JCI The Journal of Clinical Investigation

Urea transport in the proximal tubule and the descending limb of Henle

Juha P. Kokko

J Clin Invest. 1972;51(8):1999-2008. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI107006.

Research Article

Urea transport in proximal convoluted tubule (PCT) and descending limb of Henle (DLH) was studied in perfused segments of rabbit nephrons in vitro.

Active transport of urea was ruled out in a series of experiments in which net transport of fluid was zero. Under these conditions the collected urea concentration neither increased nor decreased when compared to the mean urea concentration in the perfusion fluid and the bath.

Permeability coefficient for urea (P_{urea}) was calculated from the disappearance of urea¹⁴C added to perfusion fluid. Measurements were obtained under conditions of zero net fluid movement: DLH was perfused with isosmolal ultrafiltrate (UF) of the same rabbit serum as the bath, while PCT was perfused with equilibrium solution (UF diluted with raffinose solution for fluid [Na] = 127 mEq/liter). Under these conditions P_{urea} per unit length was $3.3\pm0.4 \times 10^7$ cm²/sec ($5.3\pm0.6 \times 10^{-5}$ cm/sec assuming I.D. = 20µ) in PCT and $0.93\pm0.4 \times 10^7$ cm²/sec ($1.5\pm0.5 \times 10^{-5}$ cm/sec) in DLH. When compared to previously published results, these values show that the PCT is 2.5 times less permeable to urea than to Na, while the DLH is as impermeable to urea as to Na. These results further indicate that the DLH is less permeable to both Na and urea than the PCT.

The reflection coefficient for urea, $\sigma_{\!urea},$ was calculated as $[\ldots]$



Find the latest version:

https://jci.me/107006/pdf

Urea Transport in the Proximal Tubule and the Descending Limb of Henle

Јина Р. Кокко

From the Department of Internal Medicine, The University of Texas Southwestern Medical School, Dallas, Texas 75235

ABSTRACT Urea transport in proximal convoluted tubule (PCT) and descending limb of Henle (DLH) was studied in perfused segments of rabbit nephrons in vitro.

Active transport of urea was ruled out in a series of experiments in which net transport of fluid was zero. Under these conditions the collected urea concentration neither increased nor decreased when compared to the mean urea concentration in the perfusion fluid and the bath.

Permeability coefficient for urea (Purea) was calculated from the disappearance of urea-¹⁴C added to perfusion fluid. Measurements were obtained under conditions of zero net fluid movement: DLH was perfused with isosmolal ultrafiltrate (UF) of the same rabbit serum as the bath, while PCT was perfused with equilibrium solution (UF diluted with raffinose solution for fluid [Na] = 127 mEq/liter). Under these conditions Purea per unit length was $3.3\pm0.4 \times 10^{-7}$ cm³/sec $(5.3\pm0.6 \times 10^{-5})$ cm/sec assuming 1.D. = 20μ) in PCT and $0.93\pm0.4\times10^{-7}$ cm³/sec $(1.5\pm0.5\times10^{-5} \text{ cm/sec})$ in DLH. When compared to previously published results, these values show that the PCT is 2.5 times less permeable to urea than to Na, while the DLH is as impermeable to urea as to Na. These results further indicate that the DLH is less permeable to both Na and urea than the PCT.

The reflection coefficient for urea, σ_{urea} , was calculated as the ratio of induced solution efflux when 95 mOsm/liter of urea was added to the bath, as compared to net fluid movement induced by addition to the bath of equivalent amount of raffinose. σ_{urea} in DLH is 0.95±0.4 as compared to 0.91±0.05 in PCT. σ_{urea} in DLH is approximately equal to σ_{Na} ; however, σ_{urea} in PCT is higher than σ_{Na} (0.68). Several types of studies were conducted to examine the role of urea and urea plus sodium chloride in concentrating the fluid in the DLH. From the obtained results it was concluded that the intraluminal fluid of DLH is primarily concentrated by abstraction of water without significant net entry of solute. These results are discussed with respect to possible significance in the overall operation of the countercurrent system.

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of the present studies was to examine urea transport in the proximal convoluted tubule (PCT)¹ and the descending limb of Henle (DLH) by utilizing the direct technique of perfusing isolated segments of these nephrons in vitro. Three specific issues were examined: (a) whether urea transport in PCT is active (reabsorption or secretion) or passive; (b) a comparison of the phenomenological coefficients (passive permeability coefficient for urea and reflection coefficient for urea) for the PCT and DLH which govern passive transport in these segments; and (c) the role of urea in the osmotic equilibration of tubular fluid in the DLH.

METHODS

Isolated segments of proximal convoluted tubule and thin descending limb of Henle were perfused by techniques previously described (1, 2). In all experiments female New Zealand white rabbits weighing 1.5-2.5 kg were used. Rabbits were sacrificed by use of a guillotine after which a small slice of kidney was obtained as quickly as possible. This slice was transferred to a chilled dish of rabbit serum where a PCT or DLH was dissected free without use of collagenase or other enzymatic agents. Positive identification of DLH was ascertained by removal of most of the pars recta. After dissection the segments of nephrons were

The Journal of Clinical Investigation Volume 51 August 1972 1999

Presented in part at the Meeting of the American Federation for Clinical Research, Atlantic City, N. J., 2 May 1971.

Received for publication 27 December 1971 and in revised form 20 March 1972.

¹Abbreviations used in this paper: ALH, ascending limb of Henle; CF/PF, collected fluid/perfusion fluid; DLH, descending limb of Henle; P, permeability coefficient; PCT, proximal convoluted tubule; σ , reflection coefficient; TF/P, tubular fluid/plasma; UF, ultrafiltrate.

transferred into a perfusion dish of rabbit serum which was kept at 37°C and pH of 7.4 by continuous bubbling with 95% O₂-5% CO₂. The tubules were perfused using concentric glass pipettes and the exact same techniques outlined previously (2). The remainder of pars recta (approximately 100 μ) was always sucked into the holding pipette in such a manner that only the epithelium of DLH was exposed to the perfusate.

Albumin-¹³⁸I (E. R. Squibb & Sons, New York) which was used as the volume marker, was added in trace amounts to perfusion fluid in all studies. Perfusion rate, V_1 , was calculated from the rate at which albumin-¹³⁸I was collected; total ¹³⁸I cpm in the collected fluid was divided by ¹³⁸I cpm/nl in the perfusion fluid and by the time of the collection period. The collection rate, V_0 , was obtained directly by a calibrated constant bore collection pipette. Net fluid transport, C, was calculated as the difference in V_1 and V_0 , normalized to 1 mm by dividing by length of the nephron perfused, and therefore expressed in units of nl mm⁻¹ min⁻¹. The length of the tubule is measured directly by micrometer eye piece.

In various experimental protocols small quantities of crystaline solid urea-14C (New England Nuclear Corp., Boston, Mass.) were added to the perfusion fluid or to the bath. The chemical concentration of urea in these fluids ranged from 6.4 to 7.5 mm. As soon as the bath or collected fluid samples were obtained they were transferred to 1 cm³ of water and immediately diluted by the addition of 10 cm⁸ of liquid scintillation cocktail (1966 cm⁸ Toluene, 980 cm³ Triton X-100 [Packard Instrument Co., Inc., Downers Grove, Ill.] and 60 cm³ of spectraflour PPO [Amersham/Searle Corp., Arlington Heights, Ill., 250 g PPO/1 liter Toluene]). If the addition of the cocktail was not performed immediately, there was a tendency for the ¹⁴C counts to decrease with time which was thought to be secondary to bacterial breakdown of urea with subsequent liberation of ¹⁴C-CO₂. Once the cocktail was added, the samples were counted for ¹³⁶I in Packard model 5000 gamma scintillation spectrometer at 17.5-75 kev, (Packard Instrument Co., Inc., Downers Grove, Ill.) and then transferred to Packard model 2420 liquid scintillation spectrometer for ¹⁴C counting (7.5-152 kev). Using this combination of equipment, counting fluids, and window settings, the 196I overlap to ¹⁴C windows was approximately 7% and, accordingly, all "C counts were corrected by an exact overlap correction determined during each counting cycle. Overlap corrections were determined by counting separate pure ¹⁹⁵I samples both in the liquid scintillation spectrometer and the gamma counter. The overlap correction then is the ratio of counts obtained in the liquid scintillation spectrometer over those obtained in the gamma counter. In each case the overlap of ¹⁸⁵I counts to ¹⁴C counts contributed less than 10% of the total counts obtained in ¹⁴C windows and thus posed no statistical problem to analysis of ¹⁴C counts.

Active transport of urea was examined in experiments where tubules were perfused with an equilibrium solution (ultrafiltrate of rabbit serum diluted with isosmolal raffinose solution to a final [Na] of 125 mEq liter⁻¹ (3) in baths of regular rabbit serum. The purpose of this combination of perfusion fluid and bath was to keep net transport of fluid near zero. Equal amounts of urea-¹⁴C were added per nanoliter of water in the perfusion fluid and the bath (35-40 cpm/nl). If, under these conditions, there is an increase in ¹⁴C cpm/nl in collected fluid, this would then represent urea secretion, while a decrease in ¹⁴C cpm/nl would indicate urea reabsorption. It is felt that this technique is more

2000 J. P. Kokko

accurate than comparing unidirectional fluxes in separate families of tubules. In this set of experiments the perfusion rates were slower $(3.1-8.7 \text{ nl min}^{-1})$ than normally used to allow for longer contact times in which differing bidirectional fluxes could be expressed.

Passive permeability coefficient for urea was estimated from the disappearance rate of ¹⁴C from the perfusion fluid. Measurements were obtained under these conditions of zero net fluid movement: DLH was perfused with isosmolal ultrafiltrate of the same rabbit serum as the bath, while PCT was perfused with equilibrium solution. Passive permeability coefficient was estimated according to the following expression:

$$P_{urea} = \frac{V_{i} - V_{0}}{L} \left[\frac{\ln \frac{C_{1}^{*}}{C_{0}^{*}}}{\ln \frac{V_{i}}{V_{0}}} + 1 \right]$$
(1)

where L = length of tubule, $V_1 = \text{perfusion}$ rate, $V_0 = \text{collection}$ rate, $C_1^* = \text{cpm/nl}$ of ¹⁴C in perfusion fluid, and C_0^* cpm/nl of ¹⁴C in the collected fluid. This equation differs from the conventional equation (4):

$$P = \frac{V_{i} - V_{0}}{A} \left[\frac{\ln \frac{C_{i}^{*}}{C_{0}^{*}}}{\ln \frac{V_{i}}{V_{0}}} + 1 \right]$$
(2)

where the symbolism is the same, except A = area of tubule. The purpose in changing to equation (1) instead of equation (2) is to express the permeability per unit length which can be measured much more accurately in the PCT than the inside diameter. In the case of the DLH the measurement of the inside diameter is as accurate as measuring the length; however, with respect to the PCT, it is difficult to decide which is the limiting membrane to diffusion. The units of the Purea are square centimeters per second instead of the commonly accepted unit of permeability coefficient, centimeters per second.

The reflection coefficient for urea, σ_{urea} , may be defined as a deviation from the theoretical osmotic pressure expressed by (5):

$$\sigma_{\rm urea} = \pi \rm observed/\pi theoretical \tag{3}$$

where π observed is the effective osmotic pressure exerted by a given concentration gradient of urea as compared to the theoretical osmotic pressure (π theoretical) expected for that given urea gradient. If urea were completely permeant then it obviously could not exert any osmotic force and would have a $\sigma_{urea} = 0$, whereas if urea were completely impermeant its σ would be equal to 1. In these studies the σ_{urea} was determined by the "equal concentration" method (6) where σ_{urea} is equal to the ratio of the increment of net induced fluid movement caused by an osmotic gradient of urea, Δ Jvraffinger. Thus,

$$\sigma_{\rm urea} = \frac{\Delta J v_{\rm urea}}{\Delta J v_{\rm raffinose}} \tag{4}$$

In each experiment a total of nine 10-min collections were made; three each of: (a) control; (b) when 95 mOsm/liter of urea was added to the bath; and (c) when 95 mOsm/liter of raffinose was added to the bath. The details

of the fluid constituents and the experimental protocol are covered more fully in the results section.

It must be recognized that measurements of reflection coefficients are only estimates of the true effective osmolality that a given molecule can generate. The reported reflection coefficients have an inherent approximate 5% error in addition to the uncertainties associated with the standard errors. The source of these errors have been fully discussed in our previous publications (2, 6). In the current studies we have compared a rate of water efflux secondary to osmotic gradients induced by equivalent concentrations of urea and raffinose. In the process of osmotic equilibration the net water movement is proportional to the perfusion rate. Thus, at perfusion rate of 10 nl/min osmotic equilibration is achieved by transport of approximately one-half as much fluid as at 20 nl/min. It would be ideal to perfuse tubules at exactly the same rate during the control and experimental conditions; however this is not possible due to technical difficulties. In these studies the mean perfusion rates are comparable during the various conditions; however, during any given experiment, there is some variation of perfusion rate between the periods, and accordingly, the net transport of fluid must be corrected proportionally by this difference (see Table III). An additional source of error, not pointed out before, can be developed if there is a significant movement of solute secondary to passive diffusion after generation of concentration gradients by osmotic movement of water. For example, when an osmotic gradient is imposed on a tubule by addition of urea to the bath, intraluminal fluid will be abstracted by osmotic mechanisms leaving the residual fluid with an NaCl concentration higher than the ambient bath. If the urea and NaCl permeabilities were significantly different, then this could be a source of error by allowing differential passive diffusion of solute with its iso-osmolar complement of water. However, the isotopic urea permeability is virtually identical to sodium permeability in the descending limb of Henle. With respect to the proximal convoluted tubule, the urea is less permeant than NaCl; however similar intraluminal Na concentration gradients will be generated by urea and raffinose when the latter are added by equivalent amounts to the bath. Thus contribution to the calculated σ_{urea} should be minimal. The purpose of this paragraph is to reemphasize that measurements of the reflection coefficients can be considered only approximate even though the latter are associated with small standard errors.

The mechanism by which fluid in the DLH osmotically equilibrates with hypertonic medullary interstitial fluid was evaluated under two sets of circumstances. In the first set, the effect of 112 mOsm liter-1 urea gradient was studied when the control conditions were obtained with both the bath and the ultrafiltrate at 298 mOsm liter⁻¹. In the second set, control bath and perfusion fluid had osmolalities of 605 mOsm liter⁻¹. These were prepared by addition of crystaline NaCl to commercially available rabbit serum until the final osmolality was 605. The perfusion solution was isosmolal ultrafiltrate of the hypernatremic serum. In this second set the effect of a 323 mOsm liter⁻¹ gradient was studied during the experimental period by using a bath with an osmolality of 928 mOsm liter-1. This osmotic gradient was achieved by addition of 204 mOsm liter⁻¹ NaCl and 119 mOsm liter-1 urea to control bath of 605 mOsm liter⁻¹. In addition, crystaline urea-¹⁴C (chemically trace amounts but achieving 10-min counts of 250-300 counts/n1) was added to the bath to estimate the amount of urea influx from the calculated specific activities. In each set of



FIGURE 1 Diagram of the modified sample plate to Clifton nanoliter osmometer. Plate is made of a copper/brass alloy with dimensions as depicted. Note the circular arrangement of the sample holes, and the centrally depressed sleeve (0.15 mm) of the sample plate which insure equidistance of the samples from the cooling plate. The holes are 0.016 inches in diameter.

experiments the increase in osmolality of the perfusion fluid was compared with the increase in concentration of the albumin-¹²⁵I volume marker. The details of these experiments are covered in conjunction with the results.

Tubular fluid and simultaneous bath osmolalities were measured by Clifton nanoliter osmometer (Clifton Technical Physics, New York) in which the sample plate had been modified to obtain more reproducible numbers. The modifications incorporated into the plate are relatively simple (see Fig. 1), but have provided for a greater degree of accuracy, $\pm 2-5$ mOsm liter⁻¹ per single sample, than obtainable with the commercially available plate. The salient features are twofold: (a) the sample holes are all equidistant from the cooling plate, and (b) the samples, when placed in the middle of these holes, are in continuous line with the cooling waves generated by the dipole plate. Each osmolality reported is an average of three collected samples, and these in turn were usually run in duplicate. It generally takes 15 min to obtain 12 sample osmolalities after the samples have been loaded into the sample plate. Excellent agreement was consistently noted between same solutions analyzed by freezing 2-ml samples with a cryomatic osmometer, model 31CM (Advanced Instruments, Inc., Needham Heights, Mass.) as with the modified Clifton osmometer.

The commercially available human albumin-¹²⁵I was concentrated to contain approximately 300 μ Ci/cm⁸ by the use of Amicon (Amicon Corp., Lexington, Mass.) pressure dialysis using PM-10 filters. Before use it was dialyzed in a cellophane bag against a Ringer's solution to minimize the free ¹²⁵I. The ultrafiltrate of rabbit serum was made by pressure dialysis using Amicon PM-30 membranes.

RESULTS

Active transport. Active transport out of the PCT was evaluated under conditions of zero net transport by perfusing slowly with equilibrium solution in a bath of rabbit serum. Equivalent counts of urea-⁴¹C were added to the perfusion fluid and the bath. The concentration of ¹⁴C was measured in the collecting fluid and compared to the mean concentrations in the perfusion fluid and the bath. The results are expressed in Table I. It is evident from these six consecutive experiments that active secretion or reabsorption of urea in the isolated perfused PCT

			Table I				
Evidence agai	nst Active	Urea	Transport by	the	Proximal	Convoluted	Tubule

		N	Perfusion	D (1	Collected	Relative % changes in urea-14C*
Length	Perfusion rate, Vi	Net re- absorp- tion, C	$\frac{1110}{\text{urea}^{-14}C},$ C^*i	Bath urea-14C, C*b	$\frac{1110}{\text{urea}^{-14}C},$ C^{*0}	$\frac{\frac{C*_{0} - 0.5(C*_{i} + C*_{b})}{0.5(C*_{i} + C*_{b})} \times 100$
 μ	nl min ⁻¹	nl mm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹	counts nl ⁻¹	counts nl ⁻¹	counts nl ⁻¹	
1420	8.66	-0.18	38.3	38.5	37.0	-3.7
1440	6.92	-0.40	38.3	36.9	38.0	+1.1
960	6.16	-0.33	35.3	38.1	36.2	-1.4
1340	6.50	-0.06	35.3	38.0	36.0	-1.8
1500	4.08	+0.06	38.2	39.4	39.4	+1.5
1140	3.07	-0.05	38.2	40.0	44.7	+14.3
1300	5.90	-0.16	37.3	38.5	38.6	1.7 ± 2.4

In these experiments the perfusion fluid was equilibrium solution ($[Na] = 125 \text{ mEq liter}^{-1}$) isosmotic to the bath of regular rabbit serum (306 mOsm liter⁻¹).

* The following is formula for per cent change in urea-¹⁴C: $[(V_i = CL)(C^*_0) - (V_iC^*_i)] \times 100$ where L is length of tubule. This formula would be applicable only if urea-¹⁴C counts/nl were identical in the perfusion and bathing fluids.

could not be demonstrated under conditions of zero net fluid movement using perfusion rates ranging from 3.07 to 8.66 nl/min.

		Table	II		
Passive	Permeability for	Urea in	Proximal	Convoluted	Tubule
	and Desc	ending L	imb of He	nle	

	Length	Perfusion rate	Net re- absorp- tion	14C counts in	¹⁴ C counts out	Passive permeability
		<u>-</u>	nl mm ⁻¹			× 10 ^{−7}
	μ	nl min ⁻¹	min ⁻¹	counts/nl	counts/nl	cm² sec
PCT	1840	13.4	0.50	83.3	75.6	1.97
	900	9.5	0.27	83.3	65.0	4.76
	1080	10.6	0.27	83.3	67.7	3.77
	1360	11.1	0.19	66.5	56.7	3.00
	940	6.1	0.18	68.6	51.1	3.41
	1940	13.3	0.34	68.6	55.6	2.89
Х (sе)	1343	10.7	0.29			3.30 ± 0.35
DLH	2250	5.0	0.14	128.8	119.3	0.26
	1400	4.3	-0.22	128.8	105.4	0.42
	1500	5.1	-0.05	128.8	98.2	1.21
	2200	9.4	-0.01	967.5	790.3	1.06
	2150	9.5	0.11	315.0	218.2	2.37
	2350	9.4	-0.02	315.0	299.8	0.24
X (se)	1975	7.1	-0.01			0.93±0.31

Proximal convoluted tubules were perfused with equilibrium solution, while the descending limb of Henle was perfused with ultrafiltrate of rabbit serum. Urea-¹⁴C was added to the perfusion fluids. Bath in each case was commercially available rabbit serum and was isosmotic to the perfusion fluid. Counts/ $nl = {}^{14}C$ counts per nanoliter counted for 10 min.

2002 J. P. Kokko

Passive permeability. Urea permeability was measured isotopically using either isosmolal ultrafiltrate (DLH) or equilibrium solution (PCT) as the perfusion fluid. In each case the bath was isosmolal rabbit serum. The results of these experiments are summarized in Table II and indicate a low passive permeability for urea in PCT $(3.30 \pm 0.35 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ sec}^{-1})$, about 2.5 times less than the previously determined Na permeability (6). The passive permeability coefficient for urea in the DLH $(0.93\pm0.31\times10^{-7} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ sec}^{-1})$ indicates an even greater impermeability than in PCT. The above values were calculated on the basis of length. However, if equation 2 is used and the areas calculated from measured inside diameters in the case of DLH, and an assumed 1.D. of 20 μ in case of PCT, then P_{ures} in DLH = $1.51 \pm 0.47 \times 10^{-5}$ cm sec⁻¹, and in PCT $P_{urea} = 5.3 \pm 0.6 \times 10^{-5}$ cm sec⁻¹.

Reflection coefficient. The reflection coefficient for urea, σ_{urea} , was measured in the DLH and the PCT by the "equal concentration" method in which the σ_{urea} is equal to the ratio of osmotic water movement induced by urea as compared to osmotic water movement induced by equivalent concentrations of an impermeant molecule, raffinose.

A single experiment involved studies of water movement under three different circumstances: rabbit serum alone, rabbit serum plus 95 mOsm liter⁻¹ urea, and rabbit serum plus 95 mOsm liter⁻¹ raffinose. In each case the perfusion fluid was kept unchanged and was the ultrafiltrate of the same rabbit serum as that used during control periods. The order of these studies was randomized. In each study three periods were obtained using different bathing fluids. A single complete experiment is given in Table III. Six such experiments were performed, giving a total of 18 periods during each of three conditions. Tables IV and V summarize the means and the ranges of the results obtained. Using these values, the reflection coefficient for PCT is 0.91 ± 0.05 , while σ_{urea} in DLH is equal to 0.95 ± 0.04 .

Mechanism of osmotic equilibration in the DLH. The results of 12 experiments are summarized in Table VI. These studies were conducted under two different circumstances. In the first series of experiments control periods were obtained in which the bath and the perfusion fluid had the same osmolality of 298 mOsm liter⁻¹; in the subsequent experimental periods the osmolality of the bath was increased by the addition of 112 mOsm liter⁻¹ of urea to a final osmolality of 410 mOsm liter⁻¹, while the perfusion fluid was left unchanged at 298 mOsm liter⁻¹. In a second series of studies the control periods were obtained with the bath and perfusion fluid at the same osmolality of 605 mOsm liter⁻¹. Subsequently, experimental periods involved an increase of the bath osmolality to 928 mOsm liter⁻¹ by the addition of 204 mOsm liter⁻¹ NaCl and 119 mOsm liter⁻¹ urea. In both sets of experiments counts per nanoliter of volume marker and osmolalities of collected fluid were measured. The ratio of increase in concentration of volume marker is then compared to the increase in the ratio of osmolar

TABLE III Experimental Data of a Typical Single Study from which the Reflection Coefficient for Urea in the DLH was Determined

Bath	Collection period	Time of collection	Perfusion rate	Net transport
		min	nl/min	nl/mm min
Control	1	9.1	13.7	0.18
Rabbit	2	9.2	17.8	0.03
Serum	3	11.7	15.8	0.03
$\mathbf{\bar{X}}$			15.8	0.08
Control plus	4	11.7	15.4	2.74
95 mOsm/liter	5	9.9	15.6	2.60
urea	6	11.1	16.0	2.95
$\mathbf{\bar{X}}$			15.6	2.76
Control plus	7	10.0	17.5	3.21
95 mOsm/liter	8	11.7	15.3	2.77
raffinose	9	9.6	16.7	3.04
$\mathbf{\bar{X}}$			16.5	3.00
$\sigma_{\rm urea} = \frac{J_{\rm v \ urea}^*}{J_{\rm v \ raffinose}^\dagger}$	$=\frac{2.68}{2.76}=0$).97		

* $J_{v \text{ urea}}$ = change in net transport of water from control as induced by 95 mOsm liter⁻¹ urea.

 $\ddagger J_{v reffinese}$ = change in net transport of water from control as induced by 95 mOsm liter⁻¹ raffinese corrected to same perfusion rate when bath was made hyperosmolar by the addition of urea, and therefore is equal to: (15.6/16.5) (3.00) - 0.08 = 2.76 nl mm⁻¹ min⁻¹.

	Table IV
Reflection	Coefficient for Urea in Proximal
	Convoluted Tubule

	Mean values for 18 periods in six experiments						
Perfusion fluid	Bath	Perfusion rate	Net reabsorption				
	mOsm liter ⁻¹	nl min ⁻¹	nl mm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹				
Ultrafiltrate of rabbit serum	306 Rabbit serum	16.7 (15.0–19.0)	-0.11 (-0.31-+0.15)				
diluted with	306 Rabbit serum	16.9	2.17				
isosmolal	+95 Urea	(15.0–19.4)	(1.51-2.90)				
raffinose	306 Rabbit serum	17.4	2.48				
solution	+95 Raffinose	(15.4–20.0)	(1.88–3.73)				
[Na] = 125 mEq liter ⁻¹							

 $\sigma_{\text{urea}} = \frac{\Delta J_{\text{v urea}}}{\Delta J_{\text{v raffinose}}} = 0.91 \pm 0.05 \text{ (n = 6)}.$

changes. If there is significant influx of solute before osmotic equilibration by water efflux, then the osmolar ratio, (Osm) collected/(Osm) perfused, should increase more than the ratio of the volume marker, (cpm ¹²⁶I) collected/(cpm ¹²⁶I) perfusion. As noted in Table VI, there was a rise in the ratio of volume marker which was not statistically different from the rise in the ratio of the osmolalities indicating that all (within the technical limits) of the fluid concentration has occurred by abstraction of water without significant net entry of solute. In these studies short tubules were used with relatively rapid perfusion rates so that true estimation of urea influx could be obtained by the isotopic method. In the first set, there was an influx of 5.2±2.5 mm liter⁻¹ of urea representing 6% of the total measured osmolal increase. In the second set, there was a mean influx of urea amounting to 7.8 ± 2.3 mm liter⁻¹ which represents 2.6% of the total 305 mOsm liter⁻¹ increase noted. In each case the unaccounted increase in osmolality must be mainly secondary to concentration of intraluminal NaCl.

TABLE V Reflection Coefficient for Urea in Descending Limb of Henle

	Mean values for 18 periods in six experiments						
Perfusion fluid	Bath	Perfusion rate	Net reabsorption				
	mOsm liter ⁻¹	nl min ⁻¹	nl mm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹				
Ultrafiltrate of	306 Rabbit serum	15.9	-0.01				
rabbit serum		(14.3-17.9)	(-0.25 - +0.24)				
(305 mOsm	306 Rabbit serum	15.7	3.01				
liter-1)	+95 Urea	(13.7–18.3)	(2.74-4.34)				
	306 Rabbit serum	15.9	3.22				
	+95 Raffinose	(12.7-19.3)	(2.49 - 4.50)				

 $\sigma_{\text{urea}} = \frac{\Delta J_{\text{v urea}}}{\Delta J_{\text{v raffinose}}} = 0.95 \pm 0.04 \text{ (n = 6)}.$

Urea Transport in Proximal Tubule and Descending Limb of Henle 2003

TABLE VI

The Relationship between Per Cen	it Increase in Osmolality	of the Collected Fluid	l to Per Cent Reabso	rption of Fluid when	Bath is Made
Hyperosmolar by: I. Addition o	f 112 mOsm liter ⁻¹ Urea	, and II. Addition o	f 204 mOsm liter ⁻¹	NaCl and 119 mOsm	liter ⁻¹ Urea

	Length, L	Perfusion rate, Vi	[126] in, A	[125] out, B	B/A	CF osmolality exp.	(CF/PF) osmolality	Urea influx
		$nl mm^{-1}$				0 14 -1		
I Influence of increased urea	µ in hath	min ·	counts ni	counts ni		mOsm iller		mm
Control:	440	26.8	34.2	45.3	1.32	396	1 33	16
Bath: 298 mOsm/liter	110	20.0	01.2	10.0	1.02	070	1.00	1.0
PF: 298 mOsm/liter	420	13.3	33.5	43.3	1.29	408	1.37	0.0
Ехр.:						100	2101	0.0
Bath: 410 mOsm/liter	440	19.9	40.1	54.2	1.35	392	1.32	3.5
PF: 298 mOsm/liter								
	440	12.1	48.0	66.0	1.38	387	1.30	0.9
	260	35.3	35.5	43.1	1.21	368	1.23	17.9
	300	28.1	34.0	39.3	1.16	357	1.20	7.2
$ar{\mathbf{X}}$ (SE)	383	22.6	37.6	48.5	1.29 ± 0.03	397 ± 7	1.29 ± 0.02	5.2 ± 2.5
II. Influence of increased urea Control:	a + NaCl	in bath						
Bath: 605 mOsm/liter PF: 601 mOsm/liter	360	16.5	67.3	103.2	1.53	906	1.50	2.5
Exp.:	440	17.3	67.9	106.3	1.57	926	1.53	14.6
Bath: 928 mOsm/liter								
PF: 605 mOsm/liter	440	17.3	53.1	80.6	1.52	922	1.52	1.3
	440	11.8	54.2	81.5	1.50	916	1.51	14.5
	380	23.9	54.6	79.9	1.46	920	1.52	10.6
	440	12.1	27.9	43.6	1.56	871	1.44	3.0
$ar{\mathbf{X}}$ (SE)	417	16.5	54.2	82.5	1.52 ± 0.02	910 ± 8	1.50 ± 0.01	7.8 ± 2.3

[¹²⁶I] in, ¹²⁶I counts per 10' nl⁻¹ in perfusion fluid; [¹²⁶I] out, ¹²⁵I counts per 10' nl⁻¹ in collected fluid; Exp., experimental period; PF, perfusion fluid; CF, collected fluid.

DISCUSSION

It has generally been accepted that reabsorption of urea in PCT is a passive process secondary to reabsorption of salt and water. However, the finding (7-12) that urea concentration in cortical tissue is significantly higher than either plasma or tubular fluid has reopened the question as to whether or not an active transport process might be involved.

The isolated perfused PCT provides a means of examining whether there is active transport of urea. Several approaches could be used to examine this question. In one such procedure the bidirectional fluxes could be determined. An inequality in these parameters would suggest either active reabsorption or secretion, providing they do not parallel the net transport of fluid in an exact pattern. ¹⁴C-labeled urea is the only isotopically available radionuclide of urea which is practical to analyze by the conventional techniques. Therefore, it becomes necesary to measure influx and efflux of urea in different groups of tubules. This approach is not desirable owing to the high scatter of data associated with microbiological techniques: up to a 25% difference could be lost in the variability of data. For this reason another approach was taken. In these experiments proximal convoluted tubules were perfused with equilibrium solution to insure that net transport of fluid was zero. In this way any urea transport by solvent drag is eliminated, thus making the interpretation of net urea movement straightforward. Urea-¹⁴C was added in equal concentrations to the water of ultrafiltrate and bathing rabbit serum. Active transport of urea by the PCT could not be demonstrated under our specific protocol since the collected fluid urea-¹⁴C concentration did not differ significantly from the perfusion or bathing fluid urea-¹⁴C concentrations (Table I).

The failure to demonstrate active transport of urea in PCT indicates that net reabsorption in this segment must be mediated by passive processes. One possibility is that urea could be transported passively in association with bulk movement of fluid by net transport of sodium salts. Previously (13), it has been pointed out that transport by solvent drag can be estimated by $J_v\bar{C}$ (1- σ), where J_v equals net transport of fluid, \overline{C} is the mean concentration of solute in the membrane, and σ the reflection coefficient for the solute in question. Obviously, the greater the σ , the smaller the solvent drag term. The calculated σ_{urea} is significantly higher than σ_{NaCl} in the PCT (Table VII) and would indicate that only an insignificant amount of urea transport can be attributed to solvent drag.

Micropuncture studies have previously demonstrated that proximal tubular-fluid-to-plasma-urea concentrations are greater than one (12, 14–17) with most of the value ranging between 1.4 and 1.5. In the absence of active urea secretion this would imply that the PCT is relatively impermeable to urea and is consistent with the σ_{urea} of 0.91. Also, as was noted for PCT in Table VII, urea is approximately 2.5 times less permeable than the previously reported sodium permeability. These findings are consistent with the thesis that urea is concentrated in the PCT by abstraction of fluid. The generated concentration gradient for urea then provides a driving force for passive transport of urea down its chemical concentration gradient, accounting for the observed rates of net reabsorption.

A number of studies (7, 11, 12, 18–22) have shown that under certain conditions papillary tissue water concentration of urea is greater than in the final urine. This had lead to the postulation that the medullary collecting duct is capable of active transport of urea. More direct evidence in support of this view are the studies of Clapp (16) and Lassiter, Mylle, and Gottschalk (23) in which they noted net reabsorption of urea from collecting ducts against apparent concentration gradients. Urea which is transported out of the collecting duct then either reenters the countercurrent multiplication system by diffusion into the loops of Henle, or leaves the papilla in the vasa recta blood. If urea enters the loop then (TF/P) urea/(TF/P) inulin ratio would be higher in the early distal tubule than the late PCT. Conflicting data exist on this point in animals not undergoing saline diuresis (12, 14, 24); however, most of the observations suggest that recirculation of urea does exist, thus implying that either the descending or ascending thin limb of Henle should be relatively permeable to urea. In the present study, the role of the DLH was examined directly and noted to be as impermeable to urea as previously reported for Na (Table VII). This finding might lead one to the conclusion that only an insignificant amount of urea can diffuse into the DLH, and that the DLH is not involved in recycling of urea. However, as will be discussed later, the observed urea permeability, although very small, can in fact account for the magnitude of urea recycling observed by others.

The reflection coefficient for urea was determined in order to examine whether urea can generate high ef-

TABLE VII Membrane Characteristics of Isolated Perfused Rabbit Nephrons

	Proximal convoluted tubule	Descending Limb of Henle
Net transport of fluid $(nl mm^{-1} min^{-1})$	1.00	-0.07‡
Passive permeability for ²² Na		
$(cm^2 \ sec^{-1} \times 10^{-7})$	5.80*	1.00‡
Passive permeability for urea- ¹⁴ C		
$(cm^2 \ sec^{-1} \times 10^{-7})$	3.30	0.93
Reflection coefficient for NaCl	0.68*	0.96‡
Reflection coefficient for urea	0.91	0.95
Osmotic water permeability		
$(ml \ cm^{-1} \ sec^{-1} \ atm^{-1} \times 10^{-6})$	0.18*	1.45‡

Values summarized from previous work (6)* and (2).‡

fective osmotic pressure across the tubular epithelium. The high value of 0.95 ± 0.04 indicates that urea, as well as sodium (Table VII), can abstract fluid out of the DLH by osmotic forces. A more direct measure of the role of urea in concentrating fluid in DLH was obtained from those experiments where it was shown that (CF/PF) osmolality increased to the same degree as did (CF/PF) ¹³⁶I, when the bath was made hyperosmolal by the addition of 112 mOsm liter⁻¹ urea. A (CF/PF) osmolality ratio higher than (CF/PF) ¹³⁶I ratio would have indicated net entry of solute; however, the finding that these ratios increased by proportional amounts shows that the fluid in DLH is concentrated by primary abstraction of fluid. This is consistent with the high determined reflection coefficient for urea.

It is difficult to extrapolate these findings directly to the in vivo situation that exists in the renal papilla because of the marked differences in the osmolality at which the system is operating. It is now well appreciated that the permeability characteristics of membranes may change under varying osmolar surroundings. This phenomena has been called nonlinear osmosis and indicates that a given osmolar gradient can induce varying net flows of fluid depending on the control ambient osmolar concentration. Thus a 100 mOsm liter⁻¹ solute gradient may have a different effect on net water movement when imposed on 300 mOsm liter⁻¹ bath as compared to 100 mOsm liter⁻¹ gradient imposed on 600 mOsm liter⁻¹ bath. Nonlinear osmosis has been noted for a variety of tissues (6, 25-29), including the renal proximal convoluted tubule (6). It is for this reason that the mechanism of fluid concentration secondary to osmotic gradient in the DLH was tested under two differing control osmotic conditions. In each case it was found that the fluid is primarily concentrated by abstraction of water. This does not necessarily indicate that the osmotic water permeability, ourse, PNaCI, or Purea remain unchanged. However,



FIGURE 2 The role of urea in concentrating the fluid of the descending limb of Henle. Note that the sodium in the DLH is concentrated to higher values than the corresponding medullary tissue as a consequence of water abstraction by urea. Depicted concentrations are in mOsm/liter.

it does mean that if these parameters do indeed change, then these changes vary in such a manner as not to influence the conclusions as to the basic mechanism by which the osmotic equilibration takes place in the DL11.

These findings are in apparent conflict with the studies of Marsh (30) and deRouffignac and Morel (31) in which they show significant net entry of urea into the



FIGURE 3 Hypothetical role of the thin ascending limb of Henle in the countercurrent multiplication system. The salient features are: (a) relative water impermeability, (b) passive influx of urea, and (c) passive outflux of sodium down its concentration gradient without active transport process.

DLH. If, however, the mechanism of osmotic equilibration in the face of concentration gradients of solutes with σ of 0.95 is examined more precisely, it is apparent that our data are consistent with the findings of Marsh (30) and Lassiter, Gottschalk, and Mylle (14), as well as those of other investigators suggesting recycling of urea through the loop of Henle. The studies of Fordtran, Rector, Ewton, Soter, and Kinney (32) indicate that for a given membrane system osmotic equilibration always occurs at exactly the same rate, irrespective of the reflection coefficient of solute used to establish the osmotic gradient. The σ does not influence the rate of osmotic equilibration; however, the experimental evidence suggests that it does determine the relative proportion of water abstraction and solute addition. In the presented experiments which examined the mechanism of osmotic water equilibration (Table VI), it was demonstrated that practically all of the osmotic equilibration occurred by efflux of water. It was further shown that approximately 6% of total osmolal increase could be attributed to urea influx when bath osmolality was increased by addition of 112 mOsm/liter urea to the bath, while 2.6% of the total osmolal increase could be accounted for by influx of urea in those experiments in which bath osmolality was increased by addition of 119 mOsm/liter urea and 204 mOsm/liter NaCl to the bath. For illustrative purposes for the remainder of the discussion it will be assumed that osmotic equilibration occurs by 96% water efflux and by 4% solute (urea) entry.

If we further assume that the papillary interstitium had an osmolality of 1200 mOsm/liter (Fig. 2), with 500

2006 J. P. Kokko

mOsm/liter urea and 700 mOsm/liter NaCl, then isotonic fluid (300 mOsm/liter) entering the DLH would equilibrate to 96% of this value (1152 mOsm/liter) by water abstraction, and the remaining 48 mOsm liter⁻¹ would be due to addition of solute, principally urea. If the fluid entering the DLH had a TF/P inulin of 2.0 and TR/P urea of 1.5, water abstraction would increase these ratios to 7.7 and 5.8, respectively. If the plasma urea concentration were 5 mm, water abstraction in the DLH would raise the urea concentration to 29 mm. In addition, the net entry of urea of 48 mOsm/liter would increase the total urea concentration to 77 mm giving a TF/P ratio of 15.4. The TF/Puren: TF/Pinulin ratio at the tip therefore would be 1.99. This indicates that the quantity of urea present in loop fluid is 99% greater than was actually filtered and is in general agreement with the values reported by Lassiter et al. (14) and, more recently, by Roch-Ramel.²

Fig. 3 illustrates the mechanism by which urea generates a sodium concentration gradient in the DLH fluid. As the fluid passes from the cortico-medullary junction with an osmolality of 300 mOsm liter⁻¹ to the papillary tip with an osmolality of 1200 mOsm liter⁻¹, it is continuously equilibrating with hypertonic medullary interstitium. If the medullary solute consisted entirely of sodium salts, then both the osmolality and the sodium concentration of loop fluid would remain identical to that of medullary interstitium. However, if urea comprises a larger fraction of medullary solute, then the osmolality of loop fluid and medulla would remain equal but the sodium concentration of loop fluid would be significantly higher than the medullary interstitium. In Fig. 3, this situation is illustrated by assuming that 500 mOsm/liter of the total papillary osmolality of 1200 mOsm/liter⁻¹ is due to urea. The high papillary osmolality would abstract water out of the DLH until its osmolality would also equal 1200 mOsm liter-1, but leaving the remaining fluid with a sodium chloride concentration of 1123 mOsm liter⁻¹. Thus, the papillary urea concentration of 500 mOsm liter⁻¹ has allowed for a generation of a 423 mOsm liter⁻¹ sodium chloride concentration gradient. The urea concentration will rise to 77 mOsm liter⁻¹ by mechanisms described in the previous paragraph. The magnitude of the rise in intratubular Na concentration would be dependent on medullary osmolality and, to a lesser degree, the small amount of urea diffusing in. The generated Na concentration gradient would be a function of the fraction of urea which constitutes the total osmolality of the papillary interstitial fluid.

Fig. 3 demonstrates a hypothetical model involving the role of the thin ascending limb of Henle in the overall operation of the countercurrent multiplication system. If we postulate that the thin ascending limb of Henle has a higher Na permeability than the DLH, then it is possible to conceive how Na could diffuse down its concentration gradient out of the ALH without the necessity of postulating active transport of Na out of the ALH. For this model to be operative, it is necessary for the ALH to be less permeable to urea and more permeable to sodium than the DLH to the degree that more Na will be transported by passive diffusion than water by osmotic forces. By this mechanism the thin ALH fluid could be rendered hypo-osmolal to the adjacent interstitium by passive mechanisms. Using the data enclosed in this manuscript and the above-stated hypotheses concerning the thin ALH, a complete theoretical description of a new model for counter-current multiplication system has been developed in which the observed medullary concentration gradients can be generated by placing the energy-dependent transport process only in the thick ALH and allowing both the thin ALH and the DLH to operate as purely passive equilibrating segments.⁸ This model would be consistent with those experiments (33-36) which have failed to demonstrate active transport of sodium by the thin ALH.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the National Institute of Arthritis and Metabolic Diseases, National Institutes of Health, research grant RO1 AM 14677, the Dallas Heart Association, and by the U. S. Public Health Service Program Grant PO1 HE 11662.

REFERENCES

- 1. Burg, M., J. Grantham, M. Abramow, and J. Orloff. 1966. Preparation and study of fragments of single rabbit nephrons. Am. J. Physiol. 210: 1293.
- Kokko, J. P. 1970. Sodium chloride and water transport in the descending limb of Henle. J. Clin. Invest. 49: 1838.
- Kokko, J. P., F. C. Rector, Jr., and D. W. Seldin. 1970. Mechanism of salt and water reabsorption in proximal convoluted tubule. Am. Soc. Neph. 4: 42. (Abstr.)
- 4. Grantham, J. J., and M. B. Burg. 1966. Effect of vasopressin and cyclic AMP on permeability of isolated collecting tubules. *Am. J. Physiol.* 211: 255.
- 5. Staverman, A. J. 1951. The theory of measurement of osmotic pressure. *Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas Belg.* 70: 344.
- Kokko, J. P., M. B. Burg, and J. Orloff. 1971. Characteristics of NaCl and water transport in the renal proximal tubule. J. Clin. Invest. 50: 69.
- 7. Schmidt-Nielsen, B., and R. O'Dell. 1959. Effect of diet on distribution of urea and electrolytes in kidneys of sheep. Am. J. Physiol. 197: 856.
- 8. O'Dell, R. M., and B. Schmidt-Nielsen. 1961. Retention of urea by frog and mammalian kidney slices in vitro. J. Cell. Comp. Physiol. 57: 211.

⁸Kokko, J. P., and F. C. Rector. 1971. Countercurrent multiplication system without active transport in inner medulla—a new model. Submitted for publication.

Urea Transport in Proximal Tubule and Descending Limb of Henle 2007

^a Roch-Ramel, F. Personal communication.

- 9. Truniger, B., and B. Schmidt-Nielsen. 1964. Intrarenal distribution of urea and related compounds: effects of nitrogen intake. Am. J. Physiol. 207: 971.
- Rabinowitz, L. 1968. Enhancement of renal concentrating ability in the rat by acetamide and methylurea. Am. J. Physiol. 214: 737.
- Roch-Ramel, F., and G. Peters. 1967. Intrarenal urea and electrolyte concentrations as influenced by water diuresis and by hydrochlorothiazides. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 1: 124.
- 12. Roch-Ramel, F., F. Chomety, and G. Peters. 1968. Urea concentrations in tubular fluid and in renal tissue of nondiuretic rats. Am. J. Physiol. 215: 429.
- 13. Kedem, O., and A. Katchalsky. 1958. Thermodynamic analysis of the permeability of biological membranes to non-electrolytes. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 27: 229.
- Lassiter, W. E., C. W. Gottschalk, and M. Mylle. 1961. Micropuncture study of net transtubular movement of water and urea in nondiuretic kidney. *Am. J. Physiol.* 200: 1139.
- Lassiter, W. E., M. Mylle, and C. W. Gottschalk. 1964. Net transtubular movement of water and urea in saline diuresis. Am. J. Physiol. 206: 669.
- Clapp, J. R. 1966. Renal tubular reabsorption of urea in normal and protein-depleted rats. Am. J. Physiol. 210: 1304.
- 17. Kauker, M. L., W. E. Lassiter, and C. W. Gottschalk. 1970. Micropuncture study of net transtubular movement of urea and water in rats expanded with isotonic saline. *Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med.* 113: 216.
- Bray, G. A., and A. S. Preston. 1961. Effect of urea on urine concentration in the rat. J. Clin. Invest. 40: 1952.
- 19. Truniger, B., and B. Schmidt-Nielsen. 1963. Intrarenal distribution of urea and related compounds: effects of nitrogen intake. Am. J. Physiol. 207: 971.
- Goldberg, M., A. J. Wojtczak, and M. A. Ramirez. 1967. Uphill transport of urea in the dog kidney: effects of certain inhibitors. J. Clin. Invest. 46: 388.
- Ullrich, K. J., G. Rumrich, and B. Schmidt-Nielsen. 1967. Urea transport in the collecting duct of rats on normal and low protein diet. Arch. Ger. Physiol. 295: 147.
- 22. Roch-Ramel, F., J. Diezi, F. Chomety, P. Michoud, and G. Peters. 1970. Disposal of large urea overloads by

the rat kidney: a micropuncture study. Am. J. Physiol. 218: 1524.

- Lassiter, W. E., M. Mylle, and C. W. Gottschalk. 1966. Micropuncture study of urea transport in rat renal medulla. Am. J. Physiol. 210: 965.
- 24. Ullrich, K. D., B. Schmidt-Nielsen, R. O'Dell, G. Pehling, G. W. Gottschalk, W. E. Lassiter, and M. Mylle. 1963. Micropuncture study of composition of proximal and distal tubular fluid in rat kidney. Am. J. Physiol. 204: 527.
- Dainty, J., and A. B. Hope. 1959. The water permeability of cells of Chara australis. Aust. J. Biol. Sci. 12: 136.
- Bentley, P. J. 1961. Directional differences in the permeability to water of the isolated bladder of the toad, Bufo marinus. J. Endocrinol. 22: 95.
- Dainty, J., and B. Z. Ginzburg. 1964. The measurement of hydraulic conductivity (osmotic permeability to water) of internodal characean cells by means of transcellular osmosis. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*. 79: 102.
 Brodsky, W. A., and T. P. Schilb. 1965. Osmotic
- Brodsky, W. A., and T. P. Schilb. 1965. Osmotic properties of isolated turtle bladder. Am. J. Physiol. 208: 46.
- 29. Diamond, J. M. 1966. Non-linear osmosis. J. Physiol. (London). 183: 58.
- 30. Marsh, D. J. 1970. Solute and water flow in thin limb of Henle's loop in the hamster kidney. Am. J. Physiol. 218: 824.
- 31. deRouffignac, C., and F. Morel. 1969. Micropuncture study of water, electrolytes and urea movement along the loops of Henle in Psammomys. J. Clin. Invest. 48: 474.
- 32. Fordtran, J. S., F. C. Rector, Jr., M. F. Ewton, N. Soter, and J. Kinney. 1965. Permeability characteristics of the human small intestine. J. Clin. Invest. 44: 1935.
- Gottschalk, C. W. 1963. Renal tubular function: lessons from micropuncture. *Harvey Lect.* 58: 95.
- 34. Gottschalk, C. W. 1964. Osmotic concentration and dilution of the urine. Am. J. Med. 36: 670.
- 35. Marsh, D. J., and S. Solomon. 1965. Analysis of electrolyte movement in thin Henle's loops of hamster papilla. Am. J. Physiol. 208: 1119.
- 36. Morgan, T., and R. W. Berliner. 1968. Permeability of the loop of Henle, vasa recta, and collecting duct to water, urea, and sodium. *Am. J. Physiol.* 215: 108.

2008 J. P. Kokko