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Abstract

The glucose transporter 1 (GLUT1) protein is underexpressed in human glioblastoma multiforme and is overexpressed in human cerebral hemangioblastoma. To gain insight into possible posttranscriptional mechanisms regulating the expression of the GLUT1 protein in human brain tumors, cytosolic proteins were prepared from these two tumors and used in RNase T1 protection assays that employed [32P]human GLUT1 synthetic RNA prepared from transcription plasmids. Gel shift mobility assays and ultraviolet light cross-linking studies demonstrated the formation of specific RNA/protein complexes that migrated with a mol mass of 120, 44, and 41 kD. RNase T1 mapping and oligodeoxynucleotide competition studies showed that the 120 kD complex was comprised of an RNA fragment that localized to nucleotides 2186–2203 of the GLUT1 mRNA. The 44 kD complex contained an adenosine-uridine-rich RNA fragment that localized to nucleotides 1885–1906 of the human GLUT1 mRNA, and the formation of this complex was inhibited by synthetic RNA enriched in adenosine-uridine sequences. The 44 kD complex was selectively downregulated in hemangioblastoma as compared to glioblastoma multiforme. These studies demonstrate that human brain tumors have differential regulation of cytosolic proteins that specifically interact with two different domains in the 3'-untranslated region of the GLUT1 mRNA, which may serve to mediate the posttranscriptional regulation of GLUT1 gene expression in these tumors. (J. Clin. Invest. 1996. 97:2823–2832.) Key words: brain tumor • blood-brain barrier • ribonuclease • messenger RNA stability • exonuclease

Introduction

The glucose transporter 1 (GLUT1) is differentially regulated in human brain tumors. GLUT1 mRNA levels increase with the degree of glioma malignancy (1, 2), while the immunoreactive GLUT1 protein decreases as the tumor grade increases (3–5). Conversely, in human cerebral hemangioblastoma, the GLUT1 immunoreactive protein is markedly upregulated (6). The measurement of the immunoreactive GLUT1 protein and the GLUT1 mRNA in pathophysiologic states, such as brain tumors, experimental diabetes, glucose deprivation, and development indicates the principle mode of regulation of GLUT1 gene expression in these states is at the posttranscriptional level (7). Recent studies demonstrate that C6 rat glioma cytosolic fractions contain at least two proteins that react with specific sequences within the GLUT1 mRNA 3'-untranslated region (UTR) (8). These findings are consistent with other studies showing that cytosolic transactive factors bind specifically to cis-regulatory elements within either the 5'- or the 3'-UTR of mRNAs to regulate mRNA stability and/or translational efficiency (9–11).

Since rat glioma cells contain cytosolic proteins that react with the GLUT1 mRNA 3'-UTR (8), and since human brain tumors, such as hemangioblastoma (11) and glioblastoma multiforme (1, 2) exhibit divergent regulation of GLUT1 protein, the present studies test the hypothesis that differential cis-trans-interactions exist between cytosolic proteins in these two human brain tumors and the human GLUT1 mRNA. These studies use a transcription plasmid to generate full-length human GLUT1 mRNA and use cytosolic proteins obtained from human glioblastoma multiforme or hemangioblastoma in RNase T1 protection assays using gel shift mobility studies, ultraviolet light (UV) cross-linking, and RNase T1 mapping.

Methods

Materials. Restriction endonuclease XbaI, proteinase K, and RNA polymerase T7, were purchased from Stratagene Inc. (La Jolla, CA). RNase T1 and RNA molecular weight size ladder (0.24–9.5 kb) were obtained from GIBCO BRL (Gaithersburg, MD). Restriction endonuclease SpI, RNase-free DNase I, and Sephadex G-25 spin column were purchased from Boehringer Mannheim Biochemicals (Indianapolis, IN). Restriction endonuclease HindIII and stop solution (No. 70724) were obtained from United States Biochemical Corp. (Cleveland, OH). Restriction endonuclease EcoRI was purchased from New England Biolabs Inc. (Beverly, MA). All nucleotide triphosphates and oligonucleotide sizing markers (8–32 bases) were purchased from Pharmacia LKB Biotechnology Inc. (Piscataway, NJ). [32P]ATP (800 Ci/mmol) and [γ-32P]ATP (3,000 Ci/mmol) were purchased from Dupont-NEN (Boston, MA). T4 polynucleotide kinase, Rat RNA polymerase, and [γ-32P]ATP (3,000 Ci/mmol) were obtained from Promega Corp. (Madison, WI). All human GLUT1 antisense oligonucleotides (ODNs) were purchased from Keystone...

1. Abbreviations used in this paper: ARE, AU-rich element; AU, adenosine-uridine; AUBP, AU binding protein; GAPDH, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase; GBM, glioblastoma multiforme; GLUT1, glucose transporter 1; GSMA, gel-shift mobility assay; HB, hemangioblastoma; ODN, oligodeoxynucleotide; UTR, untranslated region; UV, ultraviolet light.
Laboratory, Inc. (Menlo Park, CA). Prestained molecular weight protein standards and DNA-grade agarose were purchased from Bio-Rad Laboratories (Richmond, CA). DE-81 and 3MM filters were purchased from Whatman International, Ltd. (Maidstone, UK). All other molecular biology-grade reagents were obtained from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO). Mouse mAb 40.10.09 (12) to human placental glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) was provided by Dr. Michael Sirover (Temple University School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA).

Human brain tumor tissues were obtained from patients who underwent craniotomy for therapeutic reasons (Dr. Keith L. Black, UCLA Division of Neurosurgery, Los Angeles, CA). Immediately after resection, the tissues were placed in cryovials, deep-frozen in liquid N₂ and kept at −70°C before preparation of cytosolic fraction. The diagnosis of glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) or hemangiblas-toma (HB) was confirmed by the UCLA Division of Neuropathology.

Preparation of tumor cytosolic fraction. All procedures were carried out at 0–4°C. The tumor tissues were homogenized in 5 vol ice cold 10 mM Hepes, pH 7.4, 3 mM MgCl₂, 40 mM KCl, 5% glycerol, 0.1 mM DTT, 10 mM leupeptin, and 5 μg/ml phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride using a Dounce homogenizer (Kontes Glass, Co., Vineland, NJ) (tight fitting, 10 strokes). The postmitochondrial supernatant (14,000 g, 30 min) was then centrifuged at 160,000 g for 40 min in an airfuge (Beckman Instruments, Inc., Fullerton, CA). The supernatant was concentrated with a Centricon-10 (Amicon Corp., Danvers, MA) and stored at 0–4°C before preparation of cytosolic fraction.

All procedures were carried out at 0–4°C. The tumor tissues were homogenized in 5 vol ice cold 10 mM Hepes, pH 7.4, 3 mM MgCl₂, 40 mM KCl, 5% glycerol, 0.1 mM DTT, 10 mM leupeptin, and 5 μg/ml phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride using a Dounce homogenizer (Kontes Glass, Co., Vineland, NJ) (tight fitting, 10 strokes). The postmitochondrial supernatant (14,000 g, 30 min) was then centrifuged at 160,000 g for 40 min in an airfuge (Beckman Instruments, Inc., Fullerton, CA). The supernatant was concentrated with a Centricon-10 (Amicon Corp., Danvers, MA) and stored at −70°C until used as a cytosolic fraction. Proteins were determined using the biocinchonic acid protein assay reagent (Pierce, Rockford, IL) with bovine serum albumin as a standard. SDS-PAGE and Coomassie blue staining were performed on 100 μg aliquots and 12% polyacrylamide slab gels (120 × 140 × 1.5 mm); the tumor cytosolic proteins covered a broad spectrum ranging from 12–200 kD.

Transcription plasmids. The human GLUT1 cDNA plasmids, pSPGT (13) and pGT25S (14), were kindly provided by Dr. Mike Mueckler (Washington University, St. Louis, MO). The pSPGT plasmid (13) and pGT25S (14) were linearized with EcoRI, the pT3/T7 and used as a template to transcribe an 80-base RNA transcript containing the SP6 RNA polymerase promoter, as described previously (15). The pSP-hGLUT1 plasmid was prepared for the in vitro transcription of human GLUT1 RNA by digesting separately with restriction endonucleases XbaI, HindIII, or SpSp for preparation of sense RNA with a 3'-UTR of varying length, and designated RNAXba, RNAHindIII, and RNAsp, respectively (Fig. 1). Linearization of the pSP-hGLUT1 plasmid with XbaI gave a single band of 5.7 kb; linearization with HindIII gave two fragments of 5.1 and 0.6 kb; and linearization with SpSp gave three fragments of 2.7, 2.3, and 0.7 kb, on agarose gel electrophoresis, respectively. The plasmid could not be digested with SpeI, indicating the poly A tail had been deleted (14). Proteinase K (50 μg/ml) was added to the linearized plasmids and incubated for 30 min at 37°C. After phenol/chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation, the plasmid pellet was resuspended in 10 mM Tris, pH 8.0, and 0.1 mM EDTA to 1 μg/μl.

The plasmid pT3/T7α-19-AUUA was linearized with EcoRI and used as a template to transcribe an 80-base RNA transcript containing four consecutive AUUAUA iterations of the adenosine-uridine–rich element (ARE) with T7 RNA polymerase (16). After linearization with EcoRI, the pT3/T7α-19-AUUA plasmid migrated as a single 3.0 kb fragment on a 0.8% agarose gel. The synthetic RNA obtained with this transcription plasmid migrated at a mol wt of 80–90 nucleotides on an 8% polyacrylamide/7 M urea gel.

In vitro transcription. The in vitro transcription reaction (17) was performed in a 25-μl vol by the addition of the DNA template (0.04 μg/μl) to transcription buffer (40 mM Tris, pH 7.9, 6 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM spermidine, and 10 mM NaCl). Nucleotide triphosphates (UTP, GTP, CTP) were added to a final concentration of 0.4 mM with the exception of ATP, which was present at a 40-μM concentration when 32P-RNA was prepared. In the in vitro transcription of unlabeled competitor RNA used all nucleotide triphosphates at a final concentration of 0.4 mM. After the addition of nucleotide triphosphates, DTT to 10 mM, and RNasin RNase inhibitor to 2 U/μl, 2 μCi/μl of [α-32P]ATP (800 Ci/mmol) was added to the transcription reactions. SP6 RNA polymerase (2 U/μl) was added and reactions were incubated for 60 min at 37°C, after which RNase-free DNase I (0.8 U/μl) was added and incubation continued for 15 min at 37°C. 32P-RNA was phenol/chloroform extracted and column purified through a G-25 spin column (Boehringer Mannheim, Inc., Indianapolis, IN).

The efficiency of the labeling reaction was determined by adsorption to DE-81 filters as reported previously (18). The purity of the 32P-RNA was determined after the G-25 purification step by adsorption to the DE-81 filters. The specific activity of the 32P-RNA (μCi/μmol) was calculated from the final specific activity of [α-32P]ATP and the number of adenosine residues per molecule of the transcribed 32P-RNA. Purity of 32P-RNA was greater than 99% and specific activities of the 32P-RNAXba, 32P-RNAHindIII, and 32P-RNAsp were 25.7, 18.9, and 15.3 μCi/μmol, respectively. The length of the 32P-RNA was determined by electrophoresis in a 1.1% agarose/2.2 M formaldehyde denaturing gel using RNA sizing markers as molecular size standards. Labeled RNAs were stored at −70°C and used within 72 h to minimize degradation.

Gel-shift mobility assay (GSMA) and UV cross-linking. GSMA and UV cross-linking experiments were adapted from Leibold and Munro (19), as described by Dwyer et al. (8). Tumor cytosolic proteins were added to 20 μl of binding buffer (12 mM Hepes, pH 7.5, 0.25 mM EDTA, 10 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM DTT, 0.2 μg/ml yeast tRNA, and 5% glycerol). RNasin RNase inhibitor (20) was added to a concentration of 0.5 U/μl to inhibit endogenous RNase A. Unlabeled RNA competitors were added to the binding reactions at this point and incubated for 10 min at 22°C. 32P-RNA (1 × 107 cpm/μl) was then added and incubated for 10 min at 30°C. When antisense ODNs were used as competitors (21), the order of addition was altered as follows: 32P-RNA was preincubated with antisense ODNs for 2 min at 65°C, followed by 10 min at 22°C. Cytosolic proteins and RNasin were then added and incubated for 10 min at 30°C. RNase T1 was then added to all reaction mixtures (5 U/μl) and incubated for 30 min at 37°C. For GSMA, reactions were directly applied to a 100 × 80 × 1.5 mm 7% polyacrylamide native gel (0.25× TBE [0.0125 M Tris, 0.0125 M bo-

![Figure 1. Transcription plasmid, pSP-hGLUT1, used to generate human GLUT1 mRNA with SP6 RNA polymerase after linearization with XbaI, HindIII, or SpSp.](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI118738)
ric acid, 0.25 mM EDTA, pH = 8.69) and electrophoresis was performed at 10 V/cm until the xylene cyanol dye front migrated 8 cm.

For UV cross-linking studies, after the RNase T1 digestion, reaction mixtures were irradiated in a UV oven (Stratalinker 1800; Stratagene Inc.) at 254 nm for 5 min on automatic setting. Binding reactions were then solubilized in SDS sample buffer with or without 2-mercaptoethanol (5%) and boiled for 3 min. RNA/protein complexes were then applied to a 12% SDS-PAGE gel (80 × 100 × 1.0 mm) until the bromophenol blue dye front migrated 8 cm.

After the electrophoresis, the gels were dried on 3MM filter paper with a slab gel dryer (No. 583, Bio Rad Laboratories, Inc.) and exposed at −70°C to film using intensifying screens (X-OMAT; Eastman Kodak Co., Rochester, NY). Film autoradiograms were scanned with a (Scanjet Hec T; Hewlett-Packard Co., Palo Alto, CA), flatbed scanner imported into Adobe Photoshop, and quantified using National Institutes of Health Image 1.45 software on a Power Macintosh 7100/66 AV microcomputer. The relative OD ratio was computed from the OD of the autoradiograph signal in the absence of competitor RNA or ODN relative to the OD in the presence of competitor RNA or ODN.

Super-shift gel mobility assays (22) were performed by adding 1 μg of mAb 40.10.09 to the GSMA mixture of 20 μg GBM cytosolic protein and 100 cpn of 32P-RNA32P(final). After a 15-min incubation at 30°C, the RNase T1 was added and electrophoresis was performed as described above.

**RNase T1 mapping.** For RNase T1 mapping, the wet gels were left on the glass plate, wrapped in plastic, and frozen at −70°C. RNA/protein complexes were then applied to a 210 XbaI dye front migrated 10 cm. The gel was then fixed in 4% trichloroacetic acid, 0.25 mM EDTA, and 0.05 M formaldehyde, followed by film autoradiography and scanning densitometry. The data were expressed as a percentage of intact 2.7 kb 32P-RNA32P(final) or 1.8 kb 32P-RNA32P(final) remaining at each incubation time. Assays were performed in triplicate and the intraassay coefficient of variation was 10.3 ± 3.2% (average of seven sets of triplicates). Control experiments showed that 5'-capping or a 3'-poly A tail did not influence the rate of GLUT1 mRNA degradation. 5'-capped mRNA was prepared by replacing 20% of the GTP in the in vitro transcription with 0.16 mM cap analogue, 7G(5')ppp(5')G (New England Biolabs Inc.) (15). The effect of a poly A tail was analyzed by in vitro transcription with T7 RNA polymerase of Clal-linearized pBS746. This transcription plasmid was prepared by subcloning the full length rat GLUT1 glucose transporter cDNA (25), which included a 28-mer poly A tail, into the pBluescript transcription plasmid (Stratagene Inc.). The orientation of this plasmid was confirmed by restriction endonuclease digestion, and the presence of the poly A tail was demonstrated by dideoxy DNA sequencing. In vitro transcription of the Clal-linearized plasmid yielded a single mRNA species of 2.6 kb.

**Western blotting.** GBM or HB cytosolic proteins (20 μg) were electrophoresed through 12% SDS-PAGE under reducing conditions. After electro-blotting to a nylon membrane (Gene Screen Plus; Dupont-NEN), the filter was probed with 1–10 μg/ml of mAb 40.10.09 or the mouse IgG1 isotype control. The gels were scanned and quantified as described above.

### Results

**GSMA binding reactions using a constant mass of 32P-RNA32P (~1.6 ng) and 0 to 20 μg of human brain tumor cytosolic proteins are shown in Fig. 2.** The addition of GBM proteins to 32P-RNA32P resulted in the formation of at least three RNA/protein complexes (A, B, and C) that were resolved in 7% native polyacrylamide gels (Fig. 2, lanes 3–6). In contrast, one major RNA/protein complex (complex B) with some minor complexes was resolved when the HB cytosolic fraction was used as a protein source (Fig. 2 A, lanes 7–10). Scanning densitometry of RNA/protein complexes showed the formation of four major 32P-RNA32P complexes reached near saturation at 20 μg of tumor cytosolic proteins (Fig. 2 B); therefore, this protein mass (20 μg) was used in subsequent experiments.

32P-RNA32P/mRNA complexes formed in binding reactions were covalently cross-linked using UV and further analyzed with 12% SDS-PAGE to determine the molecular mass of complexes (Fig. 3). RNA/protein complexes of 120, 44, and 41 kD were identified by the addition of GBM proteins to the binding reactions (Fig. 3 A, lanes 3–5). The addition of HB proteins resulted in the formation of the 120-kD RNA/protein complex and minor 41-kD complexes (Fig. 3 B); however, no 44-kD complex (Fig. 3 A, lanes 6–8). Therefore, GBM protein was mainly used in subsequent experiments to identify the cis-element of human GLUT1 mRNA involved in formation of major 120- and 44-kD RNA/protein complexes. Radioactive bands below 20 kD (Fig. 3 A) are the unbound 32P-RNA fragments because they were also observed in lane 2 of Fig. 3 A, where no tumor proteins were added. The addition of 2-mercaptoethanol to the SDS sample buffer caused no shift in mobility of these RNA/protein complexes (data not shown), suggesting that neither protein is found in a complex involving disulfide bonds. No complex was formed when the 32P-RNA32P was incubated with human serum albumin (10 μg), human hemoglobin (10 μg), calf thymus histone II (10 μg), or histone III-S (10 μg), suggesting that interaction between 32P-RNA32P and tumor proteins participating in the complex formation is specific. No GBM- or HB- complex formation was observed in the absence of UV irradiation of the sample.

The specificity of RNA/protein complex formation was fur-
ther determined by the addition of unlabeled competitor RNA to the binding reactions of \(^{32}\)P-RNA\(^{XbaI}\) and GBM proteins (Fig. 4). The unlabeled sense human GLUT1 mRNA was generated by linearization of pSP-hGLUT1 with XbaI and transcription with SP6 RNA polymerase. The formation of the three RNA/protein complexes was inhibited in a dose-dependent manner by the addition of unlabeled competitor RNA and almost completely inhibited by a 3,000-fold molar excess (Fig. 4).

RNase T1 mapping of the RNA sequence interacting with GBM proteins in RNA/protein complexes is shown in Fig. 5. The predominant RNA fragment eluted from the gel slices of complexes A and B (Fig. 2) was 52 bases in length. The 52-base fragment was still the predominant RNA fragment

Figure 2. GSMA of \(^{32}\)P-RNA\(^{XbaI}\) with increasing amounts of human brain tumor cytosolic proteins. (A) Increasing amounts of human brain tumor cytosolic proteins were added to \(^{32}\)P-RNA\(^{XbaI}\) (10^5 cpm) in binding reactions where RNA/protein complexes were incubated at 30°C followed by digestion with RNase T1. The RNA/protein complex formation was applied to 7% polyacrylamide native gels (0.25× TBE) and complex formation was characterized by film autoradiography. Autoradiogram was exposed for 72 h at -70°C. The migration of RNA/protein complexes A, B, and C, and xylene cyanol (XC) are indicated at the right margin. Lane 1, \(^{32}\)P-RNA\(^{XbaI}\) alone; lane 2, \(^{32}\)P-RNA\(^{XbaI}\) plus RNase T1; lanes 3–6, \(^{32}\)P-RNA\(^{XbaI}\) plus RNase T1 plus GBM proteins (1–20 μg protein); lanes 7–10, \(^{32}\)P-RNA\(^{XbaI}\) plus RNase T1 plus HB proteins (1–20 μg protein). (B) Formation of RNA/protein complexes A, B, and C in GBM, and B in HB was quantitated with scanning densitometry and the relative OD units were plotted against the mass of brain tumor cytosolic proteins.

Figure 3. UV cross-linking of \(^{32}\)P-RNA\(^{XbaI}\)/brain tumor protein complexes. \(^{32}\)P-RNA\(^{XbaI}\) (10^5 cpm) were reacted with brain tumor proteins as described in Fig. 1. After RNase T1 digestion, RNA/protein complexes were covalently cross-linked using UV, and then resolved in a 12% SDS-PAGE. Autoradiogram was exposed for 72 h at -70°C. RNA/protein complexes are indicated at right. Lane 1, \(^{32}\)P-RNA\(^{XbaI}\) alone; lane 2, \(^{32}\)P-RNA\(^{XbaI}\) plus RNase T1; lanes 3–8, \(^{32}\)P-RNA\(^{XbaI}\) plus RNase T1 plus GBM (lanes 3–5) or HB (lanes 6–8) cytosolic protein (5–40 μg protein). Molecular size standards are indicated at left.
even if the gel-eluted RNA fragment was redigested with RNase T1 (Fig. 5, lanes 4 and 6)). The predominant RNA fragment eluted from the gel slice of RNA/HB protein complex B (Fig. 2) was also 52 bases in length even after RNase T1 redigestion (data not shown). These data suggest that one of the cis-elements responsible for complex formation is encompassed within a 52-base RNase T1–resistant fragment within the human GLUT1 mRNA. Only one 52-base sequence lacking G residues is present within the human GLUT1 mRNA sequence (14), and corresponds to nucleotides 2173 to 2224 (Fig. 6 A).

The localization of the cis-element to a region within the 52-base RNA fragment was confirmed with four overlapping ODNs antisense to the RNA fragment (Fig. 6 A). The ODNs are designated 68, 69, 70, and 71 and were added to binding reactions, and the effect of the ODNs on complex formation was determined by autoradiography (Fig. 6 B). The 120-kD complex formation was specifically inhibited by addition of the ODNs to the binding reactions, while the 44- and 41-kD bands were not affected by the ODNs. ODN 69 inhibited the 120-kD complex formation to the greatest degree (Fig. 6 C). The highest level of inhibition of 120-kD complex formation resulted from the addition of only 30-fold molar excess of ODN 69 to binding reactions, suggesting that the cis-element is contained within ODN 69. The 120-kD RNA/HB protein complex for-
was performed at the antisense ODNs. RNA/protein complexes were resolved in a 12% SDS-PAGE following T1 digestion and UV cross-linking. Autoradiogram and GBM proteins (Fig. 6B). The RNA fragment eluted from this 20-kD band was 52 bases in length regardless of proteinase K and RNase T1 redigestion of the eluant (data not shown), suggesting that the 20-kD band is not a small RNA/protein complex, but the unbound 52-base fragment lacking G residues. The inhibition of the 20-kD band formation by addition of the ODNs might result from digestion of the RNA/ODN duplex by RNase H in the GBM extract, because formation of the 20-kD band was also inhibited by the addition of ODN 69 and exogenous RNase H to the reactions instead of GBM proteins (data not shown). However, the inhibition of the 120-kD RNA/GBM protein complex formation by addition of the ODNs does not result from degradation of the 20-kD band by the RNase H–like activity, because the inhibition of 120-kD RNA/GBM protein complex formation by the addition of the ODNs was not accompanied by inhibition of the 20-kD band (data not shown).

The cis-elements within the GLUT1 3′-UTR mRNAs were mapped by performing GSMA or UV cross-linking with GLUT1 mRNAs with progressive deletions of the cis-element to an 18-base sequence by antisense oligodeoxynucleotide competition. (B) UV cross-linking of 32P-RNA

Figure 6. Localization of 3′-UTR cis-element to an 18-base sequence by antisense oligodeoxynucleotide competition. (A) Sequence (5′ to 3′) of 52-base protected transcript of RNA/protein complex with the complementary antisense sequence (3′ to 5′). Four overlapping 18-base oligodeoxynucleotides (ODNs 68–71) were prepared for use as competitors in binding reactions. (B) UV cross-linking of 32P-RNA

The formation of the 20-kD band was also inhibited by addition of the ODNs to the binding reactions, and most strongly by ODN 69 (data not shown). The RNase T1 mapping and UV cross-linking studies correspond to complex A in the GSMA (Fig. 2A). In addition to these data, considering GSMA (Fig. 2), the 120-kD RNA/protein complex in the UV cross-linking studies is considered to correspond to complex B and A in GSMA.

The formation of the 20-kD band was also inhibited by addition of the ODNs to the binding reactions of 32P-RNA

The cis-elements within the GLUT1 3′-UTR were mapped by performing GSMA or UV cross-linking with GLUT1 mRNAs with progressive deletions of the cis-element to an 18-base sequence by antisense oligodeoxynucleotide competition. (B) UV cross-linking of 32P-RNA
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RNase T1 mapping of the single complex formed between GBM proteins and \(^{32}\text{P}\)-RNA\(^{\text{G DSM}}\) in the GSMA is shown in Fig. 8. This RNA fragment consisted of a series of oligoribonucleotides ranging from 10–22 nucleotides in length. This “ladder” pattern was not changed after RNase T1 redigestion of the gel-eluted RNA fragment (Fig. 8, lane 4). The same ladder pattern was observed with RNase T1 mapping of complex C formed between GBM proteins and \(^{32}\text{P}\)-RNA\(^{\text{XbaI}}\) (Fig. 2) (data not shown). The experiment in Fig. 7 B indicates the cis-element forming the 44-kD complex lies between the HindIII and SspI restriction sites at nucleotides 1808–2085 (Fig. 1). Within this region of human GLUT1 3′-UTR, the longest G-less sequence is a 22-mer corresponding to nucleotides 1885–1906 (Fig. 9); the next longest G-less sequence is a 15-base sequence corresponding to nucleotides 1913–1927 (Fig. 9 A), and a 15-mer corresponding to nucleotides 1858–1872 (13). Since the longest principal fragment is mapped at 22 nucleotides (Fig. 8), the cis-element responsible for formation of the complex C in the GSMA and the 44-kD complex in the UV cross-linking is predicted to be contiguous with nucleotides 1885–1906.

An ODN antisense to nucleotides 1874–1908 of human GLUT1 mRNA inhibited the 44-kD complex formation only at 3,000-fold molar excess (data not shown). However, the complex formation was inhibited by the addition of competitor-unlabeled sense RNA containing four consecutive AUUUA reiterations of the ARE at a concentration as low as a 30-fold molar excess, and completely by a 300-fold molar excess, while the 120-kD complex was not affected (Fig. 9, B and C). Between nucleotides 1808 and 2085 of human GLUT1 3′-UTR, the ARE is present only near nucleotides 1885–1906, although no exact AUUUA sequence is found in this adenosine-uridine (AU)-rich region (Fig. 9 A).

The differential susceptibility of \(^{32}\text{P}\)-RNA\(^{\text{XbaI}}\) or \(^{32}\text{P}\)-RNA\(^{\text{SspI}}\) to RNases in GBM or HB cytosolic extracts is shown in Fig. 10. In the absence of RNasin, the \(^{32}\text{P}\)-RNA\(^{\text{XbaI}}\) was rapidly degraded (\(t_{1/2} \approx 3.5\) min) by GBM cytosol, but was undegraded in the presence of HB cytosol (Fig. 10, A and B). Conversely, the \(^{32}\text{P}\)-RNA\(^{\text{SspI}}\) which is lacking in the putative endonuclease target site at nucleotides 1885–1906, is stable in the presence of GBM cytosol (Fig. 10, C and D).

The cytosolic protein comprising the 44-kD complex is of comparable size to GAPDH, a cytosolic enzyme that also binds to AU-rich regions of the 3′-UTR of cellular mRNAs (26). An anti-GAPDH mAb, 40.10.09 (12), was used in Western blot analysis to show this enzyme was expressed in both GBM and HB, with a twofold abundance in the GBM compared to the HB proteins. The 40.10.09 mAb yielded no super shift in the GSMA that was performed as shown in Fig. 2 A with \(^{32}\text{P}\)-RNA\(^{\text{XbaI}}\) (see Methods).

Discussion

The findings of the present study are consistent with the following conclusions. First, human brain tumors contain cytosolic proteins that specifically bind to at least two different sites on the human GLUT1 mRNA and form RNA/protein com-

---

**Figure 7.** (A) In vitro transcription of \(^{32}\text{P}\)-labeled human GLUT1 mRNAs with different length of 3′-UTR. \(^{32}\text{P}\)-sense RNAs were transcribed by SP6 RNA polymerase after linearization of plasmid pSP-HGLUT1 with XbaI (lane 1), HindIII (lane 2), or SspI (lane 3). \(^{32}\text{P}\)-RNA transcripts (5 × 10⁶ cpm) were resolved in 1.1% agarose/2.2 M formaldehyde gels. After drying the gel, the autoradiogram was exposed at 22°C for 16 h. The sizes of the transcripts, indicated at right, were estimated by RNA molecular weight size ladder, indicated at left, detected by ethidium bromide staining. (B) UV cross-linking of RNA/protein complexes formed by using the three \(^{32}\text{P}\)-labeled human GLUT1 mRNAs with different length of 3′-UTRs. \(^{32}\text{P}\)-RNAs (10⁵ cpm) were reacted with 20 μg of GBM proteins. After RNase T1 digestion followed by UV cross-linking, RNA/protein complexes were resolved in a 12% SDS-PAGE. Autoradiogram was performed for 72 h at −70°C. The molecular sizes of RNA/protein complexes are indicated at right. Lane 1, \(^{32}\text{P}\)-RNA\(^{\text{XbaI}}\) alone; lane 2, \(^{32}\text{P}\)-RNA\(^{\text{XbaI}}\) plus RNase T1; lanes 3–5, \(^{32}\text{P}\)-RNA\(^{\text{XbaI}}\) (lane 3), \(^{32}\text{P}\)-RNA\(^{\text{HindIII}}\) (lane 4), and \(^{32}\text{P}\)-RNA\(^{\text{SspI}}\) (lane 5) plus GBM proteins and digested with RNase T1. Molecular size standards are indicated at left.

---

**Figure 8.** RNase T1 mapping of \(^{32}\text{P}\)-RNA\(^{\text{G DSM}}\) cis-element protected by binding of GBM proteins. GSMA using \(^{32}\text{P}\)-RNA\(^{\text{G DSM}}\) (10⁵ cpm) and 20 μg of GBM proteins yielded a single complex that migrated identically to complex C in Fig. 1. The protected \(^{32}\text{P}\)-RNA\(^{\text{G DSM}}\) fragment was eluted from the gel and resolved in 7 M urea/20% polyacrylamide gel. Autoradiogram was exposed at −70°C for 5 d. Oligonucleotide size markers are indicated as number of bases (ba) at left. Lane 1, size markers; lane 2, complete RNase T1 digestion of \(^{32}\text{P}\)-RNA\(^{\text{G DSM}}\) without GBM protein protection; lanes 3 and 4, protected transcript eluted from the RNA/protein complex C without (lane 3) or with (lane 6) RNase T1 digestion. The largest G-less fragments in the \(^{32}\text{P}\)-RNA\(^{\text{G DSM}}\) are predicted to be 25 and 22 nucleotides in length (14), and the migration of these fragments (lane 2) is equivalent to the migration of 27- and 24-ba ODN standards (lane 1).
plexes of 120, 44, and 41 kD (Figs. 2–4, 7). Second, RNase T1 mapping (Fig. 5) and antisense ODN competition studies (Fig. 6) localize the binding of the 120-kD complex to a region near nucleotides 2186–2203 within the 3'-3/11032-UTR of the human GLUT1 mRNA, while the binding of the 44-kD complex is localized to an adenosine-uridine rich region in the vicinity of nucleotides 1885–1906 of the human GLUT1 3'-3/11032-UTR (Fig. 7–9). Given the size of the protected RNA fragments (20 kD or 8 kD), the estimated molecular mass of the protein forming the 120- or 44-kD complex is 100 or 36 kD, respectively. Third, the 36-kD cytosolic protein is one of a class of adenosine-uridine binding proteins (AUBPs) (Fig. 9). Fourth, the 36-kD cytosolic protein is downregulated in human cerebral hemangio-blastoma (Figs. 2 and 3), a tumor that overexpresses the GLUT1 protein (6) but is abundant in the cytosol of human GBM (a tumor that underexpresses the GLUT1 protein) (2–5).

The binding of the 100-kD cytosolic protein present in either GBM or HB extract to nucleotides 2186–2203 of the human GLUT1 mRNA corresponds to the 77-kD protein present in rat C6 glioma cytosol that binds to nucleotides...
The expression of the 36-kD protein in GBM correlates with plex in the UV crosslinking studies with hemangioblastoma cy-
responds to the 36-kD protein, in the gel-shift mobility assay (6). For example, there is no complex C formation, which cor-
brain tumor (hemangioblastoma) that overexpresses GLUT1
finding that the 36-kD protein is downregulated in a human
mediates destabilization of the mRNA is in accord with the
hypothesis at AU-rich sequences within the 3
predicted to reside within nucleotides 1885 and 1906 of the human
GLUT1 mRNA. Binding of the protein to the GLUT1 mRNA is inhibited by an AU-rich 80-base synthetic RNA fragment
(Fig. 9). The binding of this protein to GLUT1 mRNA is associ-
ated with an unusual exonuclease activity that gives a ladder pattern on RNase T1 mapping studies (Fig. 8, lane 3). There is
no further degradation of the RNA upon redigestion with RNase T1 (Fig. 8, lane 4). Moreover, the fragments generated by
GBM cytosol protein binding to this region of the GLUT1 mRNA do not correspond to the fragments generated by
RNase T1 digestion of unprotected GLUT1 mRNA (Fig. 8, lane 2). These observations are consistent with the hypothesis
that binding of a 36-kD protein to the GLUT1 mRNA mediates the sequential action of an endonuclease and a 5'-
exonuclease at the region near nucleotides 1885–1906. In-
deed, the combined action of an endonuclease/exonuclease ac-
tivity at AU-rich sequences within the 3'-UTR of mRNA is a
recognized RNA instability determinant (27, 28). The hypoth-
esis that binding of the 36-kD protein to the GLUT1 mRNA mediates destabilization of the mRNA is in accord with the
finding that the 36-kD protein is downregulated in a human brain tumor (hemangioblastoma) that overexpresses GLUT1
(6). For example, there is no complex C formation, which cor-
responds to the 36-kD protein, in the gel-shift mobility assay (Fig. 2), and there is decreased formation of the 44-kD com-
explex in the UV crosslinking studies with hemangioblastoma cy-
tosolic proteins, as compared to GBM cytosol proteins (Fig. 3). The expression of the 36-kD protein in GBM correlates with the
selective degradation of GLUT1 32P-RNA32P in this tumor relative to the hemangioblastoma (Fig. 10, A and B). The puta-
tive RNA instability determinant at nucleotides 1885–1906 is
deleted with the production of 32P-RNA32P, and this results in
comparable GLUT1 mRNA stability in the GBM or HB tu-
mors (Fig. 10, C and D).

The 36-kD protein that binds the AU-rich region of
GLUT1 mRNA has approximately the same molecular mass as GAPDH, a cytosolic enzyme that is also on the AU-rich
mRNA binding protein (26). However, the level of this en-
zyme is comparable in either GBM or HB proteins, and the
40.10.09 mAb to human GAPDH does not bind to the 36-kD
protein in GBM cytosol in the GSMA (see Results). These re-
sults suggest that the 36-kD GLUT1 mRNA binding protein in
GBM cytosol is not GAPDH.

The ARE found in the 3'-UTR of multiple mRNAs is one
class of instability element that interacts with multiple AUBPs
in the cytosol, and which stabilize or destabilize the mRNA,
depending on the cellular state (16, 29–32). Previous studies
have shown that TNF-α induces the expression of an AUBP
that binds to an ARE on rat GLUT1 3'-UTR, which increases
the stability of the mRNA (33). However, in other systems, the
AUBP binds to an ARE of the c-fos mRNA 3'-UTR, which
facilitates removal of the poly A tail and subsequent degrada-
tion of the mRNA (34). A single AUUUUA pentamer (29) is
present in the 3'-UTR at nucleotides 2398–2402 in bovine
GLUT1 mRNA (35) or nucleotides 2409–2413 in human
GLUT1 mRNA (14). This sequence is present in the GLUT1
RNA32P, but not in the GLUT1 RNA32P (Fig. 1), and, there-
fore, could not contribute to the formation of the 44-kD
complex shown in Figure 7 B.

In summary, the present studies demonstrate that cytosolic
proteins are differentially expressed in two human brain tu-
mors, glioblastoma multiforme and hemangioblastoma. Both
tumors express a 100-kD cytosolic protein that binds to nucle-
otides 2186–2203 of the human GLUT1 mRNA. Previous
studies provide evidence that this cis-element serves to aug-
ment GLUT1 gene expression (8). The present studies show
that the 100-kD protein binding to this cis-element is pre-
served in hemangioblastoma, a tumor that overexpresses
the GLUT1 protein. A 36-kD protein binds to an AU-rich region
corresponding to nucleotides 1885–1906 of the GLUT1
mRNA. The binding of this protein to the mRNA is associated
with an exonuclease activity (Fig. 7). The 36-kD protein is ex-
pressed in both GBM and in rat C6 glioma cells, which are tu-
mors that underexpress the GLUT1 protein. Therefore, the
36-kD protein may serve to destabilize the GLUT1 mRNA by
targeting an RNA instability determinant within the GLUT1
mRNA 3'-UTR. The precise roles of the 100- and 36-kD pro-
teins in the regulation of GLUT1 mRNA may be clarified in
future studies by mutation of the putative 3'-UTR cis
sequences, and an analysis of the effects of such mutations on
GLUT1 mRNA stability and gene expression.

Acknowledgments

Emily Yu skillfully prepared the manuscript. The authors are in-
debted to Drs. Arno Kumagai, Keijiro Sugimura, and Yasunari Saito
for helpful discussions.

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health grant
P01-NS-25554. Dr. Tsukamoto is supported in part by the American
Diabetes Association and by the Oberkotter Foundation.

References

Imura, R. Shigemoto, and H. Kikuchi. 1992. Distribution of the glucose transport-
GLUT3 and GLUT1 glucose transporters in human brain tumors. Mol. Brain
Res. 27:51–57.
Goldstein. 1990. The glucose transporter and blood-brain barrier of human
porter in blood vessels of primary and metastatic brain tumors. Cancer Res.
51:2048–2053.
facilitative glucose transporter isoforms in human brain tumors. J. Neuro-
chem. 61:2048–2053.
6. Cornford, E.M., S. Hyman, K.L. Black, M.E. Cornford, H.V. Vinters, and
W.M. Pardridge. 1995. High expression of the GLUT1 glucose transporter in

GLUT1 mRNA/Protein Interactions


