JCI The Journal of Clinical Investigation

Maximal oxygen consumption in patients with lung disease.

K L Wehr, R L Johnson Jr

J Clin Invest. 1976;58(4):880-890. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI108541.

Research Article

A theoretical model for oxygen transport assuming a series linkage of ventilation, diffusion, oxygen uptake by erythrocytes, cardiac output, and oxygen release was used to calculate expected values for maximal oxygen intake (VO2max) of patients with various pulmonary disorders 22 patients with either restrictive or obstructive ventilatory impairment were studied at rest and maximal exercise. When exercise measurements of maximal pulmonary blood flow (QCmax), oxygen capacity, membrane diffusing capacity for CO, pulmonary capillary blood volume, alveolar ventilation, and mixed venous oxygen saturation were employed as input values, predictions of VO2max from the model correlated closely with measured values (r = 0.978). Measured VO2max was 976+/-389 ml/min (45.3+/-13% of predicted normal), and VO2max predicted from the model was 1,111+/-427 ml/min. The discrepancy may in part reflect uneven matching of alveolar ventilation, pulmonary capillary blood flow, and membrane diffusing capacity for CO within the lung; uniform matching is assumed in the model so that mismatching will impair gas exchange beyond our predictions. Although QCmax was less than predicted in most patients (63.6+/-19.6% of predicted) the model suggests that raising QCmax to normal could have raised VO2max only 11.6+/-8.8% in the face of existent impairment of intrapulmonary gas exchange. Since pulmonary functions measured at rest correlated well with exercise parameters needed in the model to predict VO2max we developed a nomogram for predicting [...]



Find the latest version:

https://jci.me/108541/pdf

Maximal Oxygen Consumption in Patients with Lung Disease

KENNETH L. WEHR and ROBERT L. JOHNSON, JR. with the technical assistance of Abraham Prencler

From the Adolph Weinberger Laboratory for Cardiopulmonary Research, Department of Internal Medicine, The University of Texas Southwestern Medical School, Dallas, Texas 75235

ABSTRACT A theoretical model for oxygen transport assuming a series linkage of ventilation, diffusion, oxygen uptake by erythrocytes, cardiac output, and oxygen release was used to calculate expected values for maximal oxygen intake (VO2max) of patients with various pulmonary disorders. 22 patients with either restrictive or obstructive ventilatory impairment were studied at rest and maximal exercise. When exercise measurements of maximal pulmonary blood flow (\dot{Q}_{Cmax}) , oxygen capacity, membrane diffusing capacity for CO, pulmonary capillary blood volume, alveolar ventilation, and mixed venous oxygen saturation were employed as input values, predictions of $\dot{V}o_{2max}$ from the model correlated closely with measured values (r = 0.978). Measured $\dot{V}o_{2max}$ was 976 ± 389 ml/min (45.3 \pm 13% of predicted normal), and $\dot{V}o_{2max}$ predicted from the model was $1,111\pm427$ ml/min. The discrepancy may in part reflect uneven matching of alveolar ventilation, pulmonary capillary blood flow, and membrane diffusing capacity for CO within the lung; uniform matching is assumed in the model so that mismatching will impair gas exchange beyond our predictions.

Although Q_{Cmax} was less than predicted in most patients (63.6±19.6% of predicted) the model suggests that raising \dot{Q}_{Cmax} to normal could have raised Vo_{2max} only 11.6±8.8% in the face of existent impairment of intrapulmonary gas exchange.

Since pulmonary functions measured at rest correlated well with exercise parameters needed in the model to predict $\dot{V}o_{2max}$ we developed a nomogram for predicting $\dot{V}o_{2max}$ from resting CO diffusing capacity, the forced one second expired volume, and the resting ratio of dead space to tidal volume. The correlation coefficient between measured and predicted Vo_{2max} , by using this nomogram, was 0.942.

INTRODUCTION

One of the most distressing aspects of chronic lung disease for the patient is the reduced capacity for exercise. Measurements of pulmonary function are used widely to detect lung disease, to estimate the pattern and severity of functional involvement, and to follow the course of disease and response to treatment; but in spite of sophisticated measurements we still cannot objectively judge whether a given pattern and severity of functional abnormalities can account for a patient's reduction in exercise capacity.

Our purpose has been to examine the utility of the simple hypothesis that impaired lung function limits exercise by imposing a bottleneck in the chain of oxygen transport, thereby restricting the maximal rate of oxygen delivery to exercising muscle. We (1)constructed a mathematical model of oxygen transport as a simple series linkage of ventilation, diffusion, blood flow, and tissue oxygen release, (2) employed this model in patients with a variety of lung disorders to predict a theoretical maximal oxygen intake $(Vo_{2max})^1$ based on measurements of ventilatory capacity, and the ratio of dead space to tidal volume (V_D/V_T) assuming normal maximal cardiac output and tissue oxygen release, and (3) compared the predictions with experimental measurements. Except for the inhomogeneity implied by an increased ratio of V_D/V_T we have assumed homogeneous matching of blood flow, ventilation, and diffusing capacity throughout the lungs. By measuring blood gases and cardiac output at peak exercise in the same patients, it has been possible to

Received for publication 16 June 1975 and in revised form 28 June 1976.

¹ Abbreviations used in this paper: FEV₁, forced 1 s expiratory volume; M, membrane; MVC, maximal ventilatory capacity; P, tension; Pco₂, intracorpuscular O₂ tension; Q_c, pulmonary capillary blood flow; Q_{Cmax}, maximal pulmonary blood flow; Sc'o₂, end-capillary oxygen saturation; TLC, total lung capacity; \dot{V}_{A} , alveolar ventilation; V_c, pulmonary capillary blood volume; \dot{V}_{Emax} , maximal ventilation; Vo_{2max}, maximal oxygen intake.

examine some sources of discrepancy between prediction and measurement. Finally, we have examined the reliability of using resting measurements of lung function in this model as a means of estimating exercise impairement attributable to the lung.

METHODS

Theory. This is an extension of previous theoretical considerations of oxygen transport in normal persons at high altitude (1) and is similar to the approach proposed by Shephard (2). The major links in the chain of oxygen transport from the lungs to the cells are (1) ventilation, (2) diffusion, (3) oxygen uptake by erythrocytes, (4) distribution by the cardiac output, and (5) extraction by the tissues. The mathematical interrelationships that govern the linkage of these steps are summarized as follows: the relationship among alveolar oxygen tension (PAo₂), alveolar ventilation (V_A), and oxygen consumption (Vo₂) may be expressed by the following modification of the alveolar air equation:

$$P_{AO_2} = P_{IO_2} - (863/\dot{V}_A) [1 - F_{IO_2}(1 - R)] \dot{V}_{O_2}$$
 (1)

Equation 1 defines a linear relationship between PA_{0_2} and $\dot{V}o_2$ for any given level of \dot{V}_A and respiratory quotient (R) under steady state conditions and simply states that for fixed levels of \dot{V}_A and R the oxygen consumption can be increased only at the expense of a falling alveolar oxygen tension.

The time interval (t) required for the oxygen saturation (So_2) of blood passing through the lung capillaries to rise between that in mixed venous and end-capillary blood can be estimated as follows (3, 4):

$$t = (\operatorname{cap}) \int_{S_{\overline{VO}_2}}^{S_{C'O_2}} \{ [(V_C/DM_{O_2}) + (1/\theta O_2)] \\ \times [dScO_2/(PA_{O_2} - PCO_2)] \}$$
(2)

where t = time interval in minutes; cap = oxygen capacity of blood in ml O₂ per 100 ml of blood; ScO₂ = fractional oxygen saturation of capillary blood in the lung; SvO₂ = fractional mixed venous blood oxygen saturation; Sc'O₂ = fractional end-capillary blood oxygen saturation in the lung; V_c = pulmonary capillary blood volume in milliliters; DMO₂ = membrane diffusing capacity for oxygen in milliliters/ minute per mm Hg O₂ tension difference across the alveolar capillary membrane; θ O₂ = specific rate of O₂ uptake by erythrocytes in capillary blood in ml O₂ uptake per minute per milliliter of blood per mm Hg O₂ tension difference between plasma and the intracorpuscular hemoglobin; PAO₂ - PcO₂ = difference between alveolar and intra-corpuscular O₂ tension in mm Hg.

V_c, pulmonary capillary blood flow (\dot{Q}_c) , and transit time (t) are related as follows:

$$\dot{\mathbf{Q}}_{\mathbf{C}} = (\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{C}})/t \tag{3}$$

The Fick equation states that

$$\dot{V}o_2 = \dot{Q}_C(Sc'o_2 - S\bar{v}o_2)(cap)/100$$
 (4)

Combining equations 3 and 4

$$\dot{V}o_2 = V_C(Sc'o_2 - S\bar{v}o_2)(cap)/100t$$
 (5)

The mixed venous oxygen saturation in equation 5 is determined in part by how efficiently the cardiac output is distributed with respect to regional oxygen consumption and in part by regional capillary densities which regulate the end-capillary oxygen tension that can be sustained at a given oxygen consumption. At peak exercise normal persons can release to the tissues 70-80% of the oxygen that is delivered

 TABLE I

 Parameters Employed in the Model to Predict $\dot{V}O_{2max}$

	Exercise parameters	Approximations employed in the nomogram
(1)	Q _{Cmax}	Normal predicted Vo _{2max} /0.15
(2)	\dot{V}_{Emax}	$FEV_1 \times 35$
(3)	V_D/V_T	Resting V_D/V_T
(4)	$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Membrane diffusing capacity} \\ \mbox{for } O_2(1.2 \times D \mbox{m}_{CO}) \end{array}$	Resting diffusing capacity for CO(DL _{CO} \times 2.16)
(5)	Vc	Resting $DL_{CO} \times 4.95$
(6)	S⊽o₂	Pulmonary end capillary O ₂ saturation (Sc'O ₂) $\times 0.25$
(7)	O2 cap	Resting O ₂ capacity
(8)	R	1.0
(9)	рНа	7.40

and mixed venous oxygen tension falls to between 10 and 20 mm Hg. Combining equations 2 and 5 and assuming that $DM_{0_{2}} = 1.2 DM_{CO}$, we obtain:

$$\dot{\mathrm{Vo}}_{2} = \frac{\mathrm{V_{C}(Sc'o_{2} - S\bar{v}o_{2})}}{\int_{S\bar{v}o_{2}}^{Sc'o_{2}} \left(\frac{\mathrm{V_{C}}}{1.2\mathrm{D}\mathrm{M}\mathrm{co}} + \frac{1}{\theta_{02}}\right) \frac{\mathrm{dSo}_{2}}{(\mathrm{PA}_{02} - \mathrm{Pco}_{2})}$$

A digital computer was employed to solve equations 1, 4, and 6 simultaneously for the matrix of input values given in Table I.

Initially the measured exercise values of each input parameter listed in the left hand column of Table I were employed in the model. Later approximations to these input parameters (right hand column of Table I) were employed to derive a nomogram for predicting $\dot{V}o_{2max}$ from resting lung function.

Experiments. Table II lists the physical characteristics and diagnoses of the study patients. The 22 patients included 9 women and 13 men. One (J. J.) was studied twice over 9 yr, making a total of 23 studies. Six of the patients had chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases, eight had sarcoidosis of varying severity, three had interstitial fibrosis of unknown etiology, three had extensive pulmonary resections for tuberculosis, one had advanced inactive tuberculosis with diffuse interstitial fibrosis, and one had a unilateral hyperlucent lung. The average was 39.3 yr with a range of 18-69 yr. Only one patient (E. T.) had evidence of primary heart disease (severe coronary artery atherosclerosis without occlusion or infarction) proven later at postmortem (5).

Each patient underwent an exercise training program of at least 3 wk duration or until a reproducible maximal work load was achieved. Some results in eight of these patients (footnoted in Table II) have been reported in earlier studies from this laboratory (4, 6). Training and exercise during the studies were performed either on a motor-driven treadmill or on a Monark bicycle ergometer (Monark-Crescent AB, Varberg, Sweden) with patients breathing room air ($PIo_2 \cong 150$ mm Hg) or 100% oxygen from a reservoir bag. The maximal oxygen consumption was defined as the oxygen uptake during the last minute of the heaviest work load that could be sustained for 3.5 min on the treadmill or for 5 min on the bicycle ergometer (7). Expired gas was collected in a

 TABLE II

 Physical Characteristics and Diagnoses of Study Patients

Patient	Race	Sex	Age	Height	Weight	TLC	FVC	FEV ₁	Pmax	Diagnosis
			yr	сm	kg	liter	liter	liter	cm H ₂ O	
Obstructive dise	ease									
I. M.	С	Μ	51	173	47.2	6.60	2.15	0.65	18	COPD
W. B.	С	Μ	60	182	69.0	6.52	1.49	0.63	4	COPD
R. E.	С	Μ	46	169	61.0	9.53	2.96	0.94	6	COPD
W. T.	С	Μ	50	183	92.1	8.04	4.72	1.13	14	COPD
J. N.	С	Μ	58	178	72.3	6.70	3.47	1.14		COPD
J. S.	С	Μ	42	173	63.7	6.22	2.55	0.92	18	COPD
Mean			51	176	76.6	7.27	2.89	0.90		
SE			7	6	14.8	1.28	1.12	0.22		
Restrictive dise	ase									
J. J1960*	Ν	F	32	150	41.0	3.62	2.02	1.52		Sarcoidosis
J. J1969			41	150	37.2	4.50	2.23	1.28		Sarcoidosis
CMcD*	Ν	Μ	18	175	59.1	4.41	3.22	2.90		Sarcoidosis
J. A.*	Ν	Μ	18	180	61.0	3.55	2.65	2.20		Sarcoidosis
R. J.	Ν	F	35	173	63.7	3.92	2.30	1.09		Sarcoidosis
W. J.	N	F	32	183	86.4	3.36	2.10	1.72		Sarcoidosis
H. C.	Ν	Μ	24	182	57.8	3.25	1.99	1.49		Sarcoidosis
R. P.	Ν	F	24	164	50.1	2.24	1.50	1.02		Sarcoidosis
G. J.	Ν	F	23	164	65.8	3.84	2.89	2.03		Sarcoidosis
A. D.	Ν	F	32	165	61.4	2.77	2.21	1.47		Tuberculosis
E. T.*	С	Μ	69	173	84.0	3.79	2.62	1.88		Int fibrosis
W. E.	С	Μ	54	163	80.0	3.94	2.61	1.99		Int fibrosis
P. B.*	С	Μ	44	170	59.1		2.95	2.21		Int fibrosis
R. H.‡	С	F	47	153	59.5	1.94	1.31	0.93		Lung resection
M. G.‡	LA	F	41	150	43.2	2.76	1.92	0.97		Lung resection
R. W.‡	Ν	F	29	163	54.5	2.77	1.90	1.53		Lung resection
J. W.	С	Μ	18	168	59.4	3.97	2.68	1.83		Unil hyp lung
Mean			34	166	60.2	3.51	2.30	1.65		
SE			14	11	13.7	0.70	0.51	0.53		

Abbreviations: TLC, total lung capacity; FVC, forced vital capacity, FEV_1 , forced 1 s expired volume; P_{max} , recoil pressure of the lungs at full inspiration; COPD, chronic obstructive lung disease; Unil hyp lung, unilateral hyperlucent lung. * From reference 4.

‡ From reference 6.

150-liter Douglas bag utilizing a low-resistance modified Otis-McKerrow valve (no. P-339). The gases were analyzed with a Beckman model E-2 oxygen analyzer and a Beckman model LB-1 medical carbon dioxide gas analyzer. (Beckman Instruments Inc., Fullerton, Calif.) Both analyzers were calibrated with gas standards analyzed by the Scholander technique (8). Gas volumes were measured with a Tissot gasometer. An arterial sample was obtained near the midpoint of the last minute of exercise. A calibrated Beckman model 160 blood gas analyzer was utilized to determine arterial blood pH, PO2, and PCO2. Oxygen saturations were determined with an American Optical reflection oximeter in some patients; in others oxygen saturations were calculated from oxygen capacities and contents measured by Van Slyke analysis. Cardiac outputs were performed in some patients at rest and exercise with indocyanine green dye by the dyedilution technique during the last $\frac{1}{2}$ min of the expired gas collection. Spirometry was performed with a Stead-Wells spirometer. The total lung capacity and subdivisions of the lung volume were determined from the spirometry and the functional residual capacity determined by the volume plethysmograph method of DuBois et al. (9). The diffusing capacity of the lung and pulmonary capillary blood flow were determined at rest by a single-breath method described

882 K. L. Wehr and R. L. Johnson, Jr.

in detail elsewhere (4, 10). At peak exercise DL_{CO} and Q_C were measured either by the breath-holding method or by a modification of the rebreathing method described by Lawson (11). Alveolar volume during breath-holding was estimated by single breath neon dilution. Measurements of DL_{CO} were repeated at low and high oxygen tensions so that membrane diffusing capacity (DM_{CO}) and V_C could be estimated (12).

RESULTS

Lung volumes at rest and measurements of diffusing capacity, pulmonary capillary blood volume, flow, and heart rate at rest and peak exercise are listed for each patient in Table III. Ventilation, blood gases, pH, and gas exchange measurements at rest and exercise are given in Table IV.

The patients have been separated into two groups, one including those having lung disorders associated with predominantly obstructive ventilatory defects, and the other those having disorders associated with predominantly restrictive defects and loss of diffusing capacity. Both groups showed similar reductions in

	ţ.															17 - 11		DI	8	Â	8	V	
Patient	Rest E	xer Vos	icted -	est 1	Exer	Rest	Exer	Rest	Exer	Rest	Exer	Rest	Exer F	test E	xer x	vu/vi test	Exel	kest Acas	Exer Meas	Rest Meas	Exercise Meas	Rest H Meas	Cxercise Meas
	ml/mi	1/1m #	min			liter /	min	liter /	nin	beats/1	min	liter/n	nin				1 ^m	/(minX	mm Hg) 1	ml/(min)	Xmm Hg)	m	
Obstructive dis	2886																						
I. M.	230	910 1.5	562	0.75	0.96	4.29	8.061			80	140	6.7	23.9	15	33	0.52	0.53	19.2	29.6 ‡	35	301	53	94 1
W. B.	262	755 2,1	167	0.87	0.91	5.27	8.261			80	145	8.9	24.9	13	34	0.52	0.60	8.4	11.21	23	191	16	171
R. E.	246	902 2,3	391	0.84	0.84	7.73	10.8	4.34	7.79	82	150	8.3	20.2	20	26	0.45	0.31	17.6	36.01	25	36	88	122
W. T.	207 1.	450 3,0	349	0.96	0.91	5.7	10.4	4.65	12.50	100	156	6.8	31.9	14	22	0.41	0.49	31.1	32.3‡	49	40z	120	141
J. N.	317 1,	322 2.5	393	0.69	0.79	8.24				114	128	13.6	45.1	13	31	0.59		30.3		65		81	
J. S.	280	947 2,4	197	0.81	0.98	5.5					144	12.7	30.3	21	33	0.63	0.57	18.9		36		56	
Mean	257 1.	048 2,3	343	0.82	0.90	6.46	9.38	4.50	10.15	91	144	9.5	29.4	16	30	0.52	0.50	20.9	27.3	39	31	69.0	93.7
	±39 ±	273 ±4	483 ±	F 60.0	E0.07	±2.08	±1.42			±15	±10	±3.0	±8.8	1	± ₩	P.08 ∃	=0.11	±8.6 :	±11.0	±16	±10	±35.7	±54.6
Restrictive dise	ase																						
J. J1960	161	418 1,5	529	0.74	1.02	3.0	2.8			76	140	8.2	40.9	14	42	0.61	0.69	4.0	5.5	6.8	6.0	14	16
J. J1969	186	383 1,2	602	0.73	1.16	2.0	3.9‡	3.7	4.3	86	138	7.6	49.8	15	41	0.57	0.78	3.1	4.8‡	4.0	5.3‡	20	14‡
C. McD.	225 2,	247 3.0	385	0.82	1.00	7.07	19.8					7.4	118.9			0.46		22.5	46.6	40	47	73	165
J. A.	306 1,	960 3,1	184 (0.85	1.09	8.67	16.8			92	192	11.5	86.2	16	57	0.49	0.45	19.7	31.6	31	32	11	100
R. J.	291 1,	080 2,3	376	0.70	1.03	6.7	13.1‡	7.1	11.0	120	178	11.3	47.1	21	60	0.57	0.57	13.5	22.6‡	21	23‡	59	171
W. J.	323 1,	020 3,2	223	0.80	0.92	7.4	13.0‡	5.6	10.2	78	156	15.2	42.8	23	51	0.58	0.46	11.4	18.0	17	48‡	48	67‡
н. с.	274	740 3,C)17 (0.92	1.28	5.7	8.4‡	3.6	9.1	92	179	13.1	51.6	29	74	0.54	0.56	11.0	14.9‡	15	16‡	50	32‡
R. P.	220	966 1,5	666	0.80	0.86	4.7				110	170	11.5	34.7	25	57	0.60	0.41	11.6		20		40	
G. J.	308 1,	680 2,6	525	1.06	0.98	6.9						13.9	43.5	22	61	0.19		19.4		40	32	60	93
A. D.	233	977 2,2	500	0.79	1.05	5.4							81.5	25	45	0.57	0.49	10.3		16		40	
н. Т.	240	860 2,6	538	0.85	1.02	3.93	6.30			72	137	9.1	44.3	20	38	0.54	0.52	10.0	14.9	15	15	45	45
W.E.	299 1,	071 2.6	548	0.82	1.02	5.9						13.8	50.0	19		0.63	0.59	1.4		9.6		45	
Р. В.	256	956 2,3	317	0.85	0.93	5.7	7.75				133	12.2	55.0			0.47	0.52	7.8	8.9	10.6	13	42	29
R. H.	225	801 1,5	934	0.74	0.90	3.29	7.84	3.12	7.18	72	124	8.1	32.2	23	30	0.49	0.35	5.9	10.5	12	11	17	34
М. G.	209	794 1,4	404	0.92	1.05	4.22	9.39			84	127	7.3	33.7	19	37	0.45	0.56	9.4	14.9	14	28	39	46
B. W.	197 1,	246 2,1	175	0.80	1.21	4.40	10.75			116	170	8.0	59.5	28	44	0.26	0.09	15.1	18.6	25	31	54	67
J. W.	281 1,	346 3,1	101	0.74	0.99	7.37	14.1				174	11.6	78.6	21		0.53	0.58	16.6	27.7	23	28	85	125
Mean	245 1.	091 2.5	397	0.81	1.03	5.43	10.30	4.62	8.36	91	154	10.5	55.9	21	49	0.50	0.51	11.7	18.4	17	22	47	65
	±47 ±	.493 ±(633 ±	90.0	E0.11	±1.81	±4.94	±1.68	±2.68	土17	±23	±2.5	±23.0	∓2 T	=12 +	0.12 =	=0.16	±5.5 ::	±11.6	10	±12	±20	±44
* Measured by	the rebrea	thing me	Pthod (1	0																			
‡ Predicted fron	n the data	a of Astre	and (12)																				

TABLE III

TABLE IV Blood Gases at Rest and Exercise

	O2 (Сар	pI	Ha	Pa	CO2	РА	102	Breath Pa	ing ai .02	r Sa	102	S	c'02*	S⊽	o ₂ ‡	Pī	02	Bre Pac	athing CO2	3 100% Pao2	% O2 – Pao
Patient	Rest	Exer	Rest	Exer	Rest	Exer	Rest	Exer	Rest	Exer	Rest	Exer	Rest	Exer	Rest	Exer	Rest	Exer	Rest	Exer	Rest	Exer
	ml/1	00 ml			mm	Hg	mm	Hg	mm	Hg		76		%	ć	76	mm	Hg	mm	Hg	mı	n Hg
Obstructive d	isease																					
I. M.	19.9		7.45	7.21	46	67	99	78	49	52	87.0	77.0	89.2	77.7	57.3	19,3	29	16	53	78	147	46
W. B.	21.6		7.41	7.31	46	60	96	82	58	50	90.0	81.0	91.2	83.3	65.1	36.0	35	23	56	81	93	163
R. E.	17.8		7.43	7.31	39	47	102	93	76	64	94.7	89.3	94.7	89.5	72.0	41.7	39	26	47	66	0	14
W. T.	23.2		7.44	7.20	43	70	102	70	48	57	85.0	81.0	85.7	82.2	60.7	19.5	31	16	54	76	59	89
J. N.	17.0		7.43		37		108		73		95.0		95.9						42		63	
J. S.	18.0		7.38	7.23	42	62	97	84	60	58	87.0	77.0	91.6	79.8 II					46	67	276	157
Mean	19.6		7.42	7.25	42	61	101	81	61	56	89.8	81.1	91.4	82.5	63.8	29.1	34	20	50	74	107	94
SD	2.4		0.03	0.05	4	9	4	8	12	6	4.2	5.0	3.7	4.5	6.4	11.5	4	5	5	7	81	66
Restrictive di	sease																					
J. J.–1960	20.0	20.8	7.45	7.48	32	29	108	118	74	47	88.7	74.7	91.0	77.1	59.6	0.8	30.6	1	32	33	139	157
J. J1969	17.0	17.4	7.47	7.43	36	35	104	116	46	34	81.4	63.6	83.1	71.9	50.7	1.5	25.8	2	34	42	97	441**
C. M. cD	18.3		7.46		40		102		75		97.3		97.4						41		30	
J. A.	20.0	20.7	7.52	7.49	38	39	105	112	61	65	94.0	91.5	94.7	92.6	74.9	33.9	37.7	18	37	44	54	73
R. J.	17.1	17.8	7.44	7.32	36	47	100	102	65	51	90.8	81.3	92.0	82.8	67.2	37.7	35.3	24	39	48	64	88
W. J.	16.5	17.2	7.42	7.37	35	35	95	110	75	45	93.7	80.4	95.3	82.6	63.1	29.7	33.5	19	31	39	90	130
н. с.	18.9	19.0	7.40	7.38	36	36	110	115	73	61	91.8	89.2	93.3	90.3	62.3	40.8	33.8	24	34	40	93	71
R. P.	17.9		7.36	7.38	33	35	99	105	83	68	97.5	92.1	98.4	93.7					35	43	97	104
G. J.	18.9		7.57		25		123		94		93.2		95.0						23		84	
A. D.	14.8	16.0	7.40	7.27	32	38	108	111	77	49	91.6	68.0	93.6	69.9¶					30		93	
Е. Т.	20.0	21.2	7.44	7.47	39	37	101	111	69	49	88.3	73.8	89.3	74.9	56.6	2.6	29.4	2	43	49	58	65
W. E.	20.6	20.3	7.39	7.36	43	46	100	104	72	45	93.8	73.3	95.5	75.3¶					53		128	
P. B.	19.3	19.8	7.52	7.48	29	28	114	117	66	48	95.0	88.0	95.0	88.6	71.4	24.6	35.2	15	31	32	1	45
R. H.	20.3	21.3	7.49	7.48	35	37	97	103	80	55	95.8	84.0	96.0	85.9	61.4	34.0	30.3	19	38	42	14	125
M. G.	17.5	17.5	7.42	7.29	42	48	96	102	90	75	95.8	91.2	96.5	92.7	66.4	41.1	35.5	26	43	58	27	77
B. W.	17.3	17.6	7.50	7.41	23	24	117	124	89	72	94.5	94.4	96.4	95.5	66.2	26.9	32.6	17	24	26	101	74
J. W.	16.8	16.8	7.42	7.36	33	35	108	110	100	69	96.8	92.2	98.2	93.9	71.7	33.0	39.2	21	36	38	90	102
Mean	18.4	19.0	7.45	7.40	35	37	105	111	76	56	92.9	82.5	94.2	84.5			33	16	36	41	74	119
SD	1.4	1.7	0.05	0.07	5	7	8	7	13	12	4.0	9.8	3.8	8.8			4	9	7	8	39	101

* Calculated from the measured Saol on air and the $(PAO_2 - PaO_2)$ measured breathing 100% oxygen by

 $Sc'o_2 = Sao_2 + \left[\frac{0.0031((PAO_2 - PaO_2)_{on 100\%} O_2 - Pc'O_2)}{0.000}\right] 1$

O2 cap

 2^{-1} Calculated from the Sc'02, \dot{V}_{02} , and $\dot{Q}_{.}$ by Sv02 = Sc'02 - (100/O2 cap) × (\dot{V}_{02}/\dot{Q}_{C}) + 0.0031 (Pc'02 - Pv02).

S Calculated from Svo2 and pHy, pHy is calculated from the mixed venous CO2 content (estimated from the Fick equation) and the whole blood buffer base.

|| Calculated assuming that the exercise O2 is cap the same as that at rest.

¶ Calculated assuming that the measured (PAO₂ - PaO₂) breathing oxygen at rest applies to exercise also.

** This large gradient was due predominantly to an intracardiac shunt caused by opening of a patent foramen ovale.

 Vo_{2max} , the average being 44.3% of that predicted for normal subjects of similar sex, age, and weight (13). Both groups showed similar drops in arterial oxygen saturation from rest to exercise. However, those with obstructive defects developed CO₂ retention at peak exercise associated with a fall in alveolar oxygen tension and those with predominantly restrictive disease generally had normal or low arterial CO₂ tensions during exercise with a normal or high alveolar oxygen tension.

The average 1-s forced expiratory volume (FEV_{1.0}) was reduced to 24% of normal in patients with obstructive disease and to 50% of normal in patients with predominantly restrictive disease; the $FEV_{1.0}$ was closely related to the maximal ventilation achieved at peak exercise in both groups of patients $[V_E (liter/minute)]$ = 35.5 FEV_{1.0} (liters) - 2.7, r = 0.871)]. Burrows et al. found the maximal ventilatory capacity (MVC) approximated by $38 \times \text{FEV}_{1.0}$ in patients with chronic

obstructive lung disease (14). Miller et al. (15) found 41 \times FEV_{1.0} to give a good approximation of the MVC in normal subjects and patients. Both of the latter methods for estimating MVC from the FEV1 yield values that on average are larger than the maximal ventilations we observed at peak exercise; however, other investigators indicate that the maximal ventilation that can be sustained for 4-5 min is only 80-85%of that which can be sustained for a 15-s MVC (16). Hence, patients were probably functioning at or near their maximal capacity for sustained ventilation. The fraction of ventilation effectively participating in gas exchange (i.e., $1 - V_D/V_T$) was abnormally low at rest and was not significantly changed by exercise. Membrane diffusing capacity at rest was on average 49% of normal in the patients with predominantly obstructive disease and 30% of normal in those with predominantly restrictive disease. Membrane diffusing capacity changed little from rest to peak exercise.

The relationship of pulmonary blood flow to oxygen consumption was normal or slightly high in most patients (Fig. 1) but the maximal blood flow achieved was significantly reduced to an average of 57.9% of that predicted. Average mixed venous oxygen tension and mixed venous oxygen saturation at peak exercise were 16.8 mm Hg and 26.4%, respectively; an average of 69.4% of the delivered oxygen was extracted in tissues. These values are not significantly different from those previously observed in our laboratory in normal untrained subjects at peak exercise (18, 19).

15 of the patients had complete studies at rest and exercise allowing application of the mathematical model to the prediction of $\dot{V}o_{2max}$, $Paco_2$, PAO_2 , and $Sc'o_2$ based entirely on exercise measurements; there were a total of 16 studies on these patients. In the remaining seven patients measurements during maximal exercise were incomplete.

Figs. 2 and 3 summarize the results obtained in the 16 complete studies comparing predictions from the mathematical model for VO2max, PacO2, PAO2 and Sc'02 at peak exercise with the measured values. Employing the input parameters (Table I) measured at peak exercise for making our predictions (prediction no. 1 in Fig. 2), highly significant correlations were obtained between measurements and predictions of Vo_{2max}, Paco₂, PAO₂ and Sc'O₂. The model could account for most of the observed reduction in VO2max as well as for most of the variance among subjects (r = 0.978). To examine how critical each step in the oxygen transport chain was in reducing Vo_{2max} and in explaining the variances in PAO2, PacO2, and Sc'O2 at peak exercise, we repeated the predictions in each patient by successively employing normal input parameters in the model for maximal pulmonary blood flow (\dot{Q}_{Cmax}) ,



FIGURE 1 Relationship between cardiac output (\dot{Q}) and oxygen consumption at rest and exercise in the patients compared with the normal range (±2SD) for upright subjects (17).



FIGURE 2 Average measurements at peak exercise for $\dot{V}O_{2max}$, Sc'O₂, PacO₂, and PAO₂ compared with corresponding averages predicted from the model by using different input data as defined below. Average measured values are indicated on the vertical axis to the left in each graph. Vertical bars through each mean reflect ± 1 sD. Input data for prediction 1 from the model were measured values at peak exercise of the input parameters listed in the first column of Table I. Normal predicted values for these input parameters were successively substituted in place of the measured ones to yield predictions two through six from the model: Prediction 2, normal predicted \dot{Q}_{Cmax} ; Prediction 3, normal \dot{Q}_{Cmax} and normal oxygen extraction (i.e., $S\overline{v}O_2 - 0.25 Sc'O_2$) Prediction 4, normal \dot{Q}_{Cmax} , O_2 extraction, and $V_D/V_T = 0.25$; Prediction 5, normal \dot{Q}_{Cmax} , O₂ extraction, V_D/V_T , and \dot{V}_{Emax} ; Prediction 6, normal \dot{Q}_{Cmax} , O₂ extraction, V_D/V_T , \dot{V}_{Emax} , DM_{CO}, and Vc. Normal predicted QCmax was calculated from the Fick equation assuming a normal predicted $\dot{V}o_{2max}$ (13) and a pulmonary arteriovenous oxygen difference at peak exercise of 15 ml oxygen/100 ml of blood.

mixed venous oxygen saturation $(S\bar{v}o_2)$, dead space fraction (V_D/V_T) , maximal ventilation (\dot{V}_{Emax}) , and diffusing capacity $(DM_{CO} \text{ and } V_{C})$ in place of those which were actually measured; averages of the results for each of these successive predictions are summarized in Fig. 2 along with the correlation coefficients between measured and predicted values. The VO2max predicted by the model progressively increases in Fig. 2 from predictions 1 through 6 as input parameters are progressively normalized. Thus, for prediction no. 6 all values of the input parameters used for making the calculations were those normally expected for each patient and the average Vo_{2max} predicted therewith corresponds closely to the average expected normal $\dot{V}o_{2max}$. Scattergrams of the correlations between measured and predicted values by prediction no. 2 assuming a normal maximal cardiac output along with measured exercise values for all other input parameters (Table I) are given in Fig. 3.



FIGURE 3 Comparison of measured (Meas.) values of $\dot{V}o_{2max}$, $Paco_2$, $Paco_2$, and $Sc'o_2$ with those predicted from the model in the 16 patients with complete exercise data. Predictions were made from those parameters measured at peak exercise which are listed in the first column of Table I with the exception that a normal predicted maximal cardiac output was assumed (i.e., same as prediction 2 of Fig. 2).

Predictions by the model may be applied to all 23 studies by using parameters measured at rest if reasonable assumptions are made for exercise levels of V_{Amax} , \dot{Q}_{Cmax} , $S\bar{v}o_2$, and R and if allowances can be made for the changes which occur in DM_{CO} and V_C from rest to exercise. Predictions in Fig. 4 were made assuming $\dot{V}_{Amax} = 35$ FEV₁(1 - V_D/V_T), assuming a normal maximal cardiac output, 75% extraction of the delivered oxygen (i.e., $S\bar{v}o_2 = 0.25$ Sc'o₂), and R of 1.0, a pH of 7.4, resting DM_{CO} , and a ratio of V_C/D_M at peak exercise of 2.75. The correlation coefficient between $\dot{V}o_{2max}$ predicted with these approximations and measured $\dot{V}o_{2max}$ were not significantly different from the correlation coefficient obtained when predictions were made with those input parameters actually measured at exercise.

DISCUSSION

In spite of excellent correlations between theoretical predictions and measurement, there is a systematic discrepancy between reductions in $\dot{V}o_{2max}$ predicted by the model and those actually measured. The average normal $\dot{V}o_{2max}$ based on age and body weight of the 15 patients who were completely studied was $2,330\pm672$ ml/min (1 SD); average measured $\dot{V}o_{2max}$ was 976 ± 389 ml/min, a 59% reduction. Based on

input data derived from actual measurements at peak exercise, the average prediction of $\dot{V}o_{2max}$ from the model was $1,111\pm427$ ml/min (prediction no. 1 in Fig. 2), a 52% reduction. Thus about 12% of the measured reduction (i.e., $(59 - 52)/59 \times 100$) remains unexplained by the model.

One source for error between predictions by the model and actual measurements arises because the model assumes uniform distribution of D_L , \dot{Q}_C , and \dot{V}_A within the lung. Uneven distribution of these functional capacities with respect to each other reduces efficiency of gas transfer and will cause the measured $\dot{V}o_{2max}$ to fall short of that predicted. We employed measured V_D/V_T ratio in the prediction to partially correct for inefficient gas exchange due to functional inhomogeneity.

Another source of systematic error in predictions may arise from potential errors in measurement of DLCO caused by inhomogeneity of diffusing capacity per unit lung volume (DL_{CO}/V_A) (20) and by alveolar sampling that is not representative of the lung as a whole. Systematic sampling errors were probably not large in the patients with predominantly restrictive disease since the breath-holding estimates of alveolar volume (mean $V_A = 3.29 \pm 0.79$) by single breath neon dilution during DL_{CO} measurements closely corresponded to plethysmographic measures of total lung capacity (mean total lung capacity (TLC) $= 3.42 \pm 0.77$; the correlation coefficient between paired estimates of TLC and V_A is 0.855 implying that the samples were generally representative of the lung as a whole. Systematic underestimates of both Q_C and DLCO from sampling errors are more probable in the obstructed patients in whom single-breath estimates of alveolar volume (5.76 ± 1.85) were systematically lower than nitrogen washout and plethysmographic measures of TLC (7.72 ± 1.28) by about 29%; this should cause both Q_C and DL_{CO} to be abnormally low; in these instances the measured DL_{CO} and Q_{C} may only reflect those portions of the diffusing capacity and blood flow which are available for gas exchange (2).

Another source of systematic error might arise from uncertainty in the estimate of DL_{O_2} from measurements of DL_{CO} . Values of θ_{O_2} reported by Staub et al. (3) may be too high (23). If so, our predictions of \dot{V}_{O_2max} would be too high. There also are uncertainties in the value of θ_{CO} employed to calculate DM_{CO} since the relative permeability (λ) of the erythrocyte membrane to the erythrocyte interior for CO and for O₂ remains uncertain. We used a middle value ($\lambda = 2.5$) for calculating θ_{CO} ; variations in λ between ∞ and 1.5 cause a $\pm 12\%$ uncertainty in our average estimate of DM_{CO} .

Most aspects of oxygen transport at exercise, both repiratory and circulatory, have been affected in these patients; hence, a primary source of disability may not be evident. The model, however, provides a theoretical



FIGURE 4 Relationship between maximal oxygen consumption which was measured (Meas.) and maximal oxygen consumption which was predicted from the model for all 22 subjects. Predictions were made assuming a normal maximal cardiac output, 75% extraction of the delivered oxygen, \dot{V}_{Emax} estimated as $35 \times FEV_1$, resting DM_{CO}, resting V_D/V_T, pH_a = 7.40, and R = 1.0.

framework for interpreting the relative importance of different steps in the oxygen transport chain.

Average Q_{Cmax} was 9.5 l/min, average predicted being 15.6 l/min. However normalizing maximal cardiac output in the model only raises the average predicted Vo_{2max} from 1,111 to 1,247±503 ml/min. Furthermore raising the peripheral oxygen extraction from the average of 69% of that delivered to a normal 75% increases the average predicted Vo_{2max} only 76 ml/min more. Assuming that 75% of the oxygen delivered is extracted in the tissues is arbitrary and we could have assumed instead some minimum mixed venous oxygen tension at peak exercise; however allowing mixed venous oxygen tension to vary between 25 and 10 mm Hg only changes the predicted Vo_{2max} between 1,163 and 1,393 ml/min. Thus, over a wide range the assumptions about mixed venous oxygen saturation or Po₂ are not critical and an 80% increase in circulatory transport capacity in these patients could have afforded only about a 20% increase in oxygen consumption whether circulatory transport is increased by raising cardiac output or by more complete extraction of oxygen in tissues. These results suggest that at peak exercise most of the patients were operating near the upper limit of oxygen transport imposed by impaired lung function so that any enhancement of oxygen transport capacity expected from further increments of cardiac output and/or oxygen extraction would be largely offset by more desaturation of arterial blood. This is illustrated in Fig. 2 by the sharp fall in Sc'02 between predictions 1 and 3 in the face of small increments in predicted Vo2max and explains why pre-



FIGURE 5 A nomogram for predicting oxygen consumption, alveolar gas tensions, and oxygen saturation of blood leaving the lung at peak exercise from the normal predicted maximal oxygen consumption and from resting measurements of FEV_1 , DL_{CO} , and V_D/V_T .

dictions by the model in patients with severe lung disease are insensitive to errors in assumed values for maximal cardiac output or fractional oxygen extraction.

The cause for the low maximal cardiac output in these patients is not clear. The average slope of the relationship between pulmonary blood flow and oxygen consumption was normal, though in some patients the pulmonary blood flow tended to be slightly high for the level of oxygen intake (i.e., the intercept of the relationship was shifted). These data are consistent with those of Wade and Bishop indicating that cardiac output remains normal with respect to oxygen consumption in most patients with alveolar capillary block syndrome and patients with emphysema (24). The results also are consistent with those obtained in normal subjects exposed to chronic hypoxia at high altitudes who exhibit a low maximal cardiac output but a normal relationship between cardiac output and oxygen consumption (25, 26). The low maximal cardiac output in our patients or that in normal subjects at high altitude may reflect in part the chronic restriction of activity imposed by impaired gas exchange, mechanical restriction imposed by an increased pulmonary vascular resistance, or premature curtailment of work load by subjective discomfort from the combined influences of increased work of breathing, hypoxia, and acidosis. A fourth possibility is suggested by the work of Liang and Hood (27) showing that peripheral oxygen consumption per se may be the primary drive determining the cardiac output; then if oxygen consumption is curtailed by a primary transport bottleneck in the lungs, a low maximal cardiac output would be an expected consequence. For example, if a fixed linear relationship is assumed between Q_C and $\dot{V}o_2$ in equation 4, \dot{Q}_{Cmax} and $S\bar{v}o_2$ can be eliminated as predicting parameters in the model; the model then predicts a reduction in \dot{Q}_{Cmax} as a consequence of an imposed transport bottleneck in the lungs. But whatever the cause the low maximal cardiac output may be protective of vital organs since further increments of pulmonary blood flow or peripheral oxygen extraction in these circumstances may significantly aggravate arterial hypoxemia yet can achieve little further increase in oxygen delivery to exercising muscle.

The relative importance of uneven distribution of ventilation and perfusion as a source of impaired oxygen transport can be further assessed with the model by examining the sensitivity of predictions to changes in the assumed dead space ventilation. Hence, if in addition to the assumption of a normal Q_{Cmax} and normal peripheral oxygen extraction we also assume an approximately normal ratio of V_D/V_T (0.25) the average Vo_{2max} predicted by the model further increases to $1,507 \pm 576$ ml/min (prediction 4 in Fig. 2) but even then there remain two-thirds of the measured reduction in Vo_{2max} which should have been evident in these patients even if there had been no reduction in maximal cardiac output and there had been approximately uniform matching of ventilation, perfusion, and diffusing capacity in the lung. Furthermore, the correlation between measured and predicted Vo2max remains high (r = 0.965) so that 93% of the variance in $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ is still explained by the model even when normal predicted levels of Q_{Cmax} , S $\bar{v}o_2$, and V_D/V_T are substituted for those which were measured at exercise. Thus, most of the information pertiennt to predicting Vo_{2max} in these patients and pertinent to explaining the variability among patients is available from the absolute reductions in diffusing capacity and ventilatory capacity.

The parameters used for making the above predictions were all obtained at exercise and the computations would be time consuming without a computer. For practical purposes we would like to estimate the limitation imposed by lung disease on maximal oxygen consumption from simple resting measurements of lung function without a computer and would prefer the simple measurement of DL_{CO} rather than measurements of DM_{CO} and V_C for making predictions. Hence, we constructed a nomogram for predicting maximal oxygen consumption employing resting measurements of DL_{CO}, FEV₁, and V_D/V_T (Fig. 5) and tested the application of the nomogram with the present data. The methods of constructing the nomogram and its application are explained in the appendix. Employing this nomogram a correlation coefficient of 0.942 is obtained comparing predicted and measured Vo_{2max} . However the systematic discrepancy which was discussed earlier remains so that average measured $\dot{V}o_{2max}$ is 75% of that predicted from the nomogram. The regression equation relating the measured and nomographic values is

measured Vo_{2max} (milliliter/minute)

= 0.7 (nomographic $\dot{V}o_{2max}$) + 45 ± 1.50 (SEE).

The results suggest that reductions in maximal oxygen consumption can be predicted with reasonable accuracy among patients with a variety of different lung diseases from relatively simple measures of resting lung function. Discrepancies between measured maximal oxygen consumption and that predicted by these methods should suggest inordinate reduction of maximal cardiac output or inordinate degrees of mismatching among blood flow, ventilation, and diffusing capacity within the lung.

APPENDIX

Construction of the nomogram (Fig. 5). The nomogram is based upon equations 1, 4, and 6 in the Methods but some parameters had to be normalized and simplifying assumptions had to be made to make predictions from a single nomogram. This was accomplished as follows: in each of the above equations $\dot{V}o_2$, $\dot{V}A$, and $\dot{Q}c$ were normalized with respect to DMco. Assuming 75% extraction of the delivered oxygen, we substituted 0.75 Sc'o₂ for (Sc'o₂ - S vo_2) in equations 4 and 6. Hence,

$$PA_{O_2} = PI_{O_2} - 863 \frac{(\dot{V}_{O_2}/DM_{CO})}{(\dot{V}_A/DM_{CO})} [1 - FI_{O_2}(1 - R)]$$
(7)

$$\dot{V}O_2/DM_{CO} = (\dot{Q}_C/DM_{CO})(0.75Sc'O_2)(cap)/100$$
 (8)

$$\dot{V}o_{2}/DM_{CO} = \frac{(V_{C}/DM_{CO})0.75Sc'o_{2}}{\int_{S\bar{v}o_{2}}^{Sc'o_{2}} \left(\frac{V_{C}}{1.2DM_{CO}} + \frac{1}{\theta o_{2}}\right)\frac{dSo_{2}}{(PAo_{2} - PCo_{2})}}$$
(9)

Both numerator and denominator of equation 9 contain V_C/DM_{CO} ; since V_C/DM_{CO} tends to be large with respect to $1/\theta_{O_2}$ in the denominator (V_C/DM_{CO} in numerator and denominator tend to cancel) so that predictions from equation 9 are insensitive to errors in the assumed ratio of V_C/DM_{CO} (e.g., changing V_C/DM_{CO} from 2 to 3 causes the average prediction of $\hat{V}_{O_2 max}$ in our patients to change by only 39 ml/min). The average V_C/DM_{CO} at peak exercise in our patients was 2.75 which is close to the average of 2.3 seen in normal subjects. We assumed V_C/DM_{CO} to be 2.75 in constructing the nomogram.

Exercise DM_{CO} correlates closely with resting DL_{CO} (r = 0.954) and $DM_{CO} \simeq 1.80$ DL_{CO} ; hence we substituted 1.8 DL_{CO} for DM_{CO} in equations 7, 8, and 9. $\hat{V}A_{max}$ in equation 7 was approximated by $\hat{V}A_{max} = 35$ FEV₁($1 - V_D/V_T$). Normal \dot{Q}_{Cmax} in equation 8 can be estimated from the normal predicted maximal oxygen consumption employing the Fick equation and assuming a pulmonary arteriovenous oxygen difference of 15 ml/100 ml of blood. With the above modifications, equations 7, 8, and 9 simplify to the following:

$$P_{A_{0_2}} = P_{I_{0_2}} - \left[\frac{24.7}{FEV_1(1 - V_D/V_T)/DL_{CO}} \right] \times \dot{V}_{0_2}/DL_{CO} \quad (10)$$

$$\dot{V}o_2/DL_{CO} = \left[\frac{(cap)}{20} \frac{normal predicted \dot{V}o_{2max}}{DL_{CO}}\right] Sc'o_2$$
 (11)

$$\dot{V}o_2/DL_{CO} = \frac{3.71Sc'o_2}{\int_{0.25Sc'o_2}^{Sc'o_2} \left(2.29 + \frac{1}{\theta o_2}\right) \frac{dSo_2}{(PAo_2 - Pco_2)}}$$
(12)

The nomogram (Fig. 5) represents a graphic solution for these three equations. The curved isopleths radiating from the origin represent the relationships between PA_{O_2} and $\dot{V}O_2/DL_{CO}$ for equations 11 and 12; each isopleth represents a different ratio of

$$\frac{\text{normal predicted Vo}_{2\text{max}}}{\text{measured DL}_{CO}} \times \frac{O_2 \text{ cap}}{20} \text{ in mm Hg}.$$

The straight isopleths represent the linear relationship between $\dot{V}o_2/DL_{CO}$ and PA_{O_2} defined by equation 10; each isopleth represents a different ratio of $\dot{V}A_{max}/DL_{CO}$. The latter isopleths intersect the ordinate at the inspired tracheal $Po_2(PI_{O_2})$; if inspired oxygen tension changes, only the intercept (PI_{O_2}) needs to be changed, i.e., slopes remain fixed. Hence, if isopleths reflecting equation 10 are constructed as a separate transparent plastic overlay the nomogram may be used to predict $\dot{V}o_{2max}$ at inspired oxygen tensions other than 150 mm Hg simply by shifting the overlay to yield the appropriate PI_{O_2} .

The intersection of an isopleth representing equations 11 and 12 with one representing equation 10 yields the expected $\dot{V}O_{2max}/DL_{CO}$, PA_{O_2} , and $PaCO_2$ for corresponding ratios of (predicted normal $\dot{V}O_{2max}$)/(measured DL_{CO}), and $\dot{V}_{Amax}/$ DL_{CO} [i.e., (35 FEV₁/ DL_{CO})(1 - V_D/V_T)]. The saturation isopleths (one for 90% and one for 50%) have been added to facilitate interpretation. If the nomographic solution lies above and to the left of the 90% saturation isopleth, it suggests that the patient will be limited primarily by maximal cardiac output and completeness of tissue oxygen extraction rather than by his lungs. If the solution occurs below and to the right of the 90% saturation isopleth, exercise should be limited by the lung's ability to oxygenate the blood and/or eliminate carbon dioxide.

Patient I. M. exemplifies use of the nomogram. Obtain from Table I that $FEV_1 = 650$ ml, from Table II that resting $V_D/V_T = 0.52$ and resting $D_{LCO} = 19.2$ ml/min/mmHg, and from Table III that O_2 capacity = 19.9. Employing these resting data to predict $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ select the appropriate curvilinear isopleth = $\frac{1,562}{19.2} \times \frac{19.9}{20} = 81$ and then select the appropriate ventilation isopleth = $\frac{650}{19.2} \times (1 - 0.52) = 16$. The intersection at these two isopleths yields $\frac{\dot{V}O_{2max}}{D_{LCO}} = 58$; therefore the normographic prediction of $\dot{V}O_{2max} = 58 \times 19.2$ = 1,114 ml/min.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Miss Patricia Wells and Mrs. Sharon Smith both provided valuable assistance in typing and proofreading the manuscript and Tables.

This work was supported by U. S. Public Health Service SCOR grant no. 32343 from the National Heart and Lung Institute.

Dr. Wehr was supported by a fellowship from U. S. Public Health Service Training grant HL 05182 from the National Heart and Lung Institute.

REFERENCES

- Johnson, R. L., Jr. 1967. Pulmonary diffusion as a limiting factor in exercise stress. *Circ. Res.* 20(Suppl. 1): I-154-I-160.
- Shephard, R. J. 1969. The validity of the oxygen conductance equation. Int. Z. angew. Physiol. 28: 61-75.
- 3. Staub, N. C., J. M. Bishop, and R. E. Forster. 1962. Importance of diffusion and chemical reaction rates in O₂ uptake in the lung. J. Appl. Physiol. 17: 21-27.
- Johnson, R. L., Jr., H. F. Taylor, and A. C. DeGraff, Jr. 1965. Functional significance of a low pulmonary diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide. J. Clin. Invest. 44: 789-800.
- Reedy, T., J. T. Davis, A. C. DeGraff, W. F. Miller, M. Ziff, and B. D. Fallis. 1963. Chronic progressive lung disease of unknown etiology. *Tex. State J. Med.* 59: 43–49.
- DeGraff, A. C., Jr., H. F. Taylor, J. W. Ord, T. H. Chaung, and R. L. Johnson, Jr. 1965. Exercise limitation following extensive pulmonary resection. J. Clin. Invest. 44: 1514-1522.
- Shuey, C. B., Jr., A. K. Pierce, and R. L. Johnson, Jr. 1969. An evaluation of exercise tests in chronic obstructive lung disease. J. Appl. Physiol. 27: 256-261.
- Scholander, P. F. 1947. Analyzer for accurate estimation of respiratory gases in one-half cubic centimeter samples. J. Biol. Chem. 167: 255-250.
- DuBois, A. B., S. Y. Botelho, G. N. Bedell, R. Marshall, and J. H. Comroe, Jr. 1956. A rapid plethysmographic method for measuring thoracid gas volume: a comparison with nitrogen washout method for measuring functional residual capacity in normal subjects. J. Clin. Invest. 35: 322-326.
- Johnson, R. L., Jr., W. S. Spicer, J. M. Bishop, and R. E. Forster. 1960. Pulmonary capillary blood volume, flow and diffusing capacity during exercise. J. Appl. Physiol. 15: 893-902.
- Lawson, W. H., Jr. 1970. Rebreathing measurements of pulmonary diffusing capacity for CO during exercise. J. Appl. Physiol. 29: 896-900.
- Roughton, F. J. W., and R. E. Forster. 1957. Relative importance of diffusion and chemical reaction rates in determining rate of exchange of gases in the human lung, with special reference to true diffusing capacity of pulmonary membrane and volume of blood in the lung capillaries. J. Appl. Physiol. 11: 290-302.

- Åstrand, T. 1960. Aerobic work capacity in men and women with special reference to age. Acta Physiol. Scand. 49(Suppl. 1969): 1-92.
- Burrows, B., A. H. Niden, W. R. Barclay, and J. E. Kasik. 1965. Chronic obstructive lung disease. II. Relationship of clinical and physiologic findings to the severity of airways obstruction. Am. Rev. Respir. Dis. 91: 665-678.
- 15. Miller, W. F., R. L. Johnson, Jr., and N. Wu. 1969. Relationships between maximal breathing capacity and timed expiratory capacities. J. Appl. Physiol. 14: 510-516.
- Lieberman, D. A., J. A. Faulkner, A. B. Craig, Jr., and L. C. Maxwell. 1973. Performance and histochemical composition of guinea pig and human diaphragm. J. Appl. Physiol. 34: 233-237.
- Reeves, J. T., R. F. Grover, S. G. Blount, Jr., and G. F. Filley. 1961. Cardiac output response to standing and treadmill walking. J. Appl. Physiol. 16: 283-288.
- Mitchell, J. H., B. J. Sproule, and C. B. Chapman. 1958. The physiological meaning of the maximal oxygen intake test. J. Clin Invest. 37: 538-547.
- Saltin, B., G. Blomqvist, J. H. Mitchell, R. L. Johnson, Jr., K. Wildenthal, and C. B. Chapman. 1968. Response to exercise after bed rest and after training. A longitudinal study of adaptive changes in oxygen transport and body composition. *Circulation.* 38 (Suppl. VII): VII-1-VII-78.
- Forster, R. E., W. S. Fowler, and D. V. Bates. 1954. Considerations on the uptake of cardon monoxide by the lungs. J. Clin. Invest. 33: 1128-1134.
- Lewis, B. M., T. H. Lin, F. E. Noe, and E. J. Hayford-Welsing. 1959. The measurement of pulmonary diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide by a rebreathing method. J. Clin. Invest. 38: 2073-2086.
- Lewis, B. M., E. J. Hayford-Welsing, A. Furusho, and L. C. Reed, Jr. 1961. Effect of uneven ventilation on pulmonary diffusing capacity. J. Appl. Physiol. 16: 679-683.
- Holland, R. A. B., and R. E. Forster, 1966. The effect of size of red cells on the kinetics of their oxygen uptake. J. Gen. Physiol. 49: 727-742.
- Wade, O. L., and J. M. Bishop. 1962. Cardiac Output and Regional Blood Flow. Philadelphia. F. A. Davis. pp. 149-170.
- Pugh, L. G. C. E. 1964. Cardiac output in muscular exercise at 5800 m (19,000 ft). J. Appl. Physiol. 19: 441-447.
- Saltin, B., R. F. Grover, C. G. Blomqvist, L. H. Hartley, and R. L. Johnson, Jr. 1968. Maximal oxygen uptake and cardiac output after 2 weeks at 4,300 m. J. Appl. Physiol. 25: 400-409.
- Liang, C. S., and W. B. Hood, Jr. 1973. Comparison of cardiac output responses to 2,4-dinitrophenol-induced hypermetabolism and muscular work. J. Clin. Invest. 52: 2283-2292.