JCI The Journal of Clinical Investigation

B-cell receptor isotypes differentially associate with cell signaling, kinetics, and outcome in chronic lymphocytic leukemia

Andrea N. Mazzarello, ..., Hassan Jumaa, Nicholas Chiorazzi

J Clin Invest. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI149308.

Research In-Press Preview Immunology Oncology

Graphical abstract



Find the latest version:



https://jci.me/149308/pdf

Title: B-cell receptor isotypes differentially associate with cell signaling, kinetics, and outcome in chronic lymphocytic leukemia

Summary: Membrane IgM and IgD properties differentially link with leukemia cell birth rate and the type and sequela of signaling in chronic lymphocytic leukemia

By

Andrea N. Mazzarello^{1,+}, Eva Gentner-Göbel^{2,+}, Marcus Dühren-von Minden^{2,#}, Tatyana N. Tarasenko³, Antonella Nicolò², Gerardo Ferrer¹, Stefano Vergani¹, Yun Liu¹, Davide Bagnara^{1,4}, Kanti R. Rai¹, Jan A. Burger⁵, Peter J. McGuire³, Palash C. Maity^{2,*}, Hassan Jumaa^{2,*}, and Nicholas Chiorazzi^{1,*}

From

- 1. Karches Center for Oncology Research, The Feinstein Institutes for Medical Research, Northwell Health, Manhasset, NY, 11030, USA
- 2. Institute for Immunology, University Hospital Ulm, 89081 Ulm, Germany
- 3. Metabolism, Infection and Immunity Section, National Human Genome Research Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, 20892, MD, USA.
- 4. Department of Experimental Medicine, University of Genova, Genova, 16132, Italy.
- 5. Department of Leukemia, MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, 77030, Texas, USA.
- + Contributed equally
- # Current address: AVA Lifescience GmbH, 79211, Freiburg, Germany
- * Contributed equally

Address correspondence to:

Nicholas Chiorazzi, MD, The Feinstein Institutes for Medical Research, 350 Community Drive, Manhasset, NY, 11030, USA Tel: 1-516-562-1090 Fax: 1-516-562-1011 Email: NChizzi@Northwell.edu

Conflict of interest: The authors declared no conflict of interest.

Abstract

In chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), the B-cell receptor (BCR) plays a critical role in disease development and progression as indicated by the therapeutic efficacy of drugs blocking BCR signaling. However, the mechanism(s) underlining BCR responsiveness are not completely defined. Selective engagement of membrane IgM or IgD on CLL cells, each co-expressed by > 90% of cases, leads to distinct signaling events. Since both IgM and IgD carry the same antigenbinding domains, the divergent actions of the receptors are attributed to differences in immunoglobulin (IG) structure or the outcome of signal transduction. We showed that IgM, not IgD, level and organization linked with CLL-cell birth rate and the type and consequences of BCR signaling in humans and mice. The latter IgM-driven effects were abrogated when BCR signaling was inhibited. Collectively, these studies demonstrated a critical, selective role for IgM in BCR signaling and B-cell fate decisions, possibly opening new avenues for CLL therapy.

Introduction

Chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), the most prevalent leukemia among Caucasians, is a clonal disease of human B lymphocytes expressing membrane CD5 (1, 2). The disease is highly heterogeneous, with some patients surviving for decades without needing therapy and others requiring therapy shortly after diagnosis due to rapid disease progression. In addition to this heterogeneity between individual patients, CLL also varies intraclonally, containing quiescent and proliferating/recently-divided B-cell subpopulations (3), and the daily rate at which newly-divided cells are generated is linked to disease outcome (4).

Consistent with CLL being a disease of B lymphocytes, the structure and antigen-binding properties of the immunoglobulins (IGs) on the leukemia cell membrane are fundamental for disease development, evolution and response to therapy (5). In this regard, patients can be divided into two groups based on the level of somatic hypermutation in the IGHV of the membrane IG (6). This categorization associates strongly with clinical outcome as patients with IGHV-mutated CLL (M-CLL) have more indolent outcomes than those with IGHV-unmutated CLL (U-CLL) (7, 8). Moreover, CLL cells exhibit skewed IGHV gene use (6), and these IGHV genes can associate with specific IGHD and IGHJ genes (9-11) so that ~33% of all CLL cases express B-cell receptors (BCRs) with remarkable amino acid similarities (12); such rearrangements are referred to as "stereotyped BCRs". Moreover, CLL patients displaying the same stereotyped BCRs often share genetic abnormalities (13), and clinical features and courses (14).

Additionally, CLL IGs bind a diverse spectrum of antigens, spanning foreign and autologous molecules (15, 16). It is believed that interactions of such (auto)antigens with membrane-bound immunoglobulins (mIGs) (referred to as "BCRs" going forward) on CLL clones generate signals

leading to leukemic B-cell survival and growth or death (5). This concept is supported by the major beneficial effects for patients when BCR signaling is inhibited (17). Notably, human CLL B lymphocytes and murine B cells made to express polyreactive IGs can also signal through BCRs "autonomously", i.e., without a requirement for interaction with an extrinsic antigen (18, 19). This occurs as a consequence of CLL IGs binding themselves, a type of autoreactivity that leads to homotypic interaction (20).

Despite the accumulated knowledge regarding the unique features and clinical importance of the BCR in CLL, much less is known about the mechanism(s) whereby BCRs influence disease development and progression. For example, although most CLL B cells express both IgM and IgD, the physiologic relevance of the two isotypes is unclear. Indeed, since the IgM and IgD BCR isotypes bind the same antigen and the loss of function does not drastically perturb B-cell development (21, 22), the latter isotype has been considered redundant. Nevertheless, other findings suggest that both isotypes fulfill separate functions. For instance, the relative level and chronology of expression of IgM and IgD during different B-cell developmental stages are conserved in mouse and human. Moreover, IgM and IgD are structurally distinct, which can affect IG function, e.g., the long, flexible hinge region of IgD influences antigen binding properties, distinguishing that from IgM (23, 24). Additionally, on resting B cells, IgM and IgD reside in independent membrane clusters (23, 25), and their proximities to membrane co-receptors differ and undergo profound reorganization upon activation (23, 26); together these modulate the quality of BCR signaling and B-cell fate (23). Support for the existence of differential functions for the two isotypes in CLL derives from the observation that IgM membrane levels have prognostic relevance (27) and engaging these independently can lead to distinct signaling consequences (28).

Using samples from CLL patients whose *in vivo* leukemia cell birth rates were directly measured (29, 30), we investigated the two IG isotypes on CLL cells, focusing on specific membrane features, clonal metabolic activity, and the involvement of BCR signaling of the autonomous type. We demonstrate that IgM and not IgD membrane levels and organization are directly linked with *in vivo* CLL-cell birth rate and indirectly with cell size and metabolic activity, and that only IgM BCRs mediate autonomous signaling. Consistent with this, we show that the inability to express IgM abolishes the development and expansion of CLL-like B cells in the TCL1 mouse model of CLL. Collectively, these findings define basic mechanisms and regulation of constitutive BCR signaling that indicate a unique role for IgM BCRs in influencing CLL B-cell biology and fate.

Results

IgM and IgD differ in membrane levels and association with clinical course. Previous studies differ in the correlation between membrane IgM levels and clinical course (27, 31). So, herein, we addressed this issue and also questioned if the co-expressed IgD isotype was comparable to IgM in this regard. Using flow cytometry, we measured the levels of the two BCR isotypes, defined by mean fluorescence intensity (MFI), on 65 randomly chosen CLL patients of the M-CLL (n = 44) and U-CLL (n = 21) types (**Table S1**). In agreement with D'Avola et al, we found overall levels of IgM significantly higher in U-CLL than M-CLL samples (**Fig. S1A**). We also observed that IgD levels were higher in U-CLL cases. However, in contrast to IgM, IgD levels were more variable (**Fig. S1A**). This was validated using bivariate analysis (**Fig. S1B**).

We also compared time to first treatment (TTFT) of CLL patients grouped by high and low IgM levels based on a receiver-operating-characteristic (ROC) curve, defining an empirical MFI cut-off of 70 (**Fig. S1C**). Notably, while IgM^{high} patients required treatment significantly earlier than IgM^{low} cases (**Fig. 1A, left**), IgD^{high} and IgD^{low} cases, distinguished by the same MFI cut-off, did not differ for TTFT (**Fig. 1A, right**). Using the same cut-off for IgD levels did not allow proper separation (**Fig. S1D**). Similarly, a cut-off of 50 still allowed to group patients based on TTFT and IgM but not IgD (**Fig. S1E-F**).

Additionally, the relative roles of the two isotypes in TTFT were questioned using an IgD/IgM ratio (DvM ratio). This revealed significantly earlier treatment for patients with DvM \leq 1.15, in contrast to those with DvM >1.15 (**Fig. S1G-H**). Increased IgM expression was also detected in ZAP70⁺ CLLs, a subset of patients with enhanced disease aggressiveness (32, 33), whereas IgD was comparable between ZAP70⁺ and ZAP70⁻ (**Fig. S1I**). Collectively, these findings are

consistent with the levels of IgM being closely link with disease severity (27) and suggest distinct roles for the IgM and IgD isotypes in CLL as only IgM associates with clinical markers.

Membrane IgM and IgD organization differ on CLL B lymphocytes and between CLL and **normal B cells.** Next, we investigated the membrane characteristics of the two IG isotypes on primary CLL B cells from two patient cohorts (Table S2), both of whom participated in independent studies quantifying in vivo CLL B-cell birth rates (BRs) (29, 30). For both cohorts, leukemia cell BR was directly quantified by measuring the levels of ²H incorporated into newly synthesized DNA of CD19⁺CD5⁺ cells (34). Patients in the first cohort were treatment naïve but were judged by clinical signs and symptoms to require treatment within 6 months of enrollment. All of these patients subsequently received ibrutinib monotherapy (29). Because of the requirement for treatment, the BRs of most of these clones were high, falling above the clinical cut-off for poor prognosis, which is 0.35% increase in leukemic cell number per day (BR range: 0.32%-1.42%; **Table S2**) (30). The second cohort was involved in an independent clinical study not linked to a need for therapy (30); hence the BRs for most of the CLL cells from this cohort were below the birth rate cut-off associated with worse clinical course (BR range: 0.14%-0.54%; Table S2) (4, 30). Thus, the use of these two cohorts allowed drawing conclusions about the relevance of BR, over the entire clinically-relevant span, to BCR-influenced parameters.

For all following experiments with human primary cells, we used Imaging Flow Cytometry (IFC) to study the IG membrane features of the CLL cells in the two cohorts (**Fig. S2A-G**). IFC permits analysis of a large number of individual cells (35), thereby avoiding non-random sampling bias imposed by limited cell numbers; it also enables simultaneous analyses of the inherent heterogeneities that could arise due to different degrees of cellular activation and distinct cell division stages within individual CLL clones. Using this approach, we identified B-cell

populations bearing a CD19⁺CD5⁺ phenotype (**Fig. S2A**) and collected single cell images for each sample (**Fig. S2B**). Based on MFI, we evaluated binding specificity of our anti-IGs in comparison to isotype control for CLL B cells in the two patient cohorts (**Figs. S2C-D**) and for normal B cells from age-matched, healthy donors (**Fig. S2E**). Moreover, for each cell, we determined mean size (**Fig. S2F**) and measured the numbers and areas of IgM and IgD "high-density regions", i.e., high fluorescence intensity areas on cell membrane that are hereafter referred to as "spots" (**Fig. S2G**).

Intriguingly for CLL clones, median IgM MFI, spot count and spot area were significantly greater than IgD (**Fig. 1B**). Conversely, healthy donor CD19⁺CD5⁻ B cells displayed comparable IgM and IgD spot counts and spot areas (**Fig. S2H**), whereas CD19⁺CD5⁺ B cells had lower IgM than IgD, as determined by MFI (**Fig. S2H**). Hence, the measured membrane features differ between IgM and IgD on CLL, and the relative organization of both isotypes differs between CLL and normal B lymphocytes (**Figs. 1B and S2H**).

Membrane IgM, but not IgD, amounts and spot areas associate with CLL B-cell birth rates *in vivo*. Next, we compared three IgM and IgD features (MFI as a measure of amount; spot count; and spot area) among CLL clones grouped based on their leukemia-cell BRs, the latter being an indicator of disease aggressiveness (4, 36). Each IgM and IgD feature differed markedly for CLL clones in the higher BR groups (**Fig. 1C**). In contrast, the two isotypes were similar in each feature among the low BR cases (**Fig. 1C**). Furthermore, there was a significant, direct linear relationship between IgM MFI and IgM spot area with *in vivo* leukemia-cell BRs (**Fig. 1D**, **top**). Similarly, the DvM ratio correlated with *in vivo* leukemia-cell BRs (**Fig. S2I**). In contrast, the same parameters for IgD did not show this relationship (**Fig. 1D**, **bottom**).

Together, the above data suggest the density and the topography of IgM link better with CLL B-cell proliferation *in vivo* than IgD.

Since the density of membrane IgM and IgD defined by MFI might be specious because of differences in the binding abilities of the detecting antibodies used (polyclonal F(ab')₂ fragments of goat anti-human IgM and IgD), we measured the absolute number of IgM and IgD BCRs on CLL B-cell membranes (Fig. S3A-G). Quantum Simply Cellular (QSC) beads with different antibody binding capacities (ABCs) (Fig. S3A) were incubated with anti-IgM and IgD mAbs and used to determine the equivalence of MFI and ABCs (Fig S3B). The IgM and IgD MFIs of CLL samples stained with the same mAbs were used to calculate the corresponding median ABCs and the equivalent absolute number of BCRs on CLL B cells (see Supplementary Methods for details) (37). In general, CLL B cells expressed a greater absolute number of IgM than IgD BCRs, when quantified individually (Figs. S3C-D) and as a group (Fig. S3E). Also consistent with Figure 1D, a significant direct relationship was observed between IgM BCR numbers and in vivo leukemiacell BRs (Fig. S3F, left). In contrast, the number of IgD BCRs did not associate with BR (Fig. S3F, right). Finally, upon human IgM and IgD staining of CLL B cells using F(ab')₂ goat pAbs, the MFIs for both isotypes were plotted with their previously calculated IgM and IgD ABCs, displaying a linear translation with similar comparability (Fig. S3G). Thus, these results confirm that IgM and IgD densities as measured by the MFI accurately reflect the relative amounts of the two isotypes on CLL B cell membranes and confirm that IgM, and not IgD, associates with CLL in vivo kinetics.

The differential association of IgM and IgD with *in vivo* leukemia-cell birth rates is reflected in consequences of BCR signaling. Since CLL cells express a membrane phenotype resembling chronically stimulated B cells (38), we asked how cell size and metabolic activity, which change based on cell activation (39, 40), related to IgM and IgD MFIs, spot counts, and spot areas (**Fig. S2F**). A significant direct correlation of cell size, measured by the IFC bright field channel, with IgM MFI and a trend for IgM spot area were identified (**Fig. 2A, top).** In contrast, IgD MFI, spot count and area, nor IgM spot count associated with CLL median cell size (MCS) (**Fig. 2A, bottom**).

Because our data support a link between IgM (not IgD) and BR (**Figs. 1C-D and S3F**) and between IgM (not IgD) and MCS (**Fig. 2A**), we questioned if clonal cell size and *in vivo* BR were linked as well. Indeed, MCS was significantly, directly proportional with BR (**Fig. 2B**), suggesting patients whose leukemic clones proliferate more rapidly have, on average, larger cells. This can be readily appreciated when the single cell distribution of clones from two patients differing in *in vivo* BRs (CLL1803: 1.42% increase/day versus CLL1820: 0.54% increase/day) were compared (**Fig. 2C-D**).

Additionally, after grouping the patients into faster and slower BR subgroups, we measured overall MCS as well as the percentage of smallest (60-80 μ m²) and largest (> 110 μ m²) cells for each case. Notably, neither MCS nor the fraction of the smallest cells differed significantly between the BR subgroups (**Fig. S4A, left and middle**), whereas a significantly higher frequency of the largest cells (**Fig. S4A, right**) was observed among those cases with faster BRs. The lack of statistical significance for MCS and smallest cells between the two BR subgroups is likely due to the progressively increasing cell size in association with BR and/or the number of cases available for study.

Since the percentage of cells in the largest size fraction linked with the *in vivo* growth rate of distinct leukemic clones (**Fig. S4A**), we surmised these were cells temporally closer to cell division. Therefore, we measured MCS of the recently-divided (CXCR4^{Dim}CD5^{Bright}) population (3, 36) in the blood of the same patients. Indeed, the most recently-divided cells were markedly larger than the CXCR4^{Bright}CD5^{Dim} cells that had divided earlier (**Fig. S4B**). Additionally, the most recently-divided cells expressed significantly higher BCR amounts than their older, more quiescent counterparts (**Fig. S4C**). Similarly, the intraclonal cells of the largest size display significantly higher BCR levels than those with the smallest size (**Fig. S4D**). Since bigger cells may present a greater number of mIGs due to their greater membrane surface, we evaluated if there was an intrinsic higher density of IGs by normalizing the IgM and IgD MFI with the corresponding cellular size. In this instance, only IgM maintained a significant difference among intraclonal subpopulations (**Fig. S4E**). Thus, in contrast to IgD, the levels of membrane IgM link with *in* vivo birth rate and average cellular size measured for the entire clone and for intraclonal members based on time since cell division.

Since cell activation also alters cellular metabolic activity (39, 40), we next asked if the *in vivo* clonal growth rates correlated with metabolic activity in the same patient. To document this directly, we used extracellular flux analysis to compare lower and higher BR CLL clones for differences in oxygen consumption rate (OCR) and extracellular acidification rate (ECAR), measurements of mitochondrial function and glycolytic activity, respectively (**Figs. 3 and S5**) (41). Mitochondrial ATP production, maximal respiration, spare capacity (**Fig. 3A**) and glycolytic capacity (**Fig. 3B**) indicated that CLL clones with higher BRs were significantly more metabolically active. Similarly, a trend for basal respiration (**Fig. 3A**) and glycolic reserve (**Fig. 3B**) being associated with higher BRs supported the above conclusion. In contrast, glycolysis did

not associate with leukemia-cell BR (**Figs. 3B**). Similarly, comparing IgM and IgD MFI with OCR and ECAR measurements, highlighted a significant association of IgM with basal respiration and glycolytic capacity and a trend for IgM being linked with mitochondrial ATP production, maximal respiration and glycolysis (**Fig. S6A-B**). Conversely, IgD displayed only a trend of association with the basal respiration and mitochondrial ATP production (**Fig. S6A-B**).

Additionally, dividing patients into three subgroups based on CLL-cell BR (Low: <0.35%; Int: 0.35-0.65%; and High: 0.80%-1.42%) revealed a similar significant trend when the Low and High BR groups were compared; the Intermediate (Int) group did not differ significantly from the others, consistent with a progressive, linear relationship between BR and metabolic parameters (**Fig. 3A-B**). This was also reflected in the overall metabolic profile of the 3 subgroups (**Fig. 3C**). Similarly, the high IgM subgroup reflected a more energetic profile than the high IgD subgroup (**Fig. S6C**). Hence, those CLL clones with higher membrane IgM levels and dividing more rapidly *in vivo* exhibit increased metabolic activity, marked by an energetic metabolic profile.

The IgM but not IgD isotype drives autonomous BCR signaling and its consequences *in vitro*. BCRs on CLL clones can spontaneously self-associate and deliver signals autonomously without binding extrinsic antigen (18), and the BCR structural elements responsible for self-association can differ among CLLs with distinct BCR stereotypes (20). Because our findings indicate that only IgM BCR amounts and aggregation into high density regions - parameters linked with BCR signaling - associate with *in vivo* clonal BRs (Figs. 1 and S3F) and cellular changes associated with BCR signaling (Figs. 2, 3, S4 and S5), we questioned whether autonomous BCR signaling, which is expected to be an ongoing event in CLL clones, differed for the IgM and IgD isotypes. This was done by examining the signaling capacities of 13 CLL-derived BCRs that retained the IGHV-D-J and IGLV-J structures found on the original CLL B cell but expressed either IgM or IgD constant regions (**Table S3**) using TKO cells that lack endogenous BCR expression but express 4-hydroxy-tamoxifen (4-OHT) inducible ERT2-SLP-65 that enables Ca⁺⁺ influx upon BCR signaling (42).

Upon addition of 4-OHT, BCRs of the IgM isotype induced autonomous signaling, whereas their IgD counterparts did not (**Fig. 4A**, **left**), even though they expressed the identical IGHV-D-J and IGLV-D-J rearrangements at equal levels (**Fig. 57A**). Notably, both IgM and IgD mediated robust anti-LC-induced Ca⁺⁺ influx (**Figs. 4A**, **right**), indicating that ligand-dependent BCR signaling was intact for both isotypes. These results were found for M-CLL and U-CLL as well as stereotyped and non-stereotyped CLL BCRs (**Table S3**).

Next, we tested the isotype-specific differences of BCRs from 4 TCL1-transgenic (TCL1) mice that spontaneously develop a CD19⁺CD5⁺ B-cell lymphoproliferative disease (43) that resembles aggressive, treatment-resistant human CLL (44). Similar to CLL patients, only the BCRs bearing the IgM isotype led to autonomous signaling (**Fig. 4B, left**); IgD BCRs failed to do so despite similar levels of expression and a comparable ability to induce Ca⁺⁺ influx upon LC engagement (**Figs. 4B, right, and S7B**). Thus, for both human and murine CLL-derived BCRs, only the IgM isotype transmits autonomous BCR signals, even though both can signal when the BCRs are engaged by antibodies that mimic extrinsic antigen interaction. These results were qualitatively comparable for all CLL and TCL-1 BCRs tested, as reported in Table S3.

Finally, an increase in cell size was observed for only those TKO cells expressing IgM but not IgD CLL BCRs. Correspondingly, IgM and IgD BCRs from control CD19⁺CD5⁺ B lymphocytes did not lead to increased cellular size (**Fig. 2E-F**). Thus, these findings support the observed relationship between IgM levels, BCR signaling, and increased cellular size (**Fig. 2A, left**).

IgM is required for the development of a B1 derived CLL-like leukemia in mice. To examine the importance of the IgM isotype *in vivo*, we crossed TCL1 mice with IgM^{-/-} mice (21), and thereafter compared CD19⁺CD5⁺ B-cell numbers in the spleen (**Figs. 4C, D and S8A**) and bone marrow (**Fig. S8B**) of offspring at various ages. Within 6-8 months, splenic CD19⁺CD5⁺ B cells were elevated only in wild type IgM^{+/+} animals carrying the TCL1 transgene (Tg). None of the homozygous (IgM^{-/-}) or heterozygous (IgM^{+/-}) knock-out animals or mice lacking the TCL1 transgene showed this increase (**Fig. 4C**). Correspondingly, IgM^{+/+} TCL1 mice older than 12 months showed accumulation of CD19⁺CD5⁺ B cells in the spleen, compared to age matched IgM^{-/-} TCL1 and IgM^{-/-} animals (**Fig. 4D**). Similar accumulations of B cells with the same phenotype were found in the bone marrows of IgM^{+/+} TCL1 mice compared to age matched IgM^{-/-} TCL1 and IgM^{-/-} mice, confirming that IgM expression is necessary for CLL-like lymphoproliferation in the TCL1-Tg model (**Fig. S8B**).

When comparing lymphocyte subpopulations in the spleens, we found decreased numbers of CD19⁺CD5⁺ CLL-like cells and a gain of CD19⁺CD5⁻B cells in the IgM^{-/-} TCL1 mice (**Fig. S8C**). Conversely, IgM^{+/+} and IgM^{-/-} mice lacking TCL1 overexpression did not exhibit a loss of CD19⁺CD5⁺ or CD19⁺CD5⁻ B-cell subpopulations (**Fig. S8C**). The latter finding rules out the possibility that the absence of IgM downregulates CD5 expression and supports progression to leukemia as a cause for the decreased numbers of CD19⁺CD5⁺ CLL-like cells in the IgM^{-/-} TCL1 animals. However, the gain of CD19⁺CD5⁻B cells in the IgM^{-/-} TCL1 mice might still represent a phenotypically unusual CLL-like expansion. Normal and SLE-like murine B1 cells and TCL1 CLL-like cells use a very restricted set of IGHVs, mostly *IGHV11-2*, *IGHV11-3*, and *IGHV1-55* (*44*, *45*). Thus, we evaluated *IGHV* use in splenic B cells of IgM^{-/-} TCL1, IgM^{+/+} TCL1 and IgM^{-/-}

mice (**Fig. 4E and Table S4**), finding that IgM^{+/+} TCL1 splenic B cells of each mouse exhibited an oligoclonal population that used one (or more) of the reported *IGHVs*. In contrast, IgM^{-/-} TCL1 did not express any of the *IGHV* genes over-represented in normal and autoimmune B1 and CLLlike B cells. Finally, IgM^{-/-} mice displayed a polyclonal population and a different IGHV usage with respect to IgM^{+/+} TCL1 as well (**Fig. 4E and Table S4**). Thus, the IgM^{-/-} TCL1 CD19⁺CD5⁻ B cells do not resemble IgM^{+/+} TCL1 CD19⁺CD5⁺ expansion both phenotypically (CD5 expression) and for IGHV usage. The IgM^{-/-} TCL1 CD19⁺CD5⁻ B cells expansion is likely due to the genetic pressure of TCL1 overexpression, leading to the formation of other lymphoma/leukemia in these mice. This further support that lack of IgM expression does not allow CLL-like cells development.

Importantly, IgM^{-/-} TCL1 mice possess a CD19⁺CD5⁺IgD⁺ B-cell population from which the leukemia could initiate. However, despite the presence of these cells, a CLL-like leukemia did not develop in these animals. Since only IgM BCRs from humans and mice with CLL can signal autonomously (**Figs. 4A, B**), the lack of CD19⁺CD5⁺IgD⁺ CLL-like cells supports our contention that IgM-specific autonomous signaling is required for the overgrowth and accumulation of leukemic B cells in TCL1 mice. This corroborates finding that the development of CLL-like B cells in TCL1 mice autonomous and antigen-mediated BCR signaling (46).

Inhibition of BTK by ibrutinib blocks autonomous BCR signaling *in vitro* **and diminishes metabolic activity in CLL cells** *in vivo*. Since ibrutinib, an inhibitor of Bruton's tyrosine kinase (iBTK), effectively blocks BCR signaling *in vitro* (47) and in patients (48), we assessed the BTK-dependency of autonomous signaling using TKO cells expressing CLL IgM BCRs (Fig. 5A-B). Ca⁺⁺ influx was inhibited by ibrutinib pre-treatment (**Fig. 5A**), supporting BTK as necessary for

autonomous BCR signaling. As expected, ligand-dependent BCR signaling induced by anti-LC and anti-HC Abs was also inhibited by ibrutinib (**Fig. 5B**).

During in vivo ibrutinib treatment, we did not observe statistically significant changes in IGs features for both IgM and IgD (data not shown). This could be due to the point in the disease at which we tested, at which treatment started, and/or the duration of the treatment. In addition, because the role that kinases upstream of BTK play in IG re-organization is complex and unappreciated. Finally, the number of cases available for study might have been insufficient to define significant effects. Indeed, the changes in IgM and IgD organization are believed to be strongly associated with an "Inside-out" Syk activity that modify actin cytoskeleton and BCR organization upon engagement (26). Thus, inhibition of BTK, a kinase downstream of Syk, may not lead to significant changes in BCR organization, while still influencing the signaling consequences further along in the pathway. However, analyses of leukemia-cell size from patients treated with ibrutinib had a strong effect on cell size, significantly reducing MCS in 10/11 patients (Fig. 5C). Moreover, the degree of cell size change during ibrutinib therapy was reflected differentially among patients in that clones with higher in vivo BR cases had the largest cell size reductions. The differential effect is easily appreciated when comparing single cell areas for the 2 patients differing in in vivo BRs (CLL1803: 1.42% increase/day versus CLL1820: 0.54% increase/day) (Figs. 5D and S9A). Similarly, when the samples were divided into faster and slower BR subgroups, only the former displayed significant decreases in MCS and downsizing was most evident in the largest (more active) cells (Fig. S9B). In line with this, the higher BR group also had a greater increase in the numbers of the smallest (less active) cells upon iBTK therapy (Fig. **S9B**).

In line with this, OCR showed an overall significant reduction in the two BR groups for basal respiration, ATP production and maximal respiration (**Figs. 6A and S9C**). Additionally, an inhibitory trend was observed for mitochondrial spare capacity (**Fig. 6A**) and glycolytic parameters (**Figs. 6B and S9D**). The depression of metabolic activity during ibrutinib treatment, especially mitochondrial respiration in the higher BR samples, led to a quiescent metabolic profile (**Fig. 6C**).

Thus, inhibition of BCR signaling associates with a regression in cell size and metabolic profile *in vivo*, and the changes are proportionally greater for the larger and more metabolically active leukemic B cells. Collectively our findings assign the effects solely to the IgM isotype.

Discussion

The leukemic B lymphocytes in CLL patients are highly dependent on signals delivered by the BCR for survival and growth. Since IgM and IgD initiate these signals, we studied the amount, distribution, and signal transmission capacity of the two isotypes, and then associated these with leukemia-cell BRs in patients, a measure of disease activity, and with cell size and metabolic activity, consequences of cell activation and division. A strength of these studies is the use of samples from patients for whom clonal *in vivo* BRs had been measured. Since for some patients BRs were measured just prior to starting iBTK monotherapy, we were also able to directly associate our findings before and when BCR signaling was inhibited.

Using this approach, we documented that IgM and IgD BCRs on the same leukemic B cell are organized and function differently. On unmanipulated *ex vivo* cells, we found that IgM is present in greater amounts and is organized into more and larger high density regions/spots than for IgD. Since higher clonal BRs directly associate with poor prognosis (4), our finding that IgM expression and to a lesser extent IgM spot area associate with leukemic-cell proliferation rates *in vivo* suggests an explanation as to why CLL patients with clones exhibiting the highest IgM amounts experience the worst clinical outcome (27).

We also found that, despite IgM and IgD bearing identical antigen-binding domains, only IgM molecules mediate autonomous signaling in human and murine CLL B lymphocytes. Thus, in some manner, the IgM constant region leads to or allows IG-IG self-association that promotes and appears necessary for autonomous BCR signaling (42). Support for a direct contribution by the IG constant region comes from the crystal structure of a distinct, stereotyped subset of CLL clones (subset #4) in which the constant region provides an amino acid essential for IG-IG self-association

(20). In contrast, the crystal structure of another stereotyped subset (subset #2) indicates that selfassociation can be independent of the IGH constant region (20). Thus for some CLL cases, indirect mechanistic models are necessary to explain a selective role for IGH in self-association and resultant autonomous signaling. In this regard, the IgM and IgD isotypes exhibit distinct thresholds of activation due to differences in hinge region length and flexibility which could affect selfassociation, as it does for binding to antigens differing in epitope valency (24). Moreover, since each set of CLL clones appears to require a structurally distinct internal epitope to allow IG-IG self-association (20), both possibilities could occur.

We also discovered further proof for IgM membrane amounts having a primary role in the development of leukemia by using TCL1 mice, a model of CLL, in which expression of the IgM constant region was not possible (21). In this setting, autonomous signaling and leukemia development did not occur, even though CD19⁺CD5⁺IgD⁺ B cells existed in these animals, suggesting that IgM expression is involved in the biased use of the specific IGHVs in B cells. Indeed, TCL1 mice expressing IgM mainly displayed IGHV11-2, IGHV12-3 and IGHV1-55, which are the most commonly used IGHVs in the restricted repertoires exhibited by normal and autoimmune B-1 cells and by CLL-like murine CD5⁺ B (44, 45). In contrast IgM-/- TCL1 mice did not express any of these B-1 associated IGHV genes.

Collectively these findings indicate that, even though IgM and IgD on the same B-cell clone display identical antigen-binding regions, only IgM delivers autonomous signals to CLL cells to promote clonal sustenance in patients and mice. This is in line with studies in TCL1 mice demonstrating a requirement for autonomous signaling in the genesis of CLL (46).

This conclusion is supported by finding that IgM BCR amounts and *in vivo* CLL-cell BRs correlate with enhanced cellular metabolic activity, most of which is accounted for by changes in

oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS). BCR signaling and OXPHOS are directly linked in CLL, as evidenced by genetic ablation studies knocking out PI3K δ (49), as well as by our findings with iBTK treatment in patients showing that regression of metabolic activity from an energetic to a quiescent state associates with the level of disease activity. Our data concerning the ability of ibrutinib to modulate mitochondrial metabolism are also supported by a recent publication addressing markers of poor prognosis in CLL (50). Overall, enhanced OXPHOS is a predictor of poor outcomes in CLL (49), and our studies link IgM signaling to this critical metabolic pathway.

Thus, key issues are how to meld the relationships between [1] increased IgM BCR levels and assembly into more frequent and larger high-density regions/spots, [2] faster in vivo BRs and enhanced cell size and heightened metabolic activity, and [3] increased IgM BCR levels and faster in vivo BRs with the types of BCR signaling. Regarding the latter, in vivo iBTK therapy, which we showed blocks autonomous as well as extrinsic antigen-mediated BCR signaling, led to significant decreases in cell size and clonal metabolic activity that were proportional with *in vivo* BRs. While inhibition of autonomous signaling by ibrutinib might be expected, this is the first formal report of such an action by ibrutinib and, thus, has implications for our understanding of CLL biology as well as how the drug might act as a therapeutic agent. These findings indicate that clonal metabolic activity is significantly influenced by cellular activation through the BCR, consistent with the activated membrane phenotype of CLL cells (51) and the expression of cdk4 and cyclin E indicating positioning at the early G1 phase of the cell cycle (52). Moreover, the findings are in line with the metabolic phenotype of BCR-triggered normal B cells (39, 53). Lastly, this possibility is also supported indirectly by the discovery that CLL BCRs form oligomers "autonomously" in contrast to normal B cells (54).

Finally, it is crucial to understand the relative contributions of autonomous BCR signaling, mediated by self-association induced by binding to antigens intrinsic to the BCR, and classical BCR signaling induced by IG binding to antigens extrinsic to the BCR, to the findings reported here and to link these to CLL B-cell biology. Regarding autonomous signaling, the structures that allow this are inherent to IgM. Consequently, IgM BCRs always have the opportunity to selfassociate and autonomously signal, regardless of the location in the body of an individual cell at any point in time. For classical BCR signaling, since both IgM and IgD engage the same extrinsic antigen, the two isotypes together initiate this form of signaling. However, in order to bind IGextrinsic antigens and thereby lead to cell stimulation and growth (as opposed to anergy), leukemic B cells should reside in solid tissues, e.g., lymph nodes (LNs) (55-57), where a greater avidity of antigen-antibody interactions can occur due to the immobilization of the target antigens. This is consistent with the documentation that CLL B-cell proliferation occurs more extensively in LNs (57). Since not all cells in the leukemic clone can simultaneously interact with extrinsic antigen in the correct anatomic location, changes in membrane topography, cell size, and metabolic activity induced by classical BCR signaling would be manifested in only a small subset of cells.

Thus, we propose the following chronologic scenario. CLL-cell proliferation is initiated by engaging BCR-extrinsic antigen(s) (auto or foreign antigens) via IgM/IgD BCRs in proliferation centers of the stromal microenvironment (57), and this involves only the relatively small subpopulation of leukemic cells at those sites (3). These cells undergo metabolic reprogramming to meet the energetic demands of cell growth (58). When these recently-divided cells exit tissue niches and arrive in the circulation, autonomous IgM-mediated BCR signaling maintains them in an augmented metabolic state that enhances survival. Consequently, autonomous signaling allows some of these cells to survive long enough to traffic back to and re-enter tissue niches where they

receive pro-survival signals, mediated by classical BCR signaling. Thus, autonomous signaling provides survival signals permitting survival until the leukemic cells reach the tissue microenvironment. In contrast, signaling initiated by BCR-extrinsic antigens drives proliferation of those cells at an appropriate anatomic location where they interact with clonally-relevant antigens. This process varies for cells based on their rates of growth since the relative proportion of CLL cells that have divided is greater for those with faster BRs.

Although this proposal stresses a role for autonomous signaling in the periphery, such stimulation would also occur centrally and likely alter responses and cell fates initiated by classical BCR signaling. In this regard since productive IgD engagement requires multivalent antigens (59), which are more likely available as immobilized substrates in secondary lymphoid tissues (55, 57), IgD-mediated signaling could rescue anergic cells in the circulation, the latter possibly induced by IgM BCRs (60). This is in line with IL-4 increasing IgM expression and re-energizing the BCR signaling capacities of CLL cells (61, 62). Thus, in this model, the influences of the two BCR isotypes would differ at different phases of the CLL life-cycle. Further studies of the interaction of these two types of BCR signaling and their effects on maintaining and supporting survival and expansion of CLL cells in distinct anatomic niches are needed to test this hypothesis.

Materials and Methods

Study design.

This study was designed to compare the membrane topography of the clonally-restricted IgM and IgD BCRs on CLL cells and to assess the contributions their respective signaling played in the development and progression of the disease.

In a cohort of 65 randomly-selected patients (Table S1), we confirmed that IgM BCR amounts correlated with the previously described need for earlier treatment (27). To investigate this association more precisely and to relate it to leukemia-cell in vivo birth rate (BR) and metabolic activity, we used cryopreserved samples from two cohorts of untreated patients (n = 12 and 11, respectively; **Table S2**) that differed in clonal BRs. In general, Cohort 1 contained patients with faster BRs and Cohort 2 with slower BRs. The first group received iBTK therapy within 6 months of determining the in vivo CLL-cell kinetics; for the second group there was no such requirement. For both groups, BRs were measured directly by determining the levels of deuterium (²H) incorporated into newly-synthetized DNA of leukemic cells replicating in vivo after the patients had drunk deuterated water (²H₂O) Additional information about the two clinical trials and *in vivo* kinetics of these patients is available (29, 30). Because patients with defined *in vivo* leukemia-cell BRs who received treatment with ibrutinib therapy within 6 months of this measurement are extremely scarce, the choice of samples and their employment for each assay was not blind and was based on material availability (Table S2). For these patients, the time points for the samples analyzed were preselected as those taken before and 4 weeks after initiation of iBTK treatment. Samples taken before treatment for Cohort 1 and those of Cohort 2 were used to investigate the association of *in vivo* BR with membrane IgM and IgD features and clonal metabolic activity

profile. IgM and IgD membrane features included cell surface density (MFI) and aggregation in high density regions (spots). As ibrutinib inhibits BTK activity and intracellular BCR signaling, samples from Cohort 1 taken during treatment were used to determine if IgM and IgD BCRs, clonal metabolic activity measured by both cell size using IFC, mitochondrial respiration and glycolysis activity using Seahorse technology, and *in vivo* BRs were intimately related to BCR signaling.

The abilities of IgM and IgD BCRs to transduce intracellular signaling was tested using the established TKO cell line approach (42), by expressing CLL-derived IGHV-D-J and IGLV-J rearrangements as IgM or IgD. CLL IG rearrangements were arbitrarily chosen to cover the skewed and yet heterogeneous range found in patients, thus including those falling into the U-CLL and M-CLL subgroups and into stereotyped and non-stereotyped subgroups (6, 14). Direct proof for the importance of IG isotype in the development and progression of CLL *in vivo* was confirmed by crossing TCL1 mice (43), a widely used murine model of aggressive CLL (44), with IgM^{4/-} animals that, from early B-cell development, lack μ heavy chain expression but retain δ heavy chains (21).

Patient sample. Cryopreserved samples from untreated CLL patients with active disease who previously drank ${}^{2}\text{H}_{2}\text{O}$ to determine CLL-cell BRs *in vivo* and who subsequently received treatment with only ibrutinib were used. These are referred to as Cohort 1. A second, independent cohort of samples whose *in vivo* kinetics were determined but did not necessarily require treatment was also used. Detailed data about the two clinical trials and *in vivo* kinetics of these patients are publicly available (29, 30).

Animal Model. TCL1 transgenic mice (43, 44) were crossed with IgM knockout mice (IgM^{-/-}) (21) to obtain the following genotypes: IgM^{+/+}TCL1^{+/tg}, IgM^{+/-}TCL1^{+/tg}, IgM^{-/-}TCL1^{+/tg}. Mice were sacrificed at 6, 7, 8 and > 12 months of age, respectively. Mice of control strains ($IgM^{+/+}$, $IgM^{+/-}$, and $IgM^{-/-}$) were sacrificed at the same time points.

Statistical Analysis. For statistical analysis, Graph Pad Prism software was used. The statistical significance (*P* value) of patient time to first treatment (TTFT) was calculated using the log-rank test. For mouse populations and cell size grouped analyses, Mann-Whitney test was used. Wilcoxon Rank test was used for paired analysis (IGs clusters and cell size before and during treatment). Correlations were calculated with Pearson's coefficient and lines fit with linear regression analysis. For multiple comparisons one-way ANOVA with Tukey correction was used. Statistical significance was defined by P < 0.05 (two-tailed). Data are presented as mean ± SEM, unless otherwise indicated.

Study approval. Human studies were carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Institutional Review Boards of The Feinstein Institute for Medical Research and Freiburg University. All patients provided written informed consent. Animal experiments were performed according to institutional ethical allowance and in compliance with the guidelines of the German law, license no. 1288, regional board Tübingen, Germany and approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of Ulm University under the permission number 1288.

List of Supplementary Materials

Supplementary Materials and Methods

- Fig. S1. Correlation of IgM and IgD membrane levels with prognostic markers.
- Fig. S2. IgM and IgD fluorescence detection and analysis of high density regions ("spots") and cellular size.
- Fig. S3. The absolute amount of membrane IgM and not IgD is linked with in vivo CLL birth rate.
- Fig. S4. Cell size difference between CLL B cells with different *in vivo* birth rates and times since last cell division.
- Fig. S5. Oxygen Consumption Rate (OCR) and Extracellular Acidification Rate (ECAR) of CLL B cells differing in *in vivo* birth rates (BRs).
- Fig. S6. CLL-cell metabolic activity associates with CLL B-lymphocyte IgM and IgD MFI.
- Fig. S7. Membrane expression of IGs from CLL patients and TCL1 mice and TKO cell size measurement upon CLL BCR expression.
- Fig. S8. Phenotypic categorization of B lymphocytes in TCL1 mice.
- Fig. S9. Effects of ibrutinib on cell size and metabolic activity of CLL B cells with different *in vivo* birth rates (BRs).
- Table S1. Characteristics of the cohort of randomly selected CLL patients studied for IGHV mutation status, TTFT, and ZAP70 association with membrane IgM and IgD levels.

- Table S2. Characteristics of the two cohorts of CLL patients for whom clonal birth rates were measured *in vivo*.
- Table S3. Characteristics of the BCRs derived from human CLLs and TCL1 mice analyzed for autonomous and ligand-initiated BCR signaling using TKO cells.

Table S4. Frequency of IGHV use in splenic B cells of IgM^{+/+} TCL1, IgM^{-/-} TCL1 and IgM^{-/-} mice.

Acknowledgments: We thank Drs. Matthew Scharff (Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY, USA) and Franco Fais (University of Genoa, Italy) for helpful discussions and critical review of the manuscript; Dr. Sophia Yancopoulos for assistance with the manuscript preparation; and Dr. Christopher Colon for IFC set up.

Funding: This work was supported in part by philanthropic contributions from the Karches Foundation, The Marks Foundation, The Nash Family Foundation, and the Jean Walton Fund for Leukemia, Lymphoma, and Myeloma Research. The work was also funded by DFG Collaborative Research Projects SFB1074(A10) and SFB1279(B03), and the European Research Council (ERC) advanced grant 694992 to HJ. E.G-G. was supported by the ERC 694992. P.C.M is institutional fellow at Institute for Immunology, University Hospital Ulm.

Author contributions: *Conceptualization*, ANM, EG-G, MD-vM, PCM, PJMcG, HJ and NC. *Methodology*: ANM, EG-G, and MD-vM. *Validation*: ANM, EG-G, MD-vM and PCM. *Formal Analysis*, ANM, EG-G, MD-vM and PCM. *Investigation*, ANM, EG-G, MD-vM, PCM, TNT, AN, GF, SV, YL and DB. *Resources*, KRR, JAB, PJMcG, HJ and NC. *Writing – Original Draft*, ANM, MD-vM, HJ and NC. *Writing – Review & Editing*, ANM, EG-G, PCM, PJMcG, HJ and NC. *Visualization*, ANM, EG-G, MD-vM and PCM. *Supervision*, HJ and NC. *Project Administration*, ANM, EG-G, HJ and NC. *Funding Acquisition*, HJ and NC.

References

- 1. Chiorazzi N, et al. Chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *N Engl J Med.* 2005;352.
- 2. Kipps TJ, et al. Chronic lymphocytic leukaemia. *Nat Rev Dis Primers*. 2017;3:16096.
- Calissano C, et al. Intraclonal complexity in chronic lymphocytic leukemia: fractions enriched in recently born/divided and older/quiescent cells. *Mol Med.* 2011;17(11-12):1374-82.
- 4. Murphy EJ, et al. Leukemia-cell proliferation and disease progression in patients with early stage chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Leukemia*. 2017;31(6):1348-54.
- Burger JA, and Chiorazzi N. B cell receptor signaling in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Trends Immunol.* 2013;34(12):592-601.
- 6. Fais F, et al. Chronic lymphocytic leukemia B cells express restricted sets of mutated and unmutated antigen receptors. *J Clin Invest.* 1998;102(8):1515-25.
- Damle RN, et al. Ig V gene mutation status and CD38 expression as novel prognostic indicators in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Blood*. 1999;94(6):1840-7.
- Hamblin TJ, et al. Unmutated Ig V(H) genes are associated with a more aggressive form of chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Blood*. 1999;94.
- Tobin G, et al. Subsets with restricted immunoglobulin gene rearrangement features indicate a role for antigen selection in the development of chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Blood.* 2004;104(9):2879-85.
- 10. Ghiotto F, et al. Remarkably similar antigen receptors among a subset of patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *J Clin Invest.* 2004;113(7):1008-16.
- 11. Messmer BT, et al. Multiple distinct sets of stereotyped antigen receptors indicate a role for antigen in promoting chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *J Exp Med.* 2004;200(4):519-25.

- 12. Darzentas N, and Stamatopoulos K. Stereotyped B cell receptors in B cell leukemias and lymphomas. *Methods Mol Biol.* 2013;971:135-48.
- Strefford JC, et al. Distinct patterns of novel gene mutations in poor-prognostic stereotyped subsets of chronic lymphocytic leukemia: the case of SF3B1 and subset [num]2. *Leukemia*. 2013;27(11):2196-9.
- Stamatopoulos K, et al. Antigen receptor stereotypy in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Leukemia*. 2016;31:282.
- 15. Catera R, et al. Chronic lymphocytic leukemia cells recognize conserved epitopes associated with apoptosis and oxidation. *Mol Med.* 2008;14(11-12):665-74.
- Lanemo Myhrinder A, et al. A new perspective: molecular motifs on oxidized LDL, apoptotic cells, and bacteria are targets for chronic lymphocytic leukemia antibodies. *Blood.* 2008;111(7):3838-48.
- Byrd JC, et al. Targeting BTK with Ibrutinib in Relapsed Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2013;369(1):32-42.
- Duhren-von Minden M, et al. Chronic lymphocytic leukaemia is driven by antigenindependent cell-autonomous signalling. *Nature*. 2012;489(7415):309-12.
- Köhler F, et al. Autoreactive B Cell Receptors Mimic Autonomous Pre-B Cell Receptor Signaling and Induce Proliferation of Early B Cells. *Immunity*. 2008;29(6):912-21.
- 20. Minici C, et al. Distinct homotypic B-cell receptor interactions shape the outcome of chronic lymphocytic leukaemia. *Nature communications*. 2017;8:15746.
- 21. Lutz C, et al. IgD can largely substitute for loss of IgM function in B cells. *Nature*. 1998;393(6687):797-801.

- 22. Nitschke L, et al. Immunoglobulin D-deficient mice can mount normal immune responses to thymus-independent and -dependent antigens. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1993;90(5):1887-91.
- Jumaa H. Tuning B cell responsiveness by antigen receptor isotype. *Oncotarget*. 2015;6(32):32311-2.
- 24. Ubelhart R, et al. Responsiveness of B cells is regulated by the hinge region of IgD. *Nat Immunol.* 2015;16(5):534-43.
- Maity PC, et al. B cell antigen receptors of the IgM and IgD classes are clustered in different protein islands that are altered during B cell activation. *Sci Signal*. 2015;8(394):ra93.
- Klasener K, et al. B cell activation involves nanoscale receptor reorganizations and insideout signaling by Syk. *eLife*. 2014;3:e02069.
- 27. D'Avola A, et al. Surface IgM expression and function associate with clinical behavior, genetic abnormalities and DNA methylation in CLL. *Blood.* 2016;128(6):816-26.
- ten Hacken E, et al. Functional Differences between IgM and IgD Signaling in Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia. *The Journal of Immunology*. 2016;197(6):2522-31.
- 29. Burger JA, et al. Leukemia cell proliferation and death in chronic lymphocytic leukemia patients on therapy with the BTK inhibitor ibrutinib. *JCI insight*. 2017;2(2):e89904.
- 30. Messmer BT, et al. In vivo measurements document the dynamic cellular kinetics of chronic lymphocytic leukemia B cells. *J Clin Invest.* 2005;115(3):755-64.
- 31. Vilpo J, et al. Surface antigen expression and correlation with variable heavy-chain gene mutation status in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Eur J Haematol.* 2003;70(1):53-9.

- 32. Crespo M, et al. ZAP-70 expression as a surrogate for immunoglobulin-variable-region mutations in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *N Engl J Med.* 2003;348(18):1764-75.
- Rassenti LZ, et al. Relative value of ZAP-70, CD38, and immunoglobulin mutation status in predicting aggressive disease in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Blood*. 2008;112(5):1923-30.
- 34. Busch R, et al. Measurement of cell proliferation by heavy water labeling. *Nat Protoc.* 2007;2(12):3045-57.
- Rane AS, et al. High-Throughput Multi-parametric Imaging Flow Cytometry. *Chem.* 2017;3(4):588-602.
- Calissano C, et al. In vivo intraclonal and interclonal kinetic heterogeneity in B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Blood*. 2009;114(23):4832-42.
- 37. Mattila PK, et al. The actin and tetraspanin networks organize receptor nanoclusters to regulate B cell receptor-mediated signaling. *Immunity*. 2013;38(3):461-74.
- 38. Damle RN, et al. B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia cells express a surface membrane phenotype of activated, antigen-experienced B lymphocytes. *Blood*. 2002;99(11):4087-93.
- Boothby M, and Rickert RC. Metabolic Regulation of the Immune Humoral Response. *Immunity*. 2017;46(5):743-55.
- 40. Jellusova J, et al. Gsk3 is a metabolic checkpoint regulator in B cells. *Nat Immunol.* 2017;18(3):303-12.
- Zhang J, and Zhang Q. Using Seahorse Machine to Measure OCR and ECAR in Cancer Cells. *Methods Mol Biol.* 2019;1928:353-63.
- 42. Meixlsperger S, et al. Conventional Light Chains Inhibit the Autonomous Signaling Capacity of the B Cell Receptor. *Immunity*. 2007;26(3):323.

- 43. Bichi R, et al. Human chronic lymphocytic leukemia modeled in mouse by targeted TCL1 expression. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2002;99(10):6955-60.
- 44. Yan XJ, et al. B cell receptors in TCL1 transgenic mice resemble those of aggressive, treatment-resistant human chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2006;103(31):11713-8.
- 45. Holodick NE, et al. Expansion of B-1a cells with germline heavy chain sequence in lupus mice. *Frontiers in Immunology*. 2016;7.
- Iacovelli S, et al. Two types of BCR interactions are positively selected during leukemia development in the Emu-TCL1 transgenic mouse model of CLL. *Blood*. 2015;125(10):1578-88.
- Herman SE, et al. Bruton tyrosine kinase represents a promising therapeutic target for treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukemia and is effectively targeted by PCI-32765.
 Blood. 2011;117(23):6287-96.
- 48. Herman SEM, et al. Treatment with ibrutinib inhibits BTK and VLA-4 dependent adhesion of chronic lymphocytic leukemia cells in vivo. *Clinical Cancer Research*. 2015;21(20):4642-51.
- Vangapandu H, et al. B cell receptor signaling regulates metabolism in Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia. *Molecular Cancer Research*. 2017;15(12):1692-703.
- Roy Chowdhury S, et al. Mitochondrial Respiration Correlates with Prognostic Markers in Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia and Is Normalized by Ibrutinib Treatment. *Cancers*. 2020;12(3).
- 51. Damle RN, et al. Chronic lymphocytic leukaemia: a disease of activated monoclonal B cells. *Best Pract Res Clin Haematol.* 2010;23(1):33-45.

- 52. Wolowiec D, et al. Expression of cell cycle regulatory proteins in chronic lymphocytic leukemias. Comparison with non-Hodgkin's lymphomas and non-neoplastic lymphoid tissue. *Leukemia*. 1995;9(8):1382-8.
- 53. Doughty CA, et al. Antigen receptor-mediated changes in glucose metabolism in B lymphocytes: role of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase signaling in the glycolytic control of growth. *Blood.* 2006;107(11):4458-65.
- 54. Gomes de Castro MA, et al. Differential organization of tonic and chronic B cell antigen receptors in the plasma membrane. *Nature communications*. 2019;10(1):820.
- 55. Herishanu Y, et al. The lymph node microenvironment promotes B-cell receptor signaling, NF-kappaB activation, and tumor proliferation in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Blood*. 2011;117(2):563-74.
- 56. Heesters BA, et al. Antigen Presentation to B Cells. *Trends Immunol.* 2016;37(12):844-54.
- 57. Herndon TM, et al. Direct in vivo evidence for increased proliferation of CLL cells in lymph nodes compared to bone marrow and peripheral blood. *Leukemia*. 2017;31(6):1340-7.
- Garcia-Manteiga JM, et al. Metabolomics of B to plasma cell differentiation. *J Proteome Res.* 2011;10(9):4165-76.
- 59. Übelhart R, et al. Responsiveness of B cells is regulated by the hinge region of IgD. *Nature Immunology*. 2015;16:534.
- Sabouri Z, et al. IgD attenuates the IgM-induced anergy response in transitional and mature B cells. *Nature communications*. 2016;7:13381-.
- 61. Guo B, et al. IL-4 rescues surface IgM expression in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Blood*.
 2016;128(4):553-62.

 Aguilar-Hernandez MM, et al. IL-4 enhances expression and function of surface IgM in CLL cells. *Blood.* 2016;127(24):3015-25.

Figure Legends



Figure 1. IgM and IgD are differentially expressed and organized on CLL B-lymphocyte membranes and correlate with patient clinical course and clonal birth rates *in vivo*. A. Kaplan-Meier estimates of time to first treatment (TTFT) in CLL patients with IgM or IgD stratified by membrane densities, MFI < 70 or > 70. Number of cases in the < 70 group (IgM - 36; IgD - 36) and in the > 70 group (IgM - 19; IgD - 19). **B.** Comparisons of IgM (green) and IgD

(blue) for MFIs, spot counts, and spot areas of all tested samples. **C**. Comparisons of IgM (green) and IgD (blue) for CLL cases grouped by *in vivo* BRs (% increase in CD19⁺CD5⁺ cells per day): Low (< 0.35%), Int (0.35% - 0.65%), and High (0.80% - 1.42%). Each dot represents the median value of a single patient. Bars represent group means \pm SEM. **D**. Correlation of IgM (green, top) and IgD (blue, bottom) features with matching leukemic B-cell BRs for each patient. For statistical analyses, the following tests were applied: (**A**) Log-rank; (**B**,**C**) Mann-Whitney; (**D**) One-Way ANOVA with Tukey test.



Figure 2. The differential association of IgM and IgD with *in vivo* CLL birth rate is reflected in median cell size. A. Correlation of IgM (green, top) and IgD (blue, bottom) features with

matching median cell size (MCS) in μ m². Each dot represents the median value of one patient. **B**. Correlation of MCS with matched patient clonal BRs. **C**. Comparisons of merged distributions of single cell areas for CLL1820 (BR 0.54%, orange) and CLL1803 (BR 1.42%, yellow). **D**. Distribution of single cell area for CLL1820 (BR 0.54% daily) and CLL1803 (BR 1.42%). Limits and MCS (top), percentage of small (60 - 80 μ m²) and large (> 110 μ m²) cells (bottom). The same curves are duplicated at top and bottom to improve graphic display of data. **E**. Representative FSC-H distribution of TKO cells not transduced (grey), expressing IgM (green) or IgD (blue) for control BCR (top) and CLL-derived BCR (bottom). **F**. Average diameter, calculated using SCS standard, for TKO cells non-transduced (grey), expressing IgM (green) or IgD (blue) for control BCR (top) and CLL-derived BCR (bottom). Four BCRs were tested: i. Control BCR derived from healthy donor CD19⁺CD5⁺ B cells; ii. IGHV-unmutated non-stereotyped CLL BCR; iii. IGHV-mutated stereotyped subset #2 CLL BCR; iv. IGHV-unmutated stereotyped subset #6 CLL BCR. The following tests were applied for statistical analyses: (**A**, **B**) Pearson correlation coefficient; (**F**) Fisher's Test.



Figure 3. CLL-cell metabolic activity associates with CLL B-lymphocyte birth rates *in vivo*. **A**. Mitochondrial respiration parameters calculated based on Oxygen Consumption Rate (OCR) from Mitochondrial Stress Assay comparing CLL cases grouped by BR (top) or correlating OCR with matched BR for each patient (bottom). **B**. Glycolytic parameters calculated based on Extracellular Acidification Rate (ECAR) from Glycolytic Stress Assay comparing CLLs grouped

by BR (top) or correlating ECAR with matched BR for each patient (bottom). For OCR and ECAR grouped comparisons, bars represent means \pm SEM. Each dot represents the average value of 4 or more replicates for each patient. **C**. Metabolic profiles for CLL cases grouped by BR. Each dot represents the average OCR and ECAR values for all patients within the group \pm SEM. Baseline Phenotype = Basal respiration (OCR) versus Glycolysis (ECAR); Stressed Phenotype = Maximal Respiration (OCR) versus Glycolytic Capacity (ECAR). CLL Low (n=5; BR < 0.35%), CLL Int (n=7; BR=0.35%-0.65%) and CLL High (n=6; BR=0.80%-1.42%). OCR and ECAR were normalized defining 0% as the raw value of 0 and 100% as the last raw value of each dataset (or first, whichever was larger) and presented as fractions. For statistical analyses, One-Way ANOVA with Tukey test for bar graphs and Pearson coefficient for correlation were used.



Figure 4. Human and murine CLL IgM and not IgD BCRs mediate autonomous signaling and are required for murine CLL-like disease development. A. Ca⁺⁺ influx analyses of TKO

cells expressing IgM or IgD variants of two representative CLL-derived BCRs upon stimulation with 4-OHT (left) or 4-OHT plus anti-LC antibody (right). **B.** IgM or IgD variants derived from TCL1-Tg mice upon stimulation with 4-OHT (left) or 4-OHT plus anti-LC antibody (right). Addition of the stimulus is indicated by black arrows. All CLL BCRs in Table S2 (n=17) were tested three or more times for autonomous and ligand-dependent calcium mobilization. **C.** Absolute cell numbers of CD19⁺CD5⁺ cells in spleens from IgM^{+/+} TCL1 (n = 3-6), IgM^{+/-} TCL1 (n = 3-4), IgM^{+/+} (n = 3), IgM^{+/-} (n = 3-7), and IgM^{-/-} (n = 3) mice. Each point represents median values (with SEM) from mice ranging from 6 to 8 months of age. **D**. Absolute cell numbers of CD19⁺CD5⁺ cells in spleens from IgM^{+/+} TCL1 (n = 4), IgM^{-/-} TCL1 (n = 4) and IgM^{-/-} (n = 4) mice. Each point represents the number of total CD19⁺CD5⁺ splenic cells of one mouse >12 months of age. Bar represents the median number of total CD19⁺CD5⁺ splenic cells within each group. **E.** Representative samples of the IGHV use frequency from an individual mouse from the IgM^{+/+} TCL1, IgM^{-/-} TCL and IgM^{-/-} strains.



Figure 5. Ibrutinib treatment affects both autonomous- and surrogate antigen-induced BCR signaling, thereby reducing MCS. A. Representative Ca^{++} influx analyses of TKO cells expressing IgM CLL-derived BCRs pretreated with ibrutinib (iBTK, right) and solvent (DMSO, left) for autonomous signaling upon stimulation with 4-OHT. **B.** Ca^{++} influx analyses of TKO cells expressing IgM CLL-derived BCRs pretreated with ibrutinib (iBTK, right) and solvent (DMSO, left) for ligand dependent signaling using anti-light chain (LC) (top) and anti-heavy chain (HC) (bottom) mAbs upon stimulation with 4-OHT. Addition of the stimulus is indicated by black arrows. Three or more experiments were performed for each CLL BCR (n = 4) for autonomous and ligand-dependent signaling in absence or presence of ibrutinib. **C.** Median Cell Size (MCS) before (Pre-T) and during ibrutinib (iBTK) treatment. Each dot represents the median value of an individual patient. **D.** Distribution of single cell areas for CLL1820 (BR = 0.54% daily) before (Pre-T, orange) and during (light blue) ibrutinib treatment, and for CLL1803 (BR = 1.42% daily)

before (Pre-T, yellow) and during (dark blue) treatment. The Mann-Whitney test was used for these statistical analyses.



Figure 6. Ibrutinib treatment downregulates metabolic activities associated with membrane IgM levels and *in vivo* CLL birth rate. A. Mitochondrial respiration parameters calculated based on OCR from Mitochondrial Stress Assay comparing CLL BR Int (n = 5; BR = 0.35-0.65%) and High (n=4; BR=0.80-1.42%) before (Pre-T) and during *in vivo* ibrutinib (iBTK) treatment. B. Glycolytic parameters calculated based on Extracellular Acidification Rate (ECAR) from Glycolytic Stress Assay comparing CLL cases with Int (n = 5; BR = 0.35-0.65%) and High (n=4; BR=0.80-1.42%) BRs before (Pre-T) and during *in vivo* ibrutinib (iBTK) therapy. Bars represent means \pm SEM. Each dot represents the average value of 4 or more replicates for a single patient. C. Changes in metabolic profiles during iBTK treatment. Each dot represents the average OCR and ECAR values for all patients within the BR group \pm SEM. Baseline Phenotype = Basal respiration (OCR) versus Glycolysis (ECAR); Stressed Phenotype = Maximal Respiration (OCR)

versus Glycolytic Capacity (ECAR). Before (square) and during treatment (circle) changes are shown for Baseline Phenotype (red) and Stressed Phenotype (blue) for CLL clones with Int BR (0.35% - 0.65%, left) and High BRs (0.80% - 1.42%, right). OCR and ECAR were normalized defining 0% as the raw value of 0 and 100% as the last raw value of each dataset (or first, whichever was larger) and presented as fractions. For statistical analysis, One-Way ANOVA with Tukey test was applied.