JCI The Journal of Clinical Investigation

A morphological basis for intercellular communication between alpha- and beta-cells in the endocrine pancreas.

L Orci, F Malaisse-Lagae, M Ravazzola, D Rouiller, A E Renold, A Perrelet, R Unger

Research Article

By degranulating beta-cells in the islets of Langerhans of the rat with sulfonylurea, it has been possible to distinguish unambiguously alpha-cells from beta-cells in freeze-fracture replicas. In such preparations, we found morphologically typical tight and gap junctions occurring between alpha- and beta-cells. The presence of gap junctions offers indirect evidence that these cells are coupled with one another; coupling may influence the secretory behavior of alpha- and beta-cells maintaining glucose homeostasis within tightly constricted limits.

Find the latest version:

https://jci.me/108154/pdf

A Morphological Basis for Intercellular Communication between α- and β-Cells in the Endocrine Pancreas

L. Orci, F. Malaisse-Lagae, M. Ravazzola, D. Rouiller, A. E. Renold,
A. Perrelet, and R. Unger

*From the Institut d'Histologie et d'Embryologie, Institut de Biochimie Clinique,
Université de Genève, Genève, Suisse, and the Veterans Administration
Hospital, University of Texas, Southwestern Medical School,
Dallas, Texas 75235*

A B S T R A C T   By degranulating β-cells in the islets of
Langerhans of the rat with sulfonylurea, it has been
possible to distinguish unambiguously α-cells from β-
cells in freeze-fracture replicas. In such preparations,
we found morphologically typical tight and gap junc-
tions occurring between α- and β-cells. The presence
of gap junctions offers indirect evidence that these cells
are coupled with one another; coupling may influence
the secretory behavior of α- and β-cells maintaining glu-
cose homeostasis within tightly constricted limits.

INTRODUCTION

As is true for cells in most tissues, cells of the islets of
Langerhans share intercellular junctions. The first
junction recognized in the islet was the desmosome
(1) involved in cellular adhesion (2, 3). Recently, the
use of the freeze-fracture technique allowed us to dem-
onstrate in the islets of several mammalian species, in-
cluding human, the presence of two other types of junc-
tions, the tight and the gap junctions (4–6). These two
have important physiological properties since tight
junctions determine a closure of the intercellular space
(1, 2) while gap junctions allow ions and small mole-
cules to cross from one cell to another (intercellular
coupling) (2, 3, 7–10). However, because of the het-
erogeneity of the islet cell population and the limita-
tions of the freeze-fracture technique, it has always
been difficult to determine exactly which cell types were
sharing tight or gap junctions, except in the normal
rat islet. In the normal islet, β-cells form the near to-
tality of its center, and junctions detected by freeze-
fracture in this region were attributed with great prob-

ability to β-cells (5). Similarly, those junctions situated
at the extreme periphery of the islet were related ten-
tatively to α-cells (5). With a new experimental ap-
proach, we are now able to present morphological evi-
dence for tight and gap junctions occurring also be-
tween α- and β-cells in rat islets.

METHODS

For freeze-etching studies, islets isolated by collagenase
digestion were used (11). Two types of preparations were
examined: (a) islets of control rats and (b) islets of rats
treated for 3 days with a sulfonylurea (glibenclamide; 0.5
mg i.p. twice daily). About 100 isolated islets of each
preparation were collected and centrifuged so as to form
a pellet. The pellet was briefly fixed with phosphate-buf-
fered glutaraldehyde and freeze-fractured (12) in a Bal-
zers BAF 301 freeze-fracture device (Balzers High Vac-
uum Corp., Balzers, Liechtenstein). For thin-section electron
microscopy, two different methods were used: in some
cases, single isolated islets were fixed with phosphate-
buffered glutaraldehyde, postfixed in osmium tetroxide, and
block-stained with uranyl acetate before alcohol dehydra-
tion and Epon embedding. In other cases (tracing experi-
ment), pieces of pancreas were fixed in a glutaraldehyde
solution containing lanthanum hydroxide (13) as the elec-
tron-dense tracer. Lanthanum diffuses in the extracellular
space and is retained in several places so as to appear as
black deposits in thin sections. Freeze-fracture replicas and
thin sections were examined in a Philips EM 300 electron
microscope (Philips Electronic Instruments, Eindhoven,
The Netherlands).

RESULTS

Morphologic evidence suggesting the presence of tight
and gap junctions can be found in conventional thin
sections (Figs. 1 and 2), but it is more easily obtained
in preparations in which the intercellular space con-
tains lanthanum. In such specimens, the intercellular
space appears as a black line of variable width (about
15–20 nm) which is interrupted or narrowed in some

FIGURES 1 and 2   Isolated islets from normal rat, stained en bloc with uranyl acetate. The junction between an α- and a β-cell present in the framed area of Fig. 1 is shown at a higher magnification in Fig. 2. In the encircled area, the outer leaflets of the adjacent cell membranes undergo fusion, resulting in a pentalaminar structure, characteristic of a tight junction. Fig. 1, × 38,000; Fig. 2, × 124,000.

FIGURE 3   Pancreas treated with lanthanum hydroxide, which delineates the intercellular space in black. The area outlined by the rectangle is shown at high magnification in the inset. At places indicated by arrows, the intercellular space between an α- and a β-cell appears considerably narrowed (presumably a gap junction). Fig. 3, × 31,500; inset, × 102,000.

FIGURES 4–6   Islets isolated from sulfonylurea-treated rats.

FIGURE 4   Part of the periphery of an islet showing a poorly granulated β-cell neighboring two well granulated α-cells.

FIGURE 5   Freeze-etch replica of a similar area. The fracture process has split the plasma membrane between two cells tentatively identified as β- and α-cells on the basis of their content in secretory granules.

FIGURE 6   Higher magnification of the framed area in Fig. 5. One can see the linear ridges or fibrils, characteristic of tight junctions (TJ), and the aggregates of particles, characteristic of gap junctions (arrows). Fig. 4, ×13,000; Fig. 5, ×25,000; Fig. 6, ×92,000.

places (Fig. 3). The interruptions of the black line indicate the presence of tight junctions (closure of the intercellular space due to the fusion of the outer leaflets of the adjacent plasma membranes) (2, 3) while narrowing of the intercellular space is compatible with gap junctions (in these junctions, the outer leaflet of the adjacent plasma membranes come very close to one another and determine a narrow slit, or gap, bridged by subunits) (2, 3). When junctions are small, which is the case in the islets (see Figs. 1–3), it is always difficult to distinguish unambiguously between a fusion or a gap, and one has to rely on the freeze-fracture technique. With this technique each of the junctions, no matter how small, shows a specific pattern in the fractured membranes.[1] In contradistinction to thin-sectioning, however, freeze-fracturing (being a replication technique) does not allow one to distinguish between the different cell types of the islet, which are identified mainly by the electron density and the shape of the core of their secretory granules. In order to distinguish α- and β-cells in freeze-fracture replicas, we used one indirect approach, namely degranulation of the β-cells by sulfonylurea treatment (15), which leaves mainly α-cells filled with secretory granules (Fig. 4). Our assumption was that if morphological specializations characterizing tight and gap junctions were found between granulated and degranulated cells, their presence could be considered as indirect, yet reasonably safe evidence for the occurrence of tight and gap junctions between α- and β-cells. Figs. 5 and 6, a freeze-fracture replica from a rat islet treated with sulfonylurea, clearly show that both tight and gap junctions do occur between α- and β-cells.

DISCUSSION

As stated in the Introduction, the terms *tight* and *gap* junctions have not only a morphological meaning but a functional one as well; in the case of the islet cell membrane differentiations, we are of course aware that functional evidence for tight and gap junctions is entirely lacking, since it is only in a very few selected preparations that morphology could be directly related to function (for example, demonstration of closely packed particles *and* recording of electrotonic coupling as in reference 9). However, there seems to be sufficient cross evidence in this field (for review, see references 2 and 3) between a given structure and a given function to allow us to assume that the junctions described morphologically in islet cells do probably represent functional tight and gap junctions. One peculiarity

of these junctions is that they are diminutive forms of the more elaborate ones (for example those found in liver cells) which have provided the basis for a classification. While the role of the small tight junctions in islets is fully open at present, the presence of gap junctions between α- and β-cells justifies the speculation that the coupling of α- and β-cells through gap junctions could account for certain observed phenomena of islet function not readily explained in terms of responses to external stimulation alone. For example mounting physiological evidence suggests that α- and β-cells release precisely titrated quantities of secretory products that have opposed effects and yet maintain extracellular glucose concentration within a tightly constricted range during major changes in glucose turnover (16). The question as how the net secretory output emerging from the total islet mass is so precisely titrated to maintain nutrient homeostasis might, in part, be answered by the existence of intercellular coupling.

REFERENCES

1. Lacy, P. E., and M. H. Greider. 1972. Ultrastructural organization of mammalian pancreatic islets. *Handb. Physiol. Sect. 7.* **I:** 77–89.
2. McNutt, N. S., and R. S. Weinstein. 1973. Membrane ultrastructure at mammalian intercellular junctions. *Prog. Biophys. Mol. Biol.* **26:** 45–101.
3. Staehelin, L. A. 1974. Structure and function of intercellular junctions. *Int. Rev. Cytol.* **39:** 191–283.
4. Orci, L., R. H. Unger, and A. E. Renold. 1973. Structural basis for intercellular communications between cells of the islets of Langerhans. *Experientia (Basel).* **29:** 777. (Abstr.)
5. Orci, L., R. H. Unger, and A. E. Renold. 1973. Structural coupling between pancreatic islet cells. *Experientia (Basel).* **29:** 1015–1018.
6. Orci, L. 1974. A portrait of the pancreatic B-cell. *Diabetologia.* **10:** 163–187.
7. Johnson, R. G., and J. D. Sheridan. 1971. Junctions between cancer cells in culture: ultrastructure and permeability. *Science (Wash. D. C.).* **174:** 717–719.
8. Payton, B. W., M. V. L. Bennett, and G. D. Pappas. 1969. Permeability and structure of junctional membranes at an electrotonic synapse. *Science (Wash. D. C.).* **166:** 1641–1643.
9. Gilula, N. B., O. R. Reeves, and A. Steinbach. 1972. Metabolic coupling, ionic coupling and cell contacts. *Nature (Lond.).* **235:** 262–265.
10. Bennett, M. V. L. 1973. Function of electrotonic junctions in embryonic and adult tissues. *Fed. Proc.* **32:** 65–75.

---

[1] Tight junctions are seen as ridges or fibrils in the cytoplasmic leaflet of the freeze-fractured membrane while gap junctions are seen as aggregates of globular subunits or particles in the same membrane face (14).

11. Lacy, P. E., and M. Kostianovsky. 1967. Method for the isolation of intact islets of Langerhans from the rat pancreas. *Diabetes.* **16:** 35–39.

12. Moor, H., and K. Mühlethaler. 1963. Fine structure in frozen-etched yeast cells. *J. Cell Biol.* **17:** 609–628.

13. Revel, J. P., and M. J. Karnovsky. 1967. Hexagonal array of subunits in intercellular junctions of the mouse heart and liver. *J. Cell Biol.* **33:** C7–C12.

14. Kreutziger, G. O. 1968. Freeze-etching of intercellular junctions of mouse liver. *In* Proceedings of the 26th Meeting of the Electron Microscopy Society of America. C. J. Arceneaux, editor. Claitor's Publishing, Baton Rouge, La. 234–235.

15. Orci, L., W. Stauffacher, D. Beaven, A. E. Lambert, A. E. Renold, and C. Rouiller. 1969. Ultrastructural events associated with the action of tolbutamide and glibenclamide on pancreatic B-cells in vivo and in vitro. *Acta Diabetol. Lat.* **6** (*Suppl. 1*): 271–374.

16. Unger, R. H. 1974. Alpha- and beta-cell interrelationships in health and disease. *Metab. Clin. Exp.* **23:** 581–593.