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Abstract

Induction of islet neogenesis by cellophane wrapping (CW) reverses streptozotocin-induced (STZ) diabetes. Administration of Ilotropin, a protein extract isolated from CW pancreata, causes recapitulation of normal islet ontology and reverses STZ diabetes, reducing mortality by 50%. We investigated the hypothesis that a novel gene encoding a constituent of Ilotropin was expressed in the hamster pancreas undergoing islet neogenesis. Islet neogenesis associated protein (INGAP) is a product of a novel gene expressed in regenerating hamster pancreas. Northern blot analysis showed a strong single transcript of 850 bp at 1 and 2 d after CW that disappeared by the 6th day and was absent from untreated control pancreata. INGAP gene is expressed in acinar cells, but not in islets. Western blot analysis demonstrated the presence of INGAP in Ilotropin but not in extracts from control pancreata. A synthetic pentadecapeptide, corresponding to a region unique to INGAP, stimulated a 2.4-fold increase in [3H]thymidine incorporation into hamster duct epithelium in primary culture and a rat pancreatic duct cell line but had no effect on a hamster insulinoma tumor cell line. A portion of human INGAP gene was cloned and appears to be highly homologous to the hamster gene. This data suggests that the INGAP gene is a novel pancreatic gene expressed during islet neogenesis whose protein product is a constituent of Ilotropin and is capable of initiating duct cell proliferation, a prerequisite for islet neogenesis. (J. Clin. Invest. 1997. 99:2100–2109.) Key words: islet neogenesis • INGAP gene • hamster

Introduction

Neogenic pancreatic islet cells differentiate from a protodifferentiated islet cell precursor in the duct epithelium or the mesenchyme adjacent to the ducts (1–5). Several model systems have been developed to study mesenchymal factors that might be involved in new islet formation (4–8). Cellophane wrapping (CW) of the adult hamster pancreas leads to the induction of new islet formation from ducts within 2 wk with recapitulation of normal fetal ontology (2, 9), in the absence of an inflammatory response (1). Glucagon, somatostatin, and insulin appear sequentially to form a mature islet (1, 2). CW is capable of reversing streptozotocin (STZ)-induced diabetes in > 50% of cases (10). We demonstrated, using parabiosis, that the effect was not hormonal and that there was a paracrine or autocrine mechanism regulating islet neogenesis (1). We hypothesized that a unique locally produced factor(s) was responsible for islet cell regeneration. A partially purified preparation of CW pancreata, called Ilotropin, was shown to induce new islet formation from duct epithelium and sequential appearance of glucagon, somatostatin, and insulin, and thereby reverse STZ-induced diabetes by inducing pancreatic islet neogenesis (11). Ilotropin has only been partially characterized as a protein(s) that is acid and heat stable, ethanol-precipitable, trypsin-sensitive, with an apparent molecular weight in the range of 29–44 kD, and is not sialated (1). The gene(s) encoding proteins in Ilotropin have not been identified.

Our approach for identifying and characterizing the genes responsible for regeneration has been to compare genes expressed in CW versus control pancreata. Methods used previously only permitted screening of known molecules on an individual basis (12). Differential mRNA display technique has been recently described and used to identify differences in subsets of mRNA samples (13). We previously reported on using the mRNA differential display technique and the identification of several clones expressed in regenerating, but not in control pancreata (14). We report here the isolation, sequence, and localization of a novel gene whose protein product is a constituent of Ilotropin, and demonstrate the ability of a unique synthetic peptide corresponding to a region of islet neogenesis associated protein (INGAP) to stimulate proliferation of pancreatic ductal cells in vitro.

Methods

Animals and induction of islet neogenesis. 120 outbred female Syrian golden hamsters, 7 wk of age (Charles River, WV), were used. All experiments were conducted in accordance with the principles and procedures outlined in the NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. Our model for surgical induction of cell proliferation in the pancreas of the Syrian golden hamster has been described previ-
ously (1–3). Briefly, a midline laparotomy incision is made. With the aid of a stereo dissecting microscope, the distal common bile duct and head of the pancreas are exposed. Using blunt dissection, an avascular plane is developed to allow the placement of a 2 mm-wide strip of sterile cellophane tape (Imperial Tobacco, Montreal, Canada), which is wrapped around the head of the gland and tied loosely in position.

Ilotropin administration and immunocytochemistry. 10 female Syrian golden hamsters were allocated to receive 500 μL of ilotropin, twice daily for 7 wk. A control group received 500 μL 0.9% NaCl saline twice daily for 7 wk. We chose not to use cytosol extract from nonwrapped hamster pancreata for the control group in these studies because we have previously demonstrated that its activity was different from saline (15). Icotropin was prepared as described previously (1, 11). Briefly, after pentobarbital anesthesia, cellophane tape (Imperial Tobacco, Montreal, Canada) was wrapped around the head of the gland and tied loosely in position. The bile duct was cannulated and the tissue dispersed by vortex. After pH neutralization, the material was centrifuged at 19,000 rpm for 30 min and the supernatant collected. After pH neutralization, the material was centrifuged at 19,000 g and the supernatant collected. After pH neutralization, the material was brought to 70% ethanol by the addition of 100% ethanol, and centrifuged at 19,000 g. The pellet was collected and resuspended in normal saline for subsequent study. For immunocytochemistry, pancreas was removed and fixed in 10% buffered formalin. The specimens were immersed in 50% formamide, 300 mmol/liter NaCl, 20 mmol/liter Tris-HCl, and hybridization buffer. Sections were then dehydrated and immersed in 100% ethanol for 30 min and liquid N2. Frozen sections (8–10 μm) were cut on a cryostat at −20°C. For prehybridization sections were warmed to 25°C, fixed in 4% formaldehyde, rinsed in phosphate buffered saline, and soaked for 10 min in 0.25% acetic anhydride, 100 mmol/liter triethanolamine, pH 8.0, to block positive charges. Tissue was then dehydrated, delipidated in chloroform, rehydrated, and air dried. 35S-labeled probes were added to hybridization buffer composed of 50% formamide, 0.2 M NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl, 2.5 mM EDTA, 10% dextran sulfate, 250 μg/ml RNA, 10 mM DTT and 1× Denhardt’s. 5 × 106 cpm of probe in 70 μl of hybridization buffer was applied to the slides, to which coverslips were added and placed in humidified chambers for 18 h at 55°C. Posthybridization included washing the slides several times in 4× SSC to remove the cover slips and hybridization buffer. Sections were then dehydrated and immersed in 50% formamide, 300 mmol/liter NaCl, 20 mmol/liter Tris-HCl, 1× sodium citrate, 100 mmol/liter DTT at 60°C for 10 min. Sections were then treated with RNase A (20 μg/ml) for 30 min at 37°C followed by three washes in 0.5 M NaCl, 100 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0 (NTE), and a 15 min wash in 0.1× SSC at 50°C. Slides were air dried and exposed to Hyperfilm-beta Max (Amersham Corp., Arlington Heights, IL) for 4 d, then dipped in Kodak NTB2 emulsion, dried, and stored at 4°C. Following 10 d of exposure, the slides were developed with Kodak D-19 developer and stained with hematoxylin.

Pancreatic duct epithelial cell cultures were prepared from 12–6–8 wk old Syrian golden hamsters. After the induction of pentobarbital anesthesia, cellophane tape (Imperial Tobacco, Montreal, Canada) was wrapped around the head of the pancreas are exposed. Using blunt dissection, an avascular plane is developed to allow the placement of a 2 mm-wide strip of sterile cellophane tape (Imperial Tobacco, Montreal, Canada), which is wrapped around the head of the gland and tied loosely in position. The bile duct was cannulated and the tissue dispersed by vortex. After pH neutralization, the material was centrifuged at 19,000 g and the supernatant collected. After pH neutralization, the material was centrifuged at 19,000 g and the supernatant collected. After pH neutralization, the material was brought to 70% ethanol by the addition of 100% ethanol, and centrifuged at 19,000 g. The pellet was collected and resuspended in normal saline for subsequent study. For immunocytochemistry, pancreas was removed and fixed in 10% buffered formalin. The specimens were immersed in 50% formamide, 300 mmol/liter NaCl, 20 mmol/liter Tris-HCl, and hybridization buffer. Sections were then dehydrated and immersed in 100% ethanol for 30 min and liquid N2. Frozen sections (8–10 μm) were cut on a cryostat at −20°C. For prehybridization sections were warmed to 25°C, fixed in 4% formaldehyde, rinsed in phosphate buffered saline, and soaked for 10 min in 0.25% acetic anhydride, 100 mmol/liter triethanolamine, pH 8.0, to block positive charges. Tissue was then dehydrated, delipidated in chloroform, rehydrated, and air dried. 35S-labeled probes were added to hybridization buffer composed of 50% formamide, 0.2 M NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl, 2.5 mM EDTA, 10% dextran sulfate, 250 μg/ml RNA, 10 mM DTT and 1× Denhardt’s. 5 × 106 cpm of probe in 70 μl of hybridization buffer was applied to the slides, to which coverslips were added and placed in humidified chambers for 18 h at 55°C. Posthybridization included washing the slides several times in 4× SSC to remove the cover slips and hybridization buffer. Sections were then dehydrated and immersed in 50% formamide, 300 mmol/liter NaCl, 20 mmol/liter Tris-HCl, 1× sodium citrate, 100 mmol/liter DTT at 60°C for 10 min. Sections were then treated with RNase A (20 μg/ml) for 30 min at 37°C followed by three washes in 0.5 M NaCl, 100 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0 (NTE), and a 15 min wash in 0.1× SSC at 50°C. Slides were air dried and exposed to Hyperfilm-beta Max (Amersham Corp., Arlington Heights, IL) for 4 d, then dipped in Kodak NTB2 emulsion, dried, and stored at 4°C. Following 10 d of exposure, the slides were developed with Kodak D-19 developer and stained with hematoxylin.
Figure 1. Effect of Ilotropin administration on islet neogenesis. (A) Hamster pancreas 3 wk after initiation of Ilotropin administration. A normal islet is shown adjacent to an intralobular duct. Cells are stained with antibody to glucagon. Note the normal distribution of α cells in the periphery of the islet and the glucagon-positive cells arising from the duct. (B) Hamster pancreas 3 wk after initiation of Ilotropin administration. Shown are glucagon-positive cells budding from the adjacent intralobular duct. (C) Hamster pancreas 3 wk after initiation of Ilotropin administration. Shown is a focus of insulin-positive cells. (D) Hamster pancreas 2 wk after the initiation of Ilotropin administration. Intralobular duct with one cell staining positive for glucagon.
and cosin. Photographs were taken under dark-field and bright-field optics.

\[^{3}H\]TdR incorporation. A pentadecapeptide corresponding to amino-acids 104–118 of INGAP was synthesized by Genosys Biotechnologies (The Woodlands, TX). The proliferative response of pancreatic duct, ARIP and HIT-T15 cells to INGAP peptide was quantified by \[^{3}H\]TdR incorporation. Duct cells, HIT-T15 and ARIP cells were plated onto 6-well plates and cultured in Ham’s F-12K media containing 10% FBS for 24–48 h or until reaching 50–60% confluency. Then the media was removed and the cells were incubated for 24 h either with varying concentrations of INGAP peptide (100–1500 ng/ml) or an equal volume of control diluent in the presence of 10 \(\mu\)Ci of \[^{3}H\]TdR (80.4 Ci/mmol, DuPont-New England Nuclear). As a negative control we used 60–500 ng/ml nerve growth factor (NGF) protein in the incubation instead of INGAP. DNA was precipitated with 15% TCA and extracted with 2 N NaOH and the recovered radioactivity measured. Data were recorded as recovered cpm/\(\mu\)g DNA and analyzed using ANOVA and post hoc Dunnett’s test. Significance was accepted at the 5% level.

Recombinant protein expression in a prokaryotic system. We generated a new INGAP cDNA by PCR which excluded the 5’ UTR region (nucleotides 1–35, see Fig. 3 A) and the signal peptide (nucleotides 36–113), had two new restriction enzyme recognition sites enabling the insertion of the new construct into pQE-31 expression vector (QIAGEN, Inc., Chatsworth, CA), and encoded six histidine residues at the NH\(_2\)-terminus. This expression vector provides a high-level expression in Escherichia coli of proteins containing a histidine affinity tag at the NH\(_2\)-terminus. The construct was transformed into TOP10F\(^{\ast}\) competent cells (E. coli host strain from Invitrogen Corp.). The positive clones were identified, verified by restriction enzyme digestion and the DNA isolated. The DNA was transformed into a different competent E. coli strain, M15(pREP4) and expression of the protein was induced by isopropyl-\(\beta\)-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG). The His-tagged protein was isolated by Ni\(^{2\ast}\) agarose affinity purification (QIAGEN, Inc.) according to the recommended method.

Western blot analysis. INGAP antibody was raised in a rabbit (Genosys Biotechnologies) against a synthetic pentadecapeptide corresponding to amino acids 104–118 of the deduced INGAP protein. For Western blotting, Iliotropin was diluted 1:1 in sample buffer with 1% SDS and 2% \(\beta\)-mercaptoethanol in Tris, pH 6.8. The proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE on a 12% gel and transferred to Immobilon-P membranes (Millipore Corp., Bedford, MA) at constant voltage for 18 h. The membrane was blocked with 10% normal goat serum and 1% BSA in 50 mM Tris, pH 7.4. The membrane was exposed for 60 min to anti-INGAP antibody, washed with PBS and exposed for 30 min to peroxidase-labeled goat anti–rabbit IgG. After washing with PBS, the peroxidase-labeled proteins were revealed with enzyme chemiluminescence (Amersham Corp.). To test for specificity, the membrane was stripped with 200 mM glycine in PBS, pH 2.5, and retested using the primary antibody solution preabsorbed with 1 \(\mu\)g/ml of INGAP peptide added.

Results

Induction of islet neogenesis by cellophane wrapping. As we have shown previously (1), cellophane wrapping of the hamster pancreas leads to induction of ductal cell proliferation and new islet formation. Using this model we have identified in the CW a local pancreatic factor which we have termed Iliotropin (17) which is capable of reversing diabetes when given intra-peritoneally to STZT-treated hamsters (11). Here, we show that in the animals treated with Iliotropin three patterns of islet-cell distribution could be identified: (a) islets in which both insulin and glucagon cell types were present (Fig. 1 A); (b) nests of cells stained exclusively for either insulin or glucagon (Fig. 1 B and C); and (c) individual cells stained for insulin or glucagon (Fig. 1 D). These were found in duct epithelial cells and in association with cells budding from the ducts. Control age-matched animals do not show pattern b or c. To determine if unique genes were expressed in regenerating pancreas, we resorted to the mRNA differential display technique.

Identification of RD19-2. Using the mRNA differential display technique, we identified several clones differentially expressed in CW compared with control pancreata (14). One clone, RD19-2, was uniquely expressed in CW as shown by Northern blot analysis (Fig. 2), and we used it to screen a hamster cDNA library prepared from CW pancreas.

Cloning INGAP cDNA and analysis of the coding region. The nucleotide sequence of the hamster INGAP clone with the longest cDNA insert was determined. As shown in Fig. 3 the hamster cDNA comprises 766 nucleotides (nt), exclusive of the poly(A) tail and contains a major open reading frame encoding a 175 amino acid protein. The open reading frame is followed by a 3’-untranslated region of 206 nt. A typical polyadenylation recognition signal, AATAAA is present 11 nt upstream of the poly(A) tail. Comparison of the nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequence between hamster INGAP and rat pancreatitis associated protein (PAP)-1 (18) shows homology in the coding region (60 and 58% in nucleotide and amino acid sequences, respectively). The predicted amino acid sequence of the hamster INGAP (Fig. 4) also reveals 45% identity to PAP-II (19), 50% to PAP-III (20), and 54% to HIP (21), which is identical to human PAP (22). INGAP also has 40% identity to the rat Reg protein, which has been found in regenerating islets (23, 24). Reg is thought to be identical to the pancreatic stone protein (PSP) (25) and pancreatic thread protein (26). The NH\(_2\)-terminus of the predicted sequence of INGAP protein contains the initiating methionine codon preceded by a consensus translational start site ACC as defined by Kozak (27), and is highly hydrophobic, which makes it a good candidate for being the signal peptide which would allow the protein to be secreted (28). Similar to PAP/ 

PAS, but different from the Reg/PSP proteins, a potential N-glycosylation site is situated at position 136 of the INGAP sequence. Unique to INGAP is another potential N-glycosylation site situated at position 126. INGAP also shows homology (12/18) (Fig. 4) with a consensus motif in members of the calcium-dependent (C-type) animal lectin as determined by Drickamer (29) including four conserved cysteines which form two disulfide bonds.
Partial sequence of the human INGAP gene comprises 586 bp that are identical to the hamster gene.

**Temporal expression of INGAP mRNA.** Total RNA extracted from control and CW pancreas was probed with the hamster INGAP cDNA clone in Northern blot analysis. A strong single transcript of 850 bp was present 1 and 2 d after CW which disappeared by the 6th d and was absent from control pancreas (Fig. 5). The tissue distribution was determined by probing RNA isolated from duodenum, spleen, stomach, lung, liver, heart, and skeletal muscle with INGAP cDNA. Tissues from control animals showed no signal (data not shown). In CW animals only the duodenum and pancreas expressed a single 850 bp RNA transcript (Fig. 6).

**Localization of INGAP mRNA in hamster pancreas.** In situ hybridization was performed on specimens from 1, 2, and 10 d wrapped pancreata and control, nonwrapped pancreata. Tissue sections from 1 and 2 d wrapped pancreas showed strong hybridization with INGAP mRNA in acinar cells (Fig. 7, A and B), no hybridization, however, was detected in the pancreatic islets. In contrast, in the control animals we observed no expression of INGAP mRNA in pancreatic acinar cells or in the pancreatic islets (Fig. 7, C and D). The corresponding tissues incubated with a sense probe showed negligible hybridization (data not shown). Sections from 10 d wrapped pancreata no longer showed hybridization with the INGAP riboprobe (data not shown), which was similar to control pancreata.

**Dose response effect of INGAP peptide on [³H]TdR incorporation into duct epithelial, ARIP and HIT-T15 cells.** Because expression of INGAP was a consistent finding early after the CW and was absent from control pancreata, these data suggest that INGAP might be involved in the initiation of islet neogenesis at an early step in protodifferentiated cell proliferation. To test whether INGAP was capable of initiating cell proliferation from undifferentiated or terminal cells, we synthesized a pentadecapeptide corresponding to amino acids 104–118 of INGAP and examined the biological response. We included this region because it has a unique insertion of five amino acids and it precedes a potential N-glycosylation site situated at position 126, hence, a core of potential biological activity. INGAP was applied to: (1) mature normal human islets, (2) mature HIT-T15 cells which are of ductal origin; and (3) primitive ARIP cells which are of ductal origin; and (4) mature normal human islets. Duct epithelial cells treated with INGAP peptide for 24 h showed a maximal 2.4-fold increase at a dose of 500 ng/ml of peptide (1.25 × 10⁴ to 3.0 × 10⁴ [³H]TdR cpm/μg DNA P < 0.05). ARIP cells similarly treated exhibited a 2.4-fold increase in [³H]TdR incorporation, (2.3 × 10⁴ to 5.5 × 10⁴ cpm/μg DNA P < 0.05) at a dose of 1,000 ng/ml of INGAP peptide (Fig. 8). In contrast the same amount of INGAP added to the HIT-T15 cell line (Fig. 8) and human islets (data not shown) did not cause any significant effect. To assure that the proliferative response was specific to INGAP peptide, we used an unrelated protein, NGF, which has been suggested by others (30) to be involved in pancreatic islet development. No significant proliferative response was observed with NGF at comparable concentrations (Fig. 9 A). An antibody raised against the synthetic INGAP peptide blocked the proliferative response of duct epithelial cells to INGAP when added to the incubation mixture (not shown).
In order to test whether the full-length INGAP protein has biological activity comparable to that of the synthetic peptide, we generated a His-tagged full-length protein in *E. coli* and isolated it by Ni²⁺/H₁₁₀₀₁ agarose affinity purification. The recombinant protein stimulated ductal cell proliferation to the same level as the INGAP peptide, but was more potent (Fig. 9B), requiring ~55 times less protein on a molar basis.

**Identification of INGAP protein in Ilotropin.** Since, in our previous studies, we showed that the pancreatic extract, Ilotropin, can induce islet neogenesis and reverse STZ-induced diabetes in the hamster, we wanted to test whether INGAP is an active molecule in the Ilotropin complex. Immunodetection on Western blots was performed using a polyclonal antibody that was raised in rabbit against a synthetic pentadecapeptide corresponding to amino acids 104–118 of the deduced INGAP protein. The results revealed two bands in I lotropin with an apparent mol wt of 17 kD and 55 kD (Fig. 10A). The membrane was stripped with 200 mM glycine, pH 2.5, and exposed to the same antibody blocked with excess INGAP peptide. The antibody recognition was blocked by the addition of INGAP peptide to the antibody solution prior to exposure to the membrane (Fig. 10B). The antibody recognition of a band detected in the control extract was not blocked suggesting that the nature of that band was nonspecific.

**Figure 4.** Comparison of amino acid sequences of INGAP, rat PAP-I (PAP-I) (18), human PAP/HIP (PAP-II/HIP) (21, 22), rat PAP-III (PAP-III) (20), rat PAP-II (PAP-II) (19), rat Reg/PSP/Lithostatine (REG/LITH) (23–26) and the invariable motif found by Drickamer in all members of C-type lectins (29). Six conserved cysteines are marked by asterisks, and the putative N-glycosylation sites of INGAP are underlined and bold. The peptide sequence used for the [³H]TdR studies is dotted. Conserved amino acids are shaded.

**Figure 5.** Northern blot analysis of INGAP and amylase gene expression in pancreatic tissue from control and cellophane-wrapped hamster pancreas. Total RNA was separated by electrophoresis and transferred to nylon membrane. Membranes were hybridized with INGAP cDNA (A), amylase cDNA (B), and with an 18s ribosomal 24mer synthetic oligonucleotide probe (C).

**Figure 6.** Northern blot analysis of INGAP gene expression in various tissues from 2 d cellophane-wrapped hamster pancreas. Total RNA was separated by and transferred to nylon membrane. Membrane was hybridized with a hamster INGAP cDNA probe (A), and with an 18s ribosomal 24mer synthetic oligonucleotide probe to control for RNA integrity and loading (B).
Discussion

CW of the pancreas induces the formation of new islets (neogenesis) from pancreatic ductal cells (1, 10). This occurs in the absence of an inflammatory response (1) in contrast to other models where new islets derive from existing islets and inflammation is an accompaniment (31, 32). A partially purified extract from CW pancreata, Ilopotropin, when administered to hamsters rendered diabetic with STZ, lead to the induction of new islet formation with restoration of normoglycemia (11). In these studies we show that Ilopotropin induces the formation of endocrine cells culminating in mature islets. The question raised is whether genes expressed in CW pancreata code for proteins active in Ilopotropin. Using a technique to compare genes differentially expressed in CW versus control pancreata allowed us to identify a cDNA clone (RD19-2) which is uniquely expressed in CW pancreata (14). Using this cDNA as a probe we were able to isolate and sequence a novel gene, INGAP, from regenerating hamster pancreata. Reverse transcription of human pancreatic RNA followed by PCR led to isolation of the highly homologous gene from normal human pancreas. Moreover we demonstrate that a synthetic pentadecapeptide contained within INGAP sequence stimulates \[^{3}H\]TdR incorporation in duct epithelium and an epithelial cell line (ARIP), but has no effect on normal islets or a pancreatic islet tumor cell line (HIT-T15). This suggests that its target is within the duct cell population and not mature islets. The full length recombinant protein generated in a prokaryotic system appears to have an even more potent proliferative effect on ductal cells than the synthetic peptide.

Expression of INGAP mRNA is associated only with pancreatic islet neogenesis since it is present only after CW and not in control non regenerating pancreas. The time course of expression of INGAP and the site of expression is compatible with its origin from acinar tissue. Its action upon ductal cells is likely to be paracrine (11). We therefore predict that INGAP has a role in islet neogenesis. Although INGAP shows homology to the PAP and Reg/PSP families of genes (18–26), the increased expression of INGAP in CW is unlikely to be a result of acute pancreatitis. During the acute phase of pancreatitis when PAP gene expression is increased, the concentrations of most mRNAs encoding pancreatic enzymes, including amy-

Figure 7. Localization of INGAP mRNA by in situ hybridization in control and 1 d wrapped pancreas. Cryostat sections from 1 d wrapped pancreas (A and B) and control pancreas (C and D) were hybridized with \(^{35}\)S-labeled antisense single stranded INGAP RNA probe transcribed from a 766 bp hamster cDNA. (A and B) Dark- and bright-field micrographs of wrapped pancreas, showing acinar cells (AC) expressing high levels of INGAP mRNA and an islet (arrow) which shows no expression of INGAP mRNA. (B) A higher magnification (300×) of the micrograph shown in A (magnification 125×). (C and D) Dark- and bright-field micrographs of pancreatic acinar cells (AC) and islet (arrow) showing no expression of INGAP mRNA in control non-wrapped pancreas.
lase, are decreased significantly (33). In contrast, in the CW model of islet neogenesis in which increased expression of INGAP was found, amylase gene expression was simultaneously increased above normal rather than decreased (Fig. 5), suggesting that INGAP expression is not associated with pancreatitis but rather with islet neogenesis. Furthermore, histological sections of CW pancreata rarely demonstrate inflammatory changes. It seems therefore, that the functional role of the structurally similar genes and their peptide products may be quite different.

Since INGAP is expressed early after the surgical procedure and precedes duct–cell proliferation, the question arises as to whether it is necessary and/or sufficient to stimulate islet neogenesis as has been shown for the crude extract Ihotropin (11). It is possible that INGAP acts at one of the check points of cell-cycle progression at the G1/S boundary. Commitment to a cell cycle occurs as cells transit the G1 “restriction point” (34). Once beyond the check point, cells no longer require growth factors to enter the S phase (35). Thus, it is feasible that INGAP initiates the cell transition, and in concert with other factors within the Ihotropin complex, will induce progression and ultimately differentiation. Of particular interest are the following questions: (a) how the INGAP gene may be involved in this process; and (b) can it induce new islet cells that are regulated in a physiologic manner and express a milieu of genes and peptides which appear in the normal evolution of a pancreatic protodifferentiated cell into an adult islet cell as we have demonstrated for CW (2) as well as Ihotropin administration (11). Answers to these questions will provide the necessary foundation of knowledge to proceed to future studies into the induction and regulation of endocrine–cell proliferation and differentiation in other species, including humans.

Figure 7 (Continued)

Figure 8. ³H-thymidine uptake by primary duct epithelial cells, ARIP and HIT-T15 cell lines treated with increasing doses of a pentadecapeptide synthetic peptide corresponding to amino acids 104–118 of INGAP. Duct, ARIP and HIT-T15 cells were treated for 24 h with either 100–1,500 ng/ml of peptide, or nonsupplemented media (0 ng/ml) and then harvested. The data shown are cpm/µg DNA for each treatment expressed as a percentage of control response from six experiments and expressed as mean±SEM (*P < 0.05). Statistical analysis was performed on untransformed data.
important negative finding in this study is the absence of stimulation of human islets and moderately differentiated adult tumor islet-like HIT-T15 cells. This argues against a potential role of INGAP in replication of adult islets, and supports a regenerative action upon a potential target within the ductal-acinar system. We have previously reported that regenerating pancreatic tissue contains a factor, Ilotropin, which upon administration to STZ-diabetic animals, causes islet regeneration from duct tissue and reverses the diabetes (11). We postulated the presence of a local autocrine or paracrine substance capable of initiating proliferation of a protodifferentiated cell and recapitulating fetal ontogeny to develop mature functional islets that served to ameliorate the diabetes (1). In these studies we show that Ilotropin contains a peptide encoded by a novel gene, INGAP, expressed during islet neogenesis. Furthermore, both a synthetic peptide fragment derived from the INGAP gene and the full length protein appear to be able to initiate cell proliferation in pancreatic ducts and cell lines but do not do so in islets. Our evidence supports a possible role of INGAP in islet neogenesis, a prospective means of amelioration of diabetes.

Figure 9. 

\[\text{\textsuperscript{3}H-thymidine uptake by ARIP cell line treated with NGF or full length INGAP protein. ARIP cells were treated for 24 h with either 60–500 ng/ml of NGF peptide or nonsupplemented media (0 ng/ml) and then harvested. The data shown are cpm/\mu g DNA for each treatment expressed as a percentage of control response from six experiments. Statistical analysis was performed on untransformed data. NGF peptide had no significant effect (A). ARIP cells were treated for 24 h with 5.94 nM of INGAP recombinant protein, 333 nM of INGAP synthetic peptide or nonsupplemented media (Control) and then harvested. The data shown are dpm/\mu g DNA for each treatment (B).}\]

Figure 10. Western blot analysis of control extract and Ilotropin. Polyclonal antibody raised against INGAP peptide recognizes protein bands at \(\sim 17\) kD and \(55\) kD in the Ilotropin preparation, but not in an extract from control pancreata (A). The same membrane blocked with excess INGAP peptide (B) shows reduction in the intensity of both bands. Con = control; Stds = standard MW markers.
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