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Preclinical studies indicate that the phosphodiesterase 5 (PDE5) inhibitor sildenafil is protective against hypertrophy-induced cardiac remodeling. Despite an initial clinical study demonstrating sildenafil-dependent amelioration of pathological remodeling, the cardioprotective effect of this drug was not significant in a large placebo-controlled clinical trial. In this issue, Sasaki and colleagues reveal that the efficacy of PDE5 inhibition in female mice requires estrogen. Induction of cardiac stress in male and intact female mice resulted in increased activation of protein kinase G (PKG) signaling, which was further enhanced by sildenafil. PKG activity was not enhanced in ovariectomized (OVX) female mice as a result of cardiac stress, but administration of estrogen restored PKG activation and enhancement by sildenafil. These data highlight the importance of considering sex-specific differences and drug responses in clinical trial design.

Regulation of cGMP levels in the heart

An increase in nitric oxide (NO) or activation of natriuretic peptide triggers guanylyl cyclase (sGC), resulting in the generation of cGMP, which in turn promotes protein kinase G (PKG) signaling to regulate multiple cellular processes, including the cardiac response to stress. In addition to sGC, phosphodiesterases (PDEs) regulate cGMP levels via degradation (see Figure 1). While many PDEs are promiscuous and can degrade either cAMP or cGMP (1), PDE5 is reported to specifically degrade cGMP and is inhibited by the drug sildenafil. Under basal conditions, levels of PDE5 are low in the heart; therefore, PDE5 inhibition with sildenafil has little effect on cGMP and PKG activation (2). In contrast, conditions that induce or are associated with hypertrophic stress, including overexpression of the G protein Gq, transaortic constriction, or natriuretic peptide signaling, lead to increased sGC activity, subsequent cGMP production, and activation of PKG, which phosphorylates and activates a number of targets. PKG signaling is thought to reduce hypertrophic remodeling, and previous studies (3–5) have shown that PDE5 inhibition by drugs such as sildenafil can ameliorate the pathological cardiac remodeling that is associated with hypertrophy and heart failure (4–6). Conversely, overexpression of PDE5 in mice promotes pathological remodeling in the heart in the absence of cardiac stress (3, 7). Compared with tissue from healthy subjects, PDE5 expression is upregulated in patients with right ventricular failure (8). Furthermore, a small clinical trial demonstrated that sildenafil reduces pathological remodeling in patients with systolic heart failure (9); importantly, however, a large multicenter, placebo-controlled trial (RELAX) did not show a beneficial effect of sildenafil in the treatment of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (10).

Sex matters: sex-dependent responses to cardiac stress

Although sex-associated differences are increasingly recognized in nonreproductive physiology, metabolism, and disease, these differences are generally not taken into account during clinical trial design or in drug treatment (11); however, this paradigm is beginning to change. For example, just last year the FDA recommended that women receive a different (lower) dose of zolpidem, a drug used to treat insomnia, than that recommended for men (12). In the FDA drug safety announcement about the new zolpidem dosing recommendations for women, it was noted that “women appear to be more susceptible to this risk (next morning impairment) because they eliminate zolpidem from their bodies more slowly than men.” This example highlights that sex-dependent drug effects need to be taken into consideration when developing dosage guidelines.

There have been numerous sex-specific differences reported in cardiovascular physiology and disease (13). The majority of preclinical studies showing a beneficial effect of PDE5 inhibition on adverse remodeling were performed on male ani-
mals; therefore, it is worth considering that males and females may have different responses to PDE5 inhibition, and, if so, that the failure of the RELAX clinical trial, which included both males and females, may have been influenced by sex-specific effects. In this issue of the JCI, Sasaki et al. (14) directly addressed sex-dependent responses to PDE5 inhibition and examined the effect of sildenafil on hypertrophy-induced cardiac remodeling in female mice using Gq overexpression and pressure-overload models. In both hypertrophic stress models, sildenafil treatment did not reduce pathological remodeling in ovariectomized (OVX) females; however, sildenafil was protective against cardiac remodeling when OVX females received estrogen supplementation, indicating that sildenafil is only protective in females when estrogen is present (see Figure 1). Given that a substantial number of the female subjects in the RELAX trial were over the age of 50, it is possible that many were postmenopausal with low estrogen levels, which might impair the beneficial effect of sildenafil on adverse remodeling. The results from Sasaki and colleagues reinforce the importance of studying the effects of treatments on both males and females in preclinical as well as in clinical trials. It is also important to perform preclinical studies on females with and without estrogen, as most cardiovascular disease occurs in older patients, which, for females, would translate to postmenopausal status.

Estrogen and the female response to sildenafil

The study by Sasaki et al. not only provides insight into an issue that possibly confounds the surprising results of the RELAX trial, but also raises a number of interesting questions regarding sex-specific differences in cardiac physiology and responses to sildenafil. Why is sildenafil beneficial for males, who naturally produce little estrogen, but not for females lacking or with reduced estrogen? Although the precise details for this difference will require further characterization, Sasaki et al. (14) demonstrated an approximately 50% increase in PKG activity in male hearts from mice overexpressing Gq and that sildenafil administration further increased PKG activity in these animals. In contrast, Gq overexpression did not increase PKG activity in hearts from OVX females, and sildenafil treatment had no effect on PKG signaling; however, estrogen supplementation in OVX Gq-overexpressing females promoted an increase in PKG activation that was further increased with sildenafil, similar to what was observed in their male counterparts. Interestingly, under basal conditions, WT females exhibited higher PKG activity than did OVX females, and females overexpressing Gq had increased PKG activity that was enhanced following sildenafil treatment. Similar results were observed in mice that had undergone transaortic constriction to induce pressure overload; therefore, cardiac stress increased PKG in males (without estrogen), intact females, and OVX females supplemented with estrogen, but did not increase PKG activation in OVX females. Together, these results reveal that estrogen is required for the stress-induced elevation of PKG activity in females, but not in males. Interestingly, Sasaki et al. (14) also demonstrated that estrogen supplementation in male animals with cardiac stress increased PKG activity, reduced adverse remodeling, and was additive to the PKG-inducing effects of sildenafil.

These findings raise the question of why sildenafil is unable to activate PKG in females lacking estrogen, but is able to do so in males. In their report, Sasaki et al. speculate that in males, Gq activation leads to eNOS activation and increased cGMP, while in OVX females, eNOS is not activated. The precise details of this Gq-mediated eNOS activation in males are unknown, but it has been speculated that androgens might contribute to stress-responsive activation of eNOS in males. Therefore, sildenafil inhibition of cGMP...
degradation by PDE5 further increases cGMP/PKG signaling and the beneficial effect of the drug in males. Conversely, females without estrogen do not exhibit activation of the eNOS/cGMP/PKG pathway; therefore, sildenafil has no beneficial effect when cGMP levels are not elevated in response to cardiac stress.

Future studies will be required to further elucidate whether the observed increase in PKG in males is due to androgens. The finding that PKG activation is lower in OVX females compared with that in males under cardiac stress, coupled with the reported beneficial effect of elevated PKG activity, suggests that remodeling is more severe in OVX females than in males. It will be interesting to examine the extent of cardiac remodeling in these populations in further detail and in other models of hypertrophic stress. It also appears as though there might be sex-related differences in PKG activity. Sasaki et al. (14) demonstrated that PKG activity was higher in intact females compared with that in OVX females, but their data also indicate that there was no difference in PKG activity between OVX females and males; therefore, it will be important to confirm this with a direct comparison. A sex-specific difference in PKG activity would be consistent with increased NOS activity in females.

Conclusions

In conclusion, this provocative study by Sasaki and colleagues adds to a growing body of evidence (11) that supports the analysis of clinical trial data by sex, rather than by simply adjusting for sex. It is clear that enhanced PKG signaling is beneficial in the setting of stress-induced heart failure and that there are important sex-specific differences in the signaling pathways that increase PKG activity. Moreover, sex-specific responses to cardiac stress can affect the response to drug treatment. The study by Sasaki et al. emphasizes the need for further examination of sex-dependent differences in cardiovascular function and disease and that differences between the sexes should be considered in the design of future clinical trials.
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