More weapons in the arsenal against ischemic retinopathy
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Ischemia-induced retinopathy is the major cause of blindness world-wide. Diverse processes may lead to retinal ischemia, including a central retinal vein occlusion, a progressive deterioration of vascular function due to prolonged hyperglycemia, and obliteration of newly formed retinal vessels in premature neonates subjected to hyperoxia. The later two processes may culminate in the development of proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR) and retinopathy of prematurity (ROP), respectively. While different etiologies may lead to insufficient perfusion of the retina, once a critical level of ischemia has been achieved, the different forms of retinopathy seem to converge on a common sequela—the hypoxia-induced formation of morphologically abnormal vessels. Retinopathy-associated neovascularization is excessive and neo-vessels are abnormally leaky and tend to breach the inner limited membrane of the retina and invade the vitreous. Such preretinal vascular growth is perhaps the most devastating aspect of retinopathy, as it often leads to retina detachment and eventually to blindness.

Combating retinopathy can, in principle, be directed against the first pathogenic phase, the generation of a vascular deficit and the resultant ischemia, or against the second phase, the formation of surplus, abnormal vessels. At least for PDR, the former approach has been impossible because we lack sufficient insight into the mechanisms leading to progressive vessel dysfunction, or even a suitable animal model in which to study these events. For this reason, most of the studies outlined below have resorted to the use of an oxygen-induced retinopathy model (i.e., an ROP model) (1).

VEGF and retinal neovascularization

The concept that retinopathy-associated neovascularization is driven by a hypoxia-induced angiogenic factor was proposed over half a century ago. More recent evidence that VEGF is the key mediator of angiogenic feedback responses to hypoxia led to the identification of VEGF as what Michaelson, in his 1948 paper (2) termed “factor X.” Many studies have indeed shown that VEGF is strongly upregulated in the ischemic retina and may also leak and accumulate in the vitreous of retinopathy patients (3). Justly, VEGF has become the primary target for ischemia-induced retinopathy. Several modes of counteracting VEGF were proven effective in animal ROP models, including the use of antisense oligonucleotides, administration of VEGF-neutralizing antibodies, the use of a soluble VEGF receptor chimeric protein, and the inhibition of VEGF signaling using specific kinase inhibitors (4, 5). Kinase inhibitors with a broader specificity have also been examined in hopes of blocking other factors that cooperate with VEGF in promoting angiogenesis. Notably, Seo et al. found that a kinase inhibitor that blocks phosphorylation of VEGF, PDGF, and several protein kinase C isoforms completely inhibits retinal neovascularization (6).

For several reasons, targeting VEGF might be problematic as a therapy for retinal neovascularization. VEGF is a multifunctional factor that, among other activities, serves as a survival factor for retinal vessels (7). The fact that VEGF is constitutively produced by the retinal pigmented epithelium of the normal eye, for example, presumably attests for a role of VEGF in vascular maintenance. Furthermore, the chronic nature of PDR and the intrinsic risk of repeated intraocular injections might necessitate a prolonged systemic administration of VEGF antagonists, which could adversely affect other organ systems. Accordingly, alternative approaches have been attempted, targeting different components of the angiogenic cascade downstream of VEGF. In an ROP model, inhibitors of matrix metalloproteinases, blocking antibodies directed at specific integrins implicated in angiogenesis, and an NF-κB inhibitor (8–10), as well as natural angiogenesis inhibitors, such as thrombospondin-1 and the recently discovered pigment epithelium-derived factor (PEDF), have all been applied to ischemia-induced retinopathy with some success (11, 12). An obvious advantage of these inhibitors is that they can block neovascularization induced by multiple factors. Indeed, systemically delivered PEDF completely blocked pre-retinal growth at a relatively low dose (12). Efforts are continuing to further extend the arsenal of antiangiogenic agents that could be used either alone or in combination to attenuate ischemia-induced retinopathy.

Distinct roles for eNOS and iNOS

In a recent issue of the JCI, Sennlaub et al. proposed the use of nitric oxide synthase (NOS) inhibitors as agents to block pre-retinal growth (13). Like VEGF, NO production is induced by hypoxia in an HIF-1–dependent manner. Thus, VEGF and NO are coinduced in the same ischemic regions of the retina and elevated levels of both are detectable in the vitreous of PDR patients. A number of studies have demonstrated an intricate interplay between VEGF and NO: NO is required downstream of VEGF in a pathway leading to endothelial cell proliferation and angiogenesis (14, 15). In addition, VEGF also stimulates production of NO by endothelial cells (15, 16) and NO, in turn, can block hypoxia-induced production of VEGF (17) as well as its induction following vascular injury (18). This reciprocal relation between VEGF and NO has suggested a negative feedback loop to downregulate VEGF expression to basal levels. Sennlaub et al. made use of iNOS-null mice to examine the effects of iNOS deficiency at different stages in the development of ischemia-induced retinopathy. iNOS deficiency did not affect the early step of hyperoxia-induced vessel obliteration. Interestingly, however, Brooks et
neovascularization. The remarkably different architecture of vitreal vessels presumably reflect some qualitative differences — perhaps an altered engagement with periendothelial cells or a less mature phenotype — that might be exploited to obliterate the vitreal vessels selectively, rather than through generalized antiangiogenic approaches. Another approach might take advantage of the differential accessibility of vitreal vessels to certain vectors delivered intraocularly.

In summary, a growing number of different therapeutic modalities have been explored to inhibit vascuopathic growth in ischemic retinopathy. While some of these agents are currently in or approaching clinical trials, certain concerns remain. Notably, most agents have been tested in a rodent model of oxygen-induced retinopathy, where retinal ischemia and ensuing neovascularization are induced within a few days. This is in sharp contrast to the more frequent and serious case of PDR that develops over a period of years, which might require repeated treatments over an extended period of time. Furthermore, the premise that the abnormal features of vessels induced by a short hyperoxic episode in the immature retina are qualitatively identical to those induced by prolonged hyperglycemia in the aging retina needs to be substantiated. Nonetheless, the growing number of agents that can block ischemic retinopathy increase the hope for new therapies that will be more effective than current treatments in the battle against retinopathies and the blindness they cause.