Pyruvate controls the checkpoint inhibitor PD-L1 and suppresses T cell immunity
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Patients with coronary artery disease (CAD) are at high risk for reactivation of the varicella zoster virus (VZV) and development of herpes zoster (HZ). Here, we found that macrophages from patients with CAD actively suppress T cell activation and expansion, leading to defective VZV-specific T cell immunity. Monocyte-derived and plaque-infiltrating macrophages from patients with CAD spontaneously expressed high surface density of the immunoinhibitory ligand programmed death ligand-1 (PD-L1), thereby providing negative signals to programmed death-1 (PD-1+) T cells. We determined that aberrant PD-L1 expression in patient-derived macrophages was metabolically controlled. Oversupply of the glycolytic intermediate pyruvate in mitochondria from CAD macrophages promoted expression of PD-L1 via induction of the bone morphogenetic protein 4/phosphorylated SMAD1/5/IFN regulatory factor 1 (BMP4/p-SMAD1/5/IRF1) signaling pathway. Thus, CAD macrophages respond to nutrient excess by activating the immunoinhibitory PD-1/PD-L1 checkpoint, leading to impaired T cell immunity. This finding indicates that metabolite-based immunotherapy may be a potential strategy for restoring adaptive immunity in CAD.

Introduction

Varicella zoster virus (VZV) causes chickenpox in young and herpes zoster (HZ; shingles) in older individuals (1, 2). Like all herpes viruses, VZV enters latency, with sensory ganglia serving as reservoirs. The virus is kept dormant by intact antiviral immunity, specifically virus-specific CD4 T cells (3). In the general population, the lifetime risk for developing viral reactivation presenting as the typically painful, blistering dermatomal rash is estimated to be approximately 30% to 50%, with a sharp increase in incidence after the age of 50 years (4). HZ therefore provides a superb readout of age-related immunodeficiency. In the elderly, HZ is frequently complicated by persistent postherpetic neuralgic pain, even after the rash has healed, thus imposing a considerable burden on the health care system (4, 5). The failure to control the dormant virus in the elderly has been attributed to several age-related abnormalities in CD4 T cell biology such as a loss of virus-reactive memory T cells, a decline in the diversity of VZV-specific CD4 T cells, and defective CD4 T cell memory generation (6–8). Alternatively, age-related deficiency of anti-VZV T cells, which have been reported to express the immunoinhibitory receptor programmed death-1 (PD-1) (9–11). Other defects, such as age-related loss of antigen-presenting functions, have not been identified.

Like VZV reactivation, coronary artery disease (CAD) is an age-related disease, with older age representing one of the key risk factors (12–14). Notably, the risk of HZ attacks is significantly increased in patients with CAD when compared with age-matched controls, with comorbid diabetes mellitus (DM) adding additional risk (15, 16). Likewise, within 2 years of HZ diagnosis, the risk of cardiovascular events is clearly elevated (17). Traditionally, CAD and the underlying atherosclerosis have been recognized as vaso-degenerative conditions caused by the subendothelial deposition of lipids, which then elicit a maladaptive repair process (18). More recently, attention has moved to the immunopathogenesis of atherosclerosis, with both innate and adaptive immune responses contributing to the initiation and progression of disease (19–21). Monocytes, differentiating into tissue macrophages upon tissue infiltration, are critically important in lipid uptake and storage as well as foam cell formation (22). We and others have reported that patients with CAD have expanded populations of intermediate CD14+CD16+ monocytes, which differentiate into proinflammatory effector macrophages (23, 24). Macrophages from CAD patients are metabolically reprogrammed and have a signature of excessive glucose uptake, increased glycolytic activity, and exuberant mitochondrial activity resulting in high levels of intracellular ROS. ROS overload modifies the glycolytic enzyme pyruvate kinase M2 (PKM2), favoring dimer formation, and enables PKM2 import into the nucleus, where the enzyme “moonlights” as a protein kinase, phosphorylates STAT3, and turns the cell into an IL-1β and IL-6 hyperproducer (24, 25). Changes in the M2 isoform of pyruvate kinase, shared by inflammatory macrophages and cancer cells, have metabolic consequences that possibly affect the cells’ functional behavior. Inactivation of PKM2 leads to a decrease in lactate...
production and accumulation of upstream glycolytic intermediates such as phosphoenolpyruvate. As glucose-derived carbons are diverted from glycolytic breakdown into anabolic processes, pyruvate becomes less available. Under conditions of inactivated PKM2, conversion of pyruvate into lactate slows down, and mitochondrial respiration, including mitochondrial ROS production, increases (26, 27). While mechanistically not entirely understood, PKM2 activation should lead to a reduction of mitochondrial pyruvate.

How metabolic reprogramming of macrophages affects effector functions beyond cytokine production is not well defined. Of interest are the functional activities through which macrophages instruct T cells. Monocytes and macrophages serve as antigen-presenting cells and deliver costimulatory and coinhibitory signals to T cells. Monocytes and macrophages serve as antigen-presenting cells and deliver costimulatory and coinhibitory signals to T cells. By expressing immunoinhibitory ligands, such as programmed death ligand-1 (PD-L1), they can also suppress T cell immunity, a feature shared with cancer cells (29). Macrophages specialize in antigen uptake, presentation, and processing and can fine-tune T cell reactivity in macrophage-rich tissue lesions such as the atherosclerotic plaque (29). By expressing immunoinhibitory ligands, such as programmed death ligand-1 (PD-L1), they can also suppress T cell immunity, a functional aspect of importance in PD-L1+ tumor-associated macrophages that abolish antitumor T cell responses (30). PD-L1 expression on cancer and tumor-associated cells has become the target of checkpoint inhibitor therapy that unleashes antitumor T cells and has revolutionized cancer immunotherapy (31–33).

The receptor for PD-L1 is expressed on T cells and B cells, and its ligation provides a powerful negative signal that blocks proliferation, survival, and effector functions (34–36). The density of PD-L1 on the surface of antigen-presenting cells is therefore a key element in setting the threshold for the PD-1 immune checkpoint (37). PD-L1 expression is regulated by multiple signaling pathways and transcriptional and epigenetic factors (34, 35). Both PI3K and MAPK pathways participate in controlling PD-L1 expression (38). The PDL1 promoter contains regulatory elements responsive to IFN regulatory factor 1 (IRF1), hypoxia-inducible factor 1α (HIF1α), and STAT3 (39–41). miRs such as miR-570, miR-513, miR-34a, and miR-200 have been implicated in the negative regulation of PD-L1 expression (34). PD-L1 is expressed on macrophages, DCs, T cells, B cells, as well as on nonlymphoid parenchymal cells; however, whether PD-L1 has a place in regulating T cell responses, and specifically VZV immunity, in patients with CAD is currently unknown.

Here, we found that monocyte-derived and tissue-infiltrating macrophages from CAD patients have immunosuppressive properties and inhibit the activation and proliferation of interacting CD4 T cells. The defect relates to the constitutive expression of PD-L1 on patients’ macrophages and can be targeted by anti–PD-L1 antibodies, which rescue the induction of antiviral T cell immunity. Remarkably, aberrant PD-L1 expression on immunosuppressive CAD macrophages, a feature shared with cancer cells, is regulated by the cells’ nutrient supply. Activation of the immunoinhibitory PD-1 checkpoint represents a nutrient stress response, elicited by oversupply of the glycolytic metabolite pyruvate to the mitochondria. CAD macrophages respond to excess pyruvate by upregulating bone morphogenetic protein 4 (BMP4), which in turn activates the phosphorylated SMAD1/5/IRF1 (p-SMAD1/5/IRF1) signaling axis to induce high levels of surface PD-L1. Thus, immunosuppressive functions of CAD macrophages are under metabolic control and correctable by interfering with the mitochondrial pyruvate load. We have identified several means of undermining the aberrant PD-1 checkpoint activation in CAD, including smoothening glycolytic flux by forcing PKM2 into a tetrameric configuration and blocking mitochondrial pyruvate import. Shielding the mitochondria in CAD macrophages from pyruvate oversupply may enable the restoration of protective immunity, such as antiviral as well as antitumor T cell responses in patients with CAD.

**Results**

Impaired anti-VZV immunity in patients with CAD. The risk of reactivating VZV and suffering from shingles attacks increases progressively with age, but is 20% to 30% higher in patients with CAD (15, 16). The immune system controls chronic VZV infection through virus-specific CD4 T cells, which release IFN-γ (3). VZV-specific T cell responses can be quantified in an ex vivo system by loading antigen-presenting cells with VZV lysate and measuring the frequency of IFN-γ–releasing T cells in an ELISPOT assay system (6, 7). IFN-γ production measured in this assay system derives almost exclusively from CD4+ T cells. In a cohort of healthy individuals aged 62–84 years, 1 of 4,000 cells responded to VZV antigen with IFN-γ release, indicating that these healthy subjects had developed robust immune memory against the virus (Figure 1). Frequencies measured in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from patients with CAD (mean age, 69.9 years) were 2.5-fold lower, amounting to an estimated frequency of only 1 VZV-reactive cell per 10,000 PBMCs.

These data identified a defect in the antiviral T cell response of CAD patients and provided an explanation for the increased risk of CAD patients of suffering VZV reactivation.

**CAD macrophages suppress T cell activation and clonal expansion.** To investigate whether the reduced T cell reactivity against VZV antigen resulted from deficient antigen-presenting function,
we tested the ability of patient-derived macrophages to activate healthy CD4 T cells. Macrophages were generated from circulating CD14+ precursors, loaded with anti-CD3 antibodies to equalize the T cell receptor–directed signal, and cocultured with purified healthy CD4 T cells. We relied on paired samples of patient-derived and control macrophages, which were tested with identical allogeneic CD4 T cells. The ability of CAD macrophages to initiate and sustain CD4 T cell stimulation was quantified by the frequency of CD4 T cells upregulating the activation marker CD69 or CD25. T cell proliferative expansion was determined through dilution of the cellular dye CFSE. We observed that markedly fewer CD4 T cells entered the activation cascade (Figure 2, A and B) or proliferated (Figure 2, D and E) when they were cocultured with CAD macrophages as opposed to healthy macrophages. The frequency of CD4+CD69+ T cells was 3-fold lower when the activating signals were provided by patient-derived macrophages. The inability of CAD macrophages to drive CD4 T cells into the activation cycle was particularly relevant for naive CD45RO− T cells (Figure 2C), a CD4 T cell subpopulation that is highly dependent on costimulation. We noticed that the failure of CAD macrophages to convert naive T cells into memory T cells and promote clonal expansion was sensitive to the number of macrophages present in the cultures (Figure 2F). With increasing numbers of macrophages, and thus decreasing T cell/macrophage ratios, T cells were essentially unable to enter the cell cycle and expand. This finding suggested an active mechanism mediating suppression, instead of a lack of costimulation.

These data identified CAD macrophages as immunoinhibitory effector cells.

**Activation of the glycolytic enzyme PKM2 reverses the immunoinhibitory functions of CAD macrophages.** CAD macrophages are

---

**Figure 2. CAD macrophages fail to support activation and clonal expansion of CD4 T cells.** Macrophages were generated from monocytes from CAD patients and age-matched controls and cocultured with healthy purified CD4 T cells (macrophages: 10,000/well; T cells: 50,000/well). The stimulatory capacity of the macrophages was determined by the induction of CD69 and CD25 on T cells after 72 hours. T cell proliferation was quantified by CFSE dilution. (A) Representative flow cytometric data. (B) Frequencies of CD4+CD69+ and CD4+CD25+ T cells from 8 independent experiments. (C) Inhibitory effect of CAD macrophages on CD45RO− and CD45RO+CD4+ T cell populations. T cell activation was measured by the frequency of CD69+CD4+ T cells. Representative dot blots are shown. (D–F) T cell proliferation was quantified by CFSE dilution on day 6. (D) Representative dot blots. (E) Proliferation indices for 8 healthy controls and 13 patients with CAD. (F) The deficiency of T cell stimulation by CAD macrophages increased with increasing numbers of macrophages, suggestive of an active suppressive mechanism and not a reduced stimulatory capacity. Flow cytometric measurements of CFSE dilution in representative cocultures are shown. All data represent the mean ± SEM. **P < 0.01 and ***P < 0.001, by unpaired, 2-tailed Student’s t test.
T cells were placed into medium conditioned by M265-treated macrophages, T cell activation was as effective as that observed in T cells kept in the control medium (Supplemental Figure 1; supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI92167DS1). These data established a functional connection between the glycolytic machinery and macrophage functions, specifically in T cell–macrophage interactions.

PKM2 regulates PD-L1 expression. To understand how the glycolytic enzyme PKM2 can modulate antigen-presenting functions of macrophages, we analyzed whether PKM2 activation influences the gene expression pattern of costimulatory and coinhibitory ligands. We focused on 4 costimulatory molecules that are critically involved in coactivating CD4+ T cells: the constitutively expressed CD80 and CD86 and the activation-induced ICOS ligand and OX40 ligand (43). Pretreatment of macrophages did not affect any of the costimulatory ligands (Figure 4A). Unexpectedly, we found that CAD macrophages were high expressers of the coinhibitory ligand PD-L1. PD-L1 is a critical regulator of antitumor T cell immunity and is the target of immunostimulatory therapy in cancer patients (36, 44). Upon activation, CAD macrophages increased the surface expression of CD86 by approximately 2-fold, while PD-L1 density increased by almost 10-fold (Figure 4, B and C). Enhancing glycolytic flux by treatment with the PKM2 modulator ML265 did not affect CD86 expression, but reduced PD-L1 by 40%.

ML265, by restoring glycolytic flux and pyruvate-to-lactate conversion, effectively reduces mitochondrial pyruvate import metabolically reprogrammed, overutilizing glucose, which stresses the mitochondrial machinery and results in excessive ROS production. One consequence is the dimerization of the glycolytic enzyme PKM2, which promotes the production of IL-6 and IL-1β and renders the macrophages hyperinflammatory (24).

To examine whether the metabolic abnormalities in CAD macrophages are linked to their antigen-presenting and T cell stimulatory functions, PKM2 function was modulated with the small-molecule reagent ML265, which forces PKM2 into a highly glycolytic tetrameric formation (42), offsetting PKM2 inactivation and the low-pyruvate state of the cells. Pretreatment of CAD macrophages with ML265 counteracted the suppressive function and restored effective T cell stimulation (Figure 3A). The frequencies of CD4+CD69+ and CD4+CD25+ T cells improved significantly when PKM2 activity was enhanced (Figure 3B). Also, pretreatment with ML265 had a profound impact on the proliferation of CD4+ T cells and their differentiation into effector cells (Figure 3, C–E). We measured T cell proliferation indices by CFSE dilution (Figure 3C), which revealed a proproliferative effect when macrophage PKM2 was activated. In the presence of untreated CAD macrophages, less than 10% of CD4 T cells differentiated into IFN-γ–producing Th1 cells, and approximately 1% of CD4+ T cells committed to the Th17 lineage (Figure 3, D and E). Both effector cell populations increased by 50% when the CAD macrophages were pretreated with the PKM2 activator. We tested whether ML265 treatment causes conditioning of the extracellular environment, e.g., a reduction in glucose availability that would indirectly affect T cell activation and growth. In transfer experiments, in which T cells were placed into medium conditioned by M265-treated macrophages, T cell activation was as effective as that observed in T cells kept in the control medium (Supplemental Figure 1; supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI92167DS1). These data established a functional connection between the glycolytic machinery and macrophage functions, specifically in T cell–macrophage interactions.

PKM2 regulates PD-L1 expression. To understand how the glycolytic enzyme PKM2 can modulate antigen-presenting functions of macrophages, we analyzed whether PKM2 activation influences the gene expression pattern of costimulatory and coinhibitory ligands. We focused on 4 costimulatory molecules that are critically involved in coactivating CD4+ T cells: the constitutively expressed CD80 and CD86 and the activation-induced ICOS ligand and OX40 ligand (43). Pretreatment of macrophages did not affect any of the costimulatory ligands (Figure 4A). Unexpectedly, we found that CAD macrophages were high expressers of the coinhibitory ligand PD-L1. PD-L1 is a critical regulator of antitumor T cell immunity and is the target of immunostimulatory therapy in cancer patients (36, 44). Upon activation, CAD macrophages increased the surface expression of CD86 by approximately 2-fold, while PD-L1 density increased by almost 10-fold (Figure 4, B and C). Enhancing glycolytic flux by treatment with the PKM2 modulator ML265 did not affect CD86 expression, but reduced PD-L1 by 40%.

ML265, by restoring glycolytic flux and pyruvate-to-lactate conversion, effectively reduces mitochondrial pyruvate import...
(26, 27). Dampening of mitochondrial respiration by disrupting glycolytic breakdown can be mimicked by feeding the cells with 2-deoxy glucose (2DG). We found that inhibiting glycolysis through 2DG effectively reduced PD-L1 expression (Figure 4B) even more so than did treatment with ML265. 2DG-mediated disruption of glycolytic activity was sufficient to also reduce CD86 expression (Figure 4C), indicating that the glucose-deprived cells were under metabolic stress.

These data mechanistically connected PD-L1 expression to glycolytic flux, with PKM2-inactivated cells expressing the highest levels of PD-L1.

**CAD macrophages are constitutively PD-L1**

We addressed the question of whether CAD macrophages have higher expression of the immunoinhibitory ligand PD-L1. In a cohort of 18 healthy individuals and 34 patients with CAD, we compared PD-L1 surface expression (Figure 4, D and E). We found that the surface density of PD-L1 was significantly higher on CAD macrophages. PD-L1 levels measured by flow cytometry were similarly elevated on macrophages generated from male and female patients (Supplemental Figure 2), excluding sex as a determining factor. Since patients with CAD frequently have comorbidities such as DM, hypertension (HTN), or hyperlipidemia (HL), we asked whether PD-L1–expressing macrophages were associated with such comorbidities. Patients were categorized on the basis of the number of comorbidities they had at the time of study enrollment (Figure 4F). PD-L1 surface expression was similar in patients with one or several comorbidities, identifying the cardiovascular disease process itself as the major determinant. To exclude the possibility that medications used routinely to treat CAD patients increase surface expression of PD-L1, we explored whether treatment with aspirin, statins, and an angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor affected PD-L1 expression (Supplemental Figure 2). We found that surface densities of PD-L1 were similar in all individuals, independent of their medication exposure.

**PD-L1 expression on macrophages residing in the atherosclerotic lesion**

Next, we examined whether PD-L1 is present on tissue-residing macrophages in atherosclerotic lesions. To capture all stages of the atherosclerotic disease process, we collected aortic wall speci-
mens with different atherosclerotic lesions and analyzed them by triple-color IHC (Figure 5). We found that normal aortic wall was essentially free of macrophages. Fatty streak lesions, representing early lesions, contained CD68+ macrophages, the majority of which stained positive for PD-L1 (Figure 5, C and D). Macrophages within fully developed atheromas, as well as those in fibrocalcified lesions, were consistently PD-L1+, establishing the immunoinhibitory ligand as a common feature of plaque-infiltrating macrophages.

Collectively, tissue-resident macrophages in patients with CAD are primarily immunosuppressive because of high expression of the inhibitory ligand PD-L1.

Macrophage-mediated T cell suppression is PD-L1 dependent. To confirm the role of PD-L1 in CAD macrophages, we applied siRNA technology to reduce the surface expression of the ligand in patient-derived macrophages. PD-L1 siRNA transfection resulted in a marked downregulation of surface PD-L1 expression, but PD-L2 expression was not affected (Supplemental Figure 3). When PD-L1 was knocked down in CAD macrophages, the ability to activate CD4 T cells, measured by the frequencies of CD4+CD25+ and CD4+CD69+ T cells, improved significantly (Figure 6, A and B), and the capacity to induce T cell proliferation, measured by CFSE dilution, was also restored (Figure 6, C and D).

We next examined whether blocking access to PD-L1 counteracts the suppressive capabilities of CAD macrophages. Blocking PD-L1 using anti–PD-L1 antibodies effectively restored the T cell-stimulatory functions of CAD macrophages (Figure 6, E–H). When anti–PD-L1 antibodies were combined with the PKM2 activator ML265, we observed no further improvement of T cell activation, suggesting that the effect of ML265 on repairing stimulatory macrophage functions was mediated by PD-L1 downregulation.

To confirm that PD-L1 overexpression was functionally important in impairing the patients’ antiviral immunity, we blocked the immune checkpoint with an anti–PD-L1 antibody and assessed the impact on the frequencies of VZV-specific T cells in the ELISPOT assay system (Figure 7). We found that blocking PD-L1 improved the frequencies of anti-VZV–responsive T cells by 20% to 30% in all patients tested.

These data implicated PD-L1 as a key molecule in rendering CAD macrophages immunosuppressive.

The BMP4/p-SMAD1/5/IRF1 signaling pathway regulates PD-L1 in CAD macrophages. To understand why macrophages in CAD patients are constitutively PD-L1hi, thus providing strong inhibitory signals to interacting T cells, we screened signaling pathway inhibitors for their ability to reduce the surface density

Figure 5. Tissue-resident macrophages express the immunoinhibitory ligand PD-L1 through all stages of atherosclerosis. (A, C, E, and G) H&E staining from aortic wall sections. (A) Normal wall; (C) fatty streak; (E) atheroma; and (G) fibrocalcified atheroma. Scale bars: 20 μm. (B, D, F, and H) PD-L1–expressing macrophages identified by triple-color IHC in normal wall (B), fatty streak (D), atheroma (F), and fibrocalcified atheroma (H) from aortic wall sections. Tissue sections were immunostained with anti-CD68 (green), anti–PD-L1 (red), and DAPI (blue) and analyzed by fluorescence microscopy. Scale bars: 20 μm. Images from 1 of 6 stains are shown. Yellow arrows mark macrophages expressing PD-L1.
of PD-L1. Screening experiments revealed that treatment with the small molecule dorsomorphin at a dose of 2 μM effectively lowered PD-L1 expression levels (Figure 8, A and B). A major effect of dorsomorphin lies in the dose-dependent inhibition of SMAD1/5/8 phosphorylation, inhibiting IRF1-dependent gene transcription (45). This signaling pathway is triggered by the bone morphogenetic proteins, specifically BMP4 (46, 47). Consequently, we investigated the impact of dorsomorphin on p-SMAD1/5 and IRF1 in CAD macrophages (Figure 8, C–E). Dorsomorphin potently reduced the concentrations of p-SMAD1/5 and IRF1 in patient-derived cells. This prompted experiments comparing control and CAD macrophages for the spontaneous expression of the signaling molecule p-SMAD1/5, the transcription factor IRF1, and the upstream inducer BMP4 (Figure 8, F–H). Following activation with IFN-γ and LPS, patient-derived macrophages had significantly higher amounts of phosphorylated SMAD1/5, and intracellular concentrations of IRF1 were similarly increased. Transcript levels of BMP4 were 2.5-fold higher in CAD macrophages than in control macrophages. Increased expression of BMP4 and IRF1 transcripts and elevated surface expression of PD-L1 was already detectable in CD14+ monocytes freshly isolated from peripheral blood (Supplemental Figure 4). Also, recombinant BMP4 proved to upregulate intracellular IRF1 and directly increased the surface expression of PD-L1 in healthy macrophages (Supplemental Figure 5).

To test whether BMP4 and IRF1 expression was regulated by glycolytic flux, we treated CAD macrophages with ML265, analogous to the experiments depicted in Figure 4A. By enforcing PKM2 tetramerization, ML265 enhances flux through the glycolytic pathway and effectively reduces the availability of pyruvate for mitochondrial respiration (26, 27). ML265 treatment reduced BMP4 transcript levels to approximately one-third of the original levels (Figure 8I), and IRF1 protein concentrations declined significantly (Figure 8, J and K).

These data placed the macrophage product BMP4 and the transcription factor IRF1 upstream of PD-L1 induction.
The glycolytic intermediate pyruvate controls BMP4 and PD-L1 expression in CAD macrophages. To implicate BMP4 in the induction and sustainment of PD-L1 in vivo, tissue sections for carotid atheroma were immunostained for BMP4 protein. The protein localized exclusively to CD68<sup>+</sup> cells, with most tissue macrophages producing BMP4 (Figure 9A).

To better understand how glycolytic activity affects BMP4 and IRF1 production, we investigated whether the critical glycolytic intermediate pyruvate influences the activity of the BMP4/IRF1/PD-L1 axis. Healthy macrophages fed with exogenous pyruvate responded with a doubling of BMP4 transcript levels (Figure 9B). Likewise, cellular pyruvate concentrations regulated IRF1 transcription (Figure 9C). Supplying exogenous pyruvate was sufficient to increase PD-L1 surface expression (Figure 9, D and E). In essence, pyruvate-treated healthy macrophages acquired the PD-L1<sup>hi</sup> phenotype spontaneously encountered in CAD macrophages (Figure 9E).

To examine whether disrupting the supply of pyruvate to the mitochondria can change PD-L1 expression, we stimulated healthy and patient-derived macrophages in the presence of the pyruvate transport inhibitor UK5099 (Figure 9, F and G). CAD macrophages normalized PD-L1 surface expression when the import of pyruvate into the mitochondria was impaired. Similarly, PD-L1 expression on control macrophages was sensitive to the amount of pyruvate shuttled from the cytoplasm to the mitochondria.

These data mechanistically linked expression of the immunoinhibitory ligand PD-L1 to the availability of mitochondrial pyruvate.

Discussion

Cell-intrinsic metabolic dysregulation renders macrophages hyperinflammatory in patients with CAD. Here, we have linked nutrient excess in CAD macrophages to defects in adaptive immunity, emphasizing the interdependence of metabolic and immune regulation. Because of high surface expression of the immunoinhibitory ligand PD-L1, CAD macrophages provide negative signals to T cells and inhibit their activation and clonal expansion, which weakens T cell immunity as specifically shown for VZV. PD-L1<sup>hi</sup> immunosuppressive macrophages populate the atherosclerotic plaque already during early stages of the disease process. Unexpectedly, PD-L1 expression is mechanistically linked to the loading of mitochondria with the glycolytic metabolite pyruvate, implicating glucose availability in the regulation of immune effector functions. In response to excess pyruvate availability, CAD macrophages begin to produce BMP4 and trigger the p-SMAD1/5/IRF1 signaling cascade. The end result is a PD-L1<sup>hi</sup>-expressing macrophage, placing glucose utilization upstream of immune checkpoint regulation (Figure 10) and emphasizing the negative impact of nutrient oversupply on immune cells. Glucose-dependent reprogramming of macrophage effector functions renders CAD patients susceptible to VZV reactivation and defines novel interception points in the effort to strengthen the immunocompetence of such patients.

Macrophages from patients with CAD are functionally distinct from those of age-matched healthy individuals. The current data add T cell inhibition to the previously described inflammatory propensity of patient-derived cells (24). Notably, both defects, the provision of immunoinhibitory signals and the excessive release of proinflammatory cytokines, can be traced back to a common molecular root, that of the dysregulation of the glycolytic machinery. Both defects respond to the identical intervention, namely the treatment with ML265, a small-molecule activator of PKM2. In previous work (24), we have linked the release of the proinflammatory cytokines IL-1β and IL-6 to the dimerization and nuclear translocation of PKM2. Nuclear accumulation of dimeric PKM2 results from ROS-mediated oxidation of the enzyme and reflects the unopposed production of mitochondrial ROS, which is in itself a consequence of excess glucose utilization, imposing mitochondrial stress responses (24). The data presented here support the notion that activation of PKM2 has additional effects beyond controlling nuclear PKM2 levels, such as reducing mitochondrial stress by lowering pyruvate availability. Under conditions of high glycolytic activity, when cellular levels of the PKM2 activator fructose 1,6-bisphosphate (FBP) are high, respiration is partially suppressed, and pyruvate is promptly converted into lactate. In contrast, when PKM2 is partially inactivated, most prominently so in cancer cells and inflammatory macrophages (24, 48–50), upstream glycolytic intermediates accumulate and fuel synthetic pathways, such as the pentose phosphate pathway (PPP), thereby enabling cellular growth and expansion. Although the mechanism is not understood, PKM2<sup>lo</sup> activity also makes pyruvate available to the mitochondria, fostering oxidative phosphorylation and TCA cycle activity. Treatment with ML265 phenocopied the effects achieved with 2DG or blocking mitochondrial pyruvate import, which was compatible with the model showing that ML265 essentially reduces the pyruvate load for the mitochondria. These key findings of the current study are summarized in Figure 10.

Both macrophage defects, i.e., cytokine production and PD-L1 upregulation, already existed in circulating monocytes (Supplemental Figure 4), eliminating macrophage ex vivo generation or the tissue microenvironment as causative elements. Rather, the immune defects of CAD macrophages are strongly reminiscent

The journal of Clinical Investigation

Figure 7. Blocking PD-L1 corrects VZV response in patients with CAD. PBMCs were isolated from patients with CAD (n = 11), plated at 1 × 10<sup>6</sup> cells/well, and stimulated with VZV lysate or a mock lysate for 18 hours in the presence of isotype control or anti–PD-L1 antibody. Spots of IFN-γ–secreting T cells were determined by ELISPOT assay. (A) Representative ELISPOT results. (B) The numbers of VZV-specific IFN-γ–secreting T cells are presented as the mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05, by paired, 2-tailed Student’s t test.
of inflamming, which describes the coexistence of insufficient adaptive immunity with uncontrolled tissue inflammation in aging (51, 52). We believe that identifying the mitochondrial pyruvate load as a molecular target underlying both abnormalities opens novel therapeutic avenues.

The key position of PD-L1 in antitumor immunity escape mechanisms has peaked interest in how this ligand is induced on immune and nonimmune cells. Inflammatory activity in the tumor microenvironment is believed to be a central driver, and the transcription factor IRF1 is primarily responsible for constitutive and IFN-γ-mediated PD-L1 expression (39). The data presented here concur with the role of IRF1 in stimulating the PD-L1 promoter. However, we have implicated a different upstream inducer, BMP4. Circulating monocytes and plaque-residing macrophages produce BMP4, empowering them to upregulate PD-L1 through cell-intrinsic control. The majority of plaque-infiltrating macrophages expressed both BMP4 and PD-L1, enabling them to deliver negative signals to interacting T cells. This mechanism may represent a failed attempt to downregulate plaque immune responses but may also undermine host-beneficial immunity, such as tissue healing (53).

BMPs are best known as regulators of bone and cartilage formation (54), but BMP4 has been implicated in modifying disease mechanisms in several cardiovascular conditions, including atherosclerosis, hypertension, valvar abnormalities, pulmonary arterial hypertension, and myocardial ischemic injuries (55–60). BMP4 is upregulated in atherosclerotic blood vessels, contributes to vascular calcification, and has been implicated in the progression of the atherosclerotic lesion (61). In diabetic Apoe−/− mice, BMP4 was increased in the aorta and enhanced oxidized LDL uptake in macrophages (63). BMP4 has been implicated in foam cell formation through SMAD 1/5/8 signaling (64) and is believed to amplify cancer metastasis.
anti-VZV immunity adds further disease burden beyond vascular events. Excess PD-L1 expression is a well-recognized mechanism through which tumor cells evade T cell recognition and destruction, raising the question of whether CAD and cancer have overlapping predisposing immune defects. The risk for stroke is increased manifold in patients with lung, pancreatic, colorectal, or breast cancer (66). Also, mortality in patients with established CAD is more frequent because of noncardiovascular causes, specifically cancer, pneumonia, and sepsis (67), which is suggestive of failing immune protection in this patient population. Immunomodulatory therapy for CAD may therefore not only target the inflammatory propensity of macrophages, but may also improve their protective functions as antigen-presenting cells. Combined pro- and anti-immune functions is exemplified in tumor-associated macrophages and related myeloid cell populations (68). Unleashing antitumor T cells by directly blocking negative signaling with anti–PD-1 or anti–PD-L1 antibodies has caused a paradigm shift in cancer immunotherapy. The data presented here would predict that blocking the PD-1 checkpoint in patients with CAD should enhance their anti-VZV T cell responses in a similar manner. The sharing of inappropriate immune checkpoint activation in CAD and cancer is intriguing and may provide a rationale for the development of shared biomarkers and shared therapeutic interventions.

Like all translational studies, the current study has limitations imposed by working with human samples. Patients were stringently selected for a high load of CAD, and we consistently matched for age and sample handling and explored the possible effects of the standard medications used to manage patients after myocardial infarction. Macrophages from non-CAD individuals treated with such medications were functionally indistinguishable from those from patients who were medication free. We believe that this study has direct clinical implications. Recognizing that macrophages in patients with CAD are not only powerful proinflammatory effector cells, but modify T cell–dependent immune responses by providing negative signals, expands the pathogenic potential of these macrophages. Most significantly, the propensity of patients with CAD to mount hyperactive inflammatory responses is combined with impaired antiviral immunity. Reactivation of VZV is a feared complication of the immune aging process, but in patients with CAD, disproportion impairment of anti-VZV immunity adds further disease burden beyond vascular events. Excess PD-L1 expression is a well-recognized mechanism through which tumor cells evade T cell recognition and destruction, raising the question of whether CAD and cancer have overlapping predisposing immune defects. The risk for stroke is increased manifold in patients with lung, pancreatic, colorectal, or breast cancer (66). Also, mortality in patients with established CAD is more frequent because of noncardiovascular causes, specifically cancer, pneumonia, and sepsis (67), which is suggestive of failing immune protection in this patient population. Immunomodulatory therapy for CAD may therefore not only target the inflammatory propensity of macrophages, but may also improve their protective functions as antigen-presenting cells. Combined pro- and anti-immune functions is exemplified in tumor-associated macrophages and related myeloid cell populations (68). Unleashing antitumor T cells by directly blocking negative signaling with anti–PD-1 or anti–PD-L1 antibodies has caused a paradigm shift in cancer immunotherapy. The data presented here would predict that blocking the PD-1 checkpoint in patients with CAD should enhance their anti-VZV T cell responses in a similar manner. The sharing of inappropriate immune checkpoint activation in CAD and cancer is intriguing and may provide a rationale for the development of shared biomarkers and shared therapeutic interventions.
Cells and cultures. PBMCs were isolated by density gradient centrifugation using Lymphoprep (STEMCELL Technologies). Monocytes isolated from PBMCs by plastic adherence were differentiated into macrophages in RPMI 1640 medium (Life Technologies, Thermo Fisher Scientific) supplemented with 10% FBS (Lonza) and 20 ng/ml macrophage-CSF (M-CSF) (eBioscience) for 5 days as previously reported (24). Macrophages were further differentiated into M1 macrophages by stimulation with 100 U/ml IFN-γ (Sino Biologicals) and 100 ng/ml LPS (Sigma-Aldrich). Macrophages were detached from plates using StemPro Accutase Cell Dissociation Reagent (Life technologies, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Total or naive CD4+ T cells were isolated using EasySep Human CD4+ T Cell Enrichment Kit or an EasySep Human Naive CD4+ T Cell Isolation Kit (STEMCELL Technologies). Dynabeads Human T-Activator CD3/CD28 for T cell Expansion and Activation (Thermo Fisher Scientific; 11313D) were mixed with naive CD4+ T cells at a ratio of 1:4.

To enforce PKM2 tetramerization, 50 μM ML265 (Cayman Chemical; CAS 1221186-53-3) was added to the cultures. Dorosomorphin (2 μM) (Cayman Chemical; CAS 866405-64-3) was used to inhibit BMP4. Recombinant BMP4 (10 ng/ml) was purchased from PeproTech (120-05ET). To block the glycolytic pathway, 5 mM 2DG (Sigma-Aldrich; S8375) was added to the culture medium for the indicated durations (Figures 6 and 7). Sodium pyruvate (5 mM) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (P8574), and 2 μM UK5099 (Calbiochem; 5,04817,0001) was added to the culture medium to inhibit mitochondrial pyruvate import.

Macrophage–T cell cocultures. T cell–stimulatory functions of macrophages were tested in a coculture system. Macrophages were stimulated with 100 U/ml IFN-γ (Sino Biologicals) for 24 hours and loaded with 1.5 ng/ml anti-CD3/OKT antibody (BioLegend) for 1 hour. CD4 T cells were purified and mixed with macrophages at a ratio of 1:5 in 96-well U-bottomed plates. In selected experiments, the ratio was changed as indicated in each figure legend.

Quantitative reverse transcription PCR. Total RNA was extracted with Direct-zol RNA MiniPrep (Zymo Research), and cDNA was reverse transcribed using the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Gene expression was determined using 2× SYBR Green qPCR Master Mix (Biotool.com) and a RealPlex2 Mastercycler (Eppendorf) as previously reported (24). The primers used are listed in Supplemental Table 1. Gene transcript numbers were adjusted relative to β-actin transcripts.

Flow cytometry. Surface molecule expression was measured using a BD LSRII Fortessa, and data were analyzed with FlowJo software (Tree Star). The following antibodies were obtained from BioLegend: allophycocyanin-conjugated (APC-conjugated) anti-CD25 (302610, BC96);
### Table 1. Demographics of patients with CAD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Former</th>
<th>Current</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, yr (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>69.9 ± 8.8</td>
<td>69.9 ± 8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>92.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>85.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BMI (mean ± SD)</strong></td>
<td>30.4 ± 4.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diabetes mellitus</strong></td>
<td>49.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>70.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hypertension</strong></td>
<td>70.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperlipidemia</td>
<td>75.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Family history of CAD</strong></td>
<td>61.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smoking history</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former</td>
<td>61.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ACE inhibitor</strong></td>
<td>54.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angiotensin II receptor blocker</td>
<td>17.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Blocker</td>
<td>57.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca antagonist</td>
<td>35.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diuretic</td>
<td>40.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiplatelet</td>
<td>79.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statin</td>
<td>84.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfonylurea</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin</td>
<td>20.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pacific Blue–conjugated anti-CD69 (310920, FN50); APC-conjugated anti-CD45RA (304112, HI100); FITC-conjugated anti-CD45RO (304204, UCHL1); APC-conjugated anti-CD274 (PD-L1, 329708, 29E.2A3); phycoerythrin-conjugated (PE-conjugated) anti-CD273 (PD-L2, 329606, 24F.10C12); PE-conjugated anti-CD4 (317410, OKT4); and Pacific Blue–conjugated anti-CD86 (305423, IT2.2). To evaluate cytokine production in T cells, the cells were restimulated with 50 ng/ml ionomycin and 1 μg/ml ionomycin (Life Technologies, Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 8 hours, permeabilized using the BD Cytofix/Cytoperm Kit, stained with PE-conjugated anti-IFN-γ (BD, 554552 and 13780) and FITC-conjugated anti-IL7a (BioLegend; 512304 and BL168), and analyzed by flow cytometry. GolgiStop (BD; 564219) was added for the last 6 hours of culture. To evaluate intracellular protein in macrophages, the cells stimulated with LPS and IFN-γ for 24 hours were fixed, permeabilized, stained with rabbit anti-IRF1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.; sc-497) or rabbit anti-p-SMAD1/5 (Cell Signaling Technology), stained with PE-conjugated goat anti-rabbit antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.; sc-3739), and analyzed by flow cytometry.

**Cell proliferation assay.** Total CD4 T cells were labeled with CellTrace CFSE (Life Technologies, Thermo Fisher Scientific) and cocultured with M1 macrophages for 6 days. Next, the cells were washed, stained with PE-conjugated anti-CD4 and APC-conjugated anti-CD45RA, and analyzed by flow cytometry. Representative figures show CD4-gated cell populations. Proliferation indices (division number of responding cells) were calculated with FlowJo software.

**siRNA-mediated knockdown.** To knock down PD-L1 expression, 1 nM siRNA oligonucleotides were transfected into macrophages using INTERFERin (Polyplus Transfection). Oligo duplex RNA specific for PD-L1 and a negative control were purchased from GE Dharmacon. Forty-eight hours after transfection, macrophages were stimulated and tested.

**Measurement of IFN-γ-secreting VZV-specific T cells.** ELISPOT assays were performed as described previously (6). Briefly, serial dilutions of PBMCs were cultured in duplicate on precoated anti-IFN-γ ELISPOT plates (Mabtech) and stimulated with 3 μg/ml VZV viral lysate for 18 hours. Spots were developed with an HRP-conjugated anti–IFN-γ antibody and tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) substrate. Plates were analyzed using ImmunoSpot software (Cellular Technology Ltd.).

**IHC.** IHC was performed using previously published protocols (24). Briefly, OCT-embedded sections of carotid endarterectomy specimens were sectioned at 10-μm intervals and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde solution (Affymetrix). Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue samples were deparaffinized with xylene and ethanol, and antigen retrieval was performed using Target Retrieval Solution (Dako; S1699). After blocking with 5% normal goat serum (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific), sections were incubated with un conjugated primary antibody overnight. Primary antibodies included mouse anti-human CD68 antibody (1:200; Dako; M0814, KPI); rabbit anti-human CD68 antibody (1:200; Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.; sc-9139); rabbit anti-BMP4 antibody (1:200; Abcam; ab124715); and mouse anti–PD-L1 (1:200; BioLegend; 329702, 29E.2A3). Antibody binding was visualized by staining with Alexa Fluor 488–conjugated goat anti rabbit IgG (Life Technologies, Thermo Fisher Scientific; A11008) or Alexa Fluor 546 goat anti-mouse IgG (Life Technologies, Thermo Fisher Scientific; A11003) secondary antibodies for 2 hours and mounted with DAPI-containing mounting medium (Molecular Probes). Tissue sections were examined using fluorescence microscopy.

**Statistics.** Statistical significance was calculated by unpaired or paired, 2-tailed Student’s t test, Mann-Whitney U test, 1-way ANOVA, or 2-way ANOVA as appropriate. All analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism Version 7 (GraphPad Software). For all analyses, P values of less than 0.05 were considered significant.

**Study approval.** The study was approved by the IRB of Stanford University, and written informed consent was obtained from all study participants.
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