HIV persistence in patients undergoing antiretroviral therapy is a major impediment to the cure of HIV/AIDS. The molecular and cellular mechanisms underlying HIV persistence in vivo have not been fully elucidated. This lack of basic knowledge has hindered progress in this area. The in vivo analysis of HIV persistence and the implementation of curative strategies would benefit from animal models that accurately recapitulate key aspects of the human condition. This Review summarizes the contribution that humanized mouse models of HIV infection have made to the field of HIV cure research. Even though these models have been shown to be highly informative in many specific areas, their great potential to serve as excellent platforms for discovery in HIV pathogenesis and treatment has yet to be fully developed.

HIV persistence
Since the early days of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART), it has been clear that, despite strong and long-lasting suppression of the virus in peripheral blood, HIV can persist in patients indefinitely. Moreover, interruption of treatment leads to a rapid rebound of plasma viremia to levels similar to those exist-

ing prior to therapy initiation (1–6). This recrudescence is believed to be the result of the reactivation of latently infected cells present in the peripheral blood and tissues of ART-suppressed patients. Latently infected T cells in the peripheral blood represent the most extensively studied and best-characterized HIV reservoir in patients. The contribution of other hematopoietic cells to HIV persistence is currently the subject of intense investigation and debate (7–9). However, little information is currently available regarding latently infected cells in tissues.

In the literature, the term “HIV reservoir” has been used to indicate a number of different measures of HIV, such as viral DNA (vDNA), vRNA, viral proteins, and virus outgrowth from T cells stimulated ex vivo. The overall HIV reservoir contributing to persis-

ence in ART-treated individuals might include some low level of actively replicating virus or chronically infected cells. For the purposes of this Review, we will define the latent HIV reservoir (i.e., not expressing functional proviruses) as the absolute number of long-lived, resting, latently infected cells that, upon stimu-

lation in vivo or ex vivo, can produce replication-competent virus capable of reestablishing the infection. We use this strict definition because it most closely describes the target that must ultimately be destroyed to truly rid the body of infection. Therefore, the HIV res-

ervoir is composed of all the latently infected, long-lived, resting, inducible cells present in all tissues and the peripheral blood of an infected individual.

The gold standard for measurement of the HIV reservoir in patients is the quantitative viral outgrowth assay (QVOA) per-

formed on resting peripheral blood cells obtained from fully sup-

pressed patients that are induced ex vivo using maximal stimu-

lation to produce virus (10–14). This peripheral blood reservoir of latently infected cells has been shown to persist for decades and to have an extremely long half-life (13, 15). However, it is likely that in humans, long-lived, resting, latently infected cells also exist in tissues (1). Some tissues including the brain have been referred to as sanctuaries for viral persistence, because infected cells located within these tissues may be extremely difficult to eliminate due to a lack of drug penetration (16, 17). Currently, there are ethical and practical issues that prevent the study of the HIV reservoir in human tissues. Similar ethical and practical considerations prevent the evaluation of novel clinical approaches to HIV eradication, because their implementation might pose unnecessary risk to an otherwise relatively healthy group of individuals undergoing safe and effective treatment for HIV infection (18, 19). Therefore, detailed investigation of the molecular basis of HIV persistence in vivo, as well as in vivo evaluation of novel approaches to HIV eradication, require the use of animal models that faithfully (or at least adequately) reflect key aspects of the human condition. The two types of models currently available for this type of research are nonhu-

man primates (NHPs) and humanized mice. This Review will examine the utility of these models to investigate HIV latency, persistence, reactivation, and eradication, with an emphasis on recent work done in humanized mice.

HIV species specificity and tropism
HIV is a pathogen of humans and is not known to cause disease in any other species. Although HIV is human tropic, it can also replicate in chimpanzees (20–24). HIV cannot infect or repli-

cate in other species of commonly used laboratory animals like mice, rats, rabbits, and macaques (25–29). The species speci-

ficity of HIV for humans is multifactorial and not limited to the interactions of viral surface proteins with human cell-surface receptors. For example, coexpression of the cell-surface HIV recep-

tor (human CD4) and either of its coreceptors (CCR5 or
CXCR4) does not render mouse or rat cells competent to support virus replication (26, 29). Viral restriction factors, including tripartite motif–containing protein 5α (TRIM5α) and apolipoprotein B mRNA–editing enzyme, catalytic polypeptide 3 (APOBEC3), also severely limit the ability of HIV to replicate in other species (30–36). These barriers to HIV replication in multiple species have resulted in a lack of adequate animal models to study basic aspects of HIV replication, pathogenesis, latency, and persistence.

An introduction to humanized mouse models
In the early 1980s, pioneering work from the laboratory of John E. Dick and others established the repopulation of immunodeficient mice with human hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) (37–42). Since then, many different types of “humanized mice” have been described, and these models have been reviewed extensively elsewhere (43–46). Virtually all modern humanized mouse models (Figure 1) are produced via transplantation of human CD34+ HSCs and/or human tissues into one of several different strains of immunodeficient mice (46), resulting in systemic or local reconstitution with human hematopoietic cells that, depending on the model, can include human B cells, monocytes and macrophages, DCs, and T cells. When humanized mice are generated via the transplantation of human CD34+ stem cells, human T cells are produced in only certain strains. In these specific mouse strains, the human T cells generated from transplanted CD34+ cells are produced in the mouse thymus and are presumed to be educated in the context of mouse MHC class I and II (47–49). However, in humanized mouse models in which human liver and thymus tissues are implanted under the kidney capsule to create a functional human thymus (SCID-hu thy/liv mice, T cell–only mice [ToM], and bone marrow/liver/thymus [BLT] mice), T cells can develop in the presence of human thymic epithelium, resulting in HLA I and II restriction (50, 51). BLT mice differ from SCID-hu thy/liv mice and ToM, in that they receive an autologous human bone marrow transplant after the implantation of human liver and thymus tissues from which T cell progenitors and other human hematopoietic cells are derived, resulting in systemic reconstitution with virtually all other types of human hematopoietic cells (52, 53). Additionally, several new strains of mice have recently been used to generate humanized mice, some of which have been used to study relevant aspects of HIV persistence in vivo. Table 1 describes the different mouse strains used for the work discussed herein.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Models for which it has been used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prkdc&lt;sup&gt;−/−&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>SCID</td>
<td>thy/liv implant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOD.CB17-Prkdc&lt;sup&gt;−/−&lt;/sup&gt;/J</td>
<td>NOD/SCID</td>
<td>BLT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOD.Cg-Prkdc&lt;sup&gt;−/−&lt;/sup&gt; Il2rg&lt;sup&gt;−/−&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>NSG</td>
<td>BLT, CD34 transplant and ToM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOD.Rag1&lt;sup&gt;−/−&lt;/sup&gt; Il2rg&lt;sup&gt;−/−&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>NRG</td>
<td>CD34 cell transplant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BALB/c-Rag1&lt;sup&gt;−/−&lt;/sup&gt; γ&lt;sup&gt;−/−&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>DKO</td>
<td>CD34 cell transplant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reconstitution of immunodeficient mice with CD34+ cells
As discussed above, John Dick and colleagues demonstrated that immunodeficient mice could be partially reconstituted with human cells after bone marrow transplantation with human CD34+ cells (37, 38, 42). Early on, relatively low levels of reconstitution were noted when using several different strains of immunodeficient mice. Subsequently, significantly better levels of human reconstitution were observed when NOD/SCID mice were transplanted with human CD34+ cells (39, 41, 42). Surprisingly and almost invariably, only human B and myeloid cells were present in the reconstituted mice. For the most part, all of the mice reconstituted with CD34+ cells were devoid of human T cells. Obviously, this represented a significant limitation for the use of these models in HIV research. The lack of T cells in NOD/SCID mice was overcome by implantation of human thymic tissue, as indicated below for BLT mice (53). In addition, three newly introduced immunodeficient mouse strains, Rag1<sup>−/−</sup> common gamma chain<sup>−/−</sup> (DKO), NOD Rag1<sup>−/−</sup> common gamma chain<sup>−/−</sup> (NRG), and NSG mice, were able to produce human T cells using the endogenous mouse thymus (47–49). Since then, numerous additional strains of immunodeficient mice have been shown to support endogenous human T cell production after bone marrow transplantation with human CD34+ cells (54–57). Some of these models have been used to study HIV persistence.

Strengths and limitations of current humanized mouse models
The humanized mouse models currently available for biomedical research differ in their level of complexity. In all cases, they are capable of replicating both HIV-1 and -2. Viral replication takes place in human cells present in both the peripheral blood and tissues. The human cells present in these animals have been shown to induce both innate and adaptive immune responses (46, 53, 58–61). In addition, HIV infection in these models responds to the same drugs used in humans (62–68). Like all animal models for biomedical research, there are limitations to their use in HIV research. Some of these limitations are intrinsic and are related to the size and biology of the animal, including the relatively small volume of blood plasma that can be obtained for viral load analysis, the limited amount of peripheral blood cells that can be used for in vitro functional analysis, and the relatively short lifespan of the animal. In this regard, humanized mice can be considered a useful accelerated model for the rapid evaluation of relevant interventions. Other limitations are related to the nature of the xenografts between humans and mice. For example, the structure of secondary lymphoid tissues found in humanized mice does not fully replicate the structures observed in human tissues. Moreover, some highly engrafted animals develop a wasting disease (47, 69). However, these problems have been addressed in humanized mice generated in new immunodeficient mouse strains (55). Even though most humanized mouse models are capable of mounting adaptive immune responses, these are not optimal, and efforts are underway to improve them (46, 47, 70, 71). Nevertheless, the current humanized mouse models have been used extensively to test the efficacy of numerous immune approaches to control HIV replication and kill infected cells in vivo (67, 72–74).
Analysis of HIV persistence in SCID-hu thy/liv humanized mice

SCID-hu thy/liv mice were originally described by McCunne et al. as a murine model for the analysis of human hematolymphoid differentiation and function (75). Shortly thereafter, Namikawa et al. demonstrated that these mice were susceptible to HIV infection when virus was directly injected into the human thymic organoid that develops in these animals (76). The utility of this model to investigate the genesis of HIV latency was demonstrated by Brooks et al. (77), who used SCID-hu thy/liv mice to show that HIV latency could be generated during thymopoiesis and that this process could contribute to the systemic establishment of HIV latency in peripheral organs. The authors postulated that CD4 and CD8 double-positive thymocytes were targets of HIV infection and that the transcriptional silencing that occurs during thymopoiesis silenced the HIV promoter, resulting in latently infected, single-positive CD4 T cells capable of exiting the thymus into the periphery and seeding the spleen. Consistent with their hypothesis, the authors were also able to detect HIV in CD8 single-positive cells. Ex vivo induction of both cell types with either anti-human CD3 Abs or cytokines resulted in the production of virus. The authors concluded that HIV latency was readily established in thymocytes and that this process was a significant contributor to thymic and systemic HIV persistence. In subsequent work, the authors also investigated the role of NF-κB in HIV reactivation and used in vivo–generated, latently infected cells for ex vivo activation studies aimed at investigating T cell–signaling pathways that stimulated latent HIV in primary cells (78). Further analysis demonstrated that latent HIV could be reactivated ex vivo using prostratin and IL-7 (79, 80). The SCID-hu thy/liv model was also used to demonstrate the efficacy of immunotoxins in killing latently infected cells after reactivation ex vivo (81) and in killing infected thymocytes in animals undergoing combination ART (82).

Analysis of HIV latency and persistence in ToM

Despite its utility in the investigation of HIV infection and persistence in thymocytes, the SCID-hu thy/liv model is somewhat limited, given that SCID-hu thy/liv mice possess very few systemic human T cells and infection of the thymic organoid results in low levels of plasma viremia. The advent of a new and improved immunodeficient mouse strain has made it possible to expand the usefulness of this type of tissue implant model by providing increased levels of peripheral and systemic reconstitution with human T cells. Implantation of thy/liv tissue into NSG mice results in the development of a thymic organoid similar to the one that develops in SCID-hu thy/liv mice (83). However, in striking contrast with the virtual absence of human T cells in the periphery and tissues of SCID-hu thy/liv mice, NSG thy/liv mice have significant levels of human T cells in all tissues analyzed, including peripheral blood, spleen, thymus, lymph nodes, bone marrow, liver, and lung (83). What sets this model apart from the NSG mice transplanted with human CD34+ cells (described below) is the complete absence of human antigen-presenting cells (APCs). Specifically, NSG thy/liv mice are devoid of any human B cells, monocytes, macrophages, or DCs. Thus, these animals have been functionally designated as ToM (83). Unlike other humanized mouse models with high levels of systemic reconstitution with human T cells, ToM do not develop signs of graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) (83). ToM are susceptible to HIV infection and support high levels of viral replication, as determined by the analysis of plasma vRNA, and viremia is maintained for the lifespan of the animals. Consistent with the systemic distribution of human CD4+ T cells, HIV-infected cells can be found in all tissues analyzed, including peripheral blood, spleen, thymus, lymph nodes, bone marrow, liver, and lung (83). What sets this model apart from the NSG mice transplanted with human CD34+ cells (described below) is the complete absence of human antigen-presenting cells (APCs). Specifically, NSG thy/liv mice are devoid of any human B cells, monocytes, macrophages, or DCs. Thus, these animals have been functionally designated as ToM (83).
and resting CD4+ T cells were isolated for ex vivo induction assays. Using the same methodology previously described for the quantitation of HIV latency in humans (84–86), ToM were shown to establish HIV latency with a frequency similar to that observed in chronically infected patients receiving ART (83).

In vivo evaluation of broadly neutralizing Abs for HIV treatment
Unlike most conventional drugs used for the treatment of HIV/AIDS, Abs have numerous functions that, in addition to neutralizing infectious virus, can engage multiple aspects of the immune system to control infection and possibly kill infected cells in vivo (87–89). Some recently discovered Abs have extremely broad in vitro neutralization activity. When evaluated individually for their ability to control infection in vivo in humanized mice, these Abs were shown to provide a short (6–7 days) and variable (0.2–1.1 log10) reduction in peripheral blood plasma viral load levels (73). Viral replication in the presence of sustained Ab levels was associated with mutations that were mapped to the respective Ab-binding site (73). Similar results were obtained when infected mice were treated with a combination of three Abs, except that there was partial control of infection in 3 of the 12 treated animals. When a combination of five mAbs was administered to infected mice, a dramatic drop in viral load to below the level of detection (800 copies of vRNA per milliliter of plasma) was noted in 14 of 14 treated animals. This viral suppression was maintained for up to 60 days. Despite strong control of viremia in these mice by the Ab combination, viral rebound occurred an average of 60 days after therapy interruption. These results demonstrate the utility of humanized mice for the in vivo evaluation of broadly neutralizing Abs to suppress viremia. A second combination of three Abs in this model exhibited an even greater reduction in viral load, which was maintained for the entire course of treatment and accompanied by a reduction (0.8 log10) in vDNA levels in peripheral blood (67). Continuous administration of this Ab combination to animals previously treated with ART prevented viral rebound in some animals after ART cessation. As serum Ab levels decreased, the authors observed viral rebound in all animals. Given these highly encouraging results, single-Ab administration during and after ART was evaluated. In this case, selected Abs were able to control viremia in some, but not all, mice (67).

As demonstrated by the studies described above, intermittent dosing of Abs is associated with systemic Ab levels that vary between animals. Alternative approaches for the delivery of Abs have been evaluated in humanized animal models for HIV prevention (90–92). The most common way to deliver sustained levels of Abs has been the use of adeno-associated virus (AAV) vectors. With regard to the use of sustained delivery of Abs for HIV cure approaches, it is important to mention that administration of single Abs via AAV transduction in vivo was shown to result in better suppression in reconstituted NRG mice than intermittent dosing (67).

Evaluation of strategies to induce the latent HIV reservoir
Latent reservoirs of HIV are long lived and not directly affected by current ARTs (15, 93, 94). Given that latently infected cells are presumed to be transcriptionally silent, they are also presumed to not express HIV antigens and are therefore not expected to be recognized by the immune system, therapeutic Abs, or ex vivo-generated chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cells. In order to engage any of these approaches in the destruction of infected cells, latently infected cells must first be induced to express HIV, a process known as latency reversal. Three latency-reversing agents (LRAs), vorinostat, I-BET151, and cytotoxic T lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA-4), were evaluated in humanized NRG mice for their ability to prevent or delay viral rebound (72). LRAs were administered individually or in combination to infected NRG humanized mice that were previously suppressed for 20 days with a combination of three mAbs (3BNC117, 10-1074, and PG16) (72). LRAs were administered for 5 to 14 days, and the mice were monitored for viral rebound for an additional 45 to 85 days. Individual inducers had no discernible effect on viral rebound: of the suppressed infected animals receiving a single inducer, 31 of 33 mice rebounded. In contrast, of the animals receiving the combination of three different inducers that were under evaluation for an additional 62 to 105 days, 57% failed to show viral rebound, suggesting a possible reduction in the viral reservoir (72).

Analysis of HIV latency in DKO mice reconstituted with human CD34+ cells
DKO humanized mice efficiently replicate HIV after an i.v. or vaginal exposure (66, 95–98). Using a detailed pharmacokinetic analysis of plasma drug levels, Chaudhary et al. implemented a drug regimen consisting of tenofovir, emtricitabine, and the strand-transfer inhibitor L-870812, which was capable of efficiently suppressing HIV replication in most HIV-infected DKO humanized mice, as determined by plasma viral load analysis (99). In animals in which viral suppression was not complete, the authors were able to demonstrate the development of drug-resistant viruses. Discontinuation of therapy after viral suppression resulted in rapid viral rebound and loss of peripheral CD4+ T cells, demonstrating that this model recapitulated key aspects of human HIV infection. In a subsequent communication that used the same methodology, Choudhary et al. investigated the establishment of HIV latency in infected DKO humanized mice (100). In these studies, the investigators isolated resting CD4+ T cells from the tissues of untreated and ART-suppressed HIV-infected mice. The cells were incubated ex vivo with human IL-2 (hIL-2), or a combination of hIL-2 and phytohemagglutinin (PHA) to induce virus production. The virus was allowed to spread to allogeneic, CD8-depleted human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs), as is routinely done to quantitate the levels of latently infected cells in HIV-infected patients undergoing suppressive ART (85, 86). These analyses indicated that, in this humanized mouse model, HIV latency was established and that the number of latently infected cells varied between 2 and 12 infectious units per million (IUPM) of resting CD4+ T cells, with a median of 8 IUPM. The authors also noted that, in some instances, no replication-competent virus could be induced in the ex vivo cultures. The failure to detect latent virus in these cultures was attributed to the small numbers of cells obtained from many of these animals.
Analysis of HIV latency and persistence in BLT mice
BLT humanized mice are bioengineered by sandwiching a piece of human liver tissue between two pieces of human thymus tissue and implanting it under the kidney capsule of preconditioned immunodeficient mice. The mice then undergo bone marrow transplantation with autologous HSCs derived from the same liver tissue used for the implant (53). As described for SCID-hu thy/liv mice and for ToM, the thy/liv implant in BLT mice develops into a bona fide human thymic organoid into which human T cell progenitors produced in the bone marrow can migrate and differentiate through all stages of thymocyte development on human thymic epithelium in the context of HLA I and II (53). Bone marrow engraftment also results in the production of virtually all other human hematopoietic cell types, including those directly relevant to HIV research such as monocytes, macrophages, and DCs. Human cells in BLT mice are systemically distributed throughout all organs analyzed, including bone marrow, lymph nodes, spleen, thymus, liver, lung, female and male reproductive tracts, and the upper and lower digestive tracts (53, 61, 101–103). The wide distribution of human HIV target cells in mucosal sites renders BLT mice susceptible to rectal, vaginal, and oral HIV infection (55, 61-63, 65, 103, 104). Mucosal or parenteral exposure of BLT mice to HIV results in systemic infection and the production of both human Ab and T cell responses (55, 59–61).

Detailed pharmacokinetic analysis of plasma drug levels in BLT mice (64, 74) has resulted in ART drug combinations consisting of tenofovir, emtricitabine, and raltegravir that efficiently suppress virus in plasma to below-detection levels. Suppression is maintained as long as ART is administered; however, as discussed above for ToM, therapy interruption results in rapid viral rebound to levels similar to those present prior to therapy initiation (64). The ability to efficiently suppress viral replication in BLT mice has facilitated the ex vivo analysis of HIV latency and persistence. Using the methodology described above for similar experiments in HIV-infected DKO humanized mice (100), analysis of suppressed BLT mice demonstrates the presence of latently infected cells at a rapid initial decrease that plateaued approximately 28 days after ART initiation in all tissues analyzed (74). No further decrease in cell-associated vRNA levels was observed with continued treatment. Administration of an immunotoxin (a toxin conjugated with an Ab targeting the HIV Env protein) to ART-suppressed animals resulted in additional dramatic decreases in vRNA levels in tissues (74). Analysis of the numbers of RNA-producing cells in tissues demonstrated efficient in vivo killing of infected cells by immunotoxin treatment (74). Because this approach only targets the “active” viral reservoir (i.e., cells producing the Env antigen) and not latently infected cells, the effect of the immunotoxin on the latent reservoir was not analyzed. In summary, the BLT humanized mouse model has been shown to serve as an excellent platform for the evaluation of novel approaches to the elimination of HIV-infected cells in vivo.

Summary and future directions
The utility of humanized mice for the in vivo analysis of HIV persistence has been partially demonstrated. Humanized mice provide excellent models for the analysis of virtually all aspects of HIV persistence and eradication. These include (a) the evaluation of different types of highly relevant viruses for infection; (b) the analysis of latency and persistence by virtually all human cell types that are targets of HIV infection in both the peripheral and tissues; (c) the evaluation of new and established antiretroviral drug interventions; and (d) the evaluation of novel induction and killing approaches (Figure 2). In addition, because humanized mice represent a complex system featuring virtually all cell types that are important for adaptive immune responses, they can be useful for the in vivo evaluation of novel approaches to curing HIV that are based on biological molecules as well as gene and cell therapies (105–108). Even though most of the humanized mouse models available to date are limited in their ability to mount effective Ab responses (70, 109), as indicated above, these mice have been shown to be very useful for evaluating the efficacy of HIV-specific Ab therapy and other immunotherapeutic interventions such as checkpoint inhibitors, cytokines, and so forth. (105, 110). The primary limitations of humanized mice in the study of HIV latency, persistence, and eradication are the short lifespan of these animals and the relatively low volumes of blood and other samples that can be obtained. In addition, it should always be kept in mind that the human HIV–infected cells are being studied in the context of a chimeric mouse/human xenograft; therefore, not all of the cellular interactions that occur in humans are fully recapitulated in these models. Furthermore, the interactions between the murine and human cells (that obviously are not occurring in
humans) should be taken into consideration. Nevertheless, new and improved strains of mice and a better understanding of the interplay that occurs at the interface between the human immune system and that of the mouse will result in improvements that will continue to benefit the field of HIV cure research. At present, humanized mouse models can be considered excellent platforms for the in vivo evaluation of HIV eradication strategies.
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