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Abstract

Monocyte-derived macrophages (Mφs) are pivotal participants in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis. Evidence from both animal and human plaques indicates that local proliferation may contribute to accumulation of lesion Mφs, and the major Mφ growth factor, macrophage colony stimulating factor (MCSF), is present in atherosclerotic plaques. However, most in vitro studies have failed to demonstrate that human monocytes/Mφs possess significant proliferative capacity. We now report that, although human monocytes cultured in isolation showed only limited MCSF-induced proliferation, monocytes cocultured with aortic endothelial cells at identical MCSF concentrations underwent enhanced (up to 40-fold) and prolonged (21 d) proliferation. In contrast with monocytes in isolation, this was optimal at low seeding densities, required endothelial cell contact, and could not be reproduced by coculture with smooth muscle cells. Intimal Mφ isolated from human aortas likewise showed endothelial cell contact-dependent, MCSF-induced proliferation. Consistent with a two-signal mechanism governing Mφ proliferation, the cell cycle regulatory protein, cyclin E, was rapidly upregulated by endothelial cell contact in an MCSF-independent fashion, but MCSF was required for successful downregulation of the cell cycle inhibitory protein p27Kip1 before cell cycling. Thus endothelial cells and MCSF differentially and synergistically regulate two Mφ genes critical for progression through the cell cycle. (J. Clin. Invest. 1997; 99: 2867–2876.) Key words: atherosclerosis • macrophage • cell cycle regulation

Introduction

Monocyte-derived macrophages (Mφs) are among the first cells to accumulate in early atherosclerotic lesions (1, 2). They may beneficially scavenge lipids and lipoproteins from fatty streaks and regressing lesions (3–6), but their long-term presence may contribute to plaque progression (3, 7–10). Monocyte recruitment into arteries is controlled by a complex series of chemotactic (11–13) and adhesion (14–16) mechanisms. Additionally, there is evidence that the number of lesion Mφs may be augmented by local proliferation (17–19). However, even the theoretical possibility of Mφ proliferation in human atherosclerotic lesions remains controversial, since human Mφs have, in general, been considered incapable of significant proliferation (discussed in 20–23). Recently, however, we and others have shown that human monocytes are capable of at least a limited amount of cell division before terminal differentiation in response to macrophage colony-stimulating factor (MCSF) (24, 25). Given that endothelial cells in atherosclerotic lesions produce MCSF (26, 27), and its production is markedly stimulated by modified lipoproteins (28), MCSF-induced monocyte proliferation is potentially of considerable significance for the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis.

However, it was not clear from our previous studies that significant proliferation of human monocytes could occur under physiologically relevant conditions, since the increase in cell number as a result of proliferation was typically approximately fourfold, and more importantly, occurred only at high seeding densities (25). Such high densities have not been described in vivo, even in atherosclerotic lesions. Thus, for local proliferation to be seriously entertained as a mechanism of Mφ accumulation in atherosclerotic lesions, it seemed necessary that it be both more sustained in duration and occur at physiologically relevant (i.e., approaching clonal) seeding densities.

Our previous studies had focused on Mφs in isolation, which might not accurately reflect the situation occurring in vivo, since proliferation of hematopoietic-lineage cells is strongly influenced by interaction with neighboring cell types (29). We reasoned that the cell types that might influence Mφ proliferation in atherosclerotic lesions were vascular endothelial and smooth muscle cells. Therefore, in this study we asked whether interaction with either of these cell types could support substantial proliferation of monocyte-derived Mφs at clonal seeding densities.

Methods

Microscopic examination of intact aortic segments

Human thoracic aortas with variable amounts of fatty streaking were obtained at autopsy (post mortem interval < 10 h) from 21 individuals aged 15–34 yr. Two to three whole-thickness segments (3–5 cm² surface area) were excised at random from each vessel, fixed in formalin, stained with 0.1% AgNO₃ for 10–15 s, and cell nuclei counterstained with propidium iodide (1 mg/ml) for 10 min. They were then mounted on glass slides and viewed by epifluorescence microscopy at...
Aortic cell cultures

Endothelial cells were isolated from thoracic aortic segments of 46 male trauma victims (20-64 yr) autopsied at 8-16 h postmortem and cultured as previously described (30, 31) with the single exception that after initial rinsing, aortic segments were preincubated for 20 min with 0.2% dispase in Medium 199 to remove adherent blood cells prior to isolation of endothelial and smooth muscle cells. After removing endothelial cells, the aortic segments were incubated for 10 min with 0.1% collagenase in Medium 199 to remove any residual endothelium, and smooth muscle cells were then isolated and cultured as previously described (32, 33). Growth medium for endothelial cells was M199 (Earle’s balanced salt solution) supplemented with 20% fetal calf serum (Atlanta Biologicals, Inc., Norcross, GA), 25 mM Hepes, 2 mM L-glutamine, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 μg/ml streptomycin, 50 μg/ml endothelial cell growth factor (Boehringer-Mannheim Biochemicals, Indianapolis, IN), and 30 μg/ml heparin. Smooth muscle cells were cultured in the same growth medium but without heparin. All experiments were performed between passages 4 and 6, at which time endothelial cell cultures were >97% pure, and smooth muscle cultures >99% pure, as assessed by immunostaining with von Willebrand factor and HHF-35 antibodies, respectively (30, 33).

Resident intimal Mφ suspensions were prepared from aortas with lipid-rich lesions using the procedure described above for smooth muscle cells. The suspensions were seeded on petri dishes, nonadherent cells removed by washing after 6-8 h, and adherent cells (typically >60% Mφs by HAM-56 staining) were harvested after 48 h and used for coculture experiments.

Isolation of peripheral blood monocytes

Peripheral blood monocytes were obtained from 26 healthy donors of either sex (24-38 yr) by leukapheresis (34) followed by counterflow centrifugation (35) under a protocol approved by our Institutional Review Board. These cells (>95% monocytes by morphology and cell surface markers) were either used immediately or cryopreserved in liquid nitrogen. Fresh and frozen monocytes behaved indistinguishably in all assays. In our hands, monocytes isolated using this method were functionally comparable to those isolated by other methods through a wide range of functional parameters (11, 25, 34-38).

Monocyte cultures

Monocytes were cultured in isolation in the growth medium described above without heparin. Recombinant human MCSF (the generous gift of Genetics Institute, Inc., Cambridge, MA; 1.9 x 10^5 U/mg protein by bone marrow assay [39]) was added at 200 U/ml unless otherwise specified. To determine the effect of seeding density on proliferation and allow comparison with previous studies (25), monocytes were seeded at densities ranging from 7-30 x 10^4 cells/cm², as compared to 9 x 10^5 cells/cm² in previous studies (25). Other studies compared proliferation at optimal high (25 x 10^5 cells/cm²) and suboptimal low (1-2 x 10^5 cells/cm²) densities with proliferation on endothelial cell monolayers as described below.

Coculture systems

Confluent endothelial cell monolayers and multi-layer cultures of smooth muscle cells were used for all cocultures. Recombinant human MCSF was added at 200 U/ml unless otherwise indicated. In both monocyte cultures and coculture systems, growth medium was changed every 72 h by replacement of two thirds of the volume, with the addition of fresh MCSF.

Contact cocultures. Monocytes or aortic intimal Mφs were added to endothelial or smooth muscle cell cultures to achieve an initial density of 1-2 x 10^5 cells/cm² after gentle washing at 2-4 h as previously described (36, 38), and cocultures were continued for up to 21 d. Monocytes were sequentially harvested and assayed for cell number or thymidine index. In other experiments, harvested monocytes were reseded on fresh endothelial monolayers, smooth muscle cells, or plastic dishes and subsequently assayed for proliferation.

Noncontact cocultures. Monocytes (1-2 x 10^6 cells/cm²) were cultured on the bottom of multwells and endothelial cells were separately grown to confluency on gelatin-coated glass coverslips. The coverslips were then placed, inverted, in the wells with monocytes but physically separated from them by 1-mm thick spacer rings. Monocytes were sequentially harvested and assayed for proliferative activity.

Conditioned media. Conditioned media collected from endothelial cell cultures and from endothelial cell–monocyte contact cocultures at times when monocytes were actively proliferating (days 5-9) were added to monocytes grown on plastic, and proliferation was assayed at various intervals between days 1 to 14 to determine peak proliferation.

Inverted cocultures. In other experiments, monocytes were cocultured for 7 d in contact with endothelium on gelatin-coated glass coverslips. The coverslips were then inverted and placed in blank wells on top of 1-mm thick spacer rings. The majority of monocytes were loosely adherent and spontaneously lost contact with the endothelium and fell to the bottom of the well. These monocytes were assayed for proliferative activity 48 h after losing contact with the endothelium.

Measurements of Mφ proliferation

In all long-term experiments, net proliferation was measured directly as increase in Mφ cell number. Cocultures were harvested with trypsin/EDTA, counted to determine total cell number, and the Mφ population identified using a cocktail of mAb against the Mφ surface markers CD11a, CD14, HLA-DR, and CD11c by FACSC® analysis as previously described (25).

Where differences in the rate of proliferation were of interest, the percentage of monocyte/Mφs in S phase was measured by quantitative autoradiography. Cells were labeled with 1 μCi/ml of [3H]thymidine (NEN Products, Boston, MA; 6.70 Ci/mmol) for 24 h, harvested by brief trypsin/EDTA treatment, cytospinified onto glass slides, formalin fixed, and permeabilized with acetone. Mφs were stained with mAb against a Mφ marker (CD11c or HAM-56) using a universal immunoperoxidase system (Bio Genex, San Ramon, CA). Slides were then coated with autoradiographic emulsion, dried, and exposed for 3-5 d at 4°C. After development, 500 antibody-positive Mφs were counted on each slide, and scored for the percentage showing radioactively labeled nuclei (thymidine index). Positive cells were defined as those with >20 silver grains over the nucleus. Variation among triplicate cultures was typically <5%. To ensure that differences in thymidine index did not represent variations in activation kinetics, each treatment group was sampled at five to seven time points between days 3 and 21, and the peak levels were compared. All experiments involving cell counts or quantitative autoradiography were carried out in triplicate and subjected to statistical analysis using ANOVA.

In some experiments monocytes were cultured alone for 3-12 d in 96-well plates, then assayed for proliferation by 24 h total thymidine incorporation and liquid scintillation counting as previously described (25).

Bioassay for MCSF activity

Production of bioactive MCSF by endothelial cell cultures was assayed using MCSF-dependent 32D-cfms cells (40), a murine stem-cell line transfected with the human MCSF receptor (generous gift of J. Pierce, National Institutes of Health). 32D-cfms cells in log-phase growth were washed free of MCSF and transferred to direct contact with endothelial cell monolayers. After 24 h, proliferation was measured by thymidine incorporation as previously described (25). Proliferation in coculture was compared to a standard curve of 32D-cfms cells cultured in a titration of recombinant MCSF without endothelial cells.
**Reverse transcriptase PCR**

RNA was prepared from cocultures and endothelial cells using commercial spin columns (RNEasy, Quiagen, Chatsworth, CA). For each sample, 1 μg of total RNA was reverse transcribed using random-hexamer priming, and cDNA amplified by PCR (GeneAmp; Perkin Elmer, Branchburg, NJ). Primers for human MCSF were obtained from Clontech, Palo Alto, CA. PCR products were electrophoresed in 2% agarose gels and visualized with ethidium bromide.

**Immunoblot analysis**

Mφs (> 90% pure by FACS) were washed from cocultures, lysed, electrophoresed on 12% SDS-PAGE gels and transferred to PVDF membranes as previously described (34). Immunoblots were stained with mAb against human cyclin E (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) or p27Kip1 (PharMingen, San Diego, CA), and developed with a commercial peroxidase-based chemiluminescence system (ECL; Amersham, Arlington Heights, IL).

**Results**

**Clustering of subendothelial monocytes in human aortas.** En face microscopy of AgNO<sub>3</sub>/propidium iodide-stained segments of human aorta revealed multiple clusters (Fig. 1 A) of mononuclear cells showing typical monocyte/Mφ ultrastructure (Fig. 1 B) located immediately subjacent to the endothelial monolayer, many of which were in intimate contact with the endothelium (Fig. 1 B). Subendothelial Mφ aggregates ranged from small (10–20 cell) to large (200–400 cell) focal collections. Multiple Mφ clusters were observed in 28 out of 28 randomly selected segments of vessels obtained from 21 autopsy aortas, age 15–34 yr, indicating that the phenomenon was widespread even in thoracic aortas of young individuals without significant disease.

**In vitro proliferation of monocytes in contact with endothelial cells.** Monocyte proliferation was assessed in > 90 independent experiments using multiple combinations of 46 endothelial cell donors and 26 monocyte donors. The effect of endothelial cell contact on Mφ proliferation described below was observed in every experiment.

Peripheral blood monocytes seeded on established endothelial cell monolayers did not injure or disrupt the monolayers, as assessed by phase-contrast microscopy (Fig. 2) or light microscopy after AgNO<sub>3</sub> staining (not shown), and the two cell types were capable of sustained (up to 21 d) interaction in vitro. When monocytes in contact with endothelial cells were exposed to MCSF, they demonstrated a dramatic and progressive increase in cell number (Fig. 2), typically resulting in a 10–20-fold expansion of the starting monocyte population (Fig. 3 A). In contrast, Mφs cultured with optimal concentrations of MCSF but without endothelial cells showed minimal (less than twofold) expansion. This was true whether monocytes were cultured on tissue culture plastic (Fig. 3 D) or on smooth muscle cells (Fig. 3 C). Likewise, monocytes cultured in contact with endothelial cells but without exogenous MCSF remained viable, but their proliferation was dramatically reduced (Fig. 3 B). Thus, both MCSF and endothelial cells were required for optimal proliferation and appeared to function together in a synergistic fashion.

The period of maximal proliferation (days 4–14) was characterized by high thymidine indices, indicating a rapid rate of cell division (Fig. 4). These results also confirmed the require-

---

**Figure 1.** Subendothelial monocyte accumulation in human thoracic aorta. (A) Silver stain shows borders of endothelial cells (e); nuclei are revealed by propidium iodide fluorescence. Subendothelial monocytes are the small clustered nuclei (m). ×140. (B) Transmission electron micrograph showing a monocyte (m) in close apposition to an overlying endothelial cell (e). The vessel lumen (l) and subendothelial space (s) are indicated. ×5,900.
ment for both MCSF and endothelial cells to support significant proliferation and formally demonstrated the synergistic nature of the interaction (Fig. 4).

We have previously reported that human monocytes are capable of MCSF-induced proliferation in the absence of endothelial cells (25). The current studies extend these findings and demonstrate that optimal proliferation of monocytes cultured alone requires seeding densities even greater than previously described (25), essentially representing a confluent monolayer. In this study (Fig. 5A), we now show that the rate of DNA synthesis by monocytes cultured in isolation was directly correlated with the initial seeding density, even following appropriate normalization for starting cell number. Further

Figure 2. Phase-contrast photomicrographs of cocultures of monocytes and aortic endothelial cells in vitro. (Top left) Endothelial cells alone; contact cocultures at (top right) 24 h; (bottom left) day 7; (bottom right) day 14. All cultures received 200 U/ml MCSF. All photos ×66.

Figure 3. Coculture with endothelial cells enhances MCSF-induced monocyte proliferation. Monocytes were cultured for 14 d either (A) on monolayers of endothelial cells with MCSF; (B) on endothelial cells without MCSF; (C) on smooth muscle cells with MCSF; and (D) on tissue culture plastic with MCSF. Replicate cultures were harvested at serial time points, and Ms quantified by cell counts and FACS analysis as described in Methods. Each curve representative of 3–6 similar experiments with each treatment condition.
Endothelial Cell-induced Monocyte Macrophage Proliferation

However, even at optimal seeding density, proliferation of monocytes alone slowed after a few days (Fig. 5 B) and eventually stopped. This occurred regardless of initial seeding density and could not be prevented by refeeding with fresh medium or by subculturing. The total increase in cell number obtainable under these conditions was typically only three- to fourfold (Fig. 3 D), which is consistent with our previous reports and those of others (24, 25). Based on these observations, we wished to compare the proliferative capacity of monocytes cultured in isolation with those in contact with endothelial cells.

We first used the peak thymidine index technique described in Fig. 4, since, unlike total thymidine incorporation assays, it was not affected by low seeding density, differences in activation kinetics or the presence of contaminating endothelial cells. As shown in Fig. 5 C, monocytes cultured alone at high seeding density (25 × 10^4 cells/cm^2) demonstrated active proliferation (thymidine index of 4–11%, comparable to other reports in the literature [24]). However, at low seeding densities (1–2 × 10^4 cells/cm^2, used in our coculture system), monocytes in isolation showed little proliferation. At the same low

Figure 4. Synergistic effect of endothelial cells and MCSF on DNA synthesis. Cocultures were radiolabeled for 24 h with [3H]TdR. Monocytes were harvested and cytocentrifuge preparations were counterstained for the monocyte/Mφ marker CD11c and processed for autoradiography. (A) Representative photomicrograph showing both radiolabeled (r) and unlabeled (u) immunostained monocytes and unstained endothelial cells (e). Nomarski differential interference contrast microscopy ×300. (B) Peak thymidine index for monocytes cocultured either on endothelial cells (EC), smooth muscle cells (SMC), or tissue culture plastic, with (+) or without (−) MCSF as indicated. The asterisk indicates difference (P < 0.001) compared with all other treatment groups. The number of independent experiments (n) for each condition is indicated.

Figure 5. Effect of seeding density on monocyte proliferation. (A) Monocytes were seeded on tissue culture plastic at densities between 7–30 × 10^4 cells/cm^2). The cultures were then assayed for proliferation by thymidine incorporation and liquid scintillation counting on day 5. CPM have been normalized for initial seeding density (total cpm ÷ number of cells seeded). (B) Monocytes were seeded on plastic at optimal density as determined in panel A (25 × 10^4 cells/cm^2), Thymidine incorporation was measured daily. Representative of 5 experiments. (C) Monocytes were seeded on plastic at high density (HD = 25 × 10^4 cells/cm^2), on plastic at low density (LD = 1–2 × 10^4 cells/cm^2), or cocultured with endothelial cells at the same low density (LD-CC). Peak thymidine index was measured as in Fig. 4. Data shown represent the means of 4–11 separate experiments in each group. All values differ significantly (P < 0.05 by ANOVA). (D) Monocytes were cocultured with endothelial cells at densities of 2 × 10^4 (diamonds), 5 × 10^4 (right triangles), 2 × 10^3 (squares), and 6.5 × 10^2 (circles) cells/cm^2. Total monocyte number was determined at various times after seeding (mean of triplicate wells, SD of < 5% has been omitted for clarity). 1 of 4 experiments. (E) Data from the experiment shown in D, reexpressed as fold increase over initial cell number. The symbols for the various seeding densities are the same.
densities, however, monocytes in contact with endothelial cells proliferated vigorously.

We next asked whether endothelial cells could support monocyte proliferation at concentrations approaching clonal density. To ensure that cell division was not being offset by cell death in these long-term studies, we directly counted total monocyte number in addition to thymidine index. Monocytes were cocultured at densities between $2 \times 10^4$ and $5 \times 10^4$/cm$^2$. As shown in Fig. 5D, even at the lowest seeding density, proliferation was brisk and sustained. Comparable data were obtained using thymidine index (data not shown). In marked contrast to monocytes cultured in isolation, however (Fig. 5A), lower seeding densities permitted greater proliferation (Fig. 5E). Also in contrast to monocytes cultured alone, cells at the lowest seeding density were still in log phase growth up to 21 d.

**Intimal Møs proliferate in vitro.** To determine whether fully differentiated tissue Møs were able to proliferate, aortic intimal Møs were cocultured with established endothelial cell monolayers. As shown in Fig. 6, neither the original Mø cultures nor harvested Møs reseeded on plastic showed detectable MCSF-induced proliferation. However, intimal Møs placed in contact with endothelial cells showed significant MCSF-induced proliferation (peak thymidine index of up to 12%). Thus, the effect of endothelial cells on proliferation extended to mature, resident intimal Møs as well as monocytes.

**MCSF production by endothelial cells.** We next asked whether endothelial cells might enhance monocyte proliferation due to the production of additional MCSF. We first established the dose-response relationship between proliferation and MCSF concentration. As shown in Fig. 7, maximal proliferation in cocultures occurred at 100 U/ml (a dose identical to that eliciting maximal response of monocytes alone in high density cultures [data not shown]), and concentrations of MCSF higher than this had no additional effect. A similar plateau effect at 100 U/ml was evident at both 14 and 21 d. We then quantitated production of biologically active MCSF by endothelial cells using a sensitive bioassay. The 32D-cfms reporter cells were placed in direct contact with endothelial cells during the assay to ensure that the action of any membrane-bound forms of MCSF (41) was also detected. As shown in Fig. 8, contact with endothelial cells supported low but detectable proliferation by 32D-cfms cells, and, consistent with this, MCSF mRNA was detectable in endothelial cells and in cocultures by reverse transcriptase (RT)–PCR analysis. However, the actual amount of MCSF produced by endothelial cells was

![Figure 6](http://www.jci.org)  
*Figure 6.* Proliferation of intimal Møs induced by endothelial cell contact and MCSF. Intimal Møs isolated from human aorta were cultured on plastic for 14 d (bar 1), or for 48 h, then transferred either to established endothelial cell monolayers (bar 2) or to new culture dishes (bar 3) for 14 d. Bars show peak thymidine index in a 14-d period. All groups received MCSF throughout. (*P < 0.05 by ANOVA.) 1 of 3 similar experiments.

![Figure 7](http://www.jci.org)  
*Figure 7.* Dose-response to MCSF. Monocytes were cultured on endothelial cell monolayers (contact cocultures) for 14 d (open bars) or 21 d (hatched bars) in various concentrations of MCSF. When cultures were fed, fresh MCSF was added to maintain the concentrations shown. 1 of 3 experiments.

![Figure 8](http://www.jci.org)  
*Figure 8.* Production of biologically-active MCSF by endothelial cells. Proliferation of 32D-cfms in contact coculture with endothelial cells (solid circle) is compared to a dose-response curve of 32D-cfms cells to recombinant MCSF without endothelial cells (open circles). Inset confirms message for MCSF by RT-PCR using RNA from endothelial cell monolayers (EC) and monocyte-endothelial cell cocultures (CC). 1 of 3 experiments.
low compared with the exogenous cytokine (Fig. 7) and therefore could not account for the observed effect of endothelial cells on proliferation.

The effect of endothelial cells is contact dependent. When monocytes and endothelial cells were cultured in the same wells but physically separated from each other (noncontact cocultures), monocytes failed to proliferate, while those in contact with endothelium (contact cocultures) proliferated vigorously (Table I). Consistent with a contact-dependent mechanism, the effect of endothelial cells on \( \text{M} \) \( \phi \) proliferation was not transferable with conditioned medium from either endothelial cell cultures or contact cocultures (Table I). Even monocytes that had been actively proliferating in contact cocultures stopped dividing if they were harvested and reseeded on plastic or on smooth muscle cells (Fig. 9A) or if they lost contact with endothelial cells in inverted cocultures (Fig. 9B).

Endothelial cells and MCSF differentially regulate expression of cyclin E and p27\( ^{kip1} \). The above results suggested that endothelial cells influenced \( \text{M} \) \( \phi \) proliferation via a regulatory pathway distinct from that of MCSF. To test this hypothesis, we first examined the expression of cyclin E, a critical regulator of the G1/S transition (42, 43). As shown in Fig. 10, A and B, monocytes exposed to MCSF without endothelial cell contact, or while in contact with smooth muscle cells, only weakly expressed cyclin E. However, cyclin E was rapidly induced in monocytes by contact with endothelial cells, and this effect was not further enhanced by addition of exogenous MCSF (Fig. 10). Thus, in our system, a critical gene for MCSF-induced proliferation was upregulated more effectively by endothelial cell contact than by MCSF itself.

Despite this effect of endothelial cell contact on cyclin E in monocytes, MCSF was still required for efficient proliferation (see Fig. 4), implying an additional regulatory element. We therefore examined expression of p27\( ^{kip1} \), an inhibitor of cyclin-cdk catalytic complexes that must be downregulated for cells to enter S phase (44, 45). We found that, in contrast to cyclin E expression, efficient downregulation of p27\( ^{kip1} \) was highly sensitive to the presence of exogenous MCSF (Fig. 10, C and D). Thus, efficient entry of M\( \phi \)s into S phase required two independent signals converging at the cyclin E/p27\( ^{kip1} \) G1/S regulatory checkpoint.
even at initial monocyte densities > 100-fold less than those required when monocytes are cultured in isolation. We now describe an additional signal, supplied by contact with endothelial cells and acting synergistically with MCSF, which permits this extensive and prolonged monocyte proliferation starting from essentially clonal densities.

The effect of contact with endothelial cells was not merely to “sensitize” monocytes to MCSF. Rather, it supplied a signal distinct from that delivered by MCSF. The separate nature of this signal was best illustrated by the effect of endothelial cells on expression of cyclin E. Progression through G1 is governed by multiple cyclins, including the D-type cyclin family (46), which in Møs is known to be directly regulated by MCSF (47). However, entry into S phase is also dependent on expression of cyclin E (42, 43), and the effect of MCSF on cyclin E has not been established. In our system, cyclin E was not efficiently upregulated by MCSF itself, but was highly responsive to contact with endothelial cells. By itself, however, this did not result in significant proliferation due to the continued presence of p27\textsuperscript{Kip1}, a negative regulator that inhibits a broad range of cyclin/cyclin-dependent kinase complexes (44, 45). Downregulation of p27\textsuperscript{Kip1} required the addition of exogenous MCSF.

This suggests a model of “two-signal” regulation. One signal, delivered by contact with endothelial cells, upregulates cyclin E and renders Møs competent to proliferate in response to MCSF. The second signal, delivered by MCSF, induces downregulation of p27\textsuperscript{Kip1} and subsequent progression into S phase. The presence of exogenous MCSF in this in vitro model is required to optimize proliferation and thus emphasizes the separate and distinct contributions of MCSF and endothelial cells. However, consistent with the low but detectable level of endogenous MCSF produced by endothelial cells (Fig. 8) and the modest proliferation observed even in the absence of exogenous MCSF (Figs. 3, 4, 7), we found that p27\textsuperscript{Kip1} was eventually downregulated in cocultures without exogenous MCSF, although in a more variable and less predictable fashion (data not shown). Thus, even in a system intended to be dependent on recombinant MCSF, endothelial cells were still capable of supplying both signals needed to support Mø proliferation.

It might be argued that under normal circumstances the subendothelial space is not a site of prolonged residence for Møs, and therefore sustained contact with endothelial cells would not be expected to occur in vivo. However, in the specific case of early atherosclerotic lesions, endothelial cell-Mø contact and the progressive accumulation of Møs in the immediate subendothelial compartment has been well documented in animal models (1, 2, 17, 48–52), as has the predominance of Møs in early human lesions (53–55). Using a novel en face technique, which allows microscopic examination of large areas of intima, we now demonstrate the common occurrence of subendothelial monocyte/Mø clusters in close contact with endothelium in thoracic aortas from young humans without significant disease. The presence of large numbers of intimal mononuclear cells in well-developed human atherosclerotic lesions has previously been demonstrated using this technique (56). However, our finding of such clusters in 28 of 28 specimens from 21 randomly selected aortas without overt disease suggests that Mø residence in the immediate subendothelial space can be both frequent and prolonged in human aorta, since the phenomenon was not rare. The colony-like structure of many of these clusters suggested to us the possibility that they might arise from proliferation. Previous studies have

---

**Figure 10.** Independent regulation of cyclin E and p27\textsuperscript{Kip1} expression. (A) Upregulation of cyclin E by endothelial cells. Monocytes were cultured either alone (lanes labeled M), on smooth muscle cells (S), or on endothelial cells (E) in the presence (+) or absence (−) of MCSF. Replicate wells were assayed for cyclin E expression by immunoblot on days 1 and 3. The expected 43 band and M, 52 doublet are indicated by arrows. 1 of 5 experiments. (B) Contact-dependent regulation of cyclin E. Lane 1, monocytes in contact with endothelial cells; lane 2, monocytes separated from endothelial cells by 1 mm spacer ring; lane 3, monocytes alone. All cultures received MCSF and were harvested on day 5. 1 of 3 experiments. (C) MCSF-dependent downregulation of p27\textsuperscript{Kip1}. Monocytes were cocultured with endothelial cells in the presence (+) or absence (−) of MCSF. Expression of p27\textsuperscript{Kip1} was analyzed by immunoblot on days 2, 4, and 5. 1 of 4 similar experiments. (D) Delayed addition of MCSF to cocultures resulted in rapid downregulation of p27\textsuperscript{Kip1}. Monocytes were cocultured with endothelial cells for 5 d (lanes 1 and 2) or 6 d (lanes 3 and 4) either with MCSF (2 and 4) or without MCSF (1 and 3). The monocytes in lane 3 were placed in coculture for 5 d without MCSF (as in lane 1) and then received MCSF for 24 h before harvesting on day 6. 1 of 3 experiments.
shown that Mₘₛ are the predominant proliferative cell type in human carotid plaques (19), and, in rabbit lesions, the majority of proliferating Mₘₛ are found in the immediate subendothelial compartment (17). Based on these studies and our current findings, we propose that this compartment may provide a microenvironment in which Mₘᵝ-endothelial contact can be combined with oxidized LDL-stimulated production of MCSF by endothelium (26–28), potentially resulting in the Mₘᵝ proliferation previously described in human vessels (18, 19).

The potential significance in atherosclerosis of a mechanism by which endothelial cells stimulate Mₘᵝ proliferation is considerable. We have previously demonstrated the specific recruitment of monocytes into lesion-susceptible areas during atherogenesis in swine, and the exit of lipid-laden Mₘᵝ foam cells from the same lesions (1, 4). Similar findings have been described by others in primate (48, 49) and rat (50) models. On the basis of these studies, we postulated a protective role of the monocyte, resulting in the removal of lipid from lesions by the efflux of Mₘᵝ foam cells and their clearance by the reticuloendothelial system both in early atherogenesis (1, 4, 51) and in the regression of fatty streaks and atherosclerotic lesions. If this protective hypothesis is correct, then one would expect to find mechanisms that enhance the availability, numbers, and intimal recruitment of blood monocytes under atherogenic conditions. Consistent with this, a number of such mechanisms, including Mₘᵝ proliferation (17–19), have been described (11–16, 35, 57). On the other hand, if the large numbers of Mₘᵝs generated in early atherogenesis were unable to clear sufficient lipid to prevent lesion progression, their continued presence could have significant deleterious lipid-accumulative, oxidative, cytotoxic, and growth-promoting effects (3, 7–10, 58–60). Thus, whether Mₘᵝ proliferation is beneficial or pathogenic would depend on the balance between the levels of anti- and proatherogenic mechanisms achieved by these cells. In either case, significant proliferation of intimal Mₘᵝs could have a profound impact on the rate of progression of the lesion.

In the current study, we demonstrate a pivotal role for endothelial cells in regulating MCSF-induced Mₘᵝ proliferation. Taken together with their other known roles in atherosclerosi-
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