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Abstract
Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEi) improve cardiac function and remodeling and prolong survival in patients with heart failure (HF). Blockade of the renin–angiotensin system (RAS) with an angiotensin II type 1 receptor antagonist (AT\textsubscript{1}-ant) may have a similar beneficial effect. In addition to inhibition of the RAS, ACEi may also act by inhibiting kinin destruction, whereas AT\textsubscript{1}-ant may block the RAS at the level of the AT\textsubscript{1} receptor and activate the angiotensin II type 2 (AT\textsubscript{2}) receptor. Using a model of HF induced by myocardial infarction (MI) in rats, we studied the role of kinins in the cardioprotective effect of ACEi. We also investigated whether an AT\textsubscript{1}-ant has a similar effect and whether these effects are partly due to activation of the AT\textsubscript{2} receptor. Two months after MI, rats were treated for 2 mo with: (a) vehicle; (b) the ACEi ramipril, with and without the B\textsubscript{2} receptor antagonist icatibant (B\textsubscript{2}-ant); or (c) an AT\textsubscript{1}-ant with and without an AT\textsubscript{2}-antagonist (AT\textsubscript{2}-ant) or B\textsubscript{2}-ant. Vehicle-treated rats had a significant increase in left ventricular end-diastolic (LVEDV) and end-systolic volume (LVESV) as well as interstitial collagen deposition and cardiac myocyte size, whereas ejection fraction was decreased. Left ventricular remodeling and cardiac function were improved by the ACEi and AT\textsubscript{1}-ant. The B\textsubscript{2}-ant blocked most of the cardioprotective effect of the ACEi, whereas the effect of the AT\textsubscript{1}-ant was blocked by the AT\textsubscript{2}-ant. The decreases in LVEDV and LVESV caused by the AT\textsubscript{1}-ant were also partially blocked by the B\textsubscript{2}-ant. We concluded that (a) in HF both ACEi and AT\textsubscript{1}-ant have a cardioprotective effect, which could be due to either a direct action on the heart or secondary to altered hemodynamics, or both; and (b) the effect of the ACEi is mediated in part by kinins, whereas that of the AT\textsubscript{1}-ant is triggered by activation of the AT\textsubscript{2} receptor and is also mediated in part by kinins. We speculate that in HF, blockade of AT\textsubscript{1} receptors increases both renin and angiotensins; these angiotensins stimulate the AT\textsubscript{2} receptor, which in turn may play an important role in the therapeutic effect of the AT\textsubscript{1}-ant via kinins and other autacoids. (J. Clin. Invest. 1997. 99:1926–1935.) Key words: angiotensin-converting enzyme • angiotensin II type 1 and 2 receptors • kinins • kinin antagonist • chronic heart failure

Introduction
Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEi)\textsuperscript{1} improve cardiac function and remodeling and prolong survival in patients with heart failure (HF) (1–7). The cardioprotective effect of ACEi may be due to blockade of the renin–angiotensin system and/or inhibition of kinin destruction (6, 8, 9). We and others have provided evidence that kinins mediate many of the cardiovascular effects of ACEi (8, 10–14). On the other hand, there is some evidence that angiotensin II type 1 receptor (AT\textsubscript{1}) antagonists improve cardiac function in HF (15–18). Thus, since both ACEi and AT\textsubscript{1} antagonists (AT\textsubscript{1}-ant) block the renin–angiotensin system at different levels and both have a cardioprotective effect, we may interpret these data as implying that the cardioprotective effect of ACEi is due to blockade of the conversion of angiotensin I to II, not inhibition of kinin hydrolysis. However, the effect of the AT\textsubscript{1}-ant may not be entirely due to blockade of the AT\textsubscript{1} receptor. Angiotensin receptors comprise two major subtypes, AT\textsubscript{1} and AT\textsubscript{2} (19). When the AT\textsubscript{1} receptor is blocked, plasma renin and angiotensins increase (20); angiotensin may act on AT\textsubscript{2} receptors, which could have an antitrophic effect (21, 22) either directly or via the release of autacoids such as kinins and nitric oxide (NO) (23, 24) and consequently may contribute to the therapeutic effect of AT\textsubscript{1}-ant by a mechanism similar to kinins. Therefore, we tested the hypothesis that in HF the chronic therapeutic effect of ACEi is mediated in part by kinins, while the effect of AT\textsubscript{1}-ant is due to not only blockade of the AT\textsubscript{1} receptor but also activation of the AT\textsubscript{2} receptor. We also tested the hypothesis that some of the effects of the AT\textsubscript{1}-ant are mediated in part by kinins, perhaps via activation of the AT\textsubscript{2} receptor.

To test this hypothesis, we used a model of HF induced by myocardial infarction (MI) in Lewis inbred rats, developed by us (25). In this strain, ligation of the left anterior descending
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coronary artery (LAD) produces uniformly large infarcts with low mortality compared to Sprague-Dawley rats. In addition, 2 mo after MI both ventricular volume and end-diastolic pressure are significantly increased while the ejection fraction (EF) is decreased. To assess LV dilatation and performance, we use a direct angiographic method also developed by us (26). Using this model of HF, we investigated (a) whether the ACEI ramipril improves cardiac function and reduces ventricular remodeling; (b) whether the kinin antagonist icatibant (Hoe 140; D-Arg[Hyyp]², Thr³, D-Tic⁶, Oic⁷]-bradykinin) blocks the beneficial effect of ramipril; (c) whether the AT₁-ant L158889 improves cardiac function and reduces ventricular remodeling; (d) whether the AT₂-ant PD123319 blocks the effect of the AT₁-ant; and (e) whether icatibant blocks the effect of the AT₂-ant.

Methods

Male Lewis inbred rats (Charles River Laboratories, Wilmington, MA) weighing 275–330 gms were housed in an air-conditioned room with a 12-h light/dark cycle; they received standard laboratory rat chow (0.4% sodium) and drank tap water. Animals were given 5–7 d to adjust to their new environment. The study was approved by the Henry Ford Hospital Care of Experimental Animals Committee. Rats were anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital for all surgical procedures (50 mg/kg i.p.).

Experimental heart failure model. Coronary ligation was performed as described previously (25, 26). The animals were intubated and ventilated with room air using a positive-pressure respirator (680; Harvard Apparatus Inc., South Natick, MA). A left thoracotomy was performed via the fourth intercostal space and the lungs retracted to expose the heart. After opening the pericardium, the LAD was ligated near its origin using a 7-0 silk suture. Coronary ligation was considered successful when the anterior wall of the LV turned pale. The lungs were inflated by increasing positive end-expiratory pressure and the thoracotomy site closed in layers. Another group of rats underwent sham ligation; they had a similar surgical procedure except that the suture was not tightened around the coronary artery. In a previous study using the same model, we showed that the ejection fraction (EF) was decreased from 61–63% in sham-infarcted Lewis rats to 34, 31, and 24% at 2, 4 and 6 mo after MI, while LV end-diastolic volume (EDV) and end-systolic volume (ESV) were increased at least twofold at 2 mo after MI (25). Accordingly, all studies were performed starting 2 mo after MI.

Experimental protocols. 2 mo after sham or coronary ligation, the rats were divided into six groups and treated for 2 mo. Groups 7, 8, and 9 were studied in a subsequent experiment after we found that the AT₁-ant has a cardioprotective effect.

Protocol I: role of kinins in the effect of ACEI. Groups: (a) sham LAD ligation (n = 10); (b) HF treated with vehicle (tap water) (n = 10); (c) HF treated with ramipril (1 mg/kg/day; donated by Upjohn Laboratories, Kalamazoo, MI) (n = 9); (d) HF treated with ramipril + icatibant (100 μg/kg per day via an osmotic pump) (n = 11); and (e) HF treated with icatibant alone (donated by Hoechst, Cincinnati, OH) (n = 9).

Protocol II: role of AT₁ receptors and kinins in the effect of AT₁-ant. Groups: (f) HF treated with the AT₁-ant L158889 (1.5 mg/kg per day) (n = 8); (g) HF treated with AT₁-ant + the AT₁-ant PD123319 (10 mg/kg via an osmotic pump) (n = 7); (h) HF treated with AT₁-ant + icatibant (n = 7); and (i) HF treated with AT₂-ant (n = 7).

Cardiac hemodynamics and ventriculography. 2 mo after initiating therapy (4 mo after sham ligation or MI), the rats were anesthetized and a polyethylene catheter (PE-50) inserted into the carotid artery. Mean BP and heart rate (HR) were measured with a P23XL pressure transducer connected to a processor (Gould Brush 220, Cleveland, OH). The carotid catheter was then advanced into the LV; ventricular pressures were measured, and ventriculograms obtained and recorded on 35-mm ciné film at 60 frames/s during injection of 0.5 ml contrast material (Reno-M-60; Squibb, New Brunswick, NJ). The films were projected and the margins of the LV silhouette traced during end-diastole and end-systole for three consecutive cardiac cycles (26). End-systolic volume (ESV) and end-diastolic volume (EDV) were determined using the area-length method (27). LV ejection fraction (LVEF) was calculated as EF = (EDV – ESV)/EDV.

Histopathological study. After the hemodynamic and ventriculographic studies, the rats were killed and the chest opened. The heart was excised and weighed and the LV sectioned transversely into four slices from apex to base. The slices were rapidly frozen in isopentane precooled in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −70°C. 10-μm sections were cut from each frozen slice and stained separately with (a) fluoroescin-labeled peanut agglutinin (Vector Laboratories, Inc., Burlingame, CA) after pretreatment with 3.3 U/ml neuroaminidase type V (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO) to delineate myocyte cross-sectional area (an indicator of myocyte volume) and the interstitial space (consisting of collagen and capillaries); (b) rhodamine-labeled Griffonia simplicifolia lectin I (GSL I) to show only the capillaries, since GSL I selectively binds to capillaries (28, 29); and (c) Mason’s trichrome for measurement of infarct size. Three radially oriented microscopic fields were selected from each section randomly and photographed on 35-mm film at a magnification of 100. Only noninfarcted regions of the LV were examined for histopathological study (except for infarct size).

Cardiomyocyte cross-sectional area. Images were projected with a photomagnifier. Cross-sectional area of each myocyte was measured by computer-based planimetry (Jandel, Corte Madera, CA). An average cross-sectional area was calculated using data obtained from all four slices.

Interstitial collagen fraction. Total surface area (microscopic field), interstitial space (collagen plus capillaries) and area occupied by capillaries alone were measured with computer-assisted videodensitometry (JAVA; Jandel). Interstitial collagen fraction was calculated by percent total surface area occupied by the interstitial space minus the percent total surface area occupied by the capillaries. An average interstitial collagen fraction was calculated using data obtained from all four slices.

Capillary density and oxygen diffusion distance. Capillary density was taken as the number of capillaries in a given microscopic field (expressed as number of capillaries/mm²), since morphometric results obtained with light microscopy do not provide sufficient resolution for an accurate count of myocyte numbers, and thus we were unable to measure the ratio of capillary density to number of myocytes (capillaries per myocyte). Oxygen diffusion distance was calculated as half the distance between two adjoining capillaries (30). Average capillary density and oxygen perfusion distance were calculated using data obtained from all four slices.

Myocardial infarct size. Sections were projected on a graphic master. The circumference of the entire endocardium and epicardium as well as the infarcted segment were measured by computer-assisted planimetry. Circumferences from all four slices (entire endocardium and epicardium as well as the infarcted portion) were added together and averaged, respectively. The infarcted portion of the LV was calculated from these measurements and expressed as a percentage of the total circumference (25, 26).

Data Analysis. All data are expressed as mean±SEM. The data were analyzed in two separate sets, protocol 1 and protocol 2, although they shared the same vehicle and sham groups. Analysis was primarily directed at a set of pairwise comparisons. To be conservative, we examined all pairwise comparisons using ANOVA based on Tukey’s method. This approach adjusts the individual test rejection level downward to maintain an overall or familywise alpha value of 0.05. It uses an estimate of pooled variance from all groups in the procedure. Statistical significance was defined as familywise P < 0.05, which implies that the individual test level of P is smaller. The data were evaluated for normality and equal variance structure and both assumptions were satisfied.
Results

Early and late mortality. Of the 88 rats that underwent coronary ligation, 11 died within 24 h, 4 died within 1 or 2 mo after MI and 5 died within 3 or 4 mo after MI, for an overall mortality rate of 23%. No rats in the sham-ligated group died.

Table I. Effect of ACEi or ACEi Plus B2 Kinin Antagonist (K-ant) on Body Weight, Hemodynamics, Ventricular Weight, Myocardial Infarct Size, Capillary Density, and Oxygen Diffusion Distance in Controls (sham) and Rats with Heart Failure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heart failure</th>
<th>Sham (n = 10)</th>
<th>Vehicle (n = 10)</th>
<th>ACEi (n = 9)</th>
<th>ACEi + K-ant (n = 11)</th>
<th>K-ant (n = 9)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BW (grams)</td>
<td>498±12</td>
<td>532±6</td>
<td>481±4*</td>
<td>460±6*</td>
<td>479±11*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>121±2</td>
<td>116±3</td>
<td>100±2</td>
<td>88±5*</td>
<td>97±7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR (beats/min)</td>
<td>357±5</td>
<td>346±9</td>
<td>358±8</td>
<td>353±9</td>
<td>357±8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEDP (mmHg)</td>
<td>2.6±0.9</td>
<td>9.5±2.0</td>
<td>1.4±2.0</td>
<td>13.1±1.7†</td>
<td>11.4±2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV/100 grams BW</td>
<td>174±2</td>
<td>198±7</td>
<td>185±5</td>
<td>187±4</td>
<td>208±11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV/100 grams BW</td>
<td>43±1</td>
<td>61±6</td>
<td>53±4</td>
<td>54±3</td>
<td>55±12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS (%)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>37±1</td>
<td>40±1</td>
<td>39±2</td>
<td>39±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capillary density (No./mm²)</td>
<td>1520±58*</td>
<td>905±46</td>
<td>1299±51*</td>
<td>1032±71</td>
<td>1137±74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen diffusion distance (μm)</td>
<td>10.35±0.15*</td>
<td>13.43±0.6</td>
<td>11.7±0.27*</td>
<td>12.75±0.3</td>
<td>13.13±0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BW, body weight; MBP, mean blood pressure; RV, right ventricle; IS, infarct size. Each value represents the mean±SEM. *P < 0.05 vs vehicle; †P < 0.05 vs ACEi.

Figure 1. Effect of the angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor ramipril (ACEi) and ACEi plus the kinin antagonist icatibant (K-ant) on left ventricular end-diastolic volume (LVEDV, top), left ventricular end-systolic volume (LVESV, middle), and left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF, bottom) in sham-operated rats (Sham) and rats with chronic heart failure (HF). Open circles, individual data; closed circles, mean values. *P < 0.05. Veh, vehicle.

Figure 2. Effect of the kinin antagonist icatibant (K-ant) or the AT2 antagonist PD123319 (AT2a) on left ventricular end-diastolic volume (LVEDV, top), left ventricular end-systolic volume (LVESV, middle) and left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF, bottom) in rats with chronic heart failure (HF). Veh, vehicle.
LVESV were significantly increased in the HF vehicle-treated group compared with the sham-ligated rats (LVEDV: 0.78±0.02 vs 0.26±0.01; LVESV: 0.53±0.02 vs 0.1±0.01; P < 0.05). Ramipril significantly decreased LVEDV (0.47±0.03) and LVESV (0.28±0.02) compared with the HF vehicle group (P < 0.05), and icatibant reversed this effect (LVEDV: 0.71±0.04; LVESV: 0.47±0.02; P < 0.05 vs ramipril).

LVEF was significantly decreased in the HF vehicle group compared with the sham-ligated rats (0.31±0.01 vs 0.61±0.01; P < 0.05). Ramipril increased LVEF to 0.40±2.0 (P < 0.05) compared with HF vehicle. Icatibant tended to reverse the effect of ramipril, but the difference was not statistically significant. In the HF group treated with icatibant alone, LVEDV, LVESV, and LVEF were no different than the HF vehicle group (Fig. 2).

Fig. 3 shows representative histological sections of myocyte cross-sectional area, interstitial collagen deposition (green stained) and capillaries (yellow stained; since images were exposed under fluorescent light, the red color given by rhodamine is not apparent) from (A) sham-ligated rats and HF rats treated with either (B) vehicle, (C) the angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor ramipril (ACEi), (D) ACEi plus the B<sub>2</sub> kinin antagonist icatibant, (E) AT<sub>1</sub> antagonist (AT<sub>1</sub>-ant) or (F) AT<sub>1</sub>-ant plus AT<sub>2</sub>-ant.

Cardiomyocyte cross-sectional area. Fig. 4, top shows myocyte cross-sectional area in sham ligation and HF groups. It was significantly larger in the HF vehicle group than in the
sham-ligated group (607±31 vs 306±18 μm²; P < 0.05). Ramipril significantly reduced myocyte size (395±27 μm²; P < 0.05). Icatibant tended to reverse this effect (499±29 μm²), but the difference was not statistically significant. The HF icatibant group (570±26 μm²) was not significantly different from the HF vehicle group.

*Interstitial collagen fraction.* Fig. 4, bottom shows the volume fraction of interstitial collagen in sham-ligated and HF groups. It was significantly increased in the HF vehicle group compared with the sham-ligated group (13.1±1.2% vs 5.1±0.4%; P < 0.05). Ramipril significantly reduced the collagen fraction (8.5±0.7%; P < 0.05), and icatibant reversed this effect (12.9±0.8%; P < 0.05 vs ramipril). The HF icatibant group (12.5±0.6%) was not significantly different from HF vehicle.

*Capsular density and oxygen diffusion distance.* As shown in Table I, capsular density was significantly reduced in the HF vehicle group compared with sham ligation and was significant.

**Table II. Effect of AT₂-ant and AT₁-ant Plus either AT₂-ant or B₂ Kinin Antagonist (K-ant) on Body Weight, Hemodynamics, Ventricular Weight, Myocardial Infarct Size, Capillary Density, and Oxygen Diffusion Distance in Rats with Heart Failure**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heart failure</th>
<th>Vehicle (n = 10)</th>
<th>AT₂-ant (n = 8)</th>
<th>AT₂-ant + AT₁-ant (n = 7)</th>
<th>AT₂-ant + K-ant (n = 7)</th>
<th>AT₂-ant (n = 7)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BW (grams)</td>
<td>532±6</td>
<td>481±5.6*</td>
<td>448±5.4*</td>
<td>451±8.9*</td>
<td>478±13.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>116±3</td>
<td>72±4*</td>
<td>66±5*</td>
<td>64±5*</td>
<td>109±4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR (beats/min)</td>
<td>346±9</td>
<td>342±9</td>
<td>352±14</td>
<td>353±7</td>
<td>381±13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV/100 grams BW</td>
<td>198±7</td>
<td>168±3*</td>
<td>181±1</td>
<td>160±2*</td>
<td>204±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV/100 grams BW</td>
<td>61±6</td>
<td>50±2</td>
<td>47±2</td>
<td>52±1</td>
<td>49±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS (%)</td>
<td>37±1</td>
<td>42±1</td>
<td>37±2</td>
<td>36±1</td>
<td>41±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capillary density (No./mm²)</td>
<td>905±46</td>
<td>1346±81*</td>
<td>1183±46</td>
<td>1075±70</td>
<td>1082±52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen diffusion distance (μm)</td>
<td>13.43±0.15</td>
<td>11.31±0.18*</td>
<td>13.17±0.15†</td>
<td>10.5±0.11</td>
<td>13.4±0.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BW, body weight; MBP, mean blood pressure; IS, infarct size. Each value represents the mean±SEM. *P < 0.05 vs vehicle; †P < 0.05 vs AT₂-ant. Data for the sham and K-ant groups are shown in Table I. For the purpose of comparison, the data for the HF-vehicle group in Table I are shown again.
cantly increased by ramipril. This effect was partially blocked by icatibant, though the difference was not significant compared with the ramipril group. Capillary density in the HF icatibant group was not significantly different from HF vehicle. Oxygen diffusion distance was significantly increased in the HF vehicle group compared with sham ligation and was significantly decreased by ramipril. This effect was partially blocked by icatibant, but the difference was not significant compared with the HF ramipril group. The HF icatibant group was not significantly different from HF vehicle.

Protocol II: role of \(\text{AT}_1\) receptors and kinins in the effect of \(\text{AT}_1\)-ant. Table II shows body weight, BP, HR, infarct size, and left and right ventricle weight for HF groups f–i. (The HF vehicle group is the same as in Table I and is included here for purposes of comparison.) LV weight was reduced in the \(\text{AT}_1\)-ant and \(\text{AT}_1\)-ant + icatibant groups compared with HF vehicle (\(P < 0.05\)). BP was significantly reduced in the \(\text{AT}_1\)-ant group (\(P < 0.05\)), and this effect was not blocked by either \(\text{AT}_1\)-ant or icatibant. LVEDP was 9.5±2.0 mmHg in the vehicle-treated HF group and 2.0±0.9 mmHg in the \(\text{AT}_1\) group (\(P < 0.05\)). LVEDP was not measured in the \(\text{AT}_1\)-ant + \(\text{AT}_2\)-ant, \(\text{AT}_1\)-ant + icatibant, and \(\text{AT}_2\)-ant groups due to technical difficulties with the equipment.

Ventricular volume and ejection fraction. Fig. 5 shows LVEDV, LVESV, and LVEF in HF rats treated with either vehicle, \(\text{AT}_1\)-ant or \(\text{AT}_1\)-ant + \(\text{AT}_2\)-ant. In the HF vehicle group, LVEDV was 0.78±0.02 ml and LVESV was 0.53±0.02 ml. In the \(\text{AT}_1\)-ant group, LVEDV was 0.43±0.03 ml and LVESV was 0.26±0.02 ml (\(P < 0.05\) vs vehicle). The effect of \(\text{AT}_1\)-ant on LVEDV and LVESV was blocked by \(\text{AT}_2\)-ant (0.72±0.04 and 0.48±0.03 ml; \(P < 0.05\) vs \(\text{AT}_1\)-ant). Fig. 6 shows the effect of icatibant. \(\text{B}_2\)-ant partially blocked the effect of \(\text{AT}_1\)-ant on LVEDV and LVESV (0.63±0.02 and 0.37±0.02 ml; \(P < 0.05\) vs \(\text{AT}_1\)-ant) but not the effect on LVEF.

\(\text{AT}_1\)-ant increased LVEF (0.4±0.02) compared with HF vehicle (0.31±0.01; \(P < 0.05\)), and this effect was not prevented by either \(\text{AT}_2\)-ant (Fig. 4) or icatibant (Fig. 5). In the HF group treated with \(\text{AT}_2\)-ant alone, LVEDV, LVESV, or LVEF was no different than the HF vehicle group (Fig. 2).

Cardiomyocyte hypertrophy (Fig. 7, top). \(\text{AT}_1\)-ant significantly reduced myocyte cross-sectional area compared with the HF vehicle group (392±24 vs 607±31 \(\mu\)m\(^2\); \(P < 0.05\)), and this effect was blocked by \(\text{AT}_2\)-ant (605±31 \(\mu\)m\(^2\); \(P < 0.05\) vs \(\text{AT}_1\)-ant). \(\text{AT}_2\)-ant alone did not increase myocyte cross-sectional area further (618±35 \(\mu\)m\(^2\)). It was larger in the \(\text{AT}_1\)-ant + icatibant group (425±25 \(\mu\)m\(^2\)) than in the \(\text{AT}_1\)-ant group, but the difference was not statistically significant.

Interstitial fibrosis (Fig. 7, bottom). Interstitial collagen fraction was 13.1±1.2% in the HF vehicle group and 9.6±0.4% in the \(\text{AT}_1\)-ant group (\(P < 0.05\)). The \(\text{AT}_1\)-ant blocked this effect (12.8±0.3%), but the blockade was not statistically significant. The \(\text{B}_2\)-ant icatibant (9.42±0.78%) did not alter the effect of the \(\text{AT}_1\)-ant.

Capillary density and oxygen diffusion distance (Table II). \(\text{AT}_2\)-ant increased capillary density significantly and this effect was blocked by \(\text{AT}_1\)-ant or icatibant, but the differences did...
not reach statistical significance. AT₂-ant decreased oxygen diffusion distance compared to the HF vehicle group; this effect was blocked by AT₁-ant but not icatibant.

Discussion

As expected, our study showed that chronic treatment with an ACEi improves LV function and attenuates remodeling (LVEDV and LVESV) in rats with chronic HF due to MI. Some of the changes caused by the ACEi were small, as for example the increase in LVEF; however, this increase occurred simultaneously with decreases in LVEDP, suggesting that the pumping ability of the LV was significantly improved. Cardiomyocyte cross-sectional area (an indicator of myocyte volume), interstitial collagen fraction, and oxygen diffusion distance were all decreased by the ACEi whereas capillary density was increased, indicating that LV remodeling at the cellular level may have been attenuated as well. We observed that many but not all effects of the ACEi were blocked by the kinin B₂-ant icatibant, supporting the hypothesis that inhibition of kinin hydrolysis contributes to the therapeutic effect of the ACEi in chronic HF. Treatment with the B₂-ant by itself did not have any effect on function and remodeling compared with the HF vehicle group, suggesting either that in the absence of ACEi, kinins are present at concentrations that do not affect the progression of HF, or else blockade of the renin–angiotensin system (RAS) is necessary for kinins to have a cardioprotective effect, or both. We observed similar effects when the rats were treated with the AT₁-ant. Most but not all effects of the AT₁-ant were blocked by PD123319, which is known to be a specific blocker of the AT₁ receptor (31), thus supporting the hypothesis that the effect of the AT₁-ant is mediated in part by activation of the AT₂ receptor. The AT₂-ant by itself did not have any effect on LV function or remodeling compared to the HF vehicle group, suggesting that the AT₂ receptor may exert a cardioprotective effect only when the AT₁ receptor is blocked. Also, the effect of the AT₂-ant on LVEDV and LVESV was partially blocked by the B₂-ant whereas other effects were not. The fact that B₂-ant blocked the effect of both ACEi and AT₁-ant on LVEDV and LVESV but not on LVEF may be interpreted as an indication that the ACEi and AT₁-ant may have an effect on the contractile state, which is more related to inhibition of angiotensin II rather than potentiation of kinins. Actually, ACEi and AT₁-ant decreased LVESV by 47.2 and 51% while LVEDV decreased by only 39.7 and 44.9%, respectively. The greater decrease in systolic volume may reflect an effect of ACEi and AT₁-ant on cardiac contractility. Taken together, these results support the hypothesis that in HF the chronic therapeutic effect of ACEi is due to not only blockade of the conversion of Ang I to Ang II but also inhibition of kinin hydrolysis, while that of the AT₁-ant is due to not only blockade of the AT₁ receptor but also activation of the AT₂ receptor, which has a therapeutic effect in HF either directly or via the release of kinins and/or other autacoids as illustrated by Fig. 8.

The mechanism by which activation of the kinin B₂ receptor may produce cardioprotection is not well established. Farhy et al. (13) in our laboratory have shown that ACEi reduce neointima formation after carotid injury and that this effect is mediated by kinins, eicosanoids and NO. Their study suggests that ACEi acting via kinins-eicosanoids-NO have an antitrophic effect. Our study further supports the hypothesis that ACEi acting via kinins have an antitrophic effect in chronic HF, since ventricular volume, myocyte size, and interstitial fibrosis were decreased by the ACEi and these effects were partially blocked by the B₂-ant (Figs. 1 and 4). Although blockade of the effect of ACEi on myocyte size, capillary density, and oxygen diffusion distance by the B₂-ant did not reach statistical significance, it tended to change in the anticipated direction. It could be that the effects of ACEi on these parameters are mainly due to a decrease in Ang II concentrations, and that increased tissue kinins play primarily a modulatory role.

There is mounting evidence that kinins participate in the cardioprotective effect of ACEi. Linz et al. (32) reported that kinins mediate the cardiac antihypertrophic and antihypertensive effect of ACEi in rats with aortic coarctation. They also indicated that kinins mediate the cardiac antihypertrophic effect of ACEi when used at doses that do not have an antihypertensive effect on the B₂-receptor. This study suggests that the inhibitory effect of ACEi on LV remodeling is mediated by the B₂-receptor, and that the cardioprotective effect of ACEi is due to a decrease in Ang II concentrations, and that increased tissue kinins play primarily a modulatory role.

Figure 8. Hypothesis on the mechanism of action of ACEi and AT₁-ant in HF. ACEi act by blocking both conversion of Ang I to Ang II and hydrolysis of kinins. Acting via the B₂-receptor, kinins stimulate the release of various autacoids such as NO, endothelin-derived hyperpolarizing factor (EDHF), eicosanoids and tissue plasminogen activator (t-PA). AT₁-ant block the corresponding receptor, causing increased renin release which results in the formation of angiotensins. These angiotensins act on the AT₂ receptor, which induces the release of autacoids similar to those stimulated by kinins.
pertensive effect; however, we have been unable to confirm this study (33). Gohlke et al. (34) have demonstrated that kinins mediate the chronic cardioprotective effect of ACEi in stroke-prone spontaneously hypertensive rats when administered starting early in life. More recently, McDonald et al. (35, 36) found that treatment with an ACEi starting immediately after induction of myocardial necrosis prevented an early increase in LV mass while treatment with an AT1-ant did not. The effect of the ACEi was abolished by icatibant, suggesting that kinins are important during the early remodeling phase. There is also evidence that the cardioprotective effect of ACEi during ischemia/reperfusion is mediated by kinins, not blockade of Ang II formation (12, 14). Furthermore, in this situation the effect of kinins appears to be mediated by prostaglandins and NO (12). Thus overall the above mentioned work suggests that part of the cardiovascular effect of ACEi is mediated by kinins, perhaps via the release of prostaglandins and NO. However, the role of kinins in the effect of ACEi in HF is not well established. Stauss et al. (37) reported that in rats, administration of ACEi before coronary ligation resulted in reduced infarct size, heart weight, and end-diastolic pressure. All of these effects were blocked by concomitant administration of icatibant. However, from their study it is not possible to determine whether ACEi acting via kinins prevented remodeling and HF after MI, since the ACEi was administered before induction of infarction so that the infarcted area was smaller than in the untreated rats; and studies have shown that development of HF is directly related to MI size (38).

Two major Ang II receptor subtypes have been identified, AT1 and AT2 (19). Of the total Ang II receptors found in the normal rat ventricle, ~31% are AT2, while during LVH AT2 increases to ~60% (39). In our study the AT1-ant exhibited a cardioprotective effect similar to ACEi. Combined use of AT1-ant and AT2-ant blocked most of the beneficial effect of the AT1-ant. However, the mechanism by which activation of the AT1 receptor may produce cardioprotection is not known. Most known effects of Ang II, such as increasing BP, stimulating myocyte hypertrophy and increasing collagen synthesis, are attributed to the AT1 receptor (40, 41) whereas AT2 receptors, which are primarily embryonic, may be activated during development of cardiac hypertrophy and HF (39, 41–43). There is evidence that the AT2 receptor antagonizes both pres- and growth effects of the AT1 receptor (21, 44); however, neither the mediator nor the second messenger of this receptor is well established. It could be that the AT1 receptor exerts its beneficial effects on HF by stimulating local release of NO either directly or via kinins (23, 24, 45), which together with blockade of the AT1 receptor may have an antitrophic effect; consequently, LV remodeling progresses and LV function improves. It has been reported that in cultured bovine aortic endothelial cells, Ang II induced a six- to sevenfold increase in the release of cGMP; this effect was abolished by a kinin antagonist and a NO synthesis inhibitor and markedly inhibited by an AT1-ant, but only marginally inhibited by an AT2-ant (46), suggesting that Ang II–stimulated release of NO is predominantly due to stimulation of the AT2 receptor and may lead to an increase in the effect of kinins, stimulation of NO and increased cGMP formation. Also, in isolated microvessels of the dog heart, it has been shown that angiotensins stimulate cGMP via either the AT2 and/or a non-AT1 or AT2 receptor (AT2), kinins, and NO (46). Brosnihan et al. (47) recently reported that Ang 1–7 dilates canine coronary arteries through kinins and NO via AT1. Also, Siragy and Carey (23) recently reported that during low sodium intake angiotensins stimulate increased renal interstitial cGMP via the AT2 receptor. Thus it is possible that during blockade of the AT1 receptor, activation of the AT2 or AT2 receptor may mediate some of the cardiovascular effects of AT1-ant either directly or via kinins and/or NO and cGMP. We also tested whether the effects of the AT1-ant are mediated by kinins and found that the kinin B2-ant partially blocked the effects of the AT1-ant on LVEDV and LVESV, but not its other effects. We interpreted these data as suggesting that during blockade of the AT1 receptor, kinin levels normally present in tissue exert an effect that leads to reduction of ventricular volume. Another possible explanation could be that during AT1 blockade, activation of AT2 receptors may lead to an increase in either tissue kinin concentrations or the effect of kinins (47).

ACEi and AT1-ant may exert a cardioprotective effect by causing hemodynamic changes and/or by a direct effect on the heart. In our study, both ACEi and AT1-ant decreased BP and this hypotensive effect was not blocked by either B2-ant or AT2-ant. We interpreted these data as indicating that a decrease in afterload was not the main cause of the cardioprotective effect. However, we cannot exclude an effect on preload, especially since both ACEi and AT1-ant may result in natriuresis and diuresis (acting via blockade of the renin–angiotensin system, an increase in tissue kinins and/or activation of the AT2 receptor) (48–50). In addition, by increasing NO they may cause venous dilation. All of these actions may lead to a decrease in preload and LV chamber radius and consequently decrease end-diastolic stress (51–53). Since LVEDP was decreased by both ACEi and AT1-ant, it could be that decreased preload is important for the therapeutic effects we observed (51). Also, the B2-ant blocked the effect of the AT1-ant on LV volume but not its effect on cardiocyte hypertrophy or collagen deposition, suggesting that some of the effects we observed may be due to a decrease in preload secondary to diuresis and/or venous dilation caused by kinins. It has been shown that diuretics decrease LV mass mainly by reducing chamber diameter; however, they failed to decrease LV wall thickness and prevent remodeling (51, 53). This could be due to the fact that diuretics stimulate the renin–angiotensin system; the potent vasoconstrictor and myocardial growth-promoting action of Ang II may offset the cardiac benefit of diuretics.

On the other hand, we and others have shown that components of the kallikrein–kinin system are found in the heart (11, 54, 55), while kinins are found in the effluent of isolated perfused hearts and are increased by ACEi as well as by ischemia (56, 57). Furthermore, ACEi significantly increase kinin concentrations in the heart and vascular tissue (58). Receptors for kinins have also been localized to cardiac tissue (59). Moreover, the heart also has a renin–angiotensin system (60, 61), and isolated cardiocytes respond to stretching by forming Ang II (62), which in turn acts as a growth factor (63, 64), though there is some controversy regarding the existence of intrinsic cardiac renin (65). Recently it was reported that after MI the number of angiotensin AT1 receptors in the heart increases (42). Thus the components of both renin–angiotensin and kallikrein–kinin systems are present in the heart, making it feasible that some of the effects we observed are local. Furthermore, decreasing afterload does not necessarily result in cardiac remodeling in HF. Cohn showed that in patients with chronic HF, the vasodilator prazosin failed to exert an antire-
modeling effect (66). Similar results were obtained by McDonald et al. (36) in a canine model of remodeling, in which the α-blocker terazosin failed to inhibit remodeling whereas ACEI and nitrates did. Additionally, in the CONSENSUS study (2) the decreased mortality which occurred during treatment with ACEI was also observed in patients treated with vasodilators (nitrates and hydralazine), suggesting that the benefit of ACEI goes beyond hemodynamic effects. Taken together, these data may suggest that the beneficial cardiac effects of ACEI and AT1-ant are not only dependent on their hemodynamic action, but also probably interfere with autocrine/paracrine actions involving the cardiac renin–angiotensin and kallikrein–kinin systems (10, 11). Nevertheless, based on our study it is not possible to determine whether the effects of ACEI and AT1-ant on cardiac function and remodeling are due to changes in autacoids acting either directly on the heart or secondary to hemodynamic effects or (more probably) both.

In conclusion, in Lewis inbred rats with chronic HF due to MI, both ACEI and AT1-ant have a cardioprotective effect, manifested by attenuation of LV chamber remodeling. The effects of the ACEI are mediated in part by kinins, while those of the AT1-ant are triggered by activation of the AT1 receptor. The decrease in ventricular volume caused by the AT1-ant is also mediated in part by kinins. We speculate that in HF, blockade of AT1 receptors increases both renin and angiotensins; these angiotensins stimulate the AT1 receptor, which in turn may play an important role in the therapeutic effect of the AT1-ant via kinins and other autacoids. Thus the mechanisms by which ACEI and AT1-ant work in HF are similar, in that both block the renin–angiotensin system and both may induce the release of similar autacoids.
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