

## Hydrogen ion secretion by the collecting duct as a determinant of the urine to blood PCO<sub>2</sub> gradient in alkaline urine.

T D DuBose Jr

*J Clin Invest.* 1982;69(1):145-156. <https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI110425>.

### Research Article

Several theories have been advanced to explain the elevation in urinary PCO<sub>2</sub> during bicarbonate loading and include: (a) H<sup>+</sup> secretion, (b) countercurrent system for CO<sub>2</sub>, (c) the "ampholyte" properties of bicarbonate, and (d) mixing of urine of disparate bicarbonate and buffer concentrations. In this study microelectrodes were used to measure in situ and equilibrium pH (pH<sub>is</sub> and pH<sub>eq</sub>) and PCO<sub>2</sub> in control and bicarbonate loaded rats before and after infusion of carbonic anhydrase. The disequilibrium pH method (pH<sub>dq</sub> = pH<sub>is</sub> - pH<sub>eq</sub>) was used to demonstrate H<sup>+</sup> secretion. Control rats excreting an acid urine (pH = 6.04 ± 0.06) failed to display a significant disequilibrium pH at the base (BCD), or tip (TCD) of the papillary collecting duct. Urine pH (7.54 ± 0.12), and urine to blood (U-B) PCO<sub>2</sub> increased significantly during NaHCO<sub>3</sub> loading while PCO<sub>2</sub> at the BCD and TCD also increased (95 ± 4 and 122 ± 4). Furthermore, an acid disequilibrium pH was present at both the BCD and TCD (-0.42 ± 0.04 and -0.36 ± 0.03) and was obliterated by carbonic anhydrase. Comparison of the PCO<sub>2</sub> in the BCD or TCD with the adjacent vasa recta revealed similar values (r = 0.97). It is concluded that H<sup>+</sup> secretion by the collecting duct into bicarbonate containing fluid with delayed dehydration of H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, is the most likely determinant [...]

Find the latest version:

<https://jci.me/110425/pdf>



# Hydrogen Ion Secretion by the Collecting Duct as a Determinant of the Urine to Blood PCO<sub>2</sub> Gradient in Alkaline Urine

THOMAS D. DUBOSE, JR., with the technical assistance of LEO R. PUCACCO and JOHNNIE M. GREEN, *Department of Internal Medicine, University of Texas Health Science Center at Dallas, Southwestern Medical School, Dallas, Texas 75235; University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston, Texas 77550*

**ABSTRACT** Several theories have been advanced to explain the elevation in urinary PCO<sub>2</sub> during bicarbonate loading and include: (a) H<sup>+</sup> secretion, (b) countercurrent system for CO<sub>2</sub>, (c) the "ampholyte" properties of bicarbonate, and (d) mixing of urine of disparate bicarbonate and buffer concentrations. In this study microelectrodes were used to measure *in situ* and equilibrium pH (pH<sub>is</sub> and pH<sub>eq</sub>) and PCO<sub>2</sub> in control and bicarbonate loaded rats before and after infusion of carbonic anhydrase. The disequilibrium pH method (pH<sub>dq</sub> = pH<sub>is</sub> - pH<sub>eq</sub>) was used to demonstrate H<sup>+</sup> secretion. Control rats excreting an acid urine (pH = 6.04±0.06) failed to display a significant disequilibrium pH at the base (BCD), or tip (TCD) of the papillary collecting duct. Urine pH (7.54±0.12), and urine to blood (U-B) PCO<sub>2</sub> increased significantly during NaHCO<sub>3</sub> loading while PCO<sub>2</sub> at the BCD and TCD also increased (95±4 and 122±4). Furthermore, an acid disequilibrium pH was present at both the BCD and TCD (-0.42±0.04 and -0.36±0.03) and was obliterated by carbonic anhydrase. Comparison of the PCO<sub>2</sub> in the BCD or TCD with the adjacent vasa recta revealed similar values (*r* = 0.97). It is concluded that H<sup>+</sup> secretion by the collecting duct into bicarbonate containing fluid with delayed dehydration of H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, is the most likely determinant of the U-B PCO<sub>2</sub> in alkaline urine. Similar values for PCO<sub>2</sub> in the collecting

duct and the adjacent vasa recta suggests trapping of CO<sub>2</sub> in the medullary countercurrent system. The rise in PCO<sub>2</sub> occurs both along the collecting duct and after exit from the papilla.

## INTRODUCTION

There has been general agreement since the early observations of Mainzer and Bruhn (1), Pitts and Lotspeich (2), and Ryberg (3), that the CO<sub>2</sub> tension of alkaline urine may exceed that of systemic arterial blood by two- to fourfold. Considerable controversy has existed regarding the mechanism by which this elevation in urinary PCO<sub>2</sub> occurs (4-7). Despite this controversy the urine to blood PCO<sub>2</sub> gradient (U-B PCO<sub>2</sub>)<sup>1</sup> during bicarbonate administration has been widely used as an index of hydrogen ion secretion by the "distal nephron" in studies involving whole kidney clearance techniques in experimental animals and in man (7-11). In fact, the failure of patients with classical distal renal tubular acidosis to generate an elevated urinary PCO<sub>2</sub> during bicarbonate loading has been proposed as a means of categorizing the type of urinary acidification defect in this disorder ("secretory defect") (9).

Pitts and Lotspeich (2) first proposed that H<sup>+</sup> secretion into bicarbonate-containing fluid in the more distal nephron segments resulted in the formation and subsequent delayed dehydration of H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. Thus, as envisioned by these investigators, CO<sub>2</sub> would be formed in areas of the collecting system where surface-volume relationships would be unfavorable for CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion, resulting in elevated urinary CO<sub>2</sub> tensions.

A preliminary report of this study was presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Society of Nephrology, Washington, D. C., 23-25 November 1980.

Dr. DuBose's present address is Renal-Electrolyte Physiology Laboratory, Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Nephrology, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, Tex.

Received for publication 9 February 1981 and in revised form 18 September 1981.

<sup>1</sup> Abbreviations used in this paper: pH<sub>dq</sub>, disequilibrium pH; pH<sub>eq</sub>, equilibrium pH; pH<sub>is</sub>, *in situ*.

Additional support for this hypothesis was derived from the studies of Ochwaldt and Pitts (12) in which it was observed that systemic administration of carbonic anhydrase, which presumably appeared in the urine, obliterated the U-B  $\text{PCO}_2$  gradient. In contrast, Kennedy, Orloff, and Berliner (13) emphasized that the mixing of urine of low bicarbonate and high nonbicarbonate buffer concentration with urine of high bicarbonate, low nonbicarbonate buffer concentration (at different pH) could also serve to elevate the urinary  $\text{PCO}_2$  ("mixing hypothesis") (13).

Pak Poy and Wrong (14) noted that high urinary  $\text{PCO}_2$  could also be achieved by a medullary countercurrent system but these authors suggested that the  $\text{CO}_2$  would be derived primarily from metabolic sources. Uhlich, Baldamus, and Ullrich (15) suggested by indirect techniques, that the  $\text{CO}_2$  tension in the collecting tubule exceeded that of the vasa recta by  $\sim 30$  mm Hg. Furthermore, this sizable gradient was abolished by carbonic anhydrase infusion. This study cast doubt on the medullary countercurrent hypothesis and further underscored the importance of delayed dehydration. Although of an indirect nature, this study has served as the foundation of the U-B  $\text{PCO}_2$  gradient method used in whole kidney clearance studies. The suggestion of a diffusion barrier for  $\text{CO}_2$  across the collecting duct (5, 6, 15) differs markedly from recent findings across the superficial proximal convoluted tubule reported by our laboratory (16, 17).

Recently, the  $\text{H}^+$  secretory hypothesis has been called into question by physicochemical considerations. Arruda and associates (5) and Maren (6) have emphasized the "ampholyte" properties of bicarbonate as an explanation for the expected increase in  $\text{CO}_2$  tension in highly alkaline aqueous solution or urine when the concentration of carbonate ( $\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ ) and  $\text{CO}_2$  are equal. The linear relationship between the U-B  $\text{PCO}_2$  gradient and urinary bicarbonate concentration observed by Arruda et al. (8) in a variety of animal models and man have led to the hypothesis that a large component of the U-B  $\text{PCO}_2$  gradient is a result of the ampholyte effect initiated by water abstraction in the distal nephron and a subsequent increase in bicarbonate concentration (5). Thus, these investigators suggested that the low U-B  $\text{PCO}_2$  gradient observed in distal renal tubular acidosis (9) was critically dependent on urinary concentrating ability, which is often defective in this disease (5). These findings were further supported by the theoretical considerations of Maren (6). In contrast, however, Stinebaugh and associates (7) have recently reevaluated the linear relationship between U-B  $\text{PCO}_2$  and urinary bicarbonate concentration in alkaline urine in several species. These studies led these authors to the conclusion that the most plausible explanation for this linear relation-

ship was a  $\text{H}^+$  secretory process that increased as a function of the increase in urine bicarbonate concentration (7). It was suggested that a disequilibrium pH could occur as a result of  $\text{H}^+$  secretion or alternatively by concentration of bicarbonate in the terminal nephron with simultaneous back diffusion of carbon dioxide (7). These studies were necessarily of an indirect nature, however, since a disequilibrium pH has not been demonstrated previously in the collecting tubule.

The disequilibrium pH method ( $\text{pH}_{\text{dq}} = \text{pH}_{\text{is}} - \text{pH}_{\text{eq}}$ ) has been used by several investigators to demonstrate delayed dehydration, and thus  $\text{H}^+$  secretion in other nephron segments (18, 19). Recently, we have used newly developed microelectrode techniques to measure  $\text{PCO}_2$ , *in situ* pH ( $\text{pH}_{\text{is}}$ ), and equilibrium pH ( $\text{pH}_{\text{eq}}$ ).

The purpose of the present study was to examine the role of delayed dehydration in the generation of the U-B  $\text{PCO}_2$  gradient by micropuncture of the surgically exposed papilla of the rat in the presence and absence of carbonic anhydrase.

## METHODS

**Preparation of rats for micropuncture.** Studies were performed after 100 mg/kg, i.p. Inactin anesthesia (Promonta, Hamburg, West Germany) on young mutant Munich-Wistar rats weighing 60–150 g. All rats were allowed free access to tap water and standard rat chow until the time of the experiment. The rat was placed on a thermostatically controlled heating table and maintained at  $37.5^\circ\text{C}$ . After tracheostomy, polyethylene catheters (PE 50) were inserted into the left jugular vein for infusion and into the left femoral artery for constant blood pressure monitoring and blood collection, and into the bladder for urine collection from the right nonexperimental kidney (under oil). The left kidney was then gently separated from the adrenal gland and peritoneal attachment. The renal papilla was exposed by temporarily displacing the papilla into the renal pelvis and carefully excising the ureter. The kidney was then placed in a lucite cap stabilized by 3% agar and continuously bathed with mineral oil equilibrated with 5%  $\text{CO}_2$ –95%  $\text{O}_2$ , maintained at  $37^\circ\text{C}$  and illuminated with a small fiber optic light source. This technique has been described previously in detail (20). After jugular vein cannulation, rats were infused with Ringer's bicarbonate ( $\text{Na}^+ = 140$ ,  $\text{Cl}^- = 110$ ,  $\text{HCO}_3^- = 25$ ,  $\text{K}^+ = 5$  meq/liter) at 1% of body wt/h.

### Microelectrode techniques

**$\text{PCO}_2$  microelectrode.** The *in situ*  $\text{PCO}_2$  of tubule fluid at the base of the collecting duct (defined as the earliest accessible portion of the papillary collecting duct), tip of the collecting duct (opening of duct or direct puncture at tip) (distance between tubule puncture sites of  $2.1 \pm 0.5$  mm), and an adjacent vasa recta were obtained by puncture at each site with a  $\text{PCO}_2$  microelectrode of 6–9  $\mu\text{m}$  tip Diam. The construction, testing, electrical characteristics, and calibration of these electrodes were exactly as described previously (16). Electrodes having a sensitivity of  $< 57$  mV/ $\log_{10} \text{PCO}_2$  were discarded.

***In situ* pH.** The *in situ* pH ( $\text{pH}_{\text{is}}$ ) at the base and tip

collecting duct was determined with single or double barrelled glass membrane pH microelectrodes of 7–10  $\mu\text{m}$  tip Diam as reported previously (18). Electrodes having a sensitivity of  $<57$  mV/pH unit were not used. Initially all collecting duct punctures were with double-barrelled electrodes, however, no difference in pH was noted with single or double-barrelled electrodes during any of the physiological conditions examined. Subsequently, in five rats transepithelial potential difference was determined with 2–3- $\mu\text{m}$  beveled pipettes filled with 2.5 M KCl and 0.5 M  $\text{KNO}_3$ . In 15 tubules the range of potential was  $-1.0$  to  $+2.0$  mV in controls and  $-3.0$  to  $0.0$  mV during bicarbonate loading. These findings indicate that the transepithelial potential difference in the papillary collecting duct of the exposed papilla (length  $\sim 2.0$  mm) is not of sufficient magnitude to affect adversely the accuracy of a single-barrelled pH electrode having near theoretical slope. Therefore, most of the *in situ* pH data were obtained with single-barrelled electrodes because of ease of construction. Calibration before and after *in vivo* use was as described previously (16, 18).

**Equilibrium pH.** The equilibrium pH ( $\text{pH}_{\text{eq}}$ ) was measured *in vivo* with a composite probe consisting of an aspiration pipette into which a single-barrelled pH electrode was inserted. This electrode was designed and constructed in our laboratory and has been described in detail (18). This electrode consists of an outer shell (aspiration or collection pipette) of borosilicate glass capillary (2 mm) that was pulled to a taper length of 6–7 mm and beveled at a  $50$ – $60^\circ$  angle to an outer tip Diam of 4–9  $\mu\text{m}$ . A pH electrode was constructed as previously described (18) except that the geometry of the outer shell and pH electrode were taken into account to allow insertion of the pH electrode up to a distance of some 200–400  $\mu\text{m}$  from the tip of the outer shell. After sealing the butt end with epoxy cement and allowing a suitable cure, the pipette was filled with mercury and attached to a hydraulic device via PE-50 tubing. Both the tubing and hydraulics were filled with mercury. Therefore, standard pH buffer or tubule fluid was aspirated behind a mercury column to avoid  $\text{CO}_2$  loss. Before use this electrode was calibrated by aspirating standard pH buffer at  $37^\circ\text{C}$  ( $\text{pH} = 6.84$  and  $7.384$ , respectively) into the pipette under microscopic control to assure that the pH electrode was in contact with the buffer. An attempt was made to withdraw a volume of buffer similar to that expected *in vivo*. Only electrodes with a sensitivity of at least 57 mV/pH unit were accepted. The lucite chamber used for calibration at  $37^\circ\text{C}$  was as reported previously (16). In actual use in a micro-puncture experiment, tubule fluid was aspirated and allowed to reach chemical equilibrium while the aspiration pipette tip remained within the tubule lumen. The theoretical advantages of an equilibrium pH electrode constructed in this manner were: (a) it was not necessary to remove tubule fluid to an equilibration chamber *in vitro*, and (b) no assumption regarding the level of papillary  $\text{PCO}_2$  was required. This electrode was tested extensively *in vitro* to: (a) assure isolation of the sample with respect to ionic diffusion of  $\text{H}^+$  into or out of the tubule sample, (b) assure that  $\text{CO}_2$  gas was not lost over the period of time required to make the pH measurement, and (c) to assure that the  $\text{CO}_2$  produced from the dehydration of the  $\text{H}_2\text{CO}_3$  contained in the tubule sample from an "off-equilibrium" source (i.e., where a disequilibrium pH was present) would not erroneously lower the true equilibrium pH. The results of this testing have been reported previously (18). With regard to the third problem however, nonbicarbonate buffers could also contribute as a potential source of  $\text{CO}_2$  by this mechanism. For example if the concentration of nonbicarbonate buffers was 5.0 mM

(7), and the disequilibrium pH equal to  $-0.50$  pH U, then 2.5 mM of  $\text{CO}_2$  could be produced as the  $\text{H}_2\text{CO}_3$  was titrated by the nonbicarbonate buffers. This means that if this  $\text{CO}_2$  were trapped in the equilibrium pH electrode, the equilibrium pH observed would be falsely acid. Such a problem would be highly unlikely since the tip of the equilibrium electrode through which collection is made is open and remains within the tubule lumen during operation. However, to assure that such a problem did not exist in our system, the determined equilibrium pH was compared with the equilibrium pH calculated from determined  $\text{PCO}_2$  and total  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration (Henderson-Hasselbach equation,  $\alpha = 0.0309$ ,  $\text{pK}_a^1 = 6.13$ ). In these experiments care was taken to assure that the loss of  $\text{CO}_2$  gas from the collected sample was negligible by aspirating tubule fluid directly into a beveled volumetric constriction pipette between oil layers equilibrated with  $\text{CO}_2$ . The sample was then transferred immediately to the microcalorimeter (21). The results of the comparison of determined and calculated equilibrium pH ( $\text{pH}_{\text{eq}}$ ) from five rats are displayed in Fig. 1. Each point represents a paired determination. Thus, since there is a close correlation between the equilibrium pH determined by these two techniques, the type of consideration noted above could not be adversely affecting the results and, in this system, nonbicarbonate buffers could not contribute significantly to the equilibrium pH measured in the papillary collecting duct.

### Physiological conditions

Surgical exposure of the renal papilla in the mutant Munich-Wistar rat would be expected to disrupt the normal anatomical relationship whereby the papilla is bathed in pelvic urine. In addition, exposure would result in the loss of  $\text{CO}_2$  gas from the exposed papilla. Therefore, preliminary




FIGURE 1 Comparison of  $\text{pH}_{\text{eq}}$  calculated from  $[\text{tCO}_2]$  and  $\text{PCO}_2$  determinations (ordinate) vs.  $\text{pH}_{\text{eq}}$  measured by aspiration equilibrium pH microelectrode. Two techniques are not significantly different ( $r = 0.956$ ) ( $\text{pH}_{\text{eq}}^{\text{cal}} = 0.74 \text{ pH}_{\text{eq}}^{\text{meas}} + 1.9$ ).

experiments were designed to allow direct determination of the  $\text{PCO}_2$  of urine in the pelvic space.  $\text{PCO}_2$  microelectrodes of 15–20  $\mu\text{m}$  tip Diam were assembled. Six hydropenic rats in normal acid-base balance, and excreting an acid urine equal to 6.10 pH U were prepared for micropuncture and the renal pelvis was left intact. The mean  $\text{PCO}_2$  of urine in the pelvic space surrounding the renal papilla was  $36.5 \pm 1.2$  mm Hg. When the  $\text{PCO}_2$  electrode was then advanced into the papillary interstitium, equal values were obtained. In subsequent experiments (below) in which the renal pelvis was surgically excised, the renal papilla was bathed in heated mineral oil equilibrated with 5%  $\text{CO}_2$ . To assure that the mineral oil surrounding the papilla was maintained at 35 mm Hg, the  $\text{PCO}_2$  of the oil was frequently measured with a  $\text{PCO}_2$  microelectrode of 6–9  $\mu\text{m}$  tip Diam.

### Group I (controls) ( $n = 7$ )

Seven mutant Munich-Wistar rats served as controls after surgical exposure of the papilla while receiving a maintenance infusion of Ringer's bicarbonate at 1% body wt/h. Care was taken to maintain acid-base balance as described previously (16).

### Group II (bicarbonate loading) ( $n = 25$ )

25 animals received 300 mM  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  at 1.8% body wt/h in an attempt to minimize volume overexpansion. Micropuncture was initiated after a 1.5-h equilibration period.

### Group III (bicarbonate load plus carbonic anhydrase) ( $n = 14$ )

14 of the same rats in group II then received carbonic anhydrase as an intravenous bolus (10 mg) and maintenance infusion (20 mg/h). Micropuncture was initiated 30 min after the bolus injection. Carbonic anhydrase was prepared from bovine erythrocytes (C-7500, Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, Mo.) and assayed for activity *in vitro* before use. The presence of carbonic anhydrase activity in urine from the right kidney was verified by the micromethod of Maren (22).

Arterial blood pH and  $\text{PCO}_2$  and urine pH and  $\text{PCO}_2$  were determined on a blood gas analyzer (model 165, Corning Medical, Medfield, Mass.). The blood  $[\text{HCO}_3^-]$  was calculated with the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation ( $\alpha = 0.0301$ ,  $\text{pK}_a' = 6.10$ ), whereas for urine  $[\text{HCO}_3^-]$  the  $\alpha$  was 0.0309, and the  $\text{pK}_a'$  was corrected for ionic strength by the method of Hastings and Sendroy (23).

The results are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SE in each group. Statistical significance was calculated using the Student's *t* test for paired or unpaired data as appropriate.

## RESULTS

The systemic arterial and urinary pH,  $\text{PCO}_2$  and  $[\text{HCO}_3^-]$  values and the U-B  $\text{PCO}_2$  for all three groups are displayed in Table I. The control rats (group I) were in normal acid-base balance and excreted an acid urine. These findings are consistent with previous controls in our laboratory (16, 18, 21). The U-B  $\text{PCO}_2$  gradient was less than zero in this condition ( $P < 0.01$ ). The animals receiving 300 mM  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  (groups II and III) developed an acute metabolic alkalosis and

excreted an alkaline urine (untouched kidney). In group II rats the  $\text{PCO}_2$  in urine from the right kidney was  $136.3 \pm 11.8$  mm Hg, so that the U-B  $\text{PCO}_2$  gradient was  $97.4 \pm 10.6$  mm Hg. After administration of carbonic anhydrase the urine pH increased significantly ( $7.54 \pm 0.12$  to  $8.17 \pm 0.05$ ), the urine  $\text{PCO}_2$  decreased significantly ( $136.3 \pm 11.8$  to  $59.5 \pm 2.3$  mm Hg) and the U-B  $\text{PCO}_2$  decreased, as expected ( $97.4 \pm 10.6$  to  $17.7 \pm 4.0$ ) ( $P < 0.001$ ). The U-B  $\text{PCO}_2$  remained significantly greater than zero after carbonic anhydrase ( $P < 0.01$ ), however.

### Micropuncture data

The micropuncture findings are displayed in Tables II, III, IV, and Figs. 2–5.

**$\text{PCO}_2$ .** Control rats (group I) excreting an acid urine ( $6.04 \pm 0.06$ ) had papillary collecting duct  $\text{PCO}_2$  values that were very similar to systemic arterial values ( $35.2 \pm 1.2$  at the base, and  $36.5 \pm 1.5$  mm Hg at the tip of the collecting duct) (Table II.). Thus the U-B  $\text{PCO}_2$ , and the papillary-blood  $\text{PCO}_2$  values were similar in control animals.

Bicarbonate-loaded rats (group II) excreting an alkaline urine displayed markedly elevated values for  $\text{PCO}_2$  at the base ( $95.4 \pm 4.1$ ) and tip collecting duct ( $122.2 \pm 4.3$ ) (Table II, Fig. 2). The increase in  $\text{PCO}_2$  from base to tip was significant ( $P < 0.01$ ). Thus, the directly measured papillary collecting duct tip minus systemic blood  $\text{PCO}_2$  (PCD-B  $\text{PCO}_2$ ) was  $54.1 \pm 4.1$  and  $79.6 \pm 4.3$ , respectively (Tables II and III). As displayed in Table III and Fig. 3 the U-B  $\text{PCO}_2$  from the untouched right kidney was slightly, but not significantly, greater than the PCD-B  $\text{PCO}_2$  at the tip collecting duct. When 10 rats in this group having a urine pH nearer 8.0 pH units were analyzed separately, similar results were obtained. Specifically, values for arterial blood ( $\text{pH}_a = 7.53 \pm 0.05$ ,  $\text{PaCO}_2 = 44 \pm 2.1$ ,  $[\text{HCO}_3^-] = 36.8 \pm 1.1$ ) and urine ( $\text{pH} = 7.93 \pm 0.06$  and  $\text{PCO}_2 = 92 \pm 4.2$ ) were more alkaline and the urine  $\text{PCO}_2$  slightly lower. The micropuncture findings for  $\text{PCO}_2$  in these 10 rats were as follows: BCD =  $90 \pm 4.7$  and TCD =  $115 \pm 6.8$ . These findings do not differ from the results obtained in all 25 rats in group II. Therefore, these results were combined.

In the 14 rats receiving  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  and carbonic anhydrase infusion (group III) the  $\text{PCO}_2$  at the base and tip collecting duct decreased markedly after infusion of the enzyme ( $95.4$  to  $68.1$  and  $122.2$  to  $78.3$ , respectively) (Table II). As shown in Fig. 3, carbonic anhydrase markedly reduced the U-B and PCD-B  $\text{PCO}_2$  but did not obliterate this difference so that values of  $\text{PCO}_2$  in both collected urine (right kidney) and the microelectrode determined value at the papillary tip (left kidney) remained significantly greater than sys-

TABLE I  
Systemic Arterial and Urine Values

Group	Arterial blood			Urine (right-untouched)			U-B $P_{CO_2}$
	pH	$P_{aCO_2}$	$[HCO_3^-]$	pH	$P_{CO_2}$	$[HCO_3^-]$	
I. Controls							
Mean	7.36	37	20.1	6.04	32	0.9	-5
SEM	$\pm 0.01$	$\pm 1$	$\pm 0.37$	$\pm 0.06$	$\pm 2.5$	—	$\pm 1.3$
(n)	(7)			(7)			(7)
II. 300 mM $NaHCO_3$							
Mean	7.50	44	33.0	7.54	136	108.3	97
SEM	$\pm 0.02$	$\pm 1.7$	$\pm 0.9$	$\pm 0.12$	$\pm 11.8$	$\pm 9.3$	$\pm 10.6$
(n)	(25)			(25)			(25)
III. 300 mM $NaHCO_3$ + Carbonic anhydrase							
Mean	7.55	41	34.1	8.17	59	162.5	18
SEM	$\pm 0.02$	$\pm 1.9$	$\pm 1.1$	$\pm 0.05$	$\pm 2.3$	$\pm 10.2$	$\pm 4.0$
(n)	(14)			(14)			(14)
P (II vs. III)	NS	NS	NS	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.001

temic arterial blood. ( $17.7 \pm 4.0$  and  $39.8 \pm 3.0$ , respectively) (Table III and Fig. 3).

**Comparison of collecting duct and vasa recta  $PCO_2$ .** In five control and five bicarbonate-loaded rats comparison of  $PCO_2$  at either the base or tip of the collecting duct with the  $PCO_2$  in the immediately adjacent vasa recta was made (Fig. 4). Each point represents a paired tubule-vasa recta determination. Note that a highly significant correlation ( $r = 0.97$ ) was obtained.

**Disequilibrium pH.** The values of the microelectrode determined *in situ* pH ( $pH_{is}$ ), equilibrium pH ( $pH_{eq}$ ) and the difference, or the disequilibrium pH ( $pH_{dq}$ ) for controls, bicarbonate-loaded, and bicarbonate-loaded and carbonic anhydrase infused rats are displayed in Table IV for each micropuncture site. In control rats values for  $pH_{is}$  and  $pH_{eq}$  were similar at both the base ( $6.51 \pm 0.06$ ,  $6.44 \pm 0.06$ ) and tip ( $6.47 \pm 0.07$ ,  $6.45 \pm 0.06$ ) of the collecting duct so that a disequilibrium pH was not observed (i.e.,  $pH_{dq}$  not

TABLE II  
Micropuncture Data:  $P_{CO_2}$  Papillary Collecting Duct

Condition	$P_{CO_2}$		PCD-B $P_{CO_2}$ *	
	Base	Tip	Base	Tip
I. Control				
Mean	35	36	-3	-3
SEM	$\pm 1.2$	$\pm 1.5$	$\pm 1.3$	$\pm 1.4$
(n)	(15)	(20)		
II. $NaHCO_3$				
Mean	95	122	54	80
SEM	$\pm 4.1$	$\pm 4.3$	$\pm 4.1$	$\pm 4.3$
(n)	(38)	(52)		
III. $NaHCO_3$ + Carbonic anhydrase				
Mean	68	78	29	40
SEM	$\pm 2.4$	$\pm 2.8$	$\pm 3.2$	$\pm 3.0$
(n)	(44)	(50)		
P II vs. III	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001

\* Papillary collecting duct minus systemic blood  $P_{CO_2}$ .

TABLE III  
Comparison of Right (Untouched) and Left (Experimental) Kidney

Condition	Urine		Tip PCD	
	P <sub>CO<sub>2</sub></sub>	U-B P <sub>CO<sub>2</sub></sub> *	P <sub>CO<sub>2</sub></sub>	P-B P <sub>CO<sub>2</sub></sub> †
II. NaHCO <sub>3</sub>	136 ±11.8 (25)	97 ±10.6 (25)	122 ±4.3 (52)	80 ±4.3 (52)
III. NaHCO <sub>3</sub> + Carbonic anhydrase	59 ±2.3 (14)	18 ±4.0 (14)	78 ±2.8 (50)	40 ±3.0 (50)
P	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001

\* Urine minus blood P<sub>CO<sub>2</sub></sub>.

† Papillary minus blood P<sub>CO<sub>2</sub></sub>.

different than zero;  $+0.07 \pm 0.04$  and  $+0.02 \pm 0.04$ , respectively). In bicarbonate-loaded rats (group II) *in situ* pH was significantly more acid than equilibrium pH at both sites ( $7.24 \pm 0.08$  vs.  $7.66 \pm 0.06$  at the base  $P < 0.001$ , and  $7.35 \pm 0.09$  vs.  $7.71 \pm 0.05$  at tip  $P < 0.01$ ) (Table IV and Fig. 5). Thus, a significant acid disequilibrium pH was observed at both base ( $-0.42 \pm 0.04$ ) and tip collecting duct ( $-0.36 \pm 0.03$ ). In the 10 rats in group II analyzed separately and having a mean urine pH of  $7.93 \pm 0.03$  U, the values for disequilibrium pH were not different ( $P > 0.05$ ), i.e., base collecting duct =  $-0.40 \pm 0.04$  and tip collecting duct =  $-0.35 \pm 0.03$  U, (significantly greater than zero,  $P < 0.01$ ). Carbonic anhydrase infusion (group III) completely obliterated the disequilibrium pH, at both sites, and did so by increasing *in situ* pH to values not different from equilibrium pH (pH<sub>dq</sub> =  $+0.06$  and

$+0.03$ , respectively) (Fig. 5). Note that equilibrium pH in groups II and III did not differ significantly in either the presence or absence of carbonic anhydrase (Fig. 5).

## DISCUSSION

Of the several theories advanced to explain the observed increase in urinary P<sub>CO<sub>2</sub></sub> above systemic arterial levels during bicarbonate loading, H<sup>+</sup> secretion into bicarbonate-containing fluid has been the most widely accepted mechanism (2, 4, 7, 10, 12). Recent studies have emphasized the "ampholyte" properties of bicarbonate and the critical importance of urinary concentrating ability (5, 6), thus seriously questioning the contribution of H<sup>+</sup> secretion to the generation of the elevated U-B P<sub>CO<sub>2</sub></sub> difference. The present study was

TABLE IV  
Disequilibrium pH Papillary Collecting Duct

Condition	Base CD			Tip CD		
	pH <sub>u</sub>	pH <sub>eq</sub>	pH <sub>dq</sub>	pH <sub>u</sub>	pH <sub>eq</sub>	pH <sub>dq</sub>
I. Controls	6.51 ±0.06	6.44 ±0.06	+0.07 ±0.04 (28) NS	6.47 ±0.07	6.45 ±0.06	+0.02 ±0.04 (33) NS
P vs. 0						
II. NaHCO <sub>3</sub>	7.24 ±0.08	7.66 ±0.06	-0.42 ±0.04 (48) <0.001	7.35 ±0.09	7.71 ±0.05	-0.36 ±0.03 (26) <0.01
P vs. 0						
III. NaHCO <sub>3</sub> + Carbonic anhydrase	7.74 +0.06	7.68 ±0.06	+0.06 ±0.05 (18) NS	7.73 ±0.08 (14)	7.70 ±0.05	+0.03 ±0.03 (14) NS
P vs. 0						
II vs. III			<0.001			<0.001




FIGURE 2 Microelectrode PCO<sub>2</sub> values in NaHCO<sub>3</sub> loaded (black bars) and NaHCO<sub>3</sub> loaded and carbonic anhydrase infused rats (stippled bars) at both micropuncture sites, the base and tip of the papillary collecting duct. The dashed line represents mean systemic arterial PCO<sub>2</sub>. The reduction in PCO<sub>2</sub> after carbonic anhydrase is highly significant. \**P* < 0.001.

designed to evaluate PCO<sub>2</sub> and the presence, or absence, of H<sup>+</sup> secretion by recently developed microelectrode techniques employed in a papillary micropuncture setting. This study represents the first report of direct determination of these parameters in the rat and thus adds insight to the process by which elevated urinary CO<sub>2</sub> tensions are achieved.

Several new findings emerge from these studies: (a) The demonstration of a significant acid disequilibrium pH in conjunction with a significantly elevated CO<sub>2</sub> tension in the papillary collecting duct during bicarbonate loading supports the view that hydrogen ion secretion is a determinant of the U-B PCO<sub>2</sub> gradient.




FIGURE 3 Comparison of right urinary PCO<sub>2</sub> (dots) and left papillary tip PCO<sub>2</sub> (triangles) minus blood PCO<sub>2</sub> (U-B PCO<sub>2</sub>) in NaHCO<sub>3</sub> loaded animals before and after carbonic anhydrase (CA).




FIGURE 4 Comparison of PCO<sub>2</sub> in the papillary collecting duct (abscissa) and the immediately adjacent vasa recta (ordinate). Each point represents a paired determination and includes controls and bicarbonate loaded rats. The PCO<sub>2</sub> is similar in both structures (*r* = 0.967, *y* = 1.097*x* - 6.19).

bonate loading supports the view that hydrogen ion secretion is a determinant of the U-B PCO<sub>2</sub> gradient. (b) The observed increase in PCO<sub>2</sub> above systemic levels occurred both along the papillary collecting duct and after exit from the papilla. (c) Comparison of CO<sub>2</sub>




FIGURE 5 Summary of pH determinations in NaHCO<sub>3</sub> loaded rats before and after carbonic anhydrase (CA) infusion (left column) at both micropuncture sites (base and tip). The top (black) bar in both conditions at each site represents *in situ* pH while the hatched bars represent equilibrium pH. The values for the resulting *pH*<sub>da</sub> are displayed in the right column. The starred values for *pH*<sub>da</sub> are significantly greater than zero. (*P* < 0.001).



tension in both collecting duct fluid and the adjacent vasa recta revealed similar values, suggesting trapping of CO<sub>2</sub> in the medullary countercurrent system.

It has been widely appreciated that the PCO<sub>2</sub> of urine in man and experimental animals is near or below systemic arterial levels during normal or control conditions (4). In the present study it is demonstrated that the PCO<sub>2</sub> measured with a microelectrode by micropuncture of the papillary collecting duct of control rats is similar to the PCO<sub>2</sub> of systemic arterial blood (34.5 vs. 36.6 mm Hg). Furthermore, direct puncture of the pelvic space prior to exposure of the renal papilla revealed similar values for papillary collecting duct and pelvic space PCO<sub>2</sub>. Previous explanations for urinary CO<sub>2</sub> tensions, at or below plasma values during the excretion of an acid urine have included (a) reabsorption of bicarbonate in the terminal nephron decreasing the concentration of HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> generating a disequilibrium between CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (24), (b) a mixture of urine with differing concentrations of bicarbonate and nonbicarbonate buffers (13), and (c) passive back diffusion of undissociated organic acids (25). As pointed out by Rector (4) none of these explanations serve to support either H<sup>+</sup> secretion or HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> reabsorption in the collecting duct as a determinant of urinary CO<sub>2</sub> tensions. The failure to demonstrate a significant disequilibrium pH in the papillary collecting duct of control rats in the present study indicates that bicarbonate reabsorption in this segment, during this condition, is exceedingly low. This is not surprising in view of the very low luminal concentrations of bicarbonate and high concentrations of non-bicarbonate buffer in the collecting duct during excretion of an acid urine. Although the value for collecting duct PCO<sub>2</sub> agrees closely with the recent findings of Graber and associates (26), we have not, in contrast, demonstrated an acid disequilibrium pH in the collecting duct of control rats. Graber and associates, demonstrated an acid disequilibrium pH in control (-0.26 pH units) and acidotic rats (-0.13 pH U). The microcatherization technique used by Graber et al. (27) allows examination of a larger portion of the medullary collecting duct than in the present study. Different electrode techniques were used in the former study, as well, since aspiration into the microcatheter was required for the fluid to make contact with the pH electrode while equilibrium pH was measured *in vitro*. Based on the dehydration rate constant of 49.6/s (28), the equilibrium concentration of H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> would be approached with a half-time of  $1.4 \times 10^{-2}$  s. Although nonbicarbonate buffers would reduce the disequilibrium pH by consumption of H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, this effect might be offset by prolongation of the time to reach equilibrium. These differences are not easily resolved, therefore. These investigators have interpreted their

findings as consistent with acidification by a H<sup>+</sup> secretory process along the medullary collecting duct in these conditions (27).

The fact that the PCO<sub>2</sub> of highly alkaline urine exceeds systemic arterial PCO<sub>2</sub> by several fold has been widely appreciated for several decades (1-3). Both the mechanism and clinical relevance of this observation have been a source of debate for many years, however. The major areas of disagreement have been reviewed in detail recently (4, 6, 7). The classical studies of Pitts and Lotspeich (2), and Ochwaldt and Pitts (12) supported a proton secretion mechanism which, in urine containing bicarbonate, would generate H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> that would dehydrate forming CO<sub>2</sub> at the uncatalyzed (slow) rate allowing trapping of CO<sub>2</sub> in the collecting system where surface-volume relationships would be unfavorable for diffusion. Thus, these investigators suggested an important role for delayed dehydration of H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, as well as the postpapillary nature of this process in the generation of a high U-B PCO<sub>2</sub> difference (12).

Before the present investigation, no studies have directly determined the disequilibrium pH or PCO<sub>2</sub> in the papillary collecting duct. One micropuncture study by Uhlich, Baldamus, and Ullrich (15) used indirect techniques to estimate PCO<sub>2</sub>. These workers calculated PCO<sub>2</sub> from pH and bicarbonate determinations and demonstrated that collecting duct PCO<sub>2</sub> exceeded vasa recta blood PCO<sub>2</sub> by 40 mm during bicarbonate loading. Furthermore, this difference between tubule lumen and vasa recta was increased by carbonic anhydrase inhibition and obliterated by infusion of excess carbonic anhydrase. Thus, they concluded that the trapping of CO<sub>2</sub> by a medullary countercurrent system could not account for the high PCO<sub>2</sub> of alkaline urine (15).

In contrast, the findings in the present study demonstrate that the PCO<sub>2</sub> in the papillary collecting duct during bicarbonate loading was markedly elevated with respect to systemic arterial blood but was not significantly different than the PCO<sub>2</sub> in the adjacent vasa recta. These findings strongly support capture of CO<sub>2</sub> in the medullary counter-current system. Furthermore, a significant rise in PCO<sub>2</sub> was noted along the length of the papillary collecting duct available for micropuncture. Moreover, a significant acid disequilibrium pH was demonstrated at both the base and tip of the collecting duct in the same condition (-0.42 and -0.36) (Table V). When carbonic anhydrase was administered in amounts which achieved assayable activity in final urine, the disequilibrium pH was completely obliterated while PCO<sub>2</sub> fell dramatically indicating clearly that delayed dehydration of H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was an important factor in the generation of the elevated CO<sub>2</sub> tension in the collecting tubule. The fact that the

TABLE V  
Summary of Micropuncture Findings

	BCD		TCD	
	PCO <sub>2</sub>	pH <sub>de</sub>	PCO <sub>2</sub>	pH <sub>de</sub>
Controls	35	+0.07	36	+0.02
NaHCO <sub>3</sub>	95	-0.42	122	-0.36
NaHCO <sub>3</sub> + Carbonic anhydrase	68	+0.06	78	+0.03

PCO<sub>2</sub> of urine from the right untouched kidney remained at a level significantly greater than systemic arterial blood (59.5±2.3 vs. 41.0±1.9) after carbonic anhydrase is in agreement with previous studies (6, 29, 30). Very early studies demonstrating a complete return of urinary PCO<sub>2</sub> to systemic arterial levels after carbonic anhydrase infusion were based on calculated, not determined CO<sub>2</sub> tensions (12).

The CO<sub>2</sub> tension measured by the microelectrode at the tip of the collecting duct in the left papilla compared favorably, before and after carbonic anhydrase with that observed in the right final urine (standard macro technique). The observation of a slightly, but significantly higher CO<sub>2</sub> tension in the experimental kidney after carbonic anhydrase deserves further comment. The magnitude of the decrease in CO<sub>2</sub> after carbonic anhydrase depends on the magnitude of the prevailing CO<sub>2</sub> in the medullary interstitium, not systemic blood. When carbonic anhydrase is added to an open beaker of a NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution *in vitro*, the PCO<sub>2</sub> falls as a result of CO<sub>2</sub> formation throughout the solution and acceleration of CO<sub>2</sub> loss at the liquid-gas interface (5, 6). The papillary interstitium may not be an entirely open system and the dissipation of CO<sub>2</sub> could proceed at a slower rate. Furthermore, the demonstration of similar values for PCO<sub>2</sub> in both collecting duct and vasa recta all along the length of exposed papilla, suggests trapping of CO<sub>2</sub> by a medullary countercurrent system. Since a disequilibrium pH of -0.36 U was observed in the tip of the papillary collecting duct it would be predicted that as chemical equilibrium is achieved, or as the acidic portion of nonbicarbonate buffers react with HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> at the higher pH (7), CO<sub>2</sub> would be generated and final urine should have a CO<sub>2</sub> tension ~25 mm Hg greater than the PCO<sub>2</sub> at the tip of the collecting duct. Comparison of these values in the present study (Table III) indicates that the observed increase in PCO<sub>2</sub> from left papillary tip (122±4.3 mm Hg) to right bladder urine (136±11.8) was not significant. The explanation for this observation is not entirely clear. It may not be appropriate to compare left and right renal findings in the papillary preparation since obvious differences in blood flow, concentrating ability, and electrolyte handling have

been well described (20). Furthermore, it is generally appreciated that urine issuing out of the papillary collecting duct courses back over the papilla, which may allow equilibration of CO<sub>2</sub> between urine and papillary interstitium. Finally, CO<sub>2</sub> could diffuse out of the collecting system (albeit slight) or lost during handling of the urine sample.

In addition to hydrogen ion secretion, other possible mechanisms could result in a relative excess of H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. These possibilities as recently outlined by Stinebaugh et al. (7), and Warnock and Rector (31) include bicarbonate secretion into buffer containing fluid (32), mixture of acid and alkaline tubule fluid from different nephron populations (13), water abstraction from tubule fluid resulting in concentration of H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> in conjunction with CO<sub>2</sub> loss (24), and finally the dissociation of HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> to CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> and H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> ("ampholyte" effect) (5, 6). Bicarbonate secretion has been observed in various types of acidifying epithelia including the rabbit cortical collecting tubule (32). The contribution of secretion to the final concentration of bicarbonate in urine has not been established, however. Previous micropuncture studies have demonstrated that the concentration of bicarbonate in the superficial distal tubule is quite high during acute metabolic alkalosis (18, 33). The bicarbonate concentration in the distal tubule during bicarbonate loading can be calculated from the equilibrium pH (7.47) and PCO<sub>2</sub> (65 mm Hg) to be 43.9 mM (18). These same studies failed to demonstrate a significant acid disequilibrium pH in the distal nephron during bicarbonate loading (18). Furthermore the anticipated increase in bicarbonate concentration from distal tubule to papillary collecting duct is modest, when water abstraction is considered, and casts doubt on a high capacity secretory process in the collecting tubule. Recently, Lombard and associates (34) have demonstrated that the net secretion of bicarbonate in the cortical collecting tubule of the rabbit is quite low. These same investigators demonstrated bicarbonate reabsorption, not secretion, in the medullary collecting tubule (34). Moreover, the capacity for bicarbonate reabsorption in the medullary collecting tubule exceeded that in the cortical collecting tubule by several fold (34). Compatible with this latter observation are recent micropuncture studies that have demonstrated bicarbonate reabsorption in the papillary collecting duct of the surgically exposed rat papilla (35). It seems highly unlikely, therefore, that bicarbonate secretion could be playing a significant role in the generation of an acid disequilibrium pH in the papillary collecting duct.

Although the admixture of urine of varying bicarbonate and nonbicarbonate buffer concentration could serve to elevate the urinary PCO<sub>2</sub> in certain conditions

(13), the demonstration by Rector and associates of high urinary  $\text{CO}_2$  tensions during a combined water and bicarbonate diuresis in phosphate-depleted subjects (36) casts considerable doubt on this possibility as a sole determinant of the U-B  $\text{PCO}_2$ . In the present study the excretion of nonbicarbonate buffers would be expected to be low (7) and "mixing" would be minimal from the base to tip of papilla since the majority of branch points occur proximal to this site. Furthermore, a significant acid disequilibrium pH was observed along the length of the papillary collecting duct examined, i.e., did not dissipate. Nonbicarbonate buffers may serve to further increase  $\text{CO}_2$  tension in conditions in which the concentration of  $\text{H}_2\text{CO}_3$  is slightly displaced from equilibrium, as in the present study, since, as pH rises, the acidic portion of the buffer (HA) would react with  $\text{HCO}_3^-$  to produce  $\text{H}_2\text{CO}_3$  and thus  $\text{CO}_2$  (13, 37). For example, at an equilibrium pH of 7.71 (papillary tip) 2 mM of buffer would produce  $\sim 0.22$  mM of  $\text{CO}_2$  and 5 mM of buffer would produce 0.55 mM of  $\text{CO}_2$ . Since nonbicarbonate buffer content was not measured in the present study, a correlation between the magnitude of the acid disequilibrium pH, the  $\text{PCO}_2$ , and the amount of nonbicarbonate buffer present in the papillary collecting duct is not possible. The extent to which urinary  $\text{PCO}_2$  is dependent on the combined effects of proton secretion and nonbicarbonate buffer content cannot be stated with certainty, therefore. A role for nonbicarbonate buffer as an additional determinant of the high  $\text{CO}_2$  tension observed in the present study seems likely, however.

Another possible cause for the disequilibrium pH observed in the papillary collecting duct in this study would be  $\text{CO}_2$  loss in a segment capable of significant concentration of bicarbonate and carbonic acid as originally proposed by Reid and Hills (24). Loss of  $\text{CO}_2$  from collecting duct lumen to vasa recta is suggested by the demonstration of similar values for  $\text{PCO}_2$  in these structures (Fig. 4). That there was not adequate concentration in this segment to generate a disequilibrium pH of the magnitude reported in this study is evidenced by the findings in Tables IV and V. The bicarbonate concentration increased from base to tip (104–140 meq/liter) (calculated from the measured  $\text{pH}_{\text{eq}}$  and  $\text{PCO}_2$ ). The tubular fluid to plasma inulin ratio increased slightly (15–22.5) from base to tip in animals similarly prepared for papillary micropuncture in this laboratory. Therefore,  $\sim 2\%$  of the filtered load of bicarbonate was reabsorbed between base and tip. To generate a disequilibrium pH of  $-0.3$  U (less than that observed at the base), the concentration of  $\text{H}_2\text{CO}_3$  would be required to double. For water abstraction to account alone for such an increase in  $\text{H}_2\text{CO}_3$  concentration, a similar increase in bicarbonate

concentration would be expected (i.e., 104–208 meq/liter). To explain these findings on this basis alone seems untenable. Therefore, proton secretion seems the most likely determinant of the disequilibrium pH and elevated  $\text{PCO}_2$  observed in the papillary collecting duct. Finally, in previous studies from this laboratory a disequilibrium pH was not observed in the superficial distal tubule (18). From the calculated concentration of bicarbonate (43.9 mM) in this segment in identically prepared rats, the transit time from distal tubule to base collecting duct of  $\sim 2$  min, and the failure for water abstraction alone to account for the observation of a disequilibrium pH of  $-0.42$  U at the base, it seems unlikely that a mechanism similar to that proposed by Reid and Hills (24), or mixing (13) could account for the observed changes between the distal tubule and base collecting duct as well. Because of the inaccessibility of the nephron segments involved, neither of these mechanisms can be totally eliminated, however.

Recent emphasis has been placed on the physicochemical properties of bicarbonate and the linear relationship between the urinary bicarbonate concentration and the U-B  $\text{PCO}_2$  difference (5). The demonstration by Stinebaugh and associates (7) that this relationship can be altered by various physiological maneuvers and pathophysiological conditions as well as the theoretical considerations and calculations of these investigators, make a primary role for the "ampholyte" effect unlikely. The demonstration in the present study of an acid disequilibrium pH in association with elevated papillary  $\text{CO}_2$  tensions both of which were markedly reduced by carbonic anhydrase is further evidence against  $\text{CO}_2$  generation of this magnitude from a purely physicochemical process.

The recent demonstration that a spontaneous disequilibrium pH did not exist in the superficial distal tubule during bicarbonate loading (18), was interpreted as evidence for a low capacity proton secretory system in this segment. This finding has been strengthened by the recent *in vivo* microperfusion studies of Lucci and associates (38) which demonstrated that significant bicarbonate reabsorption was not present despite the existence of sodium reabsorption and potassium secretion in the superficial distal tubule (38). In this regard, and in view of the demonstration in the present study of a significant acid disequilibrium pH at the base of the papillary collecting duct, it is interesting to speculate that the medullary collecting duct, proximal to the first accessible micropuncture site, participates importantly in the acidification process by proton secretion. It is conceivable therefore, that the disequilibrium pH observed at the base papillary collecting duct ( $-0.42$ ) pH U, underestimates the maximum disequilibrium pH achievable in the terminal

nephron. Furthermore, since carbonic anhydrase accelerates the conversion of carbonic acid to  $\text{CO}_2$ , and  $\text{CO}_2$  loss from the collecting duct, the observation of a marked decrease in  $\text{PCO}_2$  at the papillary base after carbonic anhydrase administration (Table II) suggests that an effect of the enzyme occurs in nephron segments proximal to the papillary collecting duct prior to accessibility to, and trapping in, the medullary countercurrent system.

The demonstration of an acid disequilibrium pH in the papillary collecting duct, only in association with elevated  $\text{CO}_2$  tensions in equilibrium in the collecting duct and vasa recta, suggests that hydrogen ion secretion is a major determinant of the increase in the U-B  $\text{PCO}_2$  gradient during an alkaline diuresis. An important role for a medullary countercurrent system, trapping  $\text{CO}_2$  in the renal medulla, is also likely. Because of the technical limitations of this study, a role for mixing of dissimilar urines (13), "concentration" with  $\text{CO}_2$  loss (24), and the effect of nonbicarbonate buffers cannot be totally eliminated as potential contributors to this process with certainty. In view of these findings, and the recent extensive clearance studies by Stinebaugh and associates (7, 10), Halperin et al. (9), and Arruda et al. (8), it is concluded that when appropriate consideration for urinary concentrating ability, nonbicarbonate buffer content, and urinary bicarbonate concentration is made, the U-B  $\text{PCO}_2$  difference can be considered a reliable qualitative index of hydrogen ion secretion by the collecting tubule.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to express his sincere appreciation for the advice, wise council, and encouragement of Dr. Norman W. Carter, without whom these studies could not have been completed. Dr. Marjory S. Lucci performed the microcalorimetric measurements, and offered helpful suggestions in the performance of this work. Helpful comments and suggestions were obtained from discussions with Dr. Floyd C. Rector, Jr. and Dr. B. J. Stinebaugh. The manuscript was typed by Mrs. Karen Williams, and Darnell Russo.

This research was supported in part by National Institutes of Arthritis, Metabolism, and Digestive Diseases Research grant 1 RO1 AM 25730, 1 RO1 AM 14677, 1 KO4 AM 01033, and 7 RO1 AM 30603.

## REFERENCES

1. Mainzer, F., and M. Bruhn. 1931. Über Löslichkeit, Dissoziation und Spannung der kohlensäure im Harn. *Biochem. Ztschr.* **230**: 395-410.
2. Pitts, R. F., and W. D. Lotspeich. 1946. Bicarbonate and the renal regulation of acid-base balance. *Am. J. Physiol.* **147**: 138-154.
3. Ryberg, C. 1948. Some investigations on the carbon dioxide tension of the urine in man. *Acta Physiol. Scand.* **15**: 123-139.
4. Rector, Floyd C., Jr. 1973. Acidification of the urine. In *Handbook of Physiology*. J. Orloff and R. W. Berliner

- editors. American Physiological Society. The Williams and Wilkins Co., Baltimore, Md. p. 431-454.
5. Arruda, J. A. C., L. Nascimento, P. K. Mehta, D. R. Rademacher, J. T. Sehy, C. Westenfelder, and N. A. Kurtzman. 1977. The critical importance of urinary concentrating ability in the generation of urinary carbon dioxide tension. *J. Clin. Invest.* **60**: 922-935.
6. Maren, T. 1978. Carbon dioxide equilibria in the kidney: the problems of elevated carbon dioxide tension, delayed dehydration, and disequilibrium pH. *Kidney Int.* **14**: 395-405.
7. Stinebaugh, B. J., R. Esquenazi, F. X. Schloeder, W. N. Suki, M. B. Goldstein, and M. L. Halperin. 1980. Control of the urine-blood  $\text{PCO}_2$  gradient in alkaline urine. *Kidney Int.* **17**: 31-39.
8. Arruda, J. A. C., L. Nascimento, S. K. Kumar, and N. A. Kurtzman. 1977. Factors influencing the formation of urinary carbon dioxide tension. *Kidney Int.* **11**: 307-317.
9. Halperin, M. C., M. B. Goldstein, A. Haig, M. D. Johnson, and B. J. Stinebaugh. 1974. Studies on the pathogenesis of type I (distal) renal tubular acidosis as revealed by urine  $\text{PCO}_2$  tensions. *J. Clin. Invest.* **53**: 669-677.
10. Gougoux, A., P. Vinay, G. Lemieux, R. Richardson, S. Cheung Tam, M. B. Goldstein, B. Stinebaugh, and M. C. Halperin. 1980. Effect of blood pH on distal nephron hydrogen ion secretion. *Kidney Int.* **17**: 615-621.
11. Julka, N. K., J. A. Arruda, and N. A. Kurtzman. 1979. The mechanism of amphotericin-induced distal acidification defect in rats. *Clin. Sci.* **56**: 555-562.
12. Ochswadt, B. K., and R. F. Pitts. 1956. Effect of intravenous infusion of carbonic anhydrase on carbon dioxide tension of alkaline urine. *Am. J. Physiol.* **185**: 426-429.
13. Kennedy, T. J., Jr., J. Orloff, and R. W. Berliner. 1952. Significance of carbon dioxide tension in urine. *Am. J. Physiol.* **169**: 596-608.
14. Pak Poy, R. K., and O. Wrong. 1960. The urinary  $\text{PCO}_2$  in renal disease. *Clin. Sci.* **19**: 631-639.
15. Uhlich, E., C. A. Baldamus, and K. J. Ullrich. 1968. Verhalten von  $\text{CO}_2$ -Druck und Bicarbonat im Gegenstromsystem des Nierenmarks. *Pflugers Arch. Eur. J. Physiol.* **303**: 31-48.
16. DuBose, T. D., Jr., L. R. Pucacco, D. W. Seldin, N. W. Carter, and J. P. Kokko. 1978. Direct determination of  $\text{PCO}_2$  in the rat renal cortex. *J. Clin. Invest.* **62**: 338-348.
17. Lucci, M. S., L. R. Pucacco, N. W. Carter, and T. D. DuBose, Jr. 1979. Direct determination of  $\text{CO}_2$  permeability of the rat proximal tubule in vivo. *Clin. Res.* **27**: 765. (Abst.)
18. DuBose, T. D., Jr., L. R. Pucacco, and N. W. Carter. 1981. Determination of disequilibrium pH in the rat kidney in vivo: evidence for hydrogen secretion. *Am. J. Physiol.* **240** (Renal, Fluid, Electrolyte Physiol. **9**): F138-F146.
19. Rector, F. C., Jr., N. W. Carter, and D. W. Seldin. 1965. The mechanism of bicarbonate reabsorption in the proximal and distal tubules of the kidney. *J. Clin. Invest.* **44**: 278-290.
20. Higashihara, E., T. D. DuBose, Jr., and J. P. Kokko. 1978. Direct examination of chloride transport across papillary collecting duct of the rat. *Am. J. Physiol.* **235** (Renal, Fluid, Electrolyte Physiol. **4**): F219-F226.
21. DuBose, T. D., Jr., L. R. Pucacco, M. Lucci, and N. W. Carter. 1979. Micropuncture determination of pH,  $\text{PCO}_2$ , and total  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration in accessible structures of the rat renal cortex. *J. Clin. Invest.* **64**: 476-482.

22. Maren, Thomas, H. 1960. A simplified micromethod for the determination of carbonic anhydrase and its inhibitors. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **130**: 26-29.
23. Hastings, A. B., and J. Sendroy. 1925. The effect of variation in ionic strength on the apparent first and second dissociation constants of carbonic acid. *J. Biol. Chem.* **65**: 445-455.
24. Reid, E. L., and A. G. Hills. 1965. Diffusion of carbon dioxide out of the distal nephron in man during anti-diuresis. *Clin. Sci.* **28**: 15-28, 1965.
25. Berliner, R. W. 1957. Some aspects of ion exchange in electrolyte transport by the renal tubules. In *Metabolic Aspects of Transport across Cell Membranes*. Q. R. Murphy, editor. University of Wisconsin Press, Madison 203-220.
26. Graber, M. C., C. R. Caffisch, H. H. Bengel, E. A. Alexander, 1980. Elevated urinary  $\text{PCO}_2$ —An intrarenal event. Abstracts of the Am. Soc. Nephrol. 13th Annual Meeting. 135A. (Abstr.)
27. Graber, M. C., C. R. Caffisch, H. H. Bengel, J. H. Schwartz, and E. A. Alexander. 1980. pH profile along the inner medullary collecting duct of the rat. *Clin. Res.* **28**: 446. (Abstr.)
28. Garg, L. C., and T. H. Maren. 1972. The rate of hydration of carbon dioxide and dehydration of carbonic acid at 37°. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* **261**: 70-76.
29. Guignard, J. P. 1966. Mechanisme de la reabsorption renale des bicarbonates. *Helv. Physiol. Acta.* **24**: 193-226.
30. Stinebaugh, B. J., E. Ghafary, M. B. Goldstein, M. C. Halperin, F. X. Schloeder, and W. N. Suki. 1978. Dis-equilibrium pH and bicarbonate reabsorption. *Nephron.* **20**: 141-146.
31. Warnock, D. G., and F. C. Rector, Jr. 1981. Renal acidification mechanisms. In *The Kidney*. B. Brenner, and F. C. Rector, Jr., editors. W. B. Saunders Company, Philadelphia. 440-494.
32. McKinney, T. D., and M. B. Burg. 1978. Bicarbonate secretion by rabbit cortical collecting tubules in vitro. *J. Clin. Invest.* **61**: 1421-1427.
33. Malnic, G. M., M. de Mello Aires, and G. Giebisch. 1972. Micropuncture study of renal tubular hydrogen ion transport in the rat. *Am. J. Physiol.* **222**: 147-158.
34. Lombard, W. E., H. R. Jacobson, and J. P. Kokko, 1979. Collecting duct bicarbonate transport: comparison of cortical and medullary segments. *Kidney Int.* **16**: 827A. (Abstr.)
35. Richardson, R. M. A., and R. T. Kunau. 1980. Bicarbonate reabsorption by the rat papillary collecting duct: effect of acetazolamide. Abstracts of the American Society of Nephrology 13th Annual Meeting. 147A. (Abstr.)
36. Rector, F. C., Jr., R. M. Portwood, and D. W. Seldin. 1959. Examination of the mixing hypothesis as an explanation for elevated urinary  $\text{CO}_2$  tensions. *Am. J. Physiol.* **197**: 861-864.
37. Kennedy, T. J., M. Eden, and R. W. Berliner. 1957. Interpretation of urine  $\text{CO}_2$  tension. *Fed. Proc.* **16**: 72.
38. Lucci, M. S., L. R. Pucacco, N. W. Carter, and T. D. DuBose, Jr. 1980. An *in vivo* microperfusion evaluation of bicarbonate transport in the rat distal convoluted tubule. *Clin. Res.* **28**: 454. (Abstr.)