FXIIIA were incubated overnight at 4°C and amplified with the appropriate secondary antibody: goat anti-mouse IgG1 conjugated with Alexa Fluor 488 or 568, or donkey anti-sheep conjugated with Alexa Fluor 488 or 568, respectively. For colocalization with CD11c or FXIIIA, sections were then costained overnight with a second antibody, as listed in Supplemental Table 1, and amplified with the appropriate goat-anti mouse secondary antibody.
Supplemental Table 1). Images were acquired using appropriate filters of a Zeiss Axioplan 2I microscope with Plan Apochromat 20 × 0.7 numerical aperture lens and a Hagamatsu orca ER-cooled charge-coupled device camera, controlled by METAVUE software (Universal Imaging). Dermal collagen fibers gave green autofluorescence. Antibodies conjugated with a fluorochrome often gave background epidermal fluorescence. Images in each figure are presented as single-color stains of green and red to make apparent the localization of 2 markers on similar or different cells. Merged images are shown below the single-color stains. Cells that coexpress the 2 markers in a similar location are often yellow in color.

Figure 7
BDCA-1 and CD163 are alternative markers for CD11c and FXIIIA, respectively. (A) BDCA-1 and CD163 identified discrete populations of dermal cells. (B) BDCA-1+ cells (red circle) and CD163+ cells (blue circle) were also discrete populations in dermal single-cell suspensions. (C) FACS histograms gated on BDCA-1+ cells (red line), CD163+ cells (blue line), or isotype (green line). BDCA-1+ cells were CD11chi, FXIIIAlo, HLA-DRhi, and CD45hi. CD163+ cells were CD11cmid, FXIIIAhi, HLA-DRmid, and CD45lo. (D) A subset of BDCA-1+ cells was CD86hi, CD83+, and DC-LAMP+. Representative graphs from 3 experiments. Scale bar: 100 μm.

Figure 8
BDCA-1+ cells are more immunostimulatory. (A) Postsort dot plot of dermal cells from normal skin into BDCA-1+ and CD163+ populations (red, left and right panels, respectively) compared with isotype (blue). (B) Positive control (monocyte-derived mature DC) for MLR on day 8 after sorting at a 1:100 stimulator/responder ratio. Gate contains CD3+ proliferating T cells with left-shifted CFSE. (C) Using BDCA-1+ sorted cells as stimulators (1:10 ratio), 9.1% of the T cells proliferated; using CD163+ cells (1:10 ratio), 2.1% of live T cells proliferated. Background proliferation of T cells alone without stimulation was 1.0%. (D) After cells had been sorted and cultured for 2 days with cytokines to induce maturation, there was a marked increase in the T cell stimulatory capacity of BDCA-1+ cells (25.2%, 1:100 ratio) versus CD163 (2.2%, 1:250 ratio). Representative graphs from 3 experiments.
Skin was fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde and processed by routine transmission electron microscopy procedure. Seminiferous sections were stained with toluidine blue for light microscopic evaluation.

Figure 9

**CD163+ cells phagocyte large particles and have the structural features of macrophages.** (A) Tattoo skin section (0.5 μm) stained with toluidine blue. Cells containing green tattoo dye in their cytoplasm (black arrow) surrounded a blood vessel. (B) Electron microscopy of a tattoo showed that dye particles (red arrow) were membrane bound (blue arrow) within the cytoplasm of a cell with multiple microvillus protrusions (green arrow). (C and D) Cells containing green tattoo dye particles stained for CD163 (D) but not BDCA-1 (C). Scale bar: 10 μm (A, C, and D); 200 nm (B).

**Electron microscopy.** Skin was fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde and processed by routine transmission electron microscopy procedure. Seminiferous sections were stained with toluidine blue for light microscopic evaluation. Ultrathin sections were cut with a diamond knife, collected on copper grids, and stained with both uranyl acetate and lead citrate before viewing in a Tecnai Spirit electron microscope (FEI Company) equipped with an Ultrascan 895 charge-coupled device camera (Gatan).

**Skin sample processing.** Dermal single-cell suspensions from normal skin were obtained using a modified collagenase/dispase method (16). Subcutaneous fat was excised, and remaining tissue was washed with PBS. The dermal layer was heavily scored with a scalpel and incubated in 1 mg/ml 1 M HEPES buffer (Sigma-Aldrich). The dermis was incubated 24–48 hours at 37°C, and the supernatant was collected and filtered with 40-μm cell strainers (BD Biosciences). Cells were then either used immediately for MLR; or frozen in RPMI 1640 (Invitrogen) and 10% DMSO (Mediatech Inc.), 0.1% gentamicin reagent solution (Invitrogen), and 1% FXIIIA (ATCC) for FACS (n = 3). Cells were stained for 20 minutes at 4°C, washed with FACSpure (PBS 0.1% sodium azide and 2% FBS), and resuspended in 1.3% of abdominalplasty surgical waste, H. Shio and A. Khatcherian for their technical assistance on electron microscopy and immunohistochemistry of the tattoo, respectively, and A. Piperno for the kind gift of monoclonal antibody DEC-205/CD205. We also appreciate the assistance and advice of the Flow Cytometry Core Facility (S. Mazel) and Bio-imaging Resource Center (A. North) at Rockefeller University. We thank Patricia Gilleaudeau and Mary Whalen-Sullivan for excellent care of our patients.
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