Supplemental Figures 1





3 Supplemental Figure 1. UBE2C is overexpressed in various human cancers and is correlated with a poor patient prognosis. (A-C) qRT-PCR analysis of ANLN, TMEM132A, 4 5 SPP1 expression in BCa tissues versus NATs (n = 323). (**D**) qRT-PCR analysis of UBE2C 1

expression in high-grade BCa versus low-grade BCa (n = 323). (E) qRT-PCR analysis of 1 2 UBE2C expression in MIBC versus NMIBC. (F-K) K-M survival analysis of the disease-free 3 survival and overall survival of patients with BCa with low versus high ANLN, SPP1 and 4 TMEM132A expression. The cutoff value is the median. (L) Analysis of the TCGA database 5 revealed UBE2C expression in various human cancers compared with that in normal tissue. 6 (M-O) K-M survival analysis of patients grouped according to UBE2C expression for 7 different cancer types in the TCGA database. (P-R) qRT-PCR analysis of ANLN, SPP1 and 8 TMEM132A expression in LN-positive BCa versus LN-negative BCa (n = 323). The 9 statistical significance of differences was assessed through the nonparametric Mann-Whitney 10 U test in A-E, L and P-R. Data are shown as the mean \pm SEM.



Supplemental Figure 2. UBE2C promotes the invasion and migration of BCa cells in
vitro. (A and B) Western blotting analysis (A) and qRT-PCR analysis (B) of the expression
level of UBE2C in 5637, T24, UM-UC-3 and SV-HUC-1 cells. (C and D) qRT-PCR analysis

1	of UBE2C expression following UBE2C overexpression or knockdown in BCa cells. (E-F)
2	Representative images and quantification of the tube formation and migration of HLECs after
3	coculture with UBE2C knockdown or UBE2C-overexpressing T24 cells. Scale bars: 100 μ m.
4	(G-J) Representative images and quantification of the migration and invasion of BCa cells
5	after downregulating or overexpressing UBE2C. Scale bars: 100 µm. (K and L)
6	Representative images and quantification of wound healing assays showing the migration
7	capability of UM-UC-3 and T24 cells after downregulation or overexpression of UBE2C.
8	Scale bars: 100 µm. (M and N) Quantification of UBE2C expression in the peritumoral (M)
9	and intratumoral (N) regions of footpad tumor tissues. Significant differences were identified
10	through 1-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's test in B, C, E, G, I, K and L; 2-tailed
11	Student's t test in D , F , H , J , M and N . Data are shown as the mean ± SEM.



Supplemental Figure 3. SNAT2 interacts with UBE2C. (A) Mass spectrometry analysis for
the detection of UBE2C ubiquitinating proteins. (B-F) Mass spectrometry analysis of
UBE2C-binding proteins after co-IP assays.



Supplemental Figure 4. UBE2C inhibits K63-linked polyubiquitination of SNAT2. (A) 2 3 Detection of intracellular localization of UBE2C in BCa cells. Scale bars: 5 µm. (B) Immunofluorescence assays showing the colocalization of SNAT2 and UBE2C. Scale bars: 5 4 5 µm. (C) Proximity ligation assays showing the interaction between UBE2C and SNAT2. 6 Scale bars: 5 µm. (**D**) Quantification of the mono- and polyubiquitination levels of SNAT2 after overexpression or knockdown of UBE2C. (E) Quantification of the polyubiquitination 7 8 levels of SNAT2 after mutation of ubiquitin. (F) IB analysis of the K63-linked 9 polyubiquitination level of SNAT2 after si-UBE2C. Significant differences were identified 10 through 2-tailed Student's t test in **D**; 1-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's test in **D**, **E**. Data 11 are shown as the mean \pm SEM.



2 Supplemental Figure 5. UBE2C blocks the interaction between SNAT2 and NEDD4L. 3 (A) Mass spectrometry analysis of the UBE2C-mediated ubiquitination site in SNAT2. (B) 4 Mass spectrometry analysis of UBE2C-inhibited ubiquitination site in SNAT2. (C) 5 Quantification of ubiquitination level of various lysine residues within SNAT2. (D) IB 6 analysis after co-IP assays with anti-HIS or IgG in UM-UC-3 cells. (E) Proximity ligation 7 assays showing the interaction between SNAT2 and NEDD4L. Scale bars: 5 µm. (F) IB 8 analysis of the monoubiquitination level of SNAT2 after si-UBE2C and si-NEDD4L 9 transfection. (G) IB analysis of the interaction between NEDD4L and SNAT2 after UBE2C

- 1 overexpression. (H) IB analysis of the interaction between NEDD4L and SNAT2 after
- 2 SNAT2^{K59R} mutation.



Supplemental Figure 6. UBE2C inhibits the endocytosis of SNAT2. (A) IB analysis of
SNAT2 expression in BCa cells overexpressing UBE2C. (B) IB analysis of SNAT2
expression in BCa cells after downregulating UBE2C. (C) IB analysis of the half-life of
SNAT2 after overexpression of UBE2C. (D and E) FACS analysis with permeabilization and
quantification of SNAT2 expression after overexpressing UBE2C. (F-H) Detection of SNAT2

1	expression in membrane fractions after SNAT2 ^{K59R} mutation in UM-UC-3 cells. Scale bar: 5
2	μ m. (I) FACS analysis of the permeabilization and quantification of SNAT2 expression after
3	SNAT2 ^{K59R} mutation. (J) FACS analysis with quantification of SNAT2 expression in
4	membrane fractions after overexpressing UBE2C at 20°C. (K) Silver staining for the
5	detection of SNAT2-interacting proteins. (L) Mass spectrometry analysis of SNAT2-binding
6	proteins after co-IP assays. (M) FACS analysis with permeabilization and quantification of
7	SNAT2 expression after overexpressing EPSIN1 and UBE2C. (N) IB analysis of SNAT2
8	expression in membrane and endosome fractions after overexpression of UBE2C and
9	EPSIN1. Significant differences were identified through 1-way ANOVA followed by
10	Dunnett's test in G, I and M; and 2-tailed Student's t test in E and J. Data are shown as the
11	mean \pm SEM.



Supplemental Figure 7. UBE2C activates glutamine reprogramming to promote
VEGFC secretion. (A and B) Detection of glutamine and glutamate production in the cell
extracts for analysis of glutamine uptake by UBE2C-overexpressing T24 cells. (C) Analysis
of culture media glutamine in UBE2C-overexpressing T24 cells with a glutamine assay kit.
(D) CCK-8 analysis of cell viability in UBE2C-overexpressing T24 cells. (E) Analysis of
intracellular ATP production in UBE2C-overexpressing T24 cells with an ATP assay kit. (F-H)
Detection of glutamate, cell viability and ATP production in UM-UC-3 cells after SNAT2^{K59R}

mutation. (I) ELISA analysis of VEGFC secretion in T24 cells with overexpression of 1 2 UBE2C. (J) IB analysis of VEGFC secretion in UM-UC-3 cells with overexpression of 3 UBE2C. (K) ELISA analysis of VEGFC secretion in T24 cells with knockdown of UBE2C. 4 (L) IB analysis of VEGFC secretion in UM-UC-3 cells with knockdown of UBE2C. (M) 5 ELISA analysis of VEGFC secretion after the addition of exogenous glutamine. (N) IB 6 analysis of VEGFC secretion after the addition of exogenous glutamine. (**O**) ELISA analysis 7 of VEGF-C secretion after the addition of glutamine metabolism inhibitors. (P) IB analysis of 8 VEGF-C secretion after the addition of glutamine metabolism inhibitors. Significant 9 differences were identified through 1-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's test in C, F, G, H, 10 K, M and O; 2-tailed Student's t test in A, B, D, E and I. Data are shown as the mean ± 11 SEM.



2 **Supplemental** Figure 8. **UBE2C/SNAT2/VEGFC** BCa axis is crucial in 3 lymphangiogenesis and LN metastasis. (A-C) Representative images and quantification of 4 tube formation and migration of HLECs treated with culture media from UBE2C-5 overexpressing T24 cells with or without CB-839 and aVEGFC treatment. Scale bars: 100 6 μm. (**D**) qRT-PCR analysis of the UBE2C expression in the tumor tissues from PDXs. (**E-H**) 7 H-score of UBE2C, SNAT2, VEGFC and LYVE1 positive vessels from PDX tissues. (I-J) 8 Quantification of UBE2C, VEGFC and LYVE1-indicated microlymphatic vessel density in 9 both intratumoral and peritumoral regions of BCa tissues (n = 323). Significant differences

1 were identified through 1-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's test in **B** and **C**; 2-tailed 2 Student's t test in **D-H**; and the χ^2 test in **I** and **J**. Data are shown as the mean \pm SEM.

1 Supplemental Tables

2 Supplemental table 1. Correlation between UBE2C expression and 3 clinicopathologic characteristics of BCa patients

		UBE2C expression			
Characteristics	No. of cases –	Low	High	<i>p</i> -value ⁱ	
Total cases	323	161	162		
Gender				0.51	
Male	281	138	143		
Female	42	23	19		
Age				0.66	
< 65	170	87	83		
≥65	153	74	79		
grade				0.12	
Low	48	29	19		
High	275	132	143		
T stage				0.07	
0-1	118	67	51		
2-4	205	94	111		
Lymphatic metastasis				< 0.01**	
Negative	255	139	116		
Positive	68	22	46		

4 Abbreviations: No. of cases = number of cases; T stage = tumor stage. ⁱChi-square

5 Chi-square test, **p*<0.05, ***p*<0.01

1	Supplemental	table 2.	Univariate	and	multivariate	analysis	of Overall	Survival
---	--------------	----------	------------	-----	--------------	----------	------------	----------

	Uni	variate an	alysis	Multivariate analysis		
Variables	HR	95%CI	<i>p-</i> value ⁱ	HR	95%CI	<i>p</i> -value ⁱ
Age (<65 vs. ≥65)	1.115	0.788- 1.678	0.468			
Gender (Male vs. Female)	0.785	0.461- 1.337	0.235			
Grade (High vs. Low)	1.445	0.808- 2.587	0.215			
Lymphatic metastasis (Positive vs. Negative)	1.853	1.228- 2.796	0.003**	1.630	1.051- 2.527	0.029*
UBE2C expression (High vs. Low)	2.132	1.423- 3.194	0.001**	2.011	1.331- 3.041	0.001**

2 (OS) for *UBE2C* expression in BCa patients (n = 323)

Abbreviations: HR = hazard ratio; 95% CI = 95% confidence interval; ⁱ Cox 3

regression analysis, * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01. 4

	Uni	variate ana	alysis Multivariate analysis			
Variables	HR	95%CI	<i>p</i> - value ⁱ	HR	95%CI	<i>p</i> -value ⁱ
Age (<65 vs. ≥65)	1.134	0.775- 1.659	0.518			
Gender (Male vs. Female)	0.707	0.414- 1.208	0.204			
Grade (High vs. Low)	1.597	0.876- 2.912	0.127			
Lymphatic metastasis (Positive vs. Negative)	1.960	1.298- 2.958	0.001**	1.685	1.051- 2.527	0.019*
UBE2C expression (High vs. Low)	2.281	1.523- 3.417	0.001**	2.146	1.422- 3.241	0.001**

Supplemental table 3. Univariate and multivariate analysis of Disease-Free
 Survival (DFS) for *UBE2C* expression in BCa patients (n = 323)

3 Abbreviations: HR = hazard ratio; 95% CI = 95% confidence interval; ⁱ Cox

4 regression analysis, * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01.

Xenograft	NO. metastasis LNs	NO. Non-metastasis LNs	Metastasis ratio	<i>p</i> - value ^A	
Vector	4	8	33.33%	0.02.6*	
UBE2C	10	2	83.33%	0.036	

1 Supplemental table 4. Effect of UBE2C on popliteal LN metastasis in vivo.

2 Achi-square test, *p < 0.05.

1 Supplemental table 5. Effect of CB-839 and αVEGFC on popliteal LN metastasis

2 in vivo.

III VIVU.				
Xenograft	NO. metastasis LNs	NO. Non- metastasis LNs	Metastasis ratio	<i>p</i> - value ^A
Vector	3	9	25.00%	
UBE2C	11	1	91.67%	
UBE2C+CB-839	4	8	33.33%	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.009 \\ 0.009^{**} \end{bmatrix}$
UBE2C+aVEGFC	4	8	33.33%	

3 ^Achi-square test, p < 0.05, p < 0.01.

1 Supplemental table 6. Primer and small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) used in the

2 experiments.

Gene	Sequence (5'-3')	Application
UBE2C	F: AGTGGCTACCCTTACAATGCG	qRT-PCR
	R: TTACCCTGGGTGTCCACGTT	
GAPDH	F: GGAGCGAGATCCCTCCAAAAT	qRT-PCR
	R: GGCTGTTGTCATACTTCTCATGG	
si-UBE2C#1	Sense: GACCUGAGGUAUAAGCUCUTT	siRNA
	Antisense: AGAGCUUAUACCUCAGGUCTT	
si-UBE2C#2	Sense: GUAUGAUGUCAGGACCAUUTT	siRNA
	Antisense: AAUGGUCCUGACAUCAUACTT	
si-NEDD4L#1	Sense: CAUGUUCUGCAUAGACGAA	siRNA
	Antisense: UUCGUCUAUGCAGAACAUG	
si-NEDD4L#2	Sense: GAAGAGUCCUAUCGGAGAA	siRNA
	Antisense: UUCUCCGAUAGGACUCUUC	

Product	Source	No. of Catalogue
Primary antibody:		
Western blot:		
Anti-UBE2C	Cell Signaling Technology	14234
		RRID: AB_2722751
Anti-GAPDH	Abcam	ab8245
		RRID: AB_2107448
Antı-FLAG	Abcam	ab205606
	. 1	RRID: AB_2916341
Anti-HIS	Abcam	ab18184
A	A 1	KRID: AB_444306
Anti-HA	Abcam	
Anti V62 Doly Ille	A la com	KRID: AB_30/019
Anti-K03 Poly-Ob	Abcam	a01/9434 DDID: AD 2005220
Anti NEDDAI	Immunowov	KKID. AD_2095259
AIIII-NEDD4E	IIIIIIuiioway	PDID: AP 2076204
Anti SNAT?	Immunoway	VT/25/
Anti-SivA12	mmunoway	RRID: AR 3076205
Anti-Na/K ATPase	Abcam	ab76020
	Albean	RRID: AB 1310695
Anti-LAMIN B1	Abcam	ab16048
	Tiovani	RRID AB 443298
Anti-EPSIN1	ABclonal	A20872
	1 ibelonal	RRID: AB 3076206
Anti-VEGFC	Abcam	Ab9546
		RRID: AB 2241408
Immunofluorescence:		—
Anti LIDECC	A la se ve	-1-252040
Anti-UBE2C	Abcam	ad252940 DDID: AD 2010262
Anti IVVE1	Abaam	ch210556
AIIII-LI VEI	Abcalli	DDID AD 2884014
Anti SNIAT?	Immunoway	VT/25/
Anti-SNA12	minuloway	RRID: AR 3076205
Anti-RAB5	Abcam	ab218624
	Nocum	RRID: AR 2892717
Anti-Pan-CK	Abcam	ab86734
	7 locum	RRID: AB 10674321
IHC:		1442-112_1007 1521
Anti-UBE2C	Abcam	ab252940
		RRID: AB_2910263
Antı-LYVE1	Abcam	ab219556
	A 1	KKID: AB_2884014
Anti-VEGFC	Abcam	Aby546
		KKID: AB_2241408

1 Supplemental table 7. Antibody used in the experiments.

Anti-SNAT2	Immunoway	YT4354 RRID: AB 3076205
IP:		KKID. AD_3070203
Anti-FLAG	Abcam	ab205606
Anti-HIS	Abcam	ab18184 RRID: AB_2916341 ab18184 RRID: AB_444306
Anti-SNAT2	Immunoway	YT4354 RRID: AB_3076205
ELISA:		11(1).715_3070203
VEGFC	Abcam	ab9546 PPID: AP 2241408
Secondary antibody:		KKID. AD_2241400
Western blot:		
Anti-rabbit IgG-HRP	Cell Signaling Technology	7074
Anti-mouse IgG-HRP	Cell Signaling Technology	RRID: AB_2099233 7076 RRID: AB_330924
Immunofluorescence:		
Alexa Fluor 594	Abcam	Ab150080 RRID: AB 2650602
Alexa Fluor 488	Abcam	Ab150077 RRID: AB_2630356

1 Supplemental Methods

2 **Protein extraction**

3 BCa cells were harvested and washed 3 times with PBS, followed by complete lysis with 4 RIPA lysis buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA, Cat#89901) supplemented with 1% 5 protease inhibitor (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#87786) and 1% phosphatase inhibitor 6 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#78427) at 4°C for 30 min. The lysate was centrifuged at 7 12,000g for 30 minutes. For cellular fractions protein extraction, cell membrane and 8 endosomal proteins were extracted using Plasma Membrane Protein Isolation Kit (Invent, 9 USA, Cat#SM-005) and Endosome Isolation Kit (Invent, Cat#ED-028), respectively. A BCA protein assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#A55864) was used to measure the protein 10 11 concentration.

12 Western blotting

13 The expression of the indicated proteins was detected by western blotting analysis. Total 14 proteins were separated by 10% SDS-PAGE and then transferred to a polyvinylidene fluoride 15 membrane (Millipore Sigma, USA, Cat#3010040001). After blocking with 5% BSA for 1 h, 16 the membrane was incubated with the indicated primary antibodies overnight at 4°C, followed by a 1 h incubation with HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies at room temperature. 17 Immunoblots of the target proteins were detected using an enhanced chemiluminescence 18 19 (ECL) kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#32209) and quantified with ImageJ software 20 (ImageJ, RRID:SCR 003070) (NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA). The detailed antibodies used in 21 the experiments are listed in Supplemental Table 7, and the full uncut original images are

1 shown in Full unedited blot.

2 *IHC*

3 For IHC analysis, the paraffin-embedded tissue sections were heated in an incubator for 2 4 hours at 65°C before being dewaxed with dimethylbenzene and hydrated with gradient 5 alcohols. Subsequently, the sections were placed in heated EDTA buffer to retrieve the 6 antigen and incubated with peroxidase inhibitors to block endogenous peroxidase activity. 7 After blocking with normal goat serum for 30 min, the sections were incubated with the 8 indicated primary antibodies overnight at 4°C and with secondary antibodies for 30 min at 9 room temperature. Finally, the sections were stained with 3,3'-diaminobenzidine (DAB) and 10 hematoxylin, and images were acquired with a Nikon eclipse 80i (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) and analyzed with ImageJ software (RRID:SCR 003070). Supplemental Table 7 lists the 11 12 antibodies used in the experiments.

13 Histology evaluation of tissue sections

14 Regarding the examination of UBE2C expression, the percentage of tumor cells that stained 15 positively was classified into the following categories: 0 (no positive staining), 1 (0-10 percent positive), 2 (10-30 percent positive), 3 (30-70 percent positive), and 4 (over 70 16 17 percent positive). A four-point rating system was used to indicate the degree of staining: 1 18 represented no staining, 2 mild staining, 3 moderate staining, and 4 strong staining. A 19 possible score of 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 12, and 16 could be obtained by multiplying the positive 20 percentage by the staining intensity to calculate the Staining Index (SI). The median value, 21 specifically SI = 8, was then determined to be the threshold. Samples exhibiting low expression were classified as having a SI < 8, while samples exhibiting high expression were
 classified as having a SI ≥ 8. About the quantification of LYVE1, Image J software (NIH)
 determined how many vessels had positive staining in three random fields for each section.
 The cut off value was used to define the median value.

5 qRT-PCR

According to the manufacturer's instructions, the total RNA was extracted from the cells
by using Total RNA Extraction Reagent (EZBioscience, USA, Cat#EZB-TZ1). Subsequently,
the RNA samples were reverse transcribed with the Hiscript III Reverse Transcriptase Kit
(Vazyme, Nanjing, China, Cat#R312-01). The expression of the indicated genes was
measured by qRT-PCR with the ChamQTM Universal SYBR qPCR Master Mix Kit (Vazyme,
Cat#Q711-02). The detailed sequences of the primers used are listed in Supplemental Table 6.

12 Transwell assays

13 Transwell assays were used to evaluate the invasive and migratory ability of BCa cells and 14 the migratory ability of HLECs. To perform migration assays, the lower chamber was filled 15 with 700 µl of medium containing 10% FBS, while the upper chamber (Corning Costar Corp, USA, Cat#3422) was filled with 300 μ l of suspension containing either 1 × 10⁵ BCa cells or 3 16 \times 10⁴ HLECs. To perform invasion assays, the membranes of the upper chambers were first 17 18 coated with Matrigel (BD Biosciences, USA, Cat#356234). The indicated cells were 19 subsequently plated following the same procedures as those used for the migration assays. The migrated cells were fixed and stained with 0.1% crystal violet after they had incubated 20 for 4 hours for HLECs, 6 hours for T24 cells, or 12 hours for UM-UC-3 cells at 37°C and 5% 21

CO2. Images were captured with a Nikon eclipse 80i (Nikon, Japan), and the number of
 migrated cells in five random fields was counted with ImageJ software (RRID:SCR 003070).

3 **Tube formation assays**

Tube formation assays were performed to evaluate the tube formation ability of HLECs. Briefly, 24-well plates were filled with a 400 μ l mixture containing Matrigel (BD Biosciences, Cat#356234) and FBS-free ECM at a 1:2 ratio. The plates were then incubated overnight at 37°C. Subsequently, the Matrigel-covered wells were seeded with 300 μ l of suspension containing 1 × 10⁵ of the indicated HLECs and incubated for 4 hours. Finally, images of the formed lymphatic vessels were obtained via inverted fluorescence microscopy (Olympus IX73, Japan), and the tube length was measured using ImageJ (RRID:SCR 003070).

11 Immunofluorescence

12 For cell immunofluorescence, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min and 13 permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich, USA, Cat#9036-19-5) for 15 min. 14 After the cells were blocked for 1 h at 37°C with normal goat serum, they were incubated with the indicated primary antibody at 4°C overnight. The cells were incubated with the 15 16 corresponding fluorescent secondary antibodies for 30 min at room temperature, and the nuclei were stained with DAPI for 15 min. Finally, the cells were imaged using laser 17 scanning confocal microscopy (LSM710, Zeiss, Pleasanton, CA, USA). Supplemental Table 18 19 7 lists the antibodies used in the experiments.

20 Flow cytometry

A total of 5×10^5 cells were harvested, washed 3 times with PBS and incubated with the

indicated fluorescent antibodies for 30 minutes at room temperature. After washing with PBS,
the samples were resuspended in 200 µl of PBS and analyzed by flow cytometry. The cells
were initially gated based on side scatter and forward scatter parameters to exclude debris.
Subsequently, the gating was refined using the blank control group to exclude negative cells.
Supplemental Table 7 lists the antibodies used in the experiments.

6 Protein half-life measurement

Cells were treated with CHX (Selleck, Guangzhou, China, Cat#S7418) at a concentration
of 50 µg/ml and collected at 0, 0.5, 1, 2, and 4 h. Time course protein levels of SNAT2 were
assessed using western blotting.

10 ATP assay

ATP production was measured with an ATP assay kit (Beyotime, Guangzhou, China, Cat#S0026B) following the manufacturer's instructions. cells were lysed and centrifuged. Supernatants and standard substances were mixed with the ATP detection working solution in a 96-well plate. All values were normalized to the protein concentration.

15 Cell viability

16 To determine the cell viability of different cell group, we seeded cells into 96-well at 3000

- 17 cells/well. Cell viability of each group was determined using a Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8)
- 18 (MedChemExpress, USA, Cat#HY-K0301) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

19 *ELISA*

20 For the quantitation of secreted VEGFC, ELISAs were conducted with the Human VEGFC

21 ELISA Kit (Abcam, England, Cat#ab100664) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Briefly, a 96-well plate was filled with 100 μ L of each standard or sample and incubated for 2.5 hours at room temperature. After washing with wash solution, each well, except for the 3 blank wells, was filled with 100 μ L of biotinylated VEGFC detection antibody and incubated 4 for 1 hour at 37°C. This was followed by a 45-minute incubation with HRP-streptavidin 5 solution. Finally, the reaction was stopped by adding 50 μ l of stop solution, and the OD was 6 measured at 450 nm with a SYNERGY H1 microplate handler (Bio-Tek, USA).

7 High-throughput sequencing

8 Total RNA was extracted with TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen, USA, Cat# 15596026). The
9 mRNA libraries were established and sequenced on a HiSeq 4000 platform by Gene Denovo
10 Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Guangzhou, China).

11 **PLA**

A PLA was used to detect protein interactions in BCa cells. Briefly, BCa cells were seeded in a confocal dish and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 minutes. Subsequently, the PLA was performed according to the instructions of the DUOLINK®: PLA Kit (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat#DUO92202) with the indicated antibodies. Images were captured using a laser scanning confocal microscope (Zeiss, USA).

17 Silver staining

18 The protein specimens were obtained through coimmunoprecipitation assays, and 19 comparable volumes of these specimens were subjected to electrophoretic separation using a 20 10% SDS-PAGE gel. Subsequently, the separated proteins underwent a washing process and 21 were subjected to silver staining employing a Silver Stain kit (Thermo Scientific, Cat#24600), 1 following the manufacturer's instructions.