| Figure number | Statistical test | n Number of samples | <i>P</i> value |
|------------------|--|---|---|
| Figure 1C | Wilcoxon matched-pair test (two-tailed) | 8 cells, 5 mice (Control) 8 cells, 5 mice (4-CIN) | <i>P</i> =0.0078 |
| Figure 1E | Repeated measures one-way ANOVA followed by Tuckey's post hoc test | 6 cells, 4 mice (Control) 6 cells, 4 mice (0 Glc) 6 cells, 4 mice (0 Glc + Lactate) | <i>P</i> =0.0015 (Control vs. 0 Glc) <i>P</i> =0.2007 (Control vs. 0 Glc + Lactate) <i>P</i> =0.0280 (O Glc vs. 0 Glc + Lactate) |
| Figure 1G | Repeated measures one-way ANOVA followed by Tuckey's post hoc test | 5 cells, 4 mice (Control) 5 cells, 4 mice (Oxamate) 5 cells, 4 mice (Oxamate+ Lactate) | P=0.0008 (Control vs. Oxamate) P=0.0004 (Control vs. Oxamate + Lactate) P=0.7811 (Oxamate vs. Oxamate + Lactate) |
| Figure 1I | Repeated measures one-way ANOVA followed by Tuckey's post hoc test | 7 cells, 5 mice (Control) 7 cells, 5 mice (Oxamate) 7 cells, 5 mice (Oxamate + Pyruvate) | P=0.0277 (Control vs. Oxamate) P=0.9561 (Control vs. Oxamate + Pyruvate) P=0.0460 (Oxamate vs. Oxamate + Pyruvate) |
| Figure 1K | Repeated measures one-way ANOVA followed by Tuckey's post hoc test | 7 cells, 5 mice (Control) 7 cells, 5 mice (Oxamate POMC neuron) 7 cells, 5 mice (Oxamate POMC neuron + Pyruvate) | P=0.0236 (Control vs. Oxamate POMC neuron) P=0.9603 (Control vs. Oxamate POMC neruon + Pyruvate) P=0.0145 (Oxamate POMC neuron vs. Oxamate POMC neuron + Pyruvate) |
| Figure 2C | Odinary one-way ANOVA followed by Tuckey's post hoc test | n=6 (Ctrl) n=5 (2-DG) n=6 (4-CIN) n=6 (CBX) | P=0.0016 (Ctrl vs 2-DG) P=0.0120 (Ctrl vs. 4-CIN) P=0.0355 (Ctrl vs. CBX) P=0.7092 (2-DG vs. 4-CIN) P=0.4239 (2-DG vs. CBX) P=0.9558 (4-CIN vs. CBX) |
| Figure 2D | Odinary one-way ANOVA followed by Tuckey's post hoc test | n=5 (Ctrl) n=5 (2-DG) n=6 (4-CIN) n=6 (CBX) | P<0.0001 (Ctrl vs 2-DG) P<0.0001 (Ctrl vs. 4-CIN) P=0.0009 (Ctrl vs. CBX) P<0.0001 (2-DG vs. 4-CIN) P<0.0001 (2-DG vs. CBX) P=0.6001 (4-CIN vs. CBX) |
| Figure 2I | Repeated measures one-way ANOVA followed by Tuckey's post hoc test | 6 cells, 5 mice (Control + Tanycytic lactate) 6 cells, 5 mice (0 glc + Tanycytic lactate) 6 cells, 5 mice (4-CIN + Tanycytic lactate) | P=0.9974 (Control + Tanycytic lactate vs. 0 Glc + Tanycytic lactate) P=0.0288 (Control + Tanycytic lactate vs. 4-CIN + Tanycytic lactate) P=0.0258 (0 Glc + Tanycytic lactate vs. 4-CIN + Tanycytic lactate) |
| Figure 2M | Repeated measures one-way ANOVA followed by Tuckey's post hoc test | 6 cells, 5 mice (Control) 6 cells, 5 mice (Oxamate Tanycytic) 6 cells, 5 mice (Oxamate tanycytic + lactate) | P=0.0143 (Control vs. Oxamate tanycytic) P=0.9099 (Control vs. 4-CIN Oxamate tanycytic + Lactate) P=0.0474 (Oxamate tanycytic vs. Oxamate tanycytic + Lactate) |
| Figure 3B | Unpaired Student's t-tests (two-tailed) | 5 mice (Tanycyte) 4 mice (POMC neuron) | Mct1: P =0.0009 (Tanycyte vs. POMC neurons) Mct2: P =0.0028 (Tanycyte vs. POMC neurons) Mct4: P =0.0272 (Tanycyte vs. POMC neurons) |
| Figure 3C | Odinary one-way ANOVA followed by uncorrected Fisher's LSD | 4 mice (<i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanScramble}) 4 mice (<i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanycyteKD}) | P=0.0231 (<i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanScramble} positive cells vs. <i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanycyteKD} positive cells) P=0.8494 (<i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanScramble} negative cells vs. <i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanycyteKD} negative cells) P=0.0037 (<i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanScramble} positive cells vs. <i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanScramble} negative cells) P=0.0053 (<i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanScramble} positive cells vs. <i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanycyteKD} negative cells) |
| Figure 3D | Odinary one-way ANOVA followed by uncorrected Fisher's LSD | 4 mice (<i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanScramble}) 4 mice (<i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanycyteKD}) | P=0.0320 (<i>Mct1</i> /4 ^{TanScrambld} positive cells vs. <i>Mct1</i> /4 ^{TanycyteKD} positive cells) P=0.8944 (<i>Mct1</i> /4 ^{TanScramble} negative cells vs. <i>Mct1</i> /4 ^{TanycyteKD} negative cells) P=0.0415 (<i>Mct1</i> /4 ^{TanScramble} positive cells vs. <i>Mct1</i> /4 ^{TanScramble} negative cells) P=0.0324 (<i>Mct1</i> /4 ^{TanScramble} positive cells vs. <i>Mct1</i> /4 ^{TanycyteKD} negative cells) |

| Figure | Mann-Whitney test (two-tailed) | 26 cells, 5 mice (<i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanScramble}) | P=0.0026 |
|-----------|--|---|---|
| 3G | | 26 cells, 5 mice (<i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanycteKD}) | 1-0.0020 |
| Figure | Unpaired Student's t-test (two-tailed) | 7 mice (<i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanScramble}) | P=0.03374 |
| 3H | | 7 mice (<i>Mct1</i> /4 ^{TanycyteKD}) | |
| Figure | Two-way ANOVA followed by uncorrected Fisher's LSD test | 6 mice (<i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanScramble}) | Dark pharse: P=0.2454 ; Light phase: P=0.8866 ; Mean: P=0.360 |
| 31 | | 8 mice (<i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanycyteKD}) | |
| Figure 3J | Perason correlation | 7 mice (<i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanScramble}) | P=0.0378 (<i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanScramble}) |
| J | | 7 mice (<i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanycyteKD}) | P=0.5351 (<i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanycyteKD}) |
| Figure | Perason correlation | 7 mice (<i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanScramble}) | P=0.0084 (<i>Mct1/4</i> ^{Scramble}) |
| ЗK | | 7 mice (<i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanycyteKD}) | P=0.2553 (Mct1/4 ^{TanycyteKD}) |
| Figure | Two-way ANOVA followed by uncorrected Fisher's LSD test | 7 mice (<i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanScramble}) | Dark nharse: P=0.0012 · Light nhase: P=0.3476 · Mean: P=0.0324 |
| 3L | Two way nine of followed by unconcoled Fisher's LOD lest | 8 mice (<i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanycyteKD}) | Dairt pharse. 7 -0.0012 ; Light phase. 7 -0.0470 ; Mean. 7 -0.0024 |
| Figure | Two-way ANOVA followed by uncorrected Fisher's LSD test | 5 mice (<i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanScramble}) | Dark pharse: P=0.0/59 · Light phase: P=0.30/2 ·Mean: D=0.0037 |
| 3M | Two-way ANOVA followed by uncorrected Fisher's LSD test | 7 mice (<i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanycyteKD}) | Dark pharse. 7 -0.0433, Light phase. 7 -0.0042, Mean. 7 -0.0037 |
| Figure | Two way ANOVA followed by uncompeted Fisher's LCD test | 5 mice (<i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanScramble}) | Dark shares, D=0.0207 , Light shares, D=0.5040 (Maas, D=0.4040 |
| 3N | I wo-way ANOVA followed by uncorrected Fisher's LSD test | 7 mice (<i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanycyteKD}) | Dark pharse: $P=0.0307$; Light phase: $P=0.5919$; Weah: $P=0.1018$ |
| Figure | Wilcoxon matched-pair test | 6 cells, 4 mice (Control) | P- 0.0312 |
| 4C | (two-tailed) | 6 cells, 4 mice (CBX) | r =0.0012 |
| Figure | Wilcoven metched neir teet (two tailed) | 5 cells, 4 mice (Control) | D-0 6250 |
| 4E | wilcoxon matched-pair test (two-tailed) | 5 cells, 4 mice (CBX + Lactate) | r -0.0230 |
| Figure | | 6 mice (<i>Cx43^{+/+}‡dTomato</i>) | P=0.0449 (Cx43 ^{+/+} ‡dTomato / Tomato positive vs. Cx43 ^{TanycyteKO} ‡dTomato / Tomato positive) |
| 4G | Unpaired Student's t-tests (two-tailed) | 6 mice (Cx43 ^{TanycyteKO} tdTomato) | P=0.8611 (Cx43 ^{+/+} tdTomato /Tomato negative vs. Cx43 ^{TanycyteKO} tdTomato /Tomato negative) |
| Figure | | 5 cells, 2 mice (Cx43 ^{loxP/loxP}) | |
| 41 | Unpaired Student's t-test (two-tailed) | 10 cells, 4 mice (<i>Cx43^{TanycyteKO}</i>) | P=0.0011 |
| Figure | | 6 cells, 5 mice (Cx43 ^{loxP/loxP} : tdTomato ^{POMC}) | |
| 4L | Mann-Whitney test (two-tailed) | 5 cells, 4 mice (Cx43 ^{TanycyteKO} : tdTomato ^{POMC}) | P=0.0043 |
| Figuro | Wilcovan matched pair test | 6 colls 5 mice (Cv/2 ^{TanycyteKO} to/Tanata POMC Control) | |
| AN | (two_tailed) | Costle Costa Costa Costa Control | <i>P</i> =0.0312 |
| | (two tanca) | 6 cells, 5 mice (CX43 ^{-ally} , solid ; to i omato ⁻ - Lactate) | |
| | Two-way ANOVA followed by uncorrected Fisher's LSD test | Basal: 6 mice (<i>Cx43</i> ^{loxP/loxP}) and 10 mice (<i>Cx43</i> ^{TanycyteKO}) | P<0.0001 (Time factor) |
| | | 1 - 4 (Saline): 6 mice (<i>Cx43</i> ^{loxP/loxP}) and 10 mice (<i>Cx43</i> ^{TanycyteKO}) | P = 0.0001 (Column factor) P = 0.1326 (Time factor x Column factor) |
| Figure | | 1-7 (Glucose 20%): 6 mice (Cx43 ^{loxP/loxP}) and 10 mice (Cx43 ^{TanycyteKO}) | |
| 50 | | | |
| | Unpaired Student's t-test (two-tailed) | 6 mice ($Cx43^{\text{loxP/loxP}}$) and 10 mice ($Cx43^{\text{TanycyteKO}}$) | <i>P</i> =0.0130 |
| | | | |
| | | | Mct1:P=0.2001 (Cx43 ^{+/+} ‡dTomato vs. Cx43 ^{TanycyteKO} ‡dTomato) |
| | Unpaired Student's t-tests (two-tailed) | | Mct4:P=0.3336 (Cx43 ^{+/+} ‡dTomato vs.Cx43 ^{TanycyteKO} ‡dTomato) |
| Figure | | 6 mice (<i>Cx43^{+/+}‡dTomato</i>) | Ldha: P= 0.9195 (Cx43 ^{+/+} ‡dTomato vs. Cx43 ^{TanycyteKO} ‡dTomato) |
| 5D | | 6 mice (Cx43 ^{TanycyteKO} ‡dTomato) | Ldhb: P=0.0119 (Cx43 ^{+/+} ‡dTomato vs. Cx43 ^{TanycyteKO} ‡dTomato) |
| | | | Glut1:P=0.9195 (Cx43 ^{+/+} tdTomato vs. Cx43 ^{TanycyteKO} tdTomato) |
| | | | Glut2 : P=0.0071 (Cx43 ^{+/+} tdTomato vs. Cx43 ^{TanycyteKO} tdTomato) |
| Figure | | 7 mice (Cx43 ^{loxP/loxP}) | |
| 5E | Unpaired Student's t-test (two-tailed) | 9 mice (Cx43 ^{TanycyteKO}) | P=0.0428 |

| Figure 5F | Paired Student's t-test (two-tailed) | $Cx43^{\text{loxP/loxP}}$: 9 mice (day 0) and 9 mice (day 10) $Cx43^{\text{TanycyteKO}}$: 9 mice (day 0) and 9 mice (day 10) | <i>P</i> =0.2103 (<i>Cx43</i> ^{loxP/loxP} /d0 vs. <i>Cx43</i> ^{loxP/loxP} /d10) <i>P</i> =0.0075 (<i>Cx43</i> ^{TanycyteKO} /d0 vs. <i>Cx43</i> ^{TanycyteKO} /d10) |
|---------------|---|---|--|
| | Unpaired Student's t-test (two-tailed) | | P=0.7400 (Cx43 ^{loxP/loxP} /d0 vs. Cx43 ^{TanycyteKO} /d0) P=0.0121 (Cx43 ^{loxP/loxP} /d10 vs. Cx43 ^{TanycyteKO} /d10) |
| Figure 5G | Unpaired Student's t-test (two-tailed) | 8 mice (<i>Cx43^{loxP/loxP}</i>) 9 mice (<i>Cx43^{TanycyteKO}</i>) | P=0.0287 |
| Figure 5H | Mann-Whitney test (two-tailed) | 8 mice (<i>Cx43^{loxP/loxP}</i>) 9 mice (<i>Cx43^{TanycyteKO}</i>) | <i>P</i> =0.1139 |
| Figure 5I | Two-way ANOVA followed by uncorrected Fisher's LSD test | 18h - 17h: 7 mice (before TAT-Cre) and 7 mice (6 days after TAT-Cre) | P<0.0001 (Time factor) P=0.0206 (Column factor) P=0.0001 (Time factor x column factor) |
| Figure 5J | Two-way ANOVA followed by uncorrected Fisher's LSD test | 14h - 13h: 7 mice (before TAT-Cre) and 7 mice (6 days after TAT-Cre) | P<0.0001 (Time factor) P=0.0037 (Column factor) P<0.0001 (Time factor x column factor) |
| Figure 5K | Two-way ANOVA followed by uncorrected Fisher's LSD test | 14h - 13h: 7 mice (before TAT-Cre) and 7 mice (6 days after TAT-Cre) | P<0.0001 (Time factor) P=0.1704 (Column factor) P<0.0001 (Time factor x column factor) |
| Figure 5L | Two-way ANOVA followed by uncorrected Fisher's LSD test | 14h - 13h: 7 mice (before TAT-Cre) and 7 mice (6 days after TAT-Cre) | P<0.0001 (Time factor) P=0.0002 (Column factor) P=0.0147 (Time factor x column factor) |
| Figure 5M | Unpaired Student's t-tests (two-tailed) | Dark phase: 7 mice (before TAT-Cre) and 7 mice (6 days after TAT-Cre) Light phase: 7 mice (before TAT-Cre) and 7 mice (6 days after TAT-Cre) | Dark phase: <i>P</i> =0.0261 (Before TAT-Cre vs. 6 days after TAT-Cre) Light phase: <i>P</i> =0.0394 (Before TAT-Cre vs. after TAT-Cre) |
| Figure 5N | Unpaired Student's t-tests (two-tailed) | 6 mice (<i>Cx43^{+/+}‡dTomato</i>) 6 mice (<i>Cx43^{TanycyteKO}‡dTomato</i>) | $\begin{aligned} & Mct2: P=0.7581 \ (Cx43^{+/+}tdTomato \ vs. \ Cx43^{TanycyteKO}tdTomato \) \\ & Cartpt: P=0.4184 \ (Cx43^{+/+}tdTomato \ vs. \ Cx43^{TanycyteKO}tdTomato \) \\ & Pomc: P= 0.6335 \ (Cx43^{+/+}tdTomato \ vs. \ Cx43^{TanycyteKO}tdTomato \) \\ & Agrp: P=0.4722 \ (Cx43^{+/+}tdTomato \ vs. \ Cx43^{TanycyteKO}tdTomato \) \\ & Npy: P=0.0492 \ (Cx43^{+/+}tdTomato \ vs. \ Cx43^{TanycyteKO}tdTomato \) \end{aligned}$ |
| Figure S2C | Unpaired Student's t-tests (two-tailed) | 4 mice (Tomato Positive) 4 mice (Tomato Negative) | Pomc: P=0.071 (Tomato Positive vs. Tomato Negative) Darpp32: P=0.158 (Tomato Positive vs. Tomato Negative) Gpr50: P=0.319 (Tomato Positive vs. Tomato Negative) HuC: P=0.027 (Tomato Positive vs. Tomato Negative) |
| Figure S2F | Unpaired Student's t-tests (two-tailed) | 5 mice (GFP Positive) 5 mice (GFP Negative) | Darpp32: P<0.001 (GFP Positive vs. GFP Negative) Gpr50: P=0.001 (GFP Positive vs. GFP Negative) Pomc: P=0.06 (GFP Positive vs. GFP Negative) HuC: P=0.07 (GFP Positive vs. GFP Negative) |
| Figure S3B | Unpaired Student's t-tests (two-tailed) | 7 mice (<i>Mct2^{POMCScrambled}</i>) 6 mice (<i>Mct2^{PomcKD}</i>) | P=0.0003 (Week 1) P=0.0018 (Week 2) P=0.0045 (Week 3) P=0.0008 (Week 4) |

| Figure S3C | Unpaired Student's t-tests (two-tailed) | 7 mice (<i>Mct2</i> ^{POMCScrambled}) 6 mice (<i>Mct2</i> ^{POMCKD}) | P=0.1135 (Week 1) P=0.0534 (Week 2) P=0.5188 (Week 3) P=0.7470 (Week 4) P=0.8773 (Week 5) |
|---------------|---|--|---|
| Figure S4A | Unpaired Student's t-tests (two-tailed) | 4 mice (<i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanScramble}) 4 mice (<i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanycyteKD}) | P=0.0200 (<i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanScramble} positive cells vs. <i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanScramble} negative cells) P=0.0134 (<i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanycyteKD} positive cells vs. <i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanycyteKD} negative cells) |
| Figure S4B | Unpaired Student's t-tests (two-tailed) | 4 mice (<i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanScramble}) 4 mice (<i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanycyteKD}) | P=0.0037 (<i>Mct1</i> /4 ^{TanScramble} positive cells vs. <i>Mct1</i> /4 ^{TanScramble} negative cells) P=0.0324 (<i>Mct1</i> /4 ^{TanycyteKD} positive cells vs. <i>Mct1</i> /4 ^{TanycyteKD} negative cells) |
| Figure S4C | Unpaired Student's t-tests (two-tailed) | 4 mice (<i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanScramble}) 4 mice (<i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanycyteKD}) | Mct2: P=0.8204 (Mct1/4 ^{TanScramble} vs. Mct1/4 ^{TanycyteKD}) Ldha: P=0.5561 (Mct1/4 ^{TanScramble} vs. Mct1/4 ^{TanycyteKD}) Ldhb: P= 0.5457 (Mct1/4 ^{TanScramble} vs. Mct1/4 ^{TanycyteKD}) Gck: P=0.1381 (Mct1/4 ^{TanScramble} vs. Mct1/4 ^{TanycyteKD}) Glut1 : P=0.2479 (Mct1/4 ^{TanScramble} vs. Mct1/4 ^{TanycyteKD}) Glut4 : P= 0.9174 (Mct1/4 ^{TanScramble} vs. Mct1/4 ^{TanycyteKD}) Cx30: P=0.7825 (Mct1/4 ^{TanScramble} vs. Mct1/4 ^{TanycyteKD}) |
| Figure S4D | Two-way ANOVA followed by uncorrected Fisher's LSD test | 20h - 19h: 6 <i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanScramble} mice and 8 <i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanycyteKD} mice | P<0.0001 (Time factor) P=0.2310 (Column factor) P=0.9890 (Time factor x column factor) |
| Figure S4E | Two-way ANOVA followed by uncorrected Fisher's LSD test | 20h - 19h: 7 <i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanScramble} mice and 8 <i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanycyteKD} mice | P<0.0001 (Time factor) P=0.2308 (Column factor) P=0.3695 (Time factor x column factor) |
| Figure S4F | Two-way ANOVA followed by uncorrected Fisher's LSD test | 20h - 19h: 7 <i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanScramble} mice and 8 <i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanycyteKD} mice | P<0.0001 (Time factor) P=0.5215 (Column factor) P=0.6883 (Time factor x column factor) |
| Figure S4G | Two-way ANOVA followed by uncorrected Fisher's LSD test | 20h - 19h: 7 <i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanScramble} mice and 8 <i>Mct1/4</i> ^{TanycyteKD} mice | P<0.0001 (Time factor) P=0.0305 (Column factor) P=0.0034 (Time factor x column factor) |
| Figure S5D | Mann-Whitney test | 5 cells, 4 mice (WT) 7 cells, 5 mice (WT+CBX) | P=0.0025 |
| Figure S5K | Mann-Whitney test | 7 cells, 6 mice (<i>Cx43^{loxP/loxP}</i>) 7 cells, 6 mice (<i>Cx43^{TanycyteKO}</i>) | P=0.0006 |
| Figure S5M | Paired Student's t test (two-tailed) | 6 cells, 6 mice (<i>Cx43</i> ^{loxP/loxP} ; <i>tdTomato</i> ^{POMC} - Control) 6 cells, 6 mice (<i>Cx43</i> ^{loxP/loxP} ; <i>tdTomato</i> ^{POMC} - Lactate) | <i>P</i> =0.6042 |
| Figure S6D | Unpaired Student's t-tests (two-tailed) | 6 mice (Cx43 ^{+/+} ‡dTomato) 6 mice (Cx43 ^{TanycyteKO} ‡dTomato) | P=0.0070 (Cx43 ^{+/+} ‡dTomato / Tomato Positive vs. Cx43 ^{+/+} ‡dTomato /Tomato Negative) P=0.0056 (Cx43 ^{TanycyteKO} tdTomato / Tomato Positive vs. Cx43 ^{TanycyteKO} tdTomato / Tomato Negative) |
| Figure S6E | Unpaired Student's t-tests (two-tailed) | 6 mice (<i>Cx43^{+/+}tdTomato</i>) 6 mice (<i>Cx43^{TanycyteKO}tdTomato</i>) | $Mct1: P=0.5679 (Cx43^{+/+}tdTomato vs. Cx43^{TanycyteKO}tdTomato)$ $Mct4: P=0.6651 (Cx43^{+/+}tdTomato vs. Cx43^{TanycyteKO}tdTomato)$ $Ldha: P= 0.8780 (Cx43^{+/+}tdTomato vs. Cx43^{TanycyteKO}tdTomato)$ $Ldhb: P=0.5127 (Cx43^{+/+}tdTomato vs. Cx43^{TanycyteKO}tdTomato)$ $Glut1: P>0.9999 (Cx43^{+/+}tdTomato vs. Cx43^{TanycyteKO}tdTomato)$ $Glut2: P=0.5127 (Cx43^{+/+}tdTomato vs. Cx43^{TanycyteKO}tdTomato)$ |