Osteoporosis is a disorder in which loss of bone strength leads to fragility fractures. This review examines the fundamental pathogenetic mechanisms underlying this disorder, which include: (a) failure to achieve a skeleton of optimal strength during growth and development; (b) excessive bone resorption resulting in loss of bone mass and disruption of architecture; and (c) failure to replace lost bone due to defects in bone formation. Estrogen deficiency is known to play a critical role in the development of osteoporosis, while calcium and vitamin D deficiencies and secondary hyperparathyroidism also contribute. There are multiple mechanisms underlying the regulation of bone remodeling, and these involve not only the osteoblastic and osteoclastic cell lineages but also other marrow cells, in addition to the interaction of systemic hormones, local cytokines, growth factors, and transcription factors. Polymorphisms of a large number of genes have been associated with differences in bone mass and fragility. It is now possible to diagnose osteoporosis, assess fracture risk, and reduce that risk with antiresorptive or other available therapies. However, new and more effective approaches are likely to emerge from a better understanding of the regulators of bone cell function.

Osteoporosis, characterized by the loss of bone mass and strength that leads to fragility fractures, has probably existed throughout human history but only recently became a major clinical problem as human lifespan increased. In the early 19th century, Sir Astley Cooper, a distinguished English surgeon, noted “the lightness and softness that (bones) acquire in the more advanced stages of life” and that “this state of bone … favors much the production of fractures” (1). The term osteoporosis was coined by Johann Lobstein at about the same time, but the disorder he described was probably osteogenesis imperfecta (2). In 1940, the American physician and endocrinologist Fuller Albright described postmenopausal osteoporosis and proposed that it was the consequence of impaired bone formation due to estrogen deficiency (3). Subsequently, the concept that there are 2 forms of osteoporosis, one related to estrogen deficiency at the menopause and the other to calcium deficiency and aging of the skeleton, was proposed (4). This has been replaced by the current concept that osteoporosis represents a continuum, in which multiple pathogenetic mechanisms converge to cause loss of bone mass and microarchitectural deterioration of skeletal structure. These factors, coupled with an increased risk of falls, contribute to a high incidence of fragility fractures in osteoporotic patients.

There is a rapidly expanding amount of information, based on laboratory studies, that indicates that osteoporosis is likely to be caused by complex interactions among local and systemic regulators of bone cell function. The heterogeneity of osteoporosis may be due not only to differences in the production of systemic and local regulators, but also to changes in receptors, signal transduction mechanisms, nuclear transcription factors, and enzymes that produce or inactivate local regulators. Within the last decade, the identification of many of the regulatory mechanisms that have been linked to osteoporosis has been the result of genetic studies. Since the first human osteoporosis study indicated an association among bone mass, fragility, and polymorphisms in the vitamin D receptor (VDR) gene, more than 30 candidate genes have been reported that might influence skeletal mass and fragility (5, 6). However, these studies have presented conflicting data, due in part to small sample size and differences in the genetic background of control and disease subjects. Since osteoporosis is a complex, polygenic disorder, the contributions of specific gene polymorphisms are likely to be relatively small, but may still be clinically important. Large cohort studies using standardized genotyping methodology and genetically similar control and affected individuals are needed to better define the role of specific genes in osteoporosis pathogenesis, as exemplified by a recent study on estrogen receptor polymorphisms (7).

Basic pathogenetic mechanisms
Skeletal fragility can result from: (a) failure to produce a skeleton of optimal mass and strength during growth; (b) excessive bone resorption resulting in decreased bone mass and microarchitectural deterioration of the skeleton; and (c) an inadequate formation response to increased resorption during bone remodeling. In addition, the incidence of fragility fractures, particularly of the hip and wrist, is further determined by the frequency and direction of falls.

To understand how excessive bone resorption and inadequate formation result in skeletal fragility, it is necessary to understand the process of bone remodeling, which is the major activity of bone cells in the adult skeleton. The bone remodeling or bone multicellular units (BMUs) described many years ago by Frost and others
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(8) can occur either on the surface of trabecular bone as irregular Howship lacunae or in cortical bone as relatively uniform cylindrical haversian systems. As illustrated in Figure 1, the process begins with the activation of hematopoietic precursors to become osteoclasts, which normally requires an interaction with cells of the osteoblastic lineage. Because the resorption and reversal phases of bone remodeling are short and the period required for osteoblastic replacement of the bone is long, any increase in the rate of bone remodeling will result in a loss of bone mass. Moreover, the larger number of unfilled Howship lacunae and haversian canals will further weaken the bone. Excessive resorption can also result in complete loss of trabecular structures, so that there is no template for bone formation. Thus, there are multiple ways in which an increase in osteoclastic resorption can result in skeletal fragility. However, high rates of resorption are not always associated with bone loss; for example, during the pubertal growth spurt. Hence an inadequate formation response during remodeling is an essential component of the pathogenesis of osteoporosis.

Central role of estrogen

The concept that estrogen deficiency is critical to the pathogenesis of osteoporosis was based initially on the fact that postmenopausal women, whose estrogen levels naturally decline, are at the highest risk for developing the disease. Morphologic studies and measurements of certain biochemical markers have indicated that bone remodeling is accelerated at the menopause, as both markers of resorption and formation are increased (8, 9). Hence, contrary to Albright’s original hypothesis, an increase in bone resorption, and not impaired bone formation, appears to be the driving force for bone loss in the setting of estrogen deficiency. But the rapid and continuous bone loss that occurs for several years after the menopause must indicate an impaired bone formation response, since in younger individuals going through the pubertal growth spurt, even faster rates of bone resorption can be associated with an increase in bone mass. However, the increased bone formation that normally occurs in response to mechanical loading is diminished in estrogen deficiency, suggesting estrogen is both anti-catabolic and anabolic (10).

Estrogen deficiency continues to play a role in bone loss in women in their 70s and 80s, as evidenced by the fact that estrogen treatment rapidly reduces bone breakdown in these older women (11). Moreover, recent studies in humans have shown that the level of estrogen required to maintain relatively normal bone remodeling in older postmenopausal women is lower than that required to stimulate classic target tissues such as the breast and uterus (12). Fracture risk is inversely related to estrogen levels in postmenopausal women, and as little as one-quarter of the dose of estrogen that stimulates the breast and uterus is sufficient to decrease bone resorption and increase bone mass in older women (13). This greater sensitivity of the skeleton may be age related. In 3-month-old mice, the uterus appeared to be more responsive to estrogen than bone, whereas in 6-month-old mice, the reverse was found (14).

Estrogen is critical for epiphyseal closure in puberty in both sexes and regulates bone turnover in men as well as women. In fact, estrogen has a greater effect than androgen in inhibiting bone resorption in men, although androgen may still play a role (15). Estrogen may also be important in the acquisition of peak bone mass in men (16). Moreover, osteoporosis in older men is more closely associated with low estrogen than with low androgen levels (17).

Estrogen deficiency increases and estrogen treatment decreases the rate of bone remodeling, as well as the amount of bone lost with each remodeling cycle. Studies in animal models and in cell culture have suggested that this involves multiple sites of estrogen action, not only on the cells of the BMU, but on other marrow cells (Figure 1). Estrogen acts through 2 receptors: estrogen receptor α (ERα) and ERβ. ERα appears to be the primary mediator of estrogen’s actions on the skeleton (10). Osteoblasts do express estrogen receptors, and activation of osteoblasts by estrogen increases osteoblast production of osteoprotegerin, a cytokine that inhibits osteoclast formation and activity (17). This leads to bone formation, which is also increased by estrogen (18). Estrogen also increases osteoclast apoptosis, which is induced by parathyroid hormone (19).

Figure 1

The BMU with possible sites of estrogen action. Bone remodeling on the surface of trabecular bone is illustrated here. The process is similar in the haversian systems in cortical bone. Osteoclast activation is ordinarily initiated by an interaction of hematopoietic precursors with cells of the osteoblast lineage but may also be initiated by inflammatory cells, particularly T cells (see Figure 2 for further details). Once osteoclasts are formed, there is a resorption phase of limited duration and a brief reversal phase, during which the bone surface is covered by mononuclear cells but bone formation has not yet begun. The formation phase is then initiated, possibly by factors produced by the osteoclast or reversal cells or released from the bone matrix. The formation phase, which is substantially longer than the first 3 phases, involves the production of matrix by progressive waves of osteoblasts. These then become flat lining cells, are embedded in the bone as osteocytes, and undergo apoptosis. Potential sites of action of estrogen include effects on T cell cytokine production (i); effects on stromal or osteoblastic cells to alter their production of RANKL or OPG (ii); direct inhibition of differentiated osteoclasts (iii); and effects on bone formation mediated by osteoblasts or osteocytes to enhance the response to mechanical forces initiated by these cells (iv). Note that the BMU is shown as being compartmentalized by an overlying layer of cells. It has been proposed that these are separated lining cells, but they may be of vascular origin.
ERβ, but the actions of ERβ agonists on bone are less clear. Some studies suggest that the effects of estrogen signaling through ERα and ERβ are in opposition, while other studies suggest that activation of these 2 receptors has similar effects on bone (18, 19).

Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) of ERα may affect bone fragility. In the largest study to date, 1 of the SNPs for this receptor was associated with a significant reduction in fracture risk, independent of bone mineral density (BMD) (7). Other studies have suggested that SNPs of ERα can affect BMD and rates of bone loss as well as fracture risk in both men and women (20, 21).

An orphan nuclear receptor, estrogen receptor–related receptor α (ERRα), with sequence homology to ERα and ERβ, is also present in bone cells (22). Despite its inability to bind estrogens, this receptor may interact with ERα and ERβ or act directly to alter bone cell function. A regulatory variant of the gene encoding ERRα was recently found to be associated with a significant difference between lumbar spine and femoral neck BMD in premenopausal women (23).

Sex hormone–binding globulin (SHBG), the major binding protein for sex steroids in plasma, may not only alter the bioavailability of estrogen to hormone-responsive tissues but also affect its entry into cells. Epidemiologic studies suggest that SHBG may have an effect on bone loss and fracture risk independent of the effect as a binding protein (24). Local formation of estradiol from aromatase could play an additional role (25).

While estrogen can act on cells of the osteoblastic lineage, its effects on bone may also be dependent on actions on cells of the hematopoietic lineage, including osteoclast precursors, mature osteoclasts, and lymphocytes. Local cytokines and growth factors may mediate these effects. Bone loss after ovariectomy in rodent models can be prevented by inhibiting IL-1 or TNF-α and does not occur in mice deficient in the IL-1 receptor or TNF-α (26, 27). The effects of estrogen on cytokine production may be mediated by T cells (28). A direct effect of estrogen in accelerating osteoclast apoptosis has been attributed to increased TGF-β production (29).

Another possibility is that estrogen exerts its beneficial effects by suppressing ROS (30). In estrogen deficiency, thiol antioxidant defenses may be diminished, and the resultant increase in ROS may induce TNF-α (31). The relevance of these findings for human osteoporosis has yet to be determined.

**Calcium, vitamin D, and parathyroid hormone**

The concept that osteoporosis is due primarily to calcium deficiency, particularly in the elderly, was initially put forward as a counterproposal to Albright’s estrogen deficiency theory. Decreased calcium intake, impaired intestinal absorption of calcium due to aging or disease, as well as vitamin D deficiency can result in secondary hyperparathyroidism. The active hormonal form, 1,25 dihydroxy vitamin D (calcitriol), is not only necessary for optimal intestinal absorption of calcium and phosphorus, but also exerts a tonic inhibitory effect on parathyroid hormone (PTH) synthesis, so that there are dual pathways that can lead to secondary hyperparathyroidism (32). Vitamin D deficiency and secondary hyperparathyroidism can contribute not only to accelerated bone loss and increasing fragility, but also to neuromuscular impairment that can increase the risk of falls (33, 34). Clinical trials involving older individuals at high risk for calcium and vitamin D deficiency indicate that supplementation of both can reverse secondary hyperparathyroidism, decrease bone resorption, increase bone mass, decrease fracture rates, and even decrease the frequency of falling (32). However, in a large recent study, calcium and vitamin D supplementation did not reduce fracture incidence significantly, perhaps because this population was less deficient in vitamin D (35).

Polymorphisms of the VDR have been studied extensively, but the results have been variable. This may be in part because the effect of a given polymorphism in this receptor is dependent on an interaction with the environment, particularly with calcium (36). VDR polymorphisms are also associated with differences in the response to therapy with calcitriol (37). There is also evidence for an effect...
on fracture risk independent of bone density and bone turnover, which might be due to an alteration in the frequency of falls (38).

Secondary hyperparathyroidism presents when there is relative insufficiency of vitamin D, that is, where the levels of the circulating form — 25-hydroxy vitamin D — fall below 30 ng/mL, suggesting that the target for vitamin D supplementation should be at this level or higher (39). The seasonal decrease in vitamin D level and increase in PTH level during the winter months is associated with an increase in fractures, independent of the increase in rate of falls (40). In addition, increased PTH levels are associated with increased mortality in the frail elderly, independent of bone mass and vitamin D status. The precise mechanisms underlying this relationship have not yet been determined, but the risk of cardiovascular death was increased (41). Polymorphisms of the calcium-sensing receptor, which regulates calcium secretion by suppression of PTH translation and PTH secretion, have not yet been associated with any alteration in bone phenotype (42, 43).

Receptor activator of NF-κB, its ligand, and osteoprotegerin

The concept that stimulation of bone resorption requires an interaction between cells of the osteoblastic and osteoclastic lineages was put forward many years ago, but its molecular mechanism was only identified recently (43, 44). Three members of the TNF superfamily are involved (Figure 2): osteoblasts produce RANKL, a ligand for the receptor activator of NF-κB (RANK) on hematopoietic cells, which activates the differentiation of osteoclasts and maintains their function. Osteoblasts also produce and secrete osteoprotegerin (OPG), a decoy receptor that can block RANKL/RANK interactions. Stimulators of bone resorption have been found to increase RANKL expression in osteoblasts, and some also decrease OPG expression (43). Bone cells appear to express the membrane-bound form of RANKL, and thus, osteoblasts must physically interact with osteoclasts precursors in order to activate RANK. Soluble RANKL can be produced by activated T lymphocytes and is as active as membrane-bound RANKL in binding to RANK (45). Studies in transgenic mice showed that overexpression of OPG produced osteopetrosis, while OPG-knockout mice had a phenotype of severe osteoporosis with a high incidence of fractures (46). Recently a monoclonal antibody against RANKL was shown to produce prolonged inhibition of bone resorption in postmenopausal women (47). It was also shown that RANKL levels were increased on the surface of bone marrow cells from early postmenopausal women who are estrogen deficient (48). However, it has been difficult to demonstrate a role for OPG deficiency in the pathogenesis of osteoporosis, since OPG levels are not consistently altered. OPG levels increase with age, and it is possible that OPG production rises as a homeostatic response to limit the bone loss that occurs with an increase in other bone-resorbing factors (21, 49). Polymorphisms in the OPG gene have been associated with osteoporotic fractures and differences in BMD (50). OPG polymorphisms have also been linked to coronary artery disease (51). This is consistent with recent information linking the RANKL/RANK/OPG system to vascular calcification (52).

The RANKL/RANK interaction is critical for both differentiation and maintenance of osteoclast activity and hence represents a final common pathway for any pathogenetic factor in osteoporosis that acts by increasing bone resorption. While it is assumed that cells of the stromal/osteoblastic lineage are the major source of RANKL in physiologic bone remodeling, other cells may act as a source of RANKL in pathologic states; for example, T cell production may play a role in osteoporosis as well as inflammatory bone loss (53). Recently a second system that might affect the interaction between osteoblasts and osteoclasts has been identified (54). This involves the membrane adapter DNAX-activating protein 12 and the Fc receptor common γ chain. Deletion of these molecules results in severe osteopetrosis in mice. The molecules are involved in signaling through the immunoreceptor tyrosine-based activation motif (ITAM). Cooperation between RANKL and ITAM signaling may be essential for osteoclastogenesis, for which nuclear factor of activated T cells (NFAT) is the master transcription factor.

Genes determining osteoblast differentiation and function

The recent discoveries of signal transduction pathways and transcription factors critical for osteoblast differentiation and function have opened up new approaches to understanding the pathogenesis of osteoporosis. Gene deletion studies have shown that absence of runt-related transcription factor 2 (Runx2) or a downstream factor, osterix, are critical for osteoblast differentiation (55, 56). Interestingly, overexpression of Runx2 leads to a decrease in bone mass (57). A role for polymorphisms of these transcription factors in osteoporosis has not yet been identified.

The recent identification of the critical role for the Wnt signaling pathway in regulating osteoblast function is of particular interest, since it has been shown to play an important role in determining bone mass and strength (58–61) (Figure 3). LDL receptor–related protein 5 (LRP5) interacts with the frizzled receptor to transduce signaling by Wnt ligands. A mutation of LRP5 that leads to constitutive activation can result in an increase in bone density (58, 59). The phenotype of families with LRP5 activating mutations varies considerably, although all show a striking absence of fractures. Some have normal skeletal architecture, while others show abnormalities due to skeletal overgrowth (60). Deletion of LRP5 results in a severe osteoporotic syndrome.
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associated with abnormal eye development (61). Polymorphisms of LRP5 have been associated with differences in bone mass and fractures (62, 63). Mutations in LRP5 have been identified in a few patients with idiopathic juvenile osteoporosis (64).

Animal and in vitro studies indicate that the Wnt signaling pathway is critical for osteoblast differentiation and function. Studies in mice suggest that the increase in bone mass in animals with activating mutations of LRP5 is due to an increased response to mechanical loading (65). The fact that fluid shear stress activates β-catenin signaling further supports the concept that Wnt signaling is critical in the response to mechanical loading (66). However, Wnt signaling is also critical in bone development and can affect peak bone mass (67). The inhibition of skeletal growth by glucocorticoids may be mediated by effects on Wnt signaling (68).

The precise mechanisms whereby Wnt signaling alters osteoblast function are not fully understood, but there is evidence that the canonical β-catenin pathway is involved and that there is an interaction with bone morphogenetic protein 2 (BMP2) (69) (Figure 3). There are a number of inhibitors that have been shown to interact with BMP2 and with the Wnt signaling pathway. One of these, sclerostin, the product of the SOST gene, has been shown to inhibit both BMP2 and Wnt signaling (70, 71). Inactivating mutations of this gene can produce the high-bone-mass disorder Van Buchem disease or sclerosteosis (72, 73). Another potential inhibitory factor is the production of secreted frizzled-related protein (SFRP) by osteoblasts (74).

Local and systemic growth factors
Remodeling imbalance, characterized by an impaired bone formation response to increased activation of bone remodeling, is an essential component of the pathogenesis of osteoporosis (8, 75). This may be due, in part, to an age-related decrease in the capacity of osteoblasts to replicate and differentiate. However, it seems likely that specific defects in the production or activity of local and systemic growth factors will also contribute to impaired bone formation. BMPs as well as other members of the TNF family have been implicated. IGF, which is both a systemic and local regulator, as well as TGF-β, can also alter bone formation. There is some association between BMD and the incidence of osteoporotic fractures and polymorphisms in the genes encoding IGF-1 and TGF-β (76–78), but the largest study to date, in Icelandic and Danish cohorts, suggests that polymorphisms of the BMP2 gene are linked to low BMD and fracture risk (79). Inhibition of local IGF-1 production may be an important component of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis as well as the inhibition of growth in childhood (80).

Cytokines, prostaglandins, NO, and leukotrienes
The concept that locally produced cytokines such as IL-1 and prostaglandins such as prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) can affect bone is more than 30 years old (81, 82). Subsequently, many cytokines were found to either stimulate or inhibit bone resorption and formation (83). Prostaglandins have both stimulatory and inhibitory actions; however, the predominant effect of PGE2, which is the major prostaglandin produced by bone cells, is to stimulate both resorption and formation (84). The possibility that these factors might also be involved in the pathogenesis of osteoporosis is based largely on animal studies of bone loss after ovariectomy (26, 27, 53, 85, 86); however, there is evidence that polymorphisms of IL-1, IL-6, TNF-α, and their receptors can influence bone mass in humans (87–89).

Prostaglandins, particularly PGE2, are produced by bone cells largely through the action of inducible cyclooxygenase 2 (COX2). COX2 is induced by most of the factors that stimulate bone resorption and thus may enhance the response to these agents (84). Treatment with COX inhibitors blunts the response to impact loading and fluid shear stress, indicating that prostaglandins play an important role in the response mechanical forces, and this may be enhanced by estrogen (90, 91). In epidemiologic studies, small increases in BMD and decreases in fracture risk have been reported in individuals using NSAIDS (92, 93).

NO is produced by bone cells and is a cofactor for the anabolic response to mechanical loading (91, 94). However, unlike prostaglandins, NO may inhibit bone resorption, perhaps by increasing OPG production (95). This effect may be responsible for the increase in BMD that has been demonstrated in patients treated with isosorbide mononitrate and other activators of the NO pathway (96).

Leukotrienes, the products of lipooxygenase, can affect bone by stimulating resorption and inhibiting formation (97). Recently, arachidonate 15-lipoxygenase (encoded by Alox15), was identified as a negative regulator of bone density in mice (98), and polymorphisms in the human gene, ALOX15, were found to be associated with differences in peak BMD in postmenopausal women (99).

Collagen abnormalities
A polymorphism of the first intron of the gene coding for the type I collagen α1 chain and increased levels of homocysteine can influence fracture risk independent of BMD (100–102). This may be due to differences in helix formation or cross-linking of collagen, challenging the concept that mineral and matrix composition are normal in osteoporosis and that only structural abnormalities account for skeletal fragility.

Leptin and neural pathways
Leptin deficiency or resistance is associated with high BMD in mice despite the fact that gonadal function is diminished (103). This has been attributed to a central effect on adrenergic signaling. Increased β-adrenergic activity can decrease bone mass, but other neural pathways may be involved (104, 105). Some, but not all, epidemiologic studies suggest that β-adrenergic blockers can decrease fracture risk and increase BMD (106, 107). Another neural pathway has recently been implicated by the finding that mice in which the cannabinoid type 1 receptor is inactivated, as well as mice treated with antagonist of this receptor, are protected from ovariectomy-induced bone loss (108).

Implication for the diagnosis and treatment of osteoporosis
Prior to the introduction of BMD measurements, the diagnosis of osteoporosis was only made when fragility fractures occurred in an appropriate clinical setting, largely in postmenopausal women and older men. Today we use BMD to diagnose osteoporosis and osteopenia before fractures occur, as well as to confirm the diagnosis in patients with fragility fractures (109). Our goal is to identify those individuals most likely to have fractures in the future and to treat them appropriately so that their fracture risk will be reduced.

While low BMD is an important risk factor, many other factors have been identified in epidemiologic studies, including age, low body weight, and smoking. Many drugs and diseases that can aggravate bone loss and fragility are also important in predicting future fracture risk, such as glucocorticoid or thyroid hormone...
excess and chronic inflammatory disorders (109). Another key predictor is the rate of bone remodeling. Increased rates of osteoclastic bone resorption, measured by the level of collagen breakdown products, as well as increased bone formation, measured by bone-specific alkaline phosphatase, osteocalcin, or procollagen peptide levels, are associated with an increase in risk for bone loss and fragility fractures. Techniques that can analyze the microarchitecture of bone are being developed that could further improve diagnosis (110). In the future, it may be possible to identify genetic profiles that predict greater or lesser risk of fractures.

At present the therapy of osteoporosis is directed at the major pathogenetic mechanisms outlined here, with a strong emphasis on prevention of bone loss and fractures (111). While the first major pathogenetic mechanism, failure to achieve optimal peak bone mass, is determined largely by our genes, nutrition and lifestyle can have an effect during growth and development. Moreover, adequate calcium and vitamin D intake and appropriate physical activity may not only increase peak bone mass but also slow bone loss and reduce fracture risk throughout life.

Pharmacotherapy for osteoporosis has been focused mainly on interventions that could reverse the second pathogenetic mechanism, excessive bone resorption (112). In the past, estrogen replacement was the most widely used therapy. Ironically, the first study that truly established the antifracture efficacy of estrogen has also indicated that the estrogen, particularly when combined with progesterone, increased the risk of breast cancer and cardiovascular disease, which outweighed the benefits to the skeleton. The fact that much lower doses of estrogen can prevent bone loss in postmenopausal women (13) suggests that the cost/benefit ratio might be reversed with such therapy, but appropriate long-term studies have not yet been carried out. One alternative is the use of selective estrogen receptor modulators. One of these, raloxifene, has been shown to decrease the risk of vertebral fracture and does not appear to increase the risk of breast cancer (114).

The discovery that calcitonin is a selective inhibitor of osteoclastic activity more than 40 years ago led to the concept that calcitonin deficiency might be the cause of osteoporosis and that its administration might be the cure. However, calcitonin deficiency was not found in osteoporotic patients, and calcitonin therapy has been less effective than other antiresorptive agents, possibly because osteoclasts can escape calcitonin inhibition (112, 114).

The clinical trials showing that bisphosphonates could provide effective and relatively safe antiresorptive therapy have had an enormous impact on the management of osteoporosis. Currently FDA-approved bisphosphonates — alendronate, risedronate, and ibandronate — have been shown to reduce the incidence of fractures, including both vertebral and nonvertebral fractures, particularly hip fractures (112, 114). These agents bind to the bone surface and then are taken up by osteoclasts, leading to their inactivation and programmed cell death. Potent bisphosphonates have now been in use for more than 10 years, and there is some concern that prolonged use may cause excessive inhibition of bone remodeling and slow the repair of fractures and of microdamage (115).

Effective treatment for the third pathogenetic mechanism, impaired bone formation, has only become available recently. Although it was shown more than 60 years ago that intermittent administration of low doses of PTH could increase bone mass in animals, an effective clinical approach was only developed and approved by the FDA in 2002. Daily subcutaneous injections of the synthetic N-terminal portion of PTH, teriparatide, can increase bone mass and strength by markedly increasing bone formation, while only modestly increasing bone resorption (116). This therapy is as effective as bisphosphonates in fracture reduction. Extensive studies on the mechanism of action of PTH are underway and should help us in identifying the critical pathways that regulate bone formation and are abnormal in osteoporotic patients. Another putative anabolic agent, strontium ranelate, has recently been approved for treatment of osteoporosis in several countries outside the US (117). Its mechanism of action is not well understood.

New antiresorptive approaches are being investigated, including selective inhibitors of osteoclastic hydrogen ion transport and cathepsin K as well as antagonists to the αvβ3 integrins that are necessary for osteoclast adherence and motility (118). New anabolic approaches are likely to emerge from further study of the transcriptional regulation of osteoblasts.

While improvements in diagnosis and therapy are important, it is equally true that we have sufficient tools today to assess fracture risk and prevent or treat osteoporosis to reduce that risk. Thus, there are 2 challenges: (a) to develop better diagnostic tools and treatment; and (b) to apply our current knowledge more broadly in the community. The latter is far from being met. Moreover, it is critical that we meet both these challenges in the coming decades if we are to deal effectively with the increase in osteoporosis and fractures that is projected as our population ages (111).
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