Global cancer statistics indicate that lung cancer is responsible for over 1 million deaths each year (1). Approximately 80%–85% of all lung cancers are nonsmall cell lung cancer (NSCLC), which include squamous cell carcinoma, adenocarcinoma, and large-cell carcinoma. Small cell lung cancer (SCLC) represents approximately 15%–20% of cases, and the incidence of SCLC has been decreasing over the last several years in the United States (2). Current treatment options include surgical resection, platinum-based doublet chemotherapy (a combination of two drugs that includes carboplatin or cisplatin as the backbone), and radiation therapy alone or in combination. Unfortunately, despite these therapies, the disease is rarely curable and prognosis is poor, with an overall 5-year survival rate of only 15% (3). This article will review recent advances and future perspectives in the development of novel strategies for the treatment of lung cancer, with an emphasis on how these can be derived from our understanding of the molecular pathogenesis of the disease.

**New strategies for lung cancer management**

Despite advances in cytotoxic chemotherapy and combined modality treatments for lung cancer, the prognosis remains poor, as patients are often diagnosed with metastatic disease and current systemic therapies have limited effectiveness. Major advances in the understanding of the molecular pathogenesis of lung cancer have led to new strategies for early detection, diagnosis, staging, and therapy that hold promise for improving lung cancer outcomes.

**Risk assessment, early detection, and prevention**

Although it is well established that tobacco smoke causes lung cancer, not all smokers develop lung cancer, and genetic factors are thought to play a role in lung cancer susceptibility. Epidemiologic studies have found an approximate 2-fold increased risk attributable to a family history of lung cancer after controlling for tobacco smoke exposure (8). Racial differences in familial risk have also been observed, and first-degree relatives of black individuals with lung cancer are at a 2-fold increased risk of lung cancer compared with their white counterparts (9). A large linkage analysis of 52 pedigrees by the Genetic Epidemiology Lung Cancer Consortium (GELCC) has recently identified a major susceptibility locus for inherited lung cancer on chromosome 6q23–25 (10), and the search for a susceptibility gene in this region is ongoing. Observational studies have also
suggested that there may be racial and sex differences in the smoking-related risk of lung cancer, with black smokers, native Hawaiian smokers, and female smokers having a greater risk than other populations, although findings have not been conclusive (11, 12). While these differences in lung cancer risk have largely been attributed to variations in smoking patterns and carcinogen exposure, molecular epidemiologic studies are underway to further identify hormonal and genetic factors that may explain the observed differences. Results from these studies will potentially identify high-risk groups that will most benefit from targeted preventative or therapeutic strategies.

Lung cancer is difficult to detect and is often diagnosed at a late stage, only when symptoms are clinically evident. Recent studies in spiral CT imaging have shown that early detection is possible and that surgical resection of such lesions is associated with the potential for a high cure rate (13). However, the role of population-based CT screening in actually improving survival remains controversial, and the results of the large (50,000-person) prospective, randomized National Lung Cancer Screening Trial (NLST) are awaited.

The identification of molecular biomarkers for lung cancer in sputum and blood is an area of active research, offering potentially useful tests to complement radiologic imaging and bronchoscopy for screening and early diagnosis. Recent studies have identified numerous genetic and epigenetic alterations in lung tumors (>20 per tumor), including DNA sequence alterations, copy number changes, and aberrant promoter hypermethylation (14). Several of these genetic abnormalities are also found in premalignant and histologically normal bronchial epithelium, suggesting that lung cancers develop from normal cells through a multistep process involving successive genetic and epigenetic changes. For example, greater than 90% of lung cancers have a deletion at chromosome region 3p21.3, which includes the RASSF1A, FUS1, SEMA3B, and SEMA3F tumor suppressor genes (15). Inactivation by promoter hypermethylation has been reported in more than 40 tumor suppressor genes in lung cancer, including p16INK4a, MGMT, DAPK, RASSF1A, FHIT, and TIMP3 (16). EGFR mutations are also found in histologically normal epithelium of patients whose tumors contain the same mutation, indicating that the mutations occur early in lung cancer pathogenesis (17). Studies have shown that common molecular alterations found in lung tumors are also detectable in sputum prior to lung cancer diagnosis, including 3p allele loss (15) and hypermethylation of p16INK4a and MGMT (18, 19). A recent report found that the presence of deletions in both FHIT and HYAL2 tumor suppressor genes in sputum from patients with stage I NSCLC was able to diagnose lung cancer with greater sensitivity than sputum cytology (20).
Emerging genomic and proteomic technologies have also led to the discovery of biomarkers detectable in blood that have potential clinical applications (see “Individualizing prognosis and therapy”). For example, alterations of DNA sequences, such as microsatellite instability (MSI) or loss of heterozygosity (LOH), have been identified in blood samples from patients with early-stage NSCLC but not in normal controls (21). Prospective studies are clearly warranted to evaluate the clinical utility of molecular biomarkers in sputum and blood for screening and early diagnosis. Furthermore, the integration of screening tests into clinical practice will identify patients at high risk for developing lung cancer (based on abnormal findings by CT imaging, bronchoscopy, and/or biomarker profiling), and rational strategies for targeted prevention and monitoring of these individuals will need to be developed and implemented.

### Diagnosis and staging

The traditional diagnosis and staging of lung cancer includes clinical and radiographic assessment, and biopsy for tissue diagnosis. Histologic subtypes are distinguished based on cellular morphology visualized under light microscopy, and histopathological diagnosis is particularly important, as treatment for SCLC differs significantly from that for NSCLC. However, morphological clas-
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**Table 1**

Selected targeted agents in clinical development for lung cancer treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Trade name</th>
<th>Stage of development in lung cancer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EGFR pathway inhibitors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>Gefitinib</td>
<td>Iressa</td>
<td>Approved for advanced NSCLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>Erlotinib</td>
<td>Tarceva</td>
<td>Approved for advanced NSCLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>Cetuximab</td>
<td>Erbitux</td>
<td>Phase II/III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>Matuzumab</td>
<td></td>
<td>Phase I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>Panitumumab</td>
<td>Vectibiz</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFR, HER2</td>
<td>Lapatinib</td>
<td>Tykerb</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFR, HER2, ERB4</td>
<td>HKI-272</td>
<td></td>
<td>Phase II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFR, HER2, ERB4</td>
<td>CI-1033</td>
<td></td>
<td>Phase II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF/VEGFR pathway inhibitors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF-A</td>
<td>Bevacizumab</td>
<td>Avastin</td>
<td>Approved for advanced NSCLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF-2, EGFR</td>
<td>ZD6474; Vandetanib</td>
<td>Zactima</td>
<td>Phase II/III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF-1-3</td>
<td>AZD2171</td>
<td>Recenitin</td>
<td>Phase II/III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF-1-3, PDGFR, c-KIT, FLT-3</td>
<td>SU11248; Sunitinib</td>
<td>Sutent</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF-1-3, PDGFR-1, c-KIT, c-fms</td>
<td>PTK787; Vatalanib</td>
<td></td>
<td>Phase II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF-1-3, PDGFR, c-KIT</td>
<td>AG-013736; Aktinib</td>
<td>Champix</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF-1-3, PDGFR, c-KIT</td>
<td>AMG 706</td>
<td></td>
<td>Phase I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ras/Raf/MEK pathway inhibitors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ras</td>
<td>Tipifarnib (FTI)</td>
<td>Zarnestra</td>
<td>Phase III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ras</td>
<td>Lonafarnib (FTI)</td>
<td>Sarasar</td>
<td>Phase III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raf-1, VEGFR-2 and -3, PDGFR, c-KIT</td>
<td>BAY 43-9006; Sorafenib</td>
<td>Nexavar</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEK</td>
<td>CI-1040</td>
<td></td>
<td>Phase II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEK</td>
<td>PD-0325901</td>
<td></td>
<td>Phase I/I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEK</td>
<td>AZD6244</td>
<td></td>
<td>Phase I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI3K/Akt/PTEN pathway inhibitors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI3K</td>
<td>LY294002</td>
<td></td>
<td>Phase I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mTOR</td>
<td>Rapamycin; Sirolimus</td>
<td>Rapamune</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mTOR</td>
<td>CCI-779; Temsirolimus</td>
<td></td>
<td>Phase I/I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mTOR</td>
<td>RAD001; Everolimus</td>
<td></td>
<td>Phase I/I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mTOR</td>
<td>AP23573</td>
<td></td>
<td>Phase I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor suppressor gene therapies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p53</td>
<td>p53 retrovirus</td>
<td></td>
<td>Phase I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p53</td>
<td>p53 adenovirus (Ad5CMV-p53)</td>
<td>Advecin</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUS1</td>
<td>FUS1 nanoparticle</td>
<td></td>
<td>Phase I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteasome inhibitors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteasomes</td>
<td>Bortezomib</td>
<td>Velcade</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDAC inhibitors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDAC</td>
<td>SAHA; Vorinostat</td>
<td>Zolinza</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDAC</td>
<td>Depsipeptide</td>
<td></td>
<td>Phase I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telomerase inhibitors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telomerase</td>
<td>GRN163L</td>
<td></td>
<td>Phase I</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Limited to patients who have previously taken gefitinib and who are benefiting or have benefited from treatment. FTI, farnesyl transferase inhibitor; SAHA, suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid.*
sification can be difficult because of heterogeneity of lung tumors. Gene expression profiling of lung tumors has identified distinct molecular subtypes that correlate with clinical outcome and may enhance our ability to predict relapse and survival and thus facilitate treatment decisions (22–24). For example, expression profiling studies have identified two subclasses of adenocarcinomas that correlate with survival (22, 24).

Molecularly targeted therapies

Studies in the molecular and cellular biology of lung cancer have gradually revealed the “circuit diagram” of pathways and molecules driving cells to full-fledged lung cancer. These studies include identification of genetic and epigenetic changes of specific molecules resulting in the activation of signaling pathways important in carcinogenesis. Some of these alterations involve known oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes. In searching for targeted therapies, special attention is paid to identifying single or multiple genes that the lung cancer cells absolutely require for their malignant phenotype and survival. These are often thought of as “oncogene addictions” (25). Several novel drugs specifically targeting these key components have been developed (Figure 1 and Table 1), and clinical trials thus far have yielded encouraging results.

EGFR pathway inhibitors

The EGFR pathway is frequently dysregulated in human cancers, making it an attractive target for anticancer therapy. The EGFR family of receptors consists of transmembrane tyrosine kinase (TK) receptors and includes EGFR (also known as HER1 or ERBB1), HER2 (EGFR2 or ERBB2/NEU), HER3 (EGFR3 or ERBB3), and HER4 (EGFR4 and ERBB4) (26). EGFR (erbB1) and its ligands are frequently overexpressed in NSCLC tumors (∼70%) but rarely expressed in SCLCs (27). Binding of ligand to the EGFR causes dimerization of the receptor, which in turn activates the intracellular TK domain of the receptor, leading to its autophosphorylation and further activating a cascade of intracellular events leading to cell proliferation, inhibition of apoptosis, angiogenesis, and invasion, all resulting in tumor growth and spread. The various EGFR family members can heterodimerize with each other so that all of the ERB receptors expressed in a tumor cell must be known in order to understand their importance as therapeutic targets. Agents targeting EGFR include the TK inhibitors (TKIs), such as gefitinib (ZD1839, Iressa) and erlotinib (OSI-774; Tarceva), and the monoclonal antibodies cetuximab (Erbitux) and panitumumab (ABX-EGF; Vectibix).

Recently, tumor-acquired mutations in the TK domain of EGFR have been described; they are found in approximately 5%–10% of NSCLCs in the United States and are often associated with gene amplification (28, 29). Several clinicopathologic features have been found to correlate with the frequency of EGFR mutations and EGFR gene amplification, including adenocarcinoma histology, never smoking history, female sex, and East Asian ethnicity (30). Patients with all of these features have greater than 50% probability of having an EGFR TK domain mutation (30). Importantly, the presence of EGFR TK domain mutations in tumors correlates with response to EGFR TKI therapy with drugs such as gefitinib and erlotinib (28, 29). Of note, a subset of NSCLC patients with mutant EGFR do not respond to TKIs, and a “second” TK domain mutation (T790M), which is associated with acquired drug resistance, has been described (31, 32). Several patients with tumors having the T790M mutation but who have never received EGFR TKI therapy have been described, suggesting that the T790M mutation has oncogenic potential.

Drugs that inhibit EGFR with the T790M mutation have been developed and are being tested in clinical trials (33).

While patients with EGFR mutations are most likely to have a dramatic response to EGFR TKI therapy, EGFR amplification and protein overexpression have been found to correlate with survival after EGFR TKI therapy, as does Akt activation (34, 35). Although the hypothesis is controversial, some studies indicate that EGFR amplification and/or protein expression are better predictors of survival after EGFR TKI therapy than are mutations (35, 36).

The EGFR TKIs gefitinib and erlotinib have been extensively studied either alone or in combination with cytotoxic chemotherapy in NSCLC. Two large randomized studies with these agents as monotherapy in previously treated advanced-stage NSCLC patients have been conducted. The results showed that compared with best supportive care, erlotinib prolonged survival by 2 months (BR.21 trial), while gefitinib failed to demonstrate a survival benefit (ISEL trial) (37, 38). The reasons for the different outcomes are unclear, although differences in drug dosing and clinical features of patients between the two trials may have contributed. Specifically, in the BR.21 trial, erlotinib was given at its maximum tolerated dose (MTD), while in the ISEL study, gefitinib was given at a dose below the MTD (250 mg/d as compared with MTD 600 mg/d). Thus, at higher doses, erlotinib may hit TK targets other than EGFR. Indeed, an important advantage of specific oncogene–driven mouse models of lung cancer is that the reversal of the target genetic changes is well defined. Examples of this are seen in reversing oncogenic KRAS or EGFR in mouse models of lung cancer leading to “cure” of these mouse tumors (39–41). In addition, the ISEL trial only included patients with progressive disease within 90 days of stopping previous chemotherapy, while the BR.21 trial did not have similar criteria. A greater proportion of patients had progressive disease in the ISEL trial as well (45% versus 28%).

Gefitinib and erlotinib have both been tested in randomized studies in combination with cytotoxic chemotherapy as first-line treatment for metastatic NSCLC. These studies demonstrated no overall survival benefit of adding either drug to chemotherapy, although a retrospective subset analysis suggested that patients who have never smoked may derive benefit with the combination (42, 43). While tumor EGFR mutation and/or amplification status are highly associated with beneficial responses to EGFR TKI therapy, all of the trials have shown that some patients without these features can also benefit. Thus, there are efforts to identify new tumor molecular profiles, interindividual germline differences (tested on a genome-wide scale with single nucleotide polymorphism analyses), or serum proteomic or genomic biomarkers that will help predict which patients would benefit from single-agent or combined therapy. Randomized clinical trials evaluating the role of first-line EGFR TKI therapy in patient populations determined to be potentially responsive according to predictive clinical and/or molecular features are ongoing.

Cetuximab, a humanized monoclonal antibody to the extracellular domain of EGFR, has also been studied in NSCLC. When administered to patients with previously treated NSCLC, its activity was similar to those of other second-line cytotoxics (44). Preliminary results of a randomized phase II study of cetuximab in combination with chemotherapy versus chemotherapy alone in the first-line treatment of patients with EGFR-expressing advanced NSCLC suggested a higher response rate with the addition of cetuximab (45), and a confirmatory phase III study is ongoing (46). Cetuximab is also being studied in combination with chemoradiation for stage III NSCLC (47) and with chemotherapy in the neoadjuvant setting in patients with resectable stage IB–IIIA NSCLC (48).
Other agents targeting the EGFR pathway in clinical trials include panitumumab (targeting EGFR), lapatinib (targeting EGFR and HER2), and HK-272 (targeting EGFR and HER2) (Table 1).

**Angiogenesis inhibitors**

For tumors to be able to grow beyond 2 mm³, angiogenesis is essential in order to supply adequate oxygenation and nutrition to tissues (49). VEGF is the most important growth factor controlling angiogenesis in normal and tumor cells (50). The VEGF family consists of six growth factors (VEGF-A, -B, -C, -D, and -E and placental growth factor [PIGF]) and three receptors (VEGFR-1 [also known as Flt-1], VEGFR-2 [KDR/Flk-1], and VEGFR-3 [Flt-4]). The VEGF/VEGFR pathway is frequently upregulated in lung cancer (51), and VEGF overexpression is associated with tumor progression and poor prognosis (52). Several agents aimed at targeting the VEGF/VEGFR signaling network are currently under investigation. The monoclonal antibodies against VEGF and the VEGFR TKIs are among the best studied.

Bevacizumab (Avastin) is a monoclonal antibody that binds to all isoforms of VEGF-A and has been tested in clinical trials. A recent randomized study demonstrated that the addition of bevacizumab to carboplatin and paclitaxel for the first-line treatment of patients randomized study demonstrated that the addition of bevacizumab to carboplatin and paclitaxel for the first-line treatment of patients with advanced nonsquamous NSCLC provides a significant survival benefit (53), and consequently, bevacizumab has been recently approved for use in NSCLC. Pulmonary hemorrhage associated with bevacizumab treatment has been reported as an occasional but serious life-threatening side effect, highlighting the importance of patient selection and monitoring for this therapy (54). Patients with squamous histology are particularly at risk of bleeding and have been excluded from recent trials. Clinical trials to further assess the role of bevacizumab in combination with other therapies in lung cancer are underway. These include randomized studies of bevacizumab given with chemotherapy in the adjuvant (postoperative) or neoadjuvant (preoperative) settings for stage IB-IIIA NSCLC and a phase II study with chemotherapy in extensive-stage SCLC. A phase III trial of erlotinib with or without bevacizumab in NSCLC has been initiated given encouraging results from a phase I/II study (55).

VEGFR TKIs are small molecules that bind to the ATP pocket of the TK residues of the intracellular domain of VEGFR, thus inhibiting downstream pathways. These compounds frequently target other receptor TKs, such as EGFR and c-KIT (Table 1). ZD6474 (Zactima) is an oral, dual kinase inhibitor that targets VEGFR-2 and, to a lesser extent, EGFR. The combination of ZD6474 with docetaxel as second-line therapy for patients with advanced NSCLC improved progression-free survival as compared with docetaxel alone in a randomized phase II clinical trial (56), and a confirmatory phase III study has been initiated. AZD2171 is a highly potent oral inhibitor of VEGFR-1, -2, and -3, and a phase I trial of AZD2171 with carboplatin and paclitaxel in advanced NSCLC has demonstrated that this combination is well tolerated, with encouraging antitumor activity (57); a phase II/III trial of carboplatin and paclitaxel with AZD2171 or placebo is ongoing. Several other VEGFR TKIs have showed acceptable safety profiles in phase I trials, and results from phase II trials in lung cancer are awaited.

**RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK pathway inhibitors**

The RAS family of proto-oncogenes (HRAS, KRAS, and NRAS) are plasma membrane-associated G proteins that regulate key signaling pathways involved in normal cell differentiation, proliferation, and survival (58). The RAS pathway is often aberrantly activated in lung cancer due to RAS mutations, mutation of v-raf murine sarcoma viral oncogene homolog B1 (BRAF), or overexpression of growth factor receptors. Activating RAS mutations are found in 10%–15% of all NSCLCs (15%–35% of adenocarcinomas) but rarely in SCLCs (59). Although the distinct functions of HRAS, KRAS, and NRAS have yet to be established, mutations in KRAS account for approximately 90% of RAS mutations in lung cancer. KRAS mutations are found more frequently in lung cancers arising in smokers and are associated with poor survival (60). In addition, EGFR and KRAS mutations appear to be mutually exclusive in lung cancers (61), and KRAS mutations are associated with primary resistance to EGFR TKI therapy (62). A number of agents targeting different components of the RAS pathway have been developed and are under clinical investigation (58). Of these, farnesyl transferase inhibitors (FTIs) are the most studied, and two orally bioavailable FTIs (tipifarnib and lonafarnib) are being tested in combination with cytotoxic therapy in clinical trials in lung cancer (63).

BRAF is an important downstream effector of the RAS pathway and represents a rational therapeutic target. Sorafenib is an oral, dual-action, multitarget inhibitor with antiproliferative and antiangiogenic activity that is a potent inhibitor of RAF kinase and several other receptor TKs including VEGFR-2, VEGFR-3, PDGFR-β, Flt-3, and c-KIT (64). Early clinical studies of single-agent sorafenib have demonstrated that the drug is well tolerated and is particularly active as a cytostatic agent with prolonged disease stabilization. A phase II randomized discontinuation trial of sorafenib in previously treated NSCLC patients is ongoing.

Inhibitors of MEK, which target further downstream along the RAS/RAF pathway, have recently been developed (CI-1040, PD-0325901, and AZD6244). Preclinical and early clinical studies with these agents have shown promising antitumor activity in the treatment of NSCLC, with prolonged disease stabilization reported in patients with NSCLC in phase I trials (65). Phase II studies with MEK inhibitors are underway in NSCLC.

**PI3K/Akt/PTEN pathway inhibitors**

PI3Ks regulate several cellular processes, including cell proliferation, growth, apoptosis, and cytoskeletal rearrangement (66). The PI3K signaling pathway is frequently activated in human cancers through a sequence of events involving activation of upstream receptor TKs (including EGFR and PDGFR) and/or mutations in PIK3CA, which encodes the catalytic subunit of PI3K (66). Akt is a downstream effector of PI3Ks and is constitutively activated in NSCLCs (67). While PIK3CA mutations occur in only approximately 4% of NSCLC tumors (68, 69), expression of PTEN protein, which inhibits the PI3K/Akt pathway, is frequently reduced or lost in lung cancers, representing an alternate mechanism of activating this pathway.

Preclinical studies of LY294002, a PI3K inhibitor, have indicated that the agent enhances sensitivity of NSCLC cells to chemotherapy and radiation, and phase I trials of this agent are underway (67). Several inhibitors of the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR), a downstream target of PI3K signaling, have also been developed. These include rapamycin and its analogs temsirolimus (CCI-779), everolimus (RAD001), and AP23573. These agents have shown promising antitumor activity in early clinical trials.

**Tumor suppressor gene therapy**

The p53 tumor suppressor is a key cellular gatekeeper that is activated by multiple stress signals such as DNA damage, oncogenes, and hypoxia, leading to the expression of downstream genes involved in
cell-cycle arrest, allowing DNA repair or initiation of apoptosis. p53 is frequently inactivated by mutation in lung cancer (50% of NSCLCs and 90% of SCLCs) (70, 71). Restoration of p53 function in lung cancer cells with mutant or deleted p53 leads to apoptosis of tumor cells (72), and these findings have led to the development of pharmacological methods of reactivating p53, such as by gene replacement therapy. Clinical trials of p53 gene therapy with a retroviral p53 expression vector in patients with NSCLC have demonstrated that gene therapy is safe and feasible, with some evidence of antitumor activity (73). A p53 adenoviral vector (INGN 201; AdSCMV-p53, Advexin) has also been studied in patients with lung cancer, and preliminary results suggest that it is well tolerated and effective (74). FUS1 is a novel tumor suppressor gene located on chromosome 3p21.3, a region that is commonly deleted in lung cancer. Frequent loss of FUS1 protein expression or deficiency of posttranslational modification of the protein has been reported in the majority of NSCLCs and SCLCs, and exogenous overexpression of FUS1 in 3p21.3-deficient lung cancer cells results in the inhibition of tumor cell proliferation and apoptosis (75, 76). FUS1 gene therapy using a nanoparticle delivery system has been designed, and early results from a phase I study in lung cancer patients indicate that FUS1 nanoparticles can be safely administered (77). Further trials are awaited to determine the clinical benefit of these gene therapies in lung cancer.

Inhibitors of DNA methylation and histone deacetylation
Hypermethylation of promoter regions of tumor suppressor genes represents an epigenetic mechanism of gene silencing that plays an important role in the initiation and progression of human cancer (78) and thus represents an attractive target for therapy. An inhibitor of DNA methylation, 5-azacitidine (Vidaza), has been developed and prolongs survival in patients with myelodysplastic syndrome (79), although its efficacy in lung cancer is unknown. Histone deacetylases (HDACs) reversibly modify histones and repress transcription of genes involved in cell proliferation by restricting transcription factor access to DNA. HDAC inhibitors can reverse gene silencing and exert an antiproliferative effect by upregulating tumor suppressor gene expression. Several HDAC inhibitors, including suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid (SAHA), valproic acid, and depsipeptide, are either in or being considered for clinical trials in lung cancer.

Enhancing apoptosis
The ability to evade apoptosis is an important feature of cancer cells (80). Bcl-2 is a key anti-apoptotic protein that is overexpressed in 10%–35% of NSCLCs and 75%–95% of SCLCs (59) and preclinical studies have shown that oblimersen sodium (Genasense), an antisense oligonucleotide targeting Bcl-2, confers resistance to cytotoxic chemotherapy, radiotherapy, and monoclonal antibodies (81). Randomized phase II trials of oblimersen in combination with chemotherapy are underway in SCLC and NSCLC (81). A potent small molecule inhibitor of the antiapoptotic proteins Bcl-2, Bcl-XL, and Bcl-w has also been developed (ABT-737) and demonstrates single-agent preclinical activity against both NSCLC and SCLC (82).

Proteasome inhibitors
The ubiquitin-proteasome system plays a central role in protein homeostasis by regulating the degradation of proteins involved in the cell cycle, DNA transcription and repair, apoptosis, angiogenesis, and cell growth. Bortezomib (Velcade), a proteasome inhibitor, has demonstrated cytotoxic activity as a single agent or in combination with chemotherapy in preclinical lung cancer cell line studies (83). A recent trial of bortezomib given with first-line chemotherapy for advanced NSCLC reported encouraging survival results for patients treated with the combination (84). In addition, a randomized phase II study of bortezomib alone versus bortezomib plus docetaxel demonstrated modest activity of both therapies that was comparable to that of other second-line treatments for NSCLC (85). Further studies in lung cancer with bortezomib in combination with chemotherapy are anticipated.

Individualizing prognosis and therapy
The selection of appropriate treatment for individual patients remains a challenge in clinical oncology, particularly in the advanced disease setting, given the limited benefit and significant toxicities of currently available therapies. An exciting area of investigation is the use of predictive and prognostic molecular markers from each patient’s tumor, blood, or germline DNA to refine clinical decision making. Significant technological advances in genomics and proteomics have provided insights into the molecular basis of lung cancer, and studies have been both small scale, involving analyses of single or limited numbers of biomarkers, and large scale, involving analyses of expression profiles of thousands of genes or proteins in lung tumors. In a large study of early-stage NSCLC patients treated with adjuvant chemotherapy, absence of protein expression of a marker for the repair of cisplatin-induced DNA adducts (excision-repair cross-complementation group 1 [ERCC1]) was found to correlate with benefit from cisplatin-based chemotherapy (86). The potential clinical application of tumor ERCC1 status has recently been evaluated in a phase III study randomizing advanced NSCLC patients to customized or noncustomized therapy according to ERCC1 mRNA levels (87). With this approach, patients in the noncustomized (control) arm received cisplatin plus docetaxel, while patients in the customized (genotypic) arm with low ERCC1 mRNA levels received cisplatin plus docetaxel and those with high ERCC1 mRNA levels received gemcitabine plus docetaxel. As hypothesized, patients in the customized therapy arm had a significantly higher response rate to chemotherapy as compared with those in the control arm. The results of this study provide support for further prospective studies of predictive molecular markers for individualized therapy in lung cancer.

Genome- and proteome-wide approaches to identifying unique gene or protein expression signatures in human cancers have been developed, and possible applications of these technologies include: (a) finding markers for early detection; (b) classifying subtypes of cancers; (c) predicting prognosis; (d) predicting response to treatment; and (e) identifying potential targets for cancer therapeutics.

The large datasets (with >20,000 human genes) from gene expression analyses of lung tumors have showed that microarray profiling is useful for classifying tumors and allows division of known histologic types, such as adenocarcinomas or squamous cell carcinomas, into distinct subgroups with significantly different survival rates (88–90). Clinical trials have demonstrated a survival benefit of adjuvant chemotherapy for patients with early-stage NSCLC. However, the current lung cancer staging system has limited power to predict prognosis, and better methods of identifying subgroups of patients with poor clinical outcome are needed. To accurately predict the prognosis for patients with early-stage NSCLC, a recent study showed that by using genome-wide mRNA
expression profiling, gene expression signatures, when integrated with clinical data, were able to predict recurrence better than clinical prognostic factors alone (91). Another article reported a five-gene signature that was predictive for relapse-free and overall survival among patients with NSCLC (92). A major question is, Which of the many prognostic expression signatures should we use? A metaanalysis of all microarray studies associating gene expression and clinical outcome in NSCLC confirmed that gene signatures can predict survival for stage I NSCLC patients (93). In this study, the authors took microarray data from seven independent studies that had been correlated with survival time of stage I NSCLC patients. The data from five of the studies were pooled after statistical adjustments to account for differences in the arrays being performed at different times and sites, and then 64 genes were identified whose expression was associated with survival. This 64-gene signature predicted survival in two other data sets with 85% accuracy, as well as predicting survival better than stage IA and IB classification in all of the patients (93). In addition, some of these genes had predicted survival in earlier reports in lung cancer and in other diseases. Continued integration of array datasets, coupled with testing on additional patient datasets, will be needed to arrive at an approach that can be employed in general clinical practice. While it is tempting to compare these different approaches and signatures, this must be done with great caution. In fact, the integration and development of such clinically validated tumor prognostic signatures (or of signatures directing treatment) is complex and will require careful attention to biostatistical methods used, adequate sample size, development of prediction rules using a priori selected classification methods, validation in totally independent data sets, as well as proof that the signatures significantly add to available (e.g., clinical) prognostic information (94).

Several studies have also identified sets of genes in lung cancer whose expression levels are associated with response to antitumor drugs (95). In addition, gene expression signatures involving oncogenic pathways have been identified and were found to significantly correlate with the prognosis of patients and sensitivity to chemotherapeutic drugs in multiple types of cancers, including NSCLC (96). These results are encouraging; however, the multiple drug response gene sets will need to be incorporated into common signatures for individual therapies and further tested in prospective clinical trials. Of course, all of the same biostatistical concerns exist for predicting response to drugs as for predicting patient prognosis.

Although proteome-wide analyses are relatively recent and still under technical development as compared with microarray profiling, the results obtained thus far indicate that this is another potential approach for more accurate cancer staging and prediction of response to treatment and survival. Indeed, proteomic patterns distinguished different lung cancer histologic subtypes (primary lung tumors from lung metastases), classified nodal involvement, and identified groups of NSCLC patients with significantly different clinical outcomes (97). Finally, the identification of specific serum protein peptides by mass spectrometry appears to have great promise for both the early detection of lung cancer and predicting response to targeted therapy (98).

Cancer stem cells: a shift in paradigm
Recent evidence has emerged suggesting that most malignancies, if not all, may arise from a rare subpopulation of stem cell–like tumor cells, i.e., “cancer stem cells,” prompting a paradigm shift in the models of carcinogenesis with important clinical implications (99). The cancer stem cell hypothesis posits that, like normal stem cells, cancer stem cells have three distinctive properties: the ability to undergo self-renewal (i.e., to divide and give rise to another malignant stem cell), to develop into any cell in the phenotypically diverse tumor cell population, and to proliferate extensively and drive continued expansion of malignant cells (100). Current cytotoxic treatments target highly proliferating cells that, according to the cancer stem cell model, represent the majority of the tumor bulk but are incapable of self-renewal. However, the small subpopulation of cancer stem cells may be inherently resistant to the cytotoxic effects of chemotherapy and radiotherapy due to their low proliferation rate and drug transporter expression. Thus, the development of therapies specifically targeting cancer stem cells represents a strategy to completely eradicate tumors and potentially lead to cure, even in advanced-stage disease. In addition, the identification of cancer stem cell markers may be useful for early detection and provide valuable predictive and prognostic information. In support of this, an 11-gene stem cell signature in human lung cancers that was a predictor of response to therapy and outcome has been reported (101). A recent study also demonstrated that the presence of a 186-gene “invasiveness” gene signature obtained from gene expression profiling of stem cells in breast cancer was associated with a poor prognosis and increased likelihood of metastases in several tumor types, including lung cancer (102).

The first evidence for the existence of cancer stem cells in human cancers came from pioneering work in acute myelogenous leukemia (AML), where it was demonstrated that only a small subpopulation of leukemic cells sharing a similar surface marker profile with normal human primitive hematopoietic progenitors was able to regenerate human AML after transplantation in immunodeficient mice (103, 104). Similar results have subsequently been reported in studies of solid tumors, including brain, breast, prostate, and colon cancers, where a fraction of cancer cells with tumor-initiating and tumor heterogeneity–recapitulating activities have been successfully isolated (105–108).

The adult lung is a complex organ whose cells normally turn over very slowly. The epithelial cells lining the airways are frequently exposed to potential toxic agents and pathogens, and they must be able to respond quickly and efficiently to cellular damage. Experimental models have identified several stem cell compartments in the normal lung with proliferative and differentiation potential (109, 110). The regenerative potential of lung stem cells has been further investigated in a mouse model of lung carcinogenesis to address the relationship between lung stem cells and tumorogenesis (111). Lung stem cells displayed an expansion upon expression of the activated KRAS oncogene, and this finding was not reproduced with other lung cell types. Induced tumorigenesis in naphthalene-treated mice conditionally expressing KRAS resulted in increased lung tumor multiplicity and size. Furthermore, the developed tumors expressed the same markers of the lung stem cell subpopulation, thus providing a strong link between stem cells and carcinogenesis in the lung. Several groups are actively characterizing and isolating stem cells in lung cancer (112), and attempts to develop selective therapies targeting cancer stem cells are ongoing (Figure 2).

Targeting self-renewal pathways
Stem cell self-renewal is a tightly regulated process, and there is growing evidence suggesting that developmental pathways, such as Wnt, Notch, and hedgehog, play a crucial role in the fate determination of
normal stem cells (113–116). It has been postulated that deregulation and reactivation of these signaling pathways cause defects in the normal control of symmetric and asymmetric division and lead to stem cell expansion and malignant transformation, representing an early event in carcinogenesis (117). Accordingly, alterations in Wnt, Notch, and hedgehog pathways have been reported in several tumor types, including lung cancer (118–121). Wnt, Notch, and hedgehog are essential for embryonic cell fate determination and differentiation and play an important role in pulmonary organogenesis. Hedgehog signaling is required for lung branching morphogenesis and epithelial-mesenchymal interactions (122, 123) and controls differentiation of neuroendocrine precursor cells during lung embryogenesis and acute injury repair. Recent preclinical studies indicate that pharmacologically targeting these pathways is feasible, representing potential therapeutic targets. For example, the hedgehog pathway is reactivated in SCLC, and treatment of SCLC cells with the plant-derived inhibitor cyclopamine targeted against smothinged (Smo), a mediator of the hedgehog pathway, reduced tumor growth.
in vitro and in mouse xenografts (124). An inhibitor of γ-secretase, an enzyme required for Notch signaling, has also been shown to have preclinical activity against Notch–1–overexpressing cancers (125).

**Telomerase inhibitors**

There is growing evidence that the enzyme telomerase is upregulated in cancer stem cells and telomerase inhibitors can potentially target both cancer stem cells and more mature cancer cells (126). Telomeres are repetitive sequences localized at the end of mammalian chromosomes that protect from degradation and loss of essential genes (126). With each cell division, telomeres progressively shorten, which limits the life span of somatic cells. Telomere shortening and subsequent cell death can be overcome by telomerase, which stabilizes telomere length by adding DNA sequence repeats onto the telomeric ends of chromosomes. Human telomerase contains two essential components, a telomerase reverse transcriptase (hTERT) catalytic subunit and a functional telomerase RNA (hTR, also known as TERC) component. Activation of telomerase is thought to play a role in the immortalization of cells as an early step in tumorigenesis. Telomerase is almost universally expressed in human tumors, whereas telomerase activity is reduced or absent in normal tissue. Detectable telomerase levels are found in approximately 80% of NSCLCs and 100% of SCLCs (127). Thus, telomerase represents an attractive therapeutic target for lung cancer, and several agents targeting telomerase have been developed. GRN163L is a novel telomerase antagonist that targets the RNA template region of hTR. Preclinical studies have demonstrated that GRN163L inhibits anchorage-independent growth and in vivo xenograft tumor growth of lung cancer cells (128), and phase I studies with this agent are ongoing. Several other treatments targeting telomerase are currently in development, and these include immunotherapy (vaccines), gene therapy (telomerase oncolytic virus therapy), and reverse transcriptase inhibitors (126).

**Other cancer stem cell–targeted approaches**

In addition to targeting key self-renewal and survival pathways, recent evidence in glioblastomas indicates that the cancer stem cell population is resistant to radiation therapy, as such cells are more efficient at inducing repair of damaged DNA as compared with the bulk of the tumor cells (129). A strategy to circumvent cancer stem cell resistance to cytotoxic therapy would be to pharmacologically inhibit checkpoint kinases that control the cell cycle to allow DNA repair (e.g., Chk1, Chk2) (129). Other studies have demonstrated the possibility of inducing stem cell differentiation with soluble factors such as bone morphogenetic proteins as a potential therapeutic approach (130). Last, if there are antigens selectively expressed on cancer stem cells (such as cancer-testis antigens), these could also be targets of immunotherapy-based therapies.

**Future directions**

Intense research efforts in translational oncology over the last decade have provided significant insights into the molecular basis of carcinogenesis and biologic behavior of human cancers. Based on this knowledge, new strategies for screening, early detection, prevention, staging, and therapy have been developed and show promise for improving the management of lung cancer. However, the clinical application of these novel approaches awaits further research in several important areas. First, a priority will be to develop methods for early detection of lung cancers, and this will likely involve the combination of spiral CT imaging with genetic epidemiology, serum proteomics, and sputum or blood biomarkers. Second, given the heterogeneous clinical behavior of lung cancers, more individualized treatment strategies will need to be developed. The recent discovery of the correlation of EGFR mutations and response to EGFR TKI therapy has demonstrated that molecular typing of tumors to guide therapy selection for lung cancer is possible. Prospective trials will need to validate the feasibility and efficacy of selecting therapy based on tumor molecular profiles. Third, numerous molecularly targeted agents are in clinical development, and future studies will need to identify molecular and clinical tools to guide the use of these agents, particularly in combination with current therapies such as surgery, radiation, cytotoxic chemotherapy, and other targeted therapies. For example, these may include biomarkers that indicate whether drugs have reached their target(s) and resulted in the expected changes by identifying molecular alterations in tumor samples or detectable in circulating cells in the blood. Molecular imaging techniques also represent noninvasive methods of assessing tumor response to therapies based on the targeted molecular pathway(s) that may facilitate clinical management. Last, a major focus will be the development of therapies specifically targeting cancer stem cells, and future discoveries in this field will have profound implications for the potential cure of this challenging disease.
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