Follicular helper T cell signature in type 1 diabetes
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The strong genetic association between particular HLA alleles and type 1 diabetes (T1D) indicates a key role for CD4+ T cells in disease; however, the differentiation state of the responsive T cells is unclear. T cell differentiation originally was considered a dichotomy between Th1 and Th2 cells, with Th1 cells deemed culpable for autoimmune islet destruction. Now, multiple additional T cell differentiation fates are recognized with distinct roles. Here, we used a transgenic mouse model of diabetes to probe the gene expression profile of islet-specific T cells by microarray and identified a clear follicular helper T (Tfh) cell differentiation signature. Introduction of T cells with a Tfh cell phenotype from diabetic animals efficiently transferred diabetes to recipient animals. Furthermore, memory T cells from patients with T1D expressed elevated levels of Tfh cell markers, including CXCR5, ICOS, PDCD1, BCL6, and IL21. Defects in the IL-2 pathway are associated with T1D, and IL-2 inhibits Tfh cell differentiation in mice. Consistent with these previous observations, we found that IL-2 inhibited human Tfh cell differentiation and identified a relationship between IL-2 sensitivity in T cells from patients with T1D and acquisition of a Tfh cell phenotype. Together, these findings identify a Tfh cell signature in autoimmune diabetes and suggest that this population could be used as a biomarker and potentially targeted for T1D interventions.

Introduction

Identifying the type of immune response that underlies autoimmune tissue destruction is a critical step in designing appropriate immunomodulatory strategies. In the case of type 1 diabetes (T1D), current dogma holds that Th1 cells cause pathology, and deviating the Th1 response has been a cornerstone of immunomodulatory strategies. In the case of type 1 diabetes (T1D), current dogma holds that Th1 cells cause pathology, and deviating the Th1 response has been a cornerstone of immunomodulatory strategies. This view stemmed from the seminal observation that islet-specific T cells differentiated to a Th1 phenotype caused aggressive diabetes in neonatal nonobese diabetic mice, while those differentiated under Th2 conditions did not (1). While the latter study suggested Th1 cells to be the most likely drivers of T1D, data emerging over the following 2 decades were not always consistent with this conclusion. Although IFN-γ expression correlated with diabetes in NOD mice (2), other data suggested that diabetes in this model represented a Th2 rather than a Th1 phenomenon (3). Analysis of T cell differentiation in patients with T1D was similarly confusing: some work suggested increased IFNG mRNA (4) or stimulation-induced IFN-γ protein in individuals newly diagnosed with T1D (4, 5); however, others found IFN-γ production to be significantly lower in patients with recent-onset T1D than in healthy control subjects (6, 7). In one study, T cell reactivity to preproinsulin was shown to be Th2 dominant in autoantibody-positive subjects (8), again challenging the Th1 paradigm.

The identification of Th17 cells heralded a shift in our appreciation of autoimmune tissue damage and prompted the first move away from a strict dichotomy between Th1 and Th2 (9), although other studies suggested that IL-17 was dispensable (12) or even protective (13, 14) in this setting. The incorporation of Th17 cells into the Th1/Th2 paradigm focused attention on additional cytokines, outside of those associated with Th1 or Th2 differentiation (IFN-γ and IL-4, respectively). One example, IL-21, was shown to be capable of promoting the Th17 response (15, 16). IL-21 is a member of the common γ-chain signaling cytokine family and acts on a broad range of target cell populations, including B cells, CD8 T cells, NK cells, and dendritic cells. Interestingly, abrogation of IL-21 signaling was shown to be protective in mouse models of diabetes (17, 18), while transgenic expression of IL-21 in the pancreatic islets was sufficient to induce diabetes in nonautoimmune (C57BL/6) mice (18). The cellular source of IL-21 in the setting of diabetes is currently unclear, although Th17 cells and follicular helper T (Tfh) cells represent likely candidates. Here, we used an unbiased microarray approach to reassess T cell differentiation in a mouse model of spontaneous autoimmune diabetes. The data indicate that islet-specific T cells responding to pancreatic antigen show the characteristic features of Tfh cell differentiation. Furthermore, analysis of memory CD4+ T cells from patients with T1D reveals a striking upregulation of Th1-associated genes, including CXCR5 and IL21, which are tightly correlated. Collectively, these data implicate IL-21–producing Tfh cells in the immune response associated with T1D.

Results

Islet-specific T cells in the pancreatic LN show a Tfh gene signature. To dissect the gene expression changes associated with the T cell response to tissue-specific self antigen, we performed microarray analysis on DO11 T cells responding to pancreas-expressed ovalbumin in the DO11 RIP-mOVA mouse model of diabetes (19).
Gene expression profiles of CD4 T cells sorted from pancreatic LNs (PanLNs) of DO11 RIP-mOVA mice were compared with those of cells sorted from non-antigen-draining (inguinal) LNs. Conventional (CD4+CD25-) and regulatory (CD4+CD25+) populations were analyzed separately, and cells from the PanLNs were also gated on CD69 expression, since it has been shown that T cells responding to pancreas-derived self antigen upregulate this activation marker (20). Strikingly, 4 of the 20 most significantly upregulated genes in conventional T cells responding to tissue-derived self antigen were archetypal Tfh cell genes (Table 1 and ref. 21). When we hand-curated genes previously associated with Tfh cell differentiation (22), it was clear that these were strongly upregulated in T cells responding to islet antigen. This included Bcl6, the key transcription factor associated with Tfh cell differentiation (refs. 23–25 and Figure 1A). The application of canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) to microarray data has recently been reported (26). This method permits the interrogation of transcriptomic data, in the context of a specific biological problem, using another transcriptome as explanatory data. We adopted this approach to analyze our microarray data in conjunction with a published Tfh data set (25) (see Methods). CCA successfully identified the features of T cells in the PanLNs (magenta circles, Figure 1B), which showed a strong correlation with Tfh cells (blue arrow, Figure 1B). Axis I described the major variance (76% of the analyzed space; precisely, inertia) and represented the difference between major T cell groups and that of Tfh (CXCR5/BCL6+) and naive (CXCR5 BCL6-) T cells. Thus, “Tfh-ness” of sample populations could be estimated by CCA using the transcriptomic data derived from Tfh and naive T cells (Figure 1C). PanLN T cell samples scored highly compared with all other samples in this analysis. To address whether Tfh-ness was the dominant feature of PanLN T cells, we also performed heat map analysis using characteristic Th1, Th2, and Th17 genes (Supplemental Figure 1; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/ JCI76238DS1). PanLN T cells showed elements of the Th1 and Th2 signature; however, most of these genes were not highly expressed. CCA identified PanLN T cells as neutral in terms of Th1 and Th2 skewing and in terms of Th17 differentiation (data not shown). Collectively, these analyses confirmed that Tfh-ness was a major feature of islet-specific T cells in the PanLN, while any Th1, Th2, or Th17 skewing was minor in comparison.

Tfh cell markers are upregulated at sites of autoantigen expression, and Tfh cells can transfer disease. The gene expression analysis suggested that encounter with pancreas-derived self antigen might trigger T cells to differentiate into Tfh cells. We therefore sought to examine this possibility using flow cytometry. CD4 T cells with a Tfh cell phenotype, as assessed by dual staining for CXCR5 and PD-1, could be detected at a markedly higher frequency in the PanLNs compared with the nondondraining LNs (Figure 2, A and B). The elevation in CXCR5/PD-1+ T cells in the PanLNs depended on coexpression of both RIP-mOVA and DO11 transgenes (Figure 2A and data not shown). The archetypal function of Tfh cells is to foster the development of germinal centers, sites in which the quality of the B cell response to antigen is honed. Consistent with the presence of Tfh cells, confocal microscopy revealed the presence of germinal centers within the PanLNs of DO11 RIP-mOVA mice (Figure 2C and Supplemental Figure 2). The characteristic cytokine elicited by Tfh cells is IL-21, and we previously reported that mRNA for this cytokine was upregulated during progression to diabetes in DO11 RIP-mOVA mice (19). Flow cytometric staining revealed IL-21 production from conventional T cells in the PanLNs, and levels of IL-21 were further elevated in T cells within the pancreas itself (Figure 3, A and B). IL-21+ T cells did not coexpress IL-17, suggesting that they were not Th17 cells, but there was substantial coexpression of TNF-α and IFN-γ (Figure 3, C and D). It has been shown that IL-21 can derive from CCR9+ T cells in NOD mice and patients with Sjögren’s syndrome (27); however, pancreas-infiltrating CD4+ T cells showed elevated levels of mRNA for Cxcr5, Pdcd1, and Icos but not Ccr9 (Supplemental Figure 3).

To directly test the capacity of T cells with a Tfh cell phenotype to transfer diabetes, DO11 T cells from pooled PanLNs of DO11 RIP-mOVA mice were CXCR5 enriched or depleted by cell sorting and their capacity to transfer diabetes into RIP-mOVA–expressing recipients was assessed. T cells enriched for CXCR5 expression showed a significantly increased capacity to transfer diabetes (Figure 4A). Pancreas infiltration could be observed in both groups, confirming successful engraftment of the adoptively transferred cells (Figure 4B). Collectively, these data demonstrate that Tfh cell signature markers are upregulated at sites of autoantigen expression in DO11 RIP-mOVA mice and that T cells with a Tfh cell phenotype are highly efficient at transferring disease.

Memory CD4 T cells from patients with T1D overexpress Tfh cell genes. Given the findings in our mouse model, we sought to examine whether features of Tfh cell differentiation might be evident in humans with T1D. We studied peripheral blood CD4 T cells from individuals with T1D (see Methods) and healthy control subjects. Since the proportion of CD4 T cells with a memory phenotype can vary substantially between individuals, we prepared purified memory T cell populations (CD4+CD45RA-). This avoids the possibility of observed differences being attributable simply to differing proportions of memory cells within the CD4 compartment. mRNA levels were assessed for a panel of Tfh cell markers, transcription factors, and cytokines. Strikingly, mRNA levels for the Tfh cell markers CXCR5, ICOS, and PDCD1 were all significantly elevated in memory CD4 T cells from patients with T1D compared with those in memory CD4 T cells from healthy controls (Figure 5).

---

**Table 1. The top 20 most significantly upregulated genes in PanLN T cells compared with inguinal LN T cells**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Gene</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cxcr5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Bcl6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ptger2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Il4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Pdcd1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Cank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>IkiP</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Csf1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Godd45b</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Fol4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Cxcl10</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Tnfaf4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Abhd4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Cxcl5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Lasp4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Lasp1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Cbs3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Acat7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Gfi1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Lmn</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Four signature Tfh cell genes. The Pdcd1 gene encodes PD-1.*
mRNA for BCL6, the master transcription factor for Tfh cell differentiation, was also upregulated in the TID samples relative to the control samples; however, levels of other transcription factors associated with T cell differentiation (including Tbet and GATA3) were not altered between the 2 cohorts. Cytokine mRNAs were generally present at low abundance in T cells examined immediately ex vivo. However, we observed elevated TNFA mRNA in the patients with TID, along with trends toward higher IL21 and IFNG, although these did not reach significance (Figure 5).

**Increased T cell CXCR5 expression in TID.** To extend the above mRNA analysis, peripheral blood T cells from patients with TID and controls were also assessed by flow cytometry. We first ascertained the distribution of CXCR5+ cells within the CD3+CD4+ compartment and found that they resided predominantly within the central memory (CD4+CD45RA−CD62L+) fraction in both patients with TID and controls (Figure 6, A and B). However, patients with TID had a significantly higher percentage of CXCR5+ cells in both the central memory and effector memory compartments when compared with healthy controls (Figure 6C). After normalization for differences in the size of the memory compartment between individuals, there was no association between the percentage of CXCR5+ cells and patient characteristics (Supplemental Figure 4, A and B), suggesting that elevations in CXCR5+ cells may be present at all disease stages. It has been shown that peripheral blood
be discerned by intracellular staining of isolated cells directly ex vivo (data not shown). However, following a brief period of in vitro activation, T cells from patients with T1D showed a significantly increased propensity to express IL-21 (Figure 7A). Since the IL-21+ cells in the mouse model of diabetes coexpressed IFN-γ and TNF-α, we also tested for polyfunctionality in the T cells from patients with T1D. Significantly increased numbers of IL-21+TNF-α+ and IL-21+TNF-α+IFN-γ+ cells were noted in the T1D samples compared with control samples (Figure 7B). Single TNF-α producers, but not single IFN-γ producers, were also increased in the patients compared with controls (Figure 7B). The IL-21–producing population in patients with T1D largely comprised cells coproducing TNF-α or coproducing both TNF-α and IFN-γ (Figure 7C).

Mouse studies have suggested that IL-21 derives predominantly from cells bearing a Tfh cell phenotype, although the relationship is a complex one, with indications that only a fixed proportion of CXCR5+ cells can produce IL-21 at any one time (30). Strikingly, correlation of ex vivo CXCR5 staining and stimulated CXCR5+ cells can be subdivided on the basis of CXCR3 and CCR6 expression and that CXCR3 CCR6+ and CXCR3 CCR6+ cells are selectively expanded in juvenile dermatomyositis (28). In our study, the proportion of CXCR5+ cells expressing CXCR3 and/or CCR6 did not differ between patients with T1D and controls (Supplemental Figure 4C). When we analyzed cells coexpressing CXCR5 and ICOS, these were significantly elevated in patients with T1D compared with control individuals (Figure 6D). In a second independent cohort of patients with T1D (n = 17) and healthy controls (n = 13), the percentage of CXCR5+ cells and CXCR5+ICOS+ cells was also higher in the patient samples (Supplemental Figure 5). We did not observe a relationship between autoantibody status and percentage of Tfh cells, although it should be noted that a separate study focusing on patients with new-onset T1D showed a small increase in the percentage of Tfh cells in individuals with IA-2 and ZnT8 autoantibodies but not with GAD autoantibodies (29).
IL-21 production showed that individuals with higher proportions of CXCR5+ cells also produced the highest level of IL-21 upon activation (Figure 7D). Furthermore, sorting CXCR5+ cells prior to stimulation revealed that IL-21 derived predominantly from CXCR5+ memory cells, while, in contrast, IFN-γ, IL-4, and IL-17 derived mainly from CXCR5– memory cells (Figure 7E).

**IL-2 signaling antagonizes CXCR5 upregulation.** The above data indicate that Tfh cell signature genes were upregulated at the mRNA level in T cells from patients with TID and elevations in CXCR5, ICOS, and IL-21 could be confirmed at the protein level. Experiments using murine T cells have shown that IL-2 can inhibit Tfh cell differentiation. Accordingly, signals through the IL-2R can dictate the balance between Tfh and T-effector differentiation (31, 32) via STAT5-dependent skewing of the BCL6/BLIMP1 ratio (33), and endogenous provision of IL-2 directly inhibits Tfh cell differentiation in response to influenza virus (34).

Intriguingly, substantial evidence links genetic alterations in the IL-2 pathway with TID (35–38), and the functional response of T cells to IL-2 has been reported to be defective in TID (39). This may reflect higher expression of PTPN2 (39), a phosphatase that inhibits JAK/STAT signaling and which is genetically associated with TID, or polymorphisms at the IL2RA locus (40), although it is likely that additional mechanistic explanations remain undiscovered. It is therefore possible that suboptimal IL-2 signaling might favor Tfh cell differentiation in the setting of TID. To explore this idea, we used T cells from patients with TID to examine the relationship between IL-2 sensitivity and the propensity of T cells to upregulate CXCR5 in vitro. IL-12 was used, since it has been shown to be a strong inducer of CXCR5 and the Tfh cell phenotype in vitro (ref. 41 and Figure 8A). Consistent with data obtained in mice (33, 34), we found that IL-2 had an inhibitory influence on CXCR5 expression in human T cells (Figure 8B). This could reflect a skewing from a Tfh cell phenotype to other effector phenotypes, as documented in mice, although other explanations (such as differential survival effects) are also possible. By testing IL-2 sensitivity using a STAT5 assay, we demonstrated that there was an inverse correlation between sensitivity to IL-2 signaling and propensity to upregulate CXCR5 (Figure 8C and Supplemental Figure 6). Given the multiple defects in the IL-2 pathway documented in TID, this provides...
a putative mechanism for augmented Tfh cell differentiation in this disease setting.

Discussion
The perception of T cell differentiation as a Th1/Th2 dichotomy, with Th1 cells responsible for tissue-specific autoimmunity, has led numerous researchers to hunt for a Th1 signature in T1D, with widely variable results. Data from our mouse model of diabetes prompted us to seek evidence of a Tfh gene signature instead. This resulted in the identification of elevated expression of archetypal Tfh molecules, including CXCR5, IL-21, PD-1, ICOS, and BCL6 in memory T cells from patients with T1D. The significance of this finding warrants careful consideration. At face value, our ability to detect the Tfh cell differentiation program in diabetes is entirely consistent with the association of autoantibodies with this disease: such antibodies could not be generated without the specialized help provided by Tfh cells. In addition, persistent antigen has been suggested to favor the Tfh cell response (42, 43), a concept that fits well with ongoing antigenic availability in chronic autoimmunity. It should be noted that the average disease duration in our cohort was 19 years, emphasizing the chronicity of the response and also highlighting the potential for other factors, such as exposure to injected insulin or diabetes-related infections, to modify the T cell phenotype. Data from animal models support the notion that Tfh cells play a causal role in autoimmune diabetes: increased Tfh cell differentiation, as a consequence of roquin mutation, dramatically accelerated diabetes induction (44), and transfer of T cells with a Tfh cell phenotype preferentially induced diabetes in recipient mice (Figure 4A). There may also be additional intriguing reasons for a Tfh gene signature to manifest. The observation that early Th1 differentiation can involve the IL-12– and STAT4-dependent induction of Tfh-like cells has highlighted an extraordinary phenotypic overlap between Th1 and Tfh cells (45). Moreover, Th1 cells exposed to an environment in which IL-2 is limiting have been shown to adopt a Tfh cell phenotype (46). Thus, a Tfh cell signature could also plausibly derive from newly differentiating Th1 cells or mature Th1 cells that find themselves deprived of IL-2.

The predictive value of serum autoantibodies as biomarkers for diabetes progression is well recognized (47, 48); however, robust T cell biomarkers are currently lacking. The increase in circulating CXCR5+ T cells in patients with T1D presents a novel candidate in this regard. The relationship between blood-borne CXCR5+ cells and bona fide Tfh cells has been the subject of intense debate (28). It is now clear that Tfh cells can enter the circulating memory pool (25, 30, 49–51) yet downregulate many characteristic markers at this stage (52) only to reacquire them upon subsequent reencounter with antigen (53). Interestingly, although much of the Tfh cell signature is lost upon transition to memory status, intermediate levels of CXCR5 itself are maintained (43), potentially explaining the observed elevation in CXCR5+ cells in patients with T1D. Recent 2-photon analysis has provided visual proof that germinal center–resident T cells can leave the germinal center to enter the circulation (54), and the appearance of circulating Tfh-like cells in settings of SLAM-associated protein (SAP) deficiency suggests that pre-Tfh cells can also contribute to the circulating pool (55).

A striking parallel between the murine and human data generated in our study is that IL-21 production in both settings is associated with marked coexpression of IFN-γ and TNF-α. Thus, while IFN-γ is clearly present, our data suggest it may derive from Tfh cells rather than classic Th1 cells. Tfh cells are known to be capable of coexpressing IL-21, IFN-γ, and TNF-α (56), and IFN-γ– Tfh cells have been imaged within germinal structures in vivo (57). Indeed, IFN-γ itself can promote Tfh cell differentiation (58), suggesting a feedback mechanism that could further amplify the response. Since Tfh cells can also produce IL-4 (59, 60), and the IL-21 they elicit can promote IL-17 production (15, 16), this could explain why T1D has previously been classified as a Th1, Th2, or Th17 phenomenon (1–3, 5, 8, 10, 11).

IL-12 is thought to be the major driver of Tfh cell differentiation (41, 61); however, recent evidence suggests that IFN-α, a cytokine strongly linked with diabetes (62, 63), can also promote adoption of this fate (64). The ability of IL-2 to inhibit Tfh cell differentiation (31–34), combined with the known defects in the IL-2 pathway in T1D (35–40), provides a putative mechanistic link to increased Tfh cell differentiation in this disease setting. However, the identification of an augmented Tfh cell response in T1D also provides impetus for analysis of other regulators of this pathway. In this regard, the microRNA cluster 17–92 presents an attractive candidate, given its ability to modulate Tfh cell differentiation (65,
Tfh cell numbers also have been reported to be subject to control by Qa-1–restricted CD8 T cells (67), and CD8 cells specific for the human equivalent of Qa-1 (HLA-E) have been reported to be functionally defective in T1D (68). More recently, the identification of Tregs expressing CXCR5 (69–71) has reinforced the notion that specialized regulatory mechanisms operate to curb Tfh cell differentiation, highlighting an additional checkpoint that might potentially be dysregulated in T1D.

Frequently, the traits that confer increased susceptibility to autoimmune disease afford an advantage in infectious settings. In this regard, Tfh cell numbers have recently been shown to correlate with protective antiviral immunity (72–74), while loss-of-function mutations in the IL-21 receptor result in immunodeficiency (75). The data presented herein add to a growing body of data linking Tfh cell differentiation with autoimmunity (71, 76, 77). Thus, polymorphisms that augment Tfh development might enhance protective immunity yet confer an increased risk of autoimmunity.

**Methods**

**Mice.** DO11.10 TCR transgenic mice were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory. BALB/c RIP-mOVA mice (expressing the ovalbumin transgene under control of the rat insulin promoter, from line 296-1B) were a gift from W. Heath (The Walter and Eliza Hall Institute, Parkville, Melbourne, Australia). DO11.10 mice were crossed with RIP-mOVA mice to generate DO11 RIP-mOVA mice. Mice were housed in individually vented cages at the University of Birmingham Biomedical Services Unit or within the Comparative Biology Unit at the University College London.

**Patients with T1D.** Peripheral venous blood was obtained from patients attending the clinical T1D service at the University Hospital Birmingham National Health Service Foundation Trust, United Kingdom. A total of 102 patients (mean age, 37 years; mean duration of T1D, 19 years; 60 men and 42 women) were recruited to this study, although not all assays were performed on every patient (see Supplemental Figure 7). Patients were selected on the basis of a clin-
shown that T cells responding to pancreas-derived self antigen upregulate CD69 (20). Antigen-specific T cells were gated on CD69+ in the PanLNs (antigen-specific T cells in the inguinal LNs were CD69–). To obtain sufficient cells of each type, cells from 14 mice were pooled to generate each sample. Mice were randomly assigned into groups. Three to six replicates were collected per experimental group (each replicate deriving from 14 mice). Sort purities were 97.3%–99.7%. Sorted cells were snap frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen, and RNA was subsequently extracted using the RNeasy Micro Kit (Qiagen). Microarray analysis was performed using the GeneChip Mouse Genome 430A 2.0 Array, and data were acquired using the Affymetrix Model 450 Fluidics Station and Affymetrix Model 3000 scanner. Affymetrix GeneChip data were normalized using the robust multichip averaging (RMA) algorithm of the Bioconductor package, affy. Quality control was done by the Bioconductor package, affyQCReport. CCA was performed as previously described (26). Briefly, logged expression values of the diabetes T cell data set were analyzed by CCAM using fold change of Tfh and naive Tfh data set (Gene Expression Omnibus [GEO] accession no. GSE40068) (25) as an explanatory variable. For the Tfh-ness anal-

Figure 6. Increased frequency of CXCR5+ T cells in patients with T1D. (A) Representative staining of PBMCs with CXCR5 and canonical T cell memory markers CD45RA, CD62L, and CCR7. Plots are gated on CD3+CD4+ and central memory (C. memory) and effector memory (E. memory) subsets as shown. The percentage of events within each quadrant (top left) is shown on the graph, and the frequency of CXCR5+ events within the naive, central memory, and effector memory fraction is shown as boxed on each subsequent plot. (B) The proportions of CD4+CXCR5+ T cells that fall into naive (CD4+CD45RA–CD62L–), central memory (CD4+CD45RA+CD62L–), and effector memory (CD4+CD45RA–CD62L+) subsets in patients with T1D (n = 24) and healthy controls (n = 15). Central horizontal bars depict the mean and are spanned by bars showing the SEM. (C) Frequencies of CXCR5+ cells within naive, central memory, and effector memory CD4 T cell subsets in patients with T1D (n = 24) and healthy controls (n = 15). (D) A subset of the above individuals was examined for coexpression of CXCR5 and ICOS. Graph shows the percentage of CXCR5+ICOS+ cells within CD3+CD4+ T cells of patients with T1D (n = 11) and healthy controls (n = 9). Box and whisker plots show the median, interquartile range, and 10th to 90th percentile.
Flow cytometry and histology. Mouse cells were stained with mAbs against FOXP3 (FJK-16s), CD4 (LT34), CD3 (17A2), CXCR5 (2G8; BD Biosciences), PD-1 (J43), IL-21 (mhalx21), IL-17 (TC11-18H10; BD Biosciences), TNF-α (MP6-XT22), and IFN-γ (XMG1.2). All antibodies were purchased from eBioscience unless otherwise indicated. For quantitation of Tfh cells, Tregs were gated out using CD25 staining, genes were filtered by the GSE40068 data only, using the false discovery rate of a moderated t-statistics of the Bioconductor package limma. The heat map was generated by heatmap.2 of the CRAN package gplots. Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed using dudi.pca of the CRAN package ade4. Data have been deposited with the GEO accession number GSE62104.

Figure 7. IL-21–producing CD4+ T cells are elevated in patients with T1D and correlate strongly with the proportion of CXCR5+ cells. (A) Frequencies of CD4+ T cells producing the indicated cytokines following 14-hour anti-CD3 stimulation of cells from patients with T1D (n = 22) and healthy controls (n = 13). Box and whisker plots show the median, interquartile range, and 10th to 90th percentile. (B) Frequencies of cells producing a defined combination of cytokines (as shown) within the stimulated CD4+ T cell population in patients with T1D (n = 22) and healthy controls (n = 13). *P ≤ 0.05, **P ≤ 0.01, ***P ≤ 0.001. Bars show the mean, and error bars show the SEM. (C) Distribution of cytokine production within the CD4+ IL-21–producing population showing that the majority of IL-21 producers coexpress TNF-α and/or IFN-γ. Data show values averaged from 22 individuals. Unlabeled segments comprise <1.5%. (D) Correlation between the frequency of IL-21+ CD4+ T cells following anti-CD3 stimulation as in A and fresh ex vivo CXCR5 expression in patients with T1D (n = 13). (E) Populations of naive (CD4+CD45RA+CXCR5–), memory CXCR5– (CD4+CD45RA–CXCR5–), and memory CXCR5+ (CD4+CD45RA–CXCR5+) cells were sorted by FACS from healthy controls and stimulated for 16 hours with anti-CD3/anti-CD28 beads. The proportion of cells expressing the cytokines IL-21, IL-4, IFN-γ, and IL-17 was then assessed. Bars show the mean, and error bars show the SEM.
Figure 8. Patients who respond poorly to IL-2 show an increased propensity to upregulate CXCR5. (A) Sorted CD4+CD45RA+ naive T cells from patients with TID were cultured for 5 days with anti-CD3/anti-CD28 beads in the presence or absence of IL-12. Plots show representative CXCR5 staining. The percentage of gated CXCR5+ cells is shown on the graph. (B) Graphs show CXCR5 MFI of 5 independent experiments in which naive T cells were cultured for 5 days as above with IL-12 and or IL-2 (n = 15). Box and whisker plots show the median, interquartile range, and 10th to 90th percentile. *P ≤ 0.05, ***P ≤ 0.001, ****P ≤ 0.0001. U, untreated. (C) Relationship between CXCR5 induction and sensitivity of T cells to IL-2. CD4+ T cells from patients with TID were treated with 100 U IL-2 for 10 minutes and then fixed and stained for phosphorylated STAT5 (pSTAT5). The percentage of pSTAT5+ is plotted against the proportion of CXCR5+ cells induced by culture in the presence of IL-12 (as in A and B) (n = 13).

Adoptive transfer. Adoptive transfer experiments were carried out as previously reported (81). RIP-mOVA Cd28−/− mice were injected with equivalent numbers of CXCR5-depleted or CXCR5-enriched CD4+ DO11 T cells sorted by FACS (MoFlo, Dakocytomation) from the pooled PanLNs of DO11 RIP-mOVA mice. Blood glucose readings above 250 mg/dl are typically considered diabetic.
by negative magnetic separation (EasySep Human Naive CD4+ T cell Enrichment Kit, Stem Cell Technologies). Cells were plated at a density of 100,000 per well in a 96-well round bottom plate and stimulated with anti-CD3/28 beads (Human T-Activator Dynabeads, Life Technologies) for 5 days. Where indicated, cultures were treated with 10 ng/ml IL-12 and/or 20 ng/ml IL-2 (both from Peprotech).

Statistics. Data were analyzed using Prism statistical software. Statistical significance was assessed using the Mann-Whitney test. Paired data were analyzed using a 2-tailed paired Student’s t test. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Study approval. Murine experiments were performed in accordance with the relevant Home Office project and personal licences following institutional ethical approval (from the University of Birmingham and University College London). Informed consent was obtained from all participants, and the study was approved by the National Research Ethics Committee.
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