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A major challenge for the immune system is to recognize and eliminate cells undergoing carcinogenesis. Immune defense against tumors is complex. It can be mediated early by the innate immune system (i.e., phagocytes, NK cells, NKT cells, cytokines, and complement proteins) and later by the adaptive immune system (i.e., B cells and T cells). The eight articles in this Review series on tumor immunology discuss the mechanisms underlying immune surveillance of tumors, the regulation of carcinogenesis by immune inflammatory mediators, current approaches to controlling tumor growth through immunotherapy, and novel targets of immunotherapy.

Resurrection of the immune surveillance theory

The concept that the immune system protects the host against cancer was first posited by Ehrlich in 1909 (1) and modified in the 1950s by Burnet and Thomas (2, 3), who proposed that it was instrumental in eliminating precancerous or cancerous cells, through a “surveillance” function. However, the concept fell out of favor when studies in the 1980s indicated that tumors failed to develop more rapidly in nude mice (which lack T cells and B cells, but not NK cells) than in wild-type mice. It was resurrected in the 1990s, when a body of evidence emerged indicating that immunodeficient mice were at greater risk for spontaneous tumor development (4). These studies led to further refinement of the theory, now referred to as “cancer immunoediting,” encompassing three phases: elimination, equilibrium, and escape.

Elimination. During the elimination phase, nascent tumor cells are destroyed by elements of the innate and adaptive immune systems (Figure 1). Evidence for the existence of this phase comes from animal experiments examining spontaneous or carcinogen-induced tumors in mice that lack specific immune effector cells, molecules, or pathways that are important for suppressing tumor growth. For example, RAG2-deficient mice, which lack T cells, B cells, and NK cells, spontaneously develop adenomas of the intestine and lung (5). Similarly, mice lacking key cytokines such as IFN-γ (6) have a higher incidence of tumor development with age than wild-type mice. Molecules involved in antigen processing and presentation and mediators of cytotoxic pathways are also critical for controlling tumor growth (7). Although these studies provide very strong support for immune surveillance, Jeremy Swann and Mark Smyth, in the first article of this Review series (8), point out that models in which tumors develop spontaneously and then undergo autochthonous regression, which would provide definitive evidence of immunoediting, have yet to be established.

In humans the evidence for immunoediting is less substantial but nevertheless suggestive. Immunosuppressed patients have a higher incidence of tumors, especially those of viral etiology (9). Individuals whose tumors are infiltrated with T cells have a more favorable prognosis (10). CTLs and/or antibodies that have antigen reactivity toward antigens expressed by both tumor and neuronal cells have been implicated in paraneoplastic disease. In some cases, patients present with paraneoplastic disease and no clear evidence of a tumor, suggesting that the neuronal outcome might be secondary to a strong antitumor response (11).

Equilibrium. The second phase of immunoediting is the equilibrium phase, in which tumor cells persist but are “equilibrated” by the immune system. Indirect evidence of this phase comes from studies in which spontaneous or carcinogen-derived tumors have been transplanted into immunodeficient and wild-type mice. Although these tumors are eliminated in wild-type mice, they grow progressively in syngeneic immunodeficient animals (5, 12). These data suggest that tumors normally undergo sculpting by the immune system. More direct evidence of the equilibrium phase comes from experiments showing that if wild-type mice that are tumor free after low-dose methylcholanthrene administration are depleted of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells at day 200, they rapidly develop sarcomas that have unusual growth characteristics when transplanted into naive recipients (13).

Escape. The final phase of immunoediting is termed escape, in which tumors actively disable immune recognition by co-opting immune cells for growth, angiogenesis, and invasion. Escape constitutes several complex events and processes, including loss of antigen-presenting machinery, tumor antigens, and sensitivity to immune effector molecules; expression of inhibitory molecules that induce T cell apoptosis or anergy; and induction of Tregs (4, 8, 14–17). Three mechanisms of escape are highlighted in this Review series (Figure 1).

First, David Munn and Andrew Mellor review the role of indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO), an enzyme that catalyzes the rate-limiting step of tryptophan degradation along the kynurenine pathway, in tumor-induced tolerance (18). IDO is produced by several cells, including tumor cells and APCs (both conventional and plasmacytoid DCs) (19–21), and its function is dependent on activation signals imparted to the APCs (22). IDO-mediated immune suppression is due to the production of tryptophan metabolites and local reduction of tryptophan levels, which cause T cell apoptosis and suppress T cell proliferation (23–26). The stress-responsive kinase general control nonderepressible 2 (GCN2), activated by amino acid deficiency, was recently shown to participate in IDO-mediated T cell anergy (27). In addition, IDO expression in APCs has been associated with the induction of immunosuppressive Tregs (28, 29). DC subsets expressing IDO have been described in tumor-draining lymph nodes, and IDO expression has been linked to poor clinical outcome (19, 30). As IDO expression can
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be induced by vaccine adjuvants and microbial infection, it might have a normal physiologic counterregulatory role in response to inflammation. Therefore, vaccines (including cancer vaccines) that induce its production might benefit from simultaneous addition of IDO inhibitors.

Second, tumors can also induce the differentiation of circulating myeloid cells into suppressor cells, termed collectively myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) (31), which impact on immune surveillance mechanisms. MDSCs, discussed in this Review series by Antonio Sica and Vincenzo Bronte (32), are a complex mix of CD11b+ and Gr-1+ mononuclear cells that mediate the suppression of T cells in cancer and other pathological conditions. MDSCs apparently require activation by T cells, after which they inhibit CD4+ and CD8+ T cells in an MHC-independent manner (33). They express the inducible forms of NOS2 and arginase (ARG1), enzymes involved in the metabolism of arginine. Recently, Bronte’s group showed that both NOS2 and ARG1 are expressed by MDSCs in an IL-13- and IFN-γ-dependent manner and function synergistically to induce T cell dysfunction or apoptosis through the production of reactive nitrogen and oxygen species (33). MDSCs can also induce the development of Tregs (34). Tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs), another type of myeloid suppressor cell, are recruited to tumors and promote tumor growth by enhancing inflammation and angiogenesis (35, 36). Their role in promoting angiogenesis in tumors was recently confirmed with the identification of a new lineage of monocytes expressing the angiopoietin receptor TIE2 that are required for tumor vessel formation (37). Therapeutic approaches to reverse the effects of MDSCs and TAMs have focused on neutralizing their functional activity (38–41), vaccinating against expressed molecules (42), and inducing their differentiation into mature myeloid cells (43).

Finally, in his Personal Perspective, Tyler Curiel discusses the role of Tregs in impeding tumor immune surveillance (44). CD4+ Tregs typically express CD25 (the α chain of the IL-2 receptor), cytotoxic T lymphocyte–associated antigen 4 (CTLA4), glucocorticoid-induced TNF receptor–related protein (GITR), and the transcription factor forkhead box P3 (FOXP3). They are generated in the thymus and the periphery (45–48) and exert their suppressive activity on multiple immune cells (T cells, NK cells, NKT cells, B cells, and APCs), through both contact-dependent and contact-independent mechanisms. Treg accumulation has been documented in various tumors, in tumor-draining lymph nodes, and in the peripheral circulation of individuals with cancer, and tumor antigen–specific Tregs have also been described (49). An inverse correlation between the number of Tregs in the tumor and clinical outcome has been noted (50), although the opposite observation has been made in hematologic malignancies (51, 52). Nevertheless, efforts to reduce Treg function in patients with cancer are being evaluated (53, 54), as their depletion can enhance the effects of immunotherapy in certain cases (54–56). This is especially important given that cancer vaccines might induce Tregs in addition to activating antitumor responses in vivo (57).

The link between inflammation and cancer

Malignant transformation can be closely associated with chronic inflammation and infection, as highlighted by cancers of the gastrointestinal tract. For example, liver cancer is associated with excessive alcohol consumption and infection with HBV and HCV, colon cancer is associated with inflammatory bowel disease, and gastric cancer can develop in individuals chronically infected with Helicobacter pylori (58). In their Review, Wan-Wan Lin and Michael Karin summarize the complex cytokine networks that seem to link inflammation, innate immunity, and cancer (59).

The inhibitor of NF-κB kinase/NF-κB (IKK/NF-κB) signaling pathway is an important molecular link between inflammation and tumor progression. Activation of the NF-κB family of transcription factors upregulates several genes whose products promote the phenotype and growth of malignant cells (60, 61). IKK/NF-κB signaling is one of many pathways activated following the recognition of pathogen-associated molecular patterns by pattern recognition receptors (PRRs). PRRs include TLRs and nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain–like (NOD-like) receptors. Recognition of pathogens by these receptors normally induces a host immune response that leads to their eradication. When this...
response fails, chronic inflammation ensues, and this can increase the risk of malignancy. For example, mutations in the NOD2 locus are associated with a higher risk for developing Crohn disease, possibly due to enhanced production of IL-1β (62, 63), and might be predisposing factors to colorectal cancer (64).

Lin and Karin summarize the role of several cytokines, produced by immune cells in response to inflammatory triggers, in tumor development and progression. The prolonged production of TNF-α, for example, can induce NF-κB–dependent expression of antiapoptotic and proliferative genes, enhance angiogenesis, and adversely affect immune surveillance by conferring resistance to TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL) (65). Cytokines such as IL-6, induced through TLR activation or produced endogenously (e.g., by stromal cells), can promote cell cycle progression and exacerbate the effects of TNF-α. Indeed, IL-6 has been implicated in the development of multiple myeloma (66) and Kaposi sarcoma (67) and an elevated risk of Hodgkin lymphoma (68). Therefore, therapies that neutralize pathways that induce the chronic production of proinflammatory cytokines might limit tumor growth. Indeed, inhibition of NF-κB in cancer cells converts inflammation-induced TNF-α–mediated tumor growth to TRAIL-mediated tumor regression (65). Inhibitors of TNF-α in are in wide use for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis, but it remains to be seen whether they can be used to treat cancers associated with chronic inflammation (69).

Lin and Karin also discuss the role of immunosuppressive and antiinflammatory cytokines and factors in tumor development and growth. It seems that IL-10 and TGF-β can exhibit tumor-suppressing activity under certain circumstances; mice lacking either IL-10 or TGF-β1 are more susceptible to cancer in response to infection with enteric bacteria (70–72). By contrast, these factors can also promote tumor growth and development. Activation of STAT3 in tumor cells endows them with enhanced survival, metastatic, and angiogenic properties and confers suppressive effects on inflammatory and immune responses in the tumor microenvironment through the production of IL-10, IL-6, and VEGF (73). These factors inhibit DC activation, suppress T cell responses; block the proinflammatory effects of macrophages, NK cells, and neutrophils; and facilitate the induction of Tregs (41, 73–75). Moreover, STAT3 activation induces the downregulation of molecules necessary for functional antitumor immune responses (e.g., IL-12, IFN-γ, and IFN-β), which are also produced following NF-κB activation. Therefore, STAT3 can block the ability of NF-κB to stimulate antitumor immunity (76, 77) but cooperate with it to promote oncoogenesis (73). Small-molecule inhibitors targeting STAT3 directly or indirectly can block the signaling effects of cytokines such as IL-6 and TGF-β and reverse the abnormal differentiation of DCs in cancer (41, 78).

Cancer vaccines and immunotherapy: a reality?

The most successful example of a vaccine that protects against developing cancer is the HBV vaccine, which protects individuals from hepatitis B and thereby reduces the likelihood of developing liver cancer (79). Likewise, the new vaccines used to prevent infection with HPV have been associated with reduced cervical dysplasia (80). Of course, these illustrate the relatively easy job of inducing protective antiviral immunity compared with inducing immunity to tumor antigens, many of which are self-antigens. Can successful vaccines for cancers not associated with infectious agents be developed? Is it possible to develop immunotherapies to effectively eliminate existing tumors? The final three articles in this Review series highlight advances in immunological approaches to treat tumors.

**Novel adjuvants.** TLR agonists are an exciting new class of vaccine adjuvants. Oligodeoxynucleotides containing one or more unmethylated CpG dinucleotides (CpG ODN), which target TLR9 and are the subject of the Review by Arthur Krieg (81), are probably the furthest along in clinical testing (82). Their adjuvant activity is based on their ability to activate human B cells and plasmacytoid DCs, the two main cell types that express TLR9 in humans. From several animal models, it is apparent that unmethylated CpG ODN can stimulate antitumor immunity, in some cases quite effectively. In humans, unmethylated CpG ODN enhance the immunogenicity of HBV and influenza vaccines (82) and can amplify the adjuvant effects of incomplete Freund’s adjuvant (IFA) in the tumor setting. Responses of CD8+ T cells from the blood to the HLA-A*0201–restricted melanoma-associated antigen recognized by T cells 1 (MART1) epitope were increased by more than 10-fold when CpG ODN were added to a mixture of the epitope and IFA, compared with the mixture alone (83), although they were qualitatively inferior within tumor sites (84). Incorporation of CpG ODN into vaccine mixtures will require optimization, however, as the responses obtained thus far in humans and nonhuman primates have not yet proven to be protective. Nevertheless, CpG ODN can synergize with other antitumor modalities by enhancing underlying antitumor immune responses after chemotherapy-mediated elimination of Tregs or other suppressive mechanisms. Furthermore, the addition of CpG ODN to chemotherapy (a combination of a taxane and a platinum-based drug) in untreated non–small cell lung cancer improved the response rate but not survival, compared with the chemotherapy alone (85), a result that is now being followed up in a phase III clinical trial. However, there are potential downsides to CpG ODN therapy, as under certain situations, CpG ODN contribute to the induction of Tregs (86), and this will require careful evaluation in humans. Although autoimmunity has not been described thus far, side effects such as thrombocytopenia will also need to be evaluated in larger human studies.

**DC-based immunotherapy.** DCs have directly induced immunity in many clinical trials, but only limited success has been achieved in terms of inducing partial or complete remissions in cancer patients (87).

The most striking results were observed in HIV-infected individuals immunized with chemically inactivated, autologous virus–pulsed DCs who achieved viremic control (88). The Review by Eli Gilboa discusses why the promise of this approach has yet to be realized in the tumor setting (89). In many cases DCs used in the treatment of patients with cancer are differentiated in vitro from blood monocytes and activated in vitro by cytokines and PGE2, which render them resistant to in vivo licensing by costimulatory molecules such as CD40. These DCs fail to induce cytokines such as IL-12 that skew the immune response to a Th1 response, and they might even induce Tregs in vivo (90). Along these lines, Sporri and Reis e Sousa demonstrated that DCs activated indirectly by inflammatory mediators supported CD4+ T cell clonal expansion but failed to direct Th cell differentiation (91). By contrast, exposure to pathogen components (TLR agonists) resulted in fully activated DCs that promoted Th cell responses. Therefore, preferential activation of DCs with natural activators such as TLR agonists (particularly when physically linked with antigens to
facilitate endosomal processing) might be a preferable way to generate therapeutically useful DCs. DC function might be further improved by inhibiting negative regulatory pathways in the cells or by inducing the cells to express costimulatory molecules and antiapoptotic proteins to enhance viability.

Improving the delivery of antigens to DCs is also required. Although several vehicles have been used for this purpose (viral vectors and apoptotic or necrotic tumor lysates), there is no consensus on the optimal approach, but transfection of human DCs with mRNA encoding tumor antigens is effective at inducing tumor-specific immunity in vitro and in vivo (92). At what stage of DC differentiation antigens should be loaded is also uncertain. Recent studies indicate that immature mouse DCs (unlike macrophages) express few proteases, with reduced capacity for lysosomal degradation (93). In vivo, mouse DCs degrade internalized antigens slowly and retain them for long periods. Evidently this translates into more favorable antigen presentation to both T cells and B cells. Therefore, modification of antigens to resist lysosomal proteolysis might render them more immunogenic when acquired by immature DCs (94). Other issues in the design of DC-based immunotherapies include the type(s) of antigen to be administered, the number of DCs to use per vaccination, the frequency of vaccinations, the mode and site of injection, and the utility of targeting DCs in situ with TLR agonists in absence of the whole-cell approach. Finally, before venturing into making numerous improvements, it should be considered whether activated “mature” DCs are superior to other vaccine adjuvants, especially those in long-standing use.

Adoptive T cell immunotherapy. The final article in this Review series, by Carl June, summarizes key advances in the adoptive transfer of T cells to humans, advances that have led to tumor regression in early-stage clinical trials (95). The challenges faced by immunologists using adoptive T cell therapy relate not only to generating large numbers of cells for transfusion, but also to developing cells that have specificity for tumor antigens; retain proliferative, homing, and effector function; and can engraft long-term. The ability to transfer central memory T cells with stem cell–like self-renewing qualities and that rapidly acquire effector function remains a challenge (96). Likewise, it is not apparent that immunotherapies will reach the level of potency required to eliminate the cancer stem cells that are responsible for initiating and sustaining tumor growth.

Nevertheless, the effectiveness of tumor-specific T cell adoptive transfer, which has shown promise in treating virus infections after transplantation (97, 98), has been enhanced by certain manipulations. For example, nonmyeloablative lymphodepletion prior to adoptive T cell transfer allows the transferred T cells to undergo homeostasis-driven proliferation in response to increased synthesis and accessibility to the endogenous growth factors (99). This is illustrated by the dramatic regression of some tumors that is seen following the adoptive transfer and clonal expansion of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes recognizing melanoma-associated antigens and administration of IL-2 (100–102). Results from animal models have indicated that antitumor activity can be further enhanced by vaccination (e.g., with DCs) at the time of, or following, adoptive T cell transfer (103). In addition, vaccination might be useful in the pretransplantation setting in order to obtain and expand T cells ex vivo for adoptive T cell therapy after HSC transplantation. As demonstrated by June, adoptive transfer of pathogen-specific T cells that had been expanded ex vivo after in vivo vaccination resulted in the rapid enhancement of immunity specifically for a central memory compartment in humans (104). Booster immunization with these T cells also reduced the transplant-associated immunodeficiency associated with myeloablation. Moreover, the combination of myeloablative treatment and HSC transplantation was shown to be superior to nonmyeloablative treatment prior to adoptive T cell therapy in inducing antitumor responses, by driving T cell expansion and function (105). This was the case even if stem cells were administered in the latter situation, where the antitumor T cell/host cell ratios were ultimately less favorable than in myeloablated animals.

The next decade is likely to see the implementation of several strategies to propel adoptive T cell therapy into the mainstream, including the use of tumor antigen–specific TCR gene transduction of T cells, which may enhance immunity (106).

Synergizing immunotherapy with novel interventions. It is unlikely that vaccines alone will successfully induce the regression of bulky tumors. As alluded to in several articles in this Review series, consideration is being given to combining vaccines (DC or otherwise) and immunotherapies with other approaches. Some of the more promising strategies involve the coadministration of agonistic antibodies specific for the costimulatory molecules that are expressed on activated T cells (107). Antagonistic antibodies specific for the coinhibitory receptors CTLA4 and programmed death 1 (PD1) (108) are also being evaluated. In mouse models, CTLA4-specific antibody, in combination with cancer vaccines (e.g., a GM-CSF–transduced tumor cell vaccine), functions in a cell-intrinsic manner on both effector T cells and Tregs to increase the rejection of poorly immunogenic tumors. The net effect is greater infiltration of tumor-reactive T cells in the tumor and a change in the balance of effector T cells and Tregs (in favor of the effector T cells) at this site (109). CTLA4 blockade might also release DCs from B7-mediated engagement, with either effector T cells or Tregs, which causes the induction of IDO and immune suppression due to tryptophan depletion and the production of proapoptotic factors (110). In humans, CTLA4 blockade increased tumor immunity in a number of previously vaccinated cancer patients (111) and was associated with objective tumor regression in some melanoma patients who received peptide/adjuvant vaccines (112), although it was accompanied by serious side effects in some cases (hypophysitis and enterocolitis). An ongoing phase III clinical trial will resolve whether CTLA4-specific antibodies as a single agent or administered in combination with peptide vaccine are efficacious in treating metastatic melanoma.

T cells become functionally impaired in chronic viral infections and in the tumor setting and express high levels of PD1, an inhibitory molecule induced after T cell activation (113). PD1 interacts with B7-H1 (also known as PD-L1) and B7-DC (also known as PD-L2) (16, 114). It has been appointed a marker of disease progression in HIV infection, as it correlates positively with plasma viral load and inversely with CD4+ T cell numbers (115). Blockade with antibodies specific for B7-H1 augmented HIV-specific CD4+ and CD8+ T cell function in vitro (115–117). Similar analyses of T cells in the tumor setting are being undertaken. B7-H1 has been shown to be expressed by mouse melanoma cells, and their in vivo growth was inhibited by administering B7-H1–specific antibody (114). PD1 blockade is currently being evaluated in humans, and it remains to be seen whether blockade of other functionally related coinhibitory molecules, such as B7-H3, B7-H4 (also known as B7x), B and T lymphocyte attenuator (BTLA), and the recently described
Finally, one should point out the immune consequences of chemotherapy and radiation, which can render tumors immunogenic through cross-presentation; enhance MHC class I expression (120); increase the expression of ligands for NK cells (121); and enhance NK cell activity (122). Along these lines, sensitizing tumor stromal cells by radiation or chemotherapy can lead to eradication of established cancer by adoptively transferred T cells (123). Certain chemotherapeutics (e.g., anthracyclins) can render tumor cells immunogenic through preapoptotic translocation of calreticulin to the cell surface, which facilitates their uptake by DCs and activation of T cells by cross-presentation (124). Developing approaches to manipulate these conventional cancer therapies to prime immunity, especially in combination with other interventions, is an exciting endeavor for the future.
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