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Inflammation-associated lymphangiogenesis: a double-edged sword?
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Lymphangiogenesis and lymphatic vessel remodeling are complex biological processes frequently observed during inflammation. Accumulating evidence indicates that inflammation-associated lymphangiogenesis (IAL) is not merely an endpoint event, but actually a phenomenon actively involved in the pathophysiology of various inflammatory disorders. The VEGF-C/VEGFR-3 and VEGF-A/VEGF-R2 signaling pathways are two of the best-studied pathways in IAL. Methods targeting these molecules, such as prolymphangiogenic or antilymphatic treatments, were found to be beneficial in various preclinical and/or clinical studies. This Review focuses on the most recent achievements in the fields of lymphatic biology relevant to inflammatory conditions. Additionally, preclinical and clinical therapies that modulate IAL are summarized.

Introduction

Inflammation is a complex biological reaction associated with the protection of tissues against harmful agents such as microbes, damaged cells, or irritants. The inflammation process is known to accompany several localized and/or systemic events (1, 2), such as vascular responses, migration and activation of leukocytes, and systemic inflammatory response syndrome. Additionally, lymphangiogenesis is frequently encountered during inflammation (3–5).

To relieve the hostile microenvironment causing inflammation, noxious antigens and debris should be immunologically removed. In parallel, the excessive interstitial fluid and edema should be drained. In this sense, under inflammatory conditions, the demand for efficient lymphatic drainage increases. Accumulating evidence suggests inflammation-associated lymphangiogenesis (IAL) is not merely an endpoint phenotype of inflammation but rather a dynamic, context-dependent reaction that can alter the natural course of the inflammatory process and/or tissue repair (3, 6, 7). IAL can profoundly influence the symptomatic presentation of inflammation; for example, lymphatic vessels play a role in regulating tissue fluid clearance (8, 9) and macrophage/DC recruitment (10, 11). Consequently, the course of IAL may directly influence the degree of mucosal edema and/or leukocyte infiltration, which may partially explain why cardinal signs of inflammation, namely redness, pain, heat, swelling, and loss of function (1, 2), appear at inflamed tissues. Recently, several prolymphangiogenic and antilymphatic therapies have been developed, supporting the notion that lymphatic vessels and IAL are potential therapeutic targets that can be exploited to achieve symptomatic control and an improved prognosis (5, 12).

Despite advances in our understanding of IAL, there are many concerns with clinical and preclinical lymphatic modulation. The lymphatic system drains directly into the systemic circulation and depends on the LNs to filter and remove debris and pathogens contained in the lymphatic fluid (13). If lymph-borne pathogens can bypass the surveillance of the draining LNs, they can hijack the lymphatic system to gain access to the systemic circulation (14). Consequently, therapeutic approaches designed to promote lymphatic drainage have the potential risk of saturating nodal surveillance, increasing systemic exposure to unfiltered pathogens and inflammatory mediators. Therefore, lymphatic-modulating therapies could turn out to be “a road to hell paved with good intentions”. These therapies should aim not only to improve symptoms, but also to correct the underlying pathogenesis of the target disease.

To achieve this goal, it is necessary to expand our understanding of lymphatic biology at the bench and to carefully apply this knowledge in the form of treatments at the bedside. This Review discusses studies from the perspective that the lymphatic system is closely linked with the symptomatic presentation and pathophysiology of certain inflammatory conditions. In addition, recent advances in preclinical and clinical treatments of IAL, which aim to control the natural course of inflammatory disorders, are summarized.

IAL

Lymphangiogenesis takes place under certain pathological situations, including inflammation and tissue repair (7). Upon initiation of inflammation, the lymphatic system is activated and both the extranodal lymphatic vessels at peripheral tissues and the intranod al lymphatic vessels display exuberant growth and vigorously expand in response to inflammatory stimuli (5). Previously, postnatal lymphangiogenesis was believed to take place exclusively through local sprouting of the preexisting lymphatic endothelial cells (LECs) (lymphangiogenesis; Figure 1). Although this remains the mainstream theory, some recent evidence suggests that circulating progenitor cells might be incorporated directly into the growing lymphatic vessels and transdifferentiate into LECs (lymphovascularogenesis; Figure 1 and refs. 15–20). Macrophage transdifferentiation was reported in an experimental orchitis model (15), LPS-induced peritonitis model (19), corneal injury model (16), and in a human transplanted kidney (17). Interestingly, under normal conditions the lymphatic endothelial progenitor cells appear only in minute quantities, but inflammatory stimuli lead to remarkable increases (18). It is notable that all of these observations supporting the presence of lymphatic endothelial progenitor cells and lymphovascularogenesis have been made under inflammatory conditions (16–19). Nevertheless, the concepts of lymphovascularogenesis and macrophage transdifferentiation remain unclear and require further examination.

Conflict of interest: The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists.

Citation for this article: J Clin Invest. 2014;124(3):936–942. doi:10.1172/JCI71607.
Signaling pathways involved in IAL

Many prolymphangiogenic signaling pathways are activated upon exposure to inflammatory stimuli, while the role of VEGF-C/VEGFR-3 and VEGF-A/VEGF-R2 are the best characterized in the process of IAL (4, 5). Transgenic overexpression of VEGF-C resulted in an increase and enlargement of subcutaneous lymphatic vessels. Interestingly, VEGF-C–associated increases in lymphanogenesis relieved the severity of acute skin inflammation and edema observed in oxazolone-induced delayed type hypersensitivity reactions and ultraviolet B irradiation models (21). In contrast, other studies showed that inhibition of the VEGF-C/VEGFR-3 axis suppresses lymphangiogenesis (22–24). In addition, VEGFR-3 inhibition was found to relieve the severity of inflammatory symptoms in rheumatoid arthritis and LPS-induced acute inflammation models (23, 25).

VEGF-A is involved in another signaling pathway that induces prolymphangiogenic effects in a context-dependent manner. It is debatable whether the action and effect of VEGF-A on IAL is a direct or indirect consequence of this signaling pathway (23). VEGF-A also induces lymphatic vessel remodeling, as mice overexpressing VEGF-A have been shown to display enlarged lymphatic vessels (26). Interestingly, exposure to chronic cutaneous inflammation induced lymphangiogenesis and lymphatic vessel hyperplasia in VEGF-A overexpressing mice, but not in wild-type mice (26). In contrast, inflammation was efficiently suppressed by simultaneous inhibition of VEGF-R1 and VEGF-R2 (26).

Other signaling pathways, such as those involving TNF-α (23, 27), lymphotoxin-α (28), toll-like receptor signaling (29), NF-κB (30), erythropoietin (31), COX-2, and prostaglandin E2 receptor signaling (32, 33) also influence prolymphangiogenesis and are closely involved in the process of IAL.

Antilymphatic signaling pathways repress IAL. IFN-γ modulates the JAK/STAT pathway and has a strong antilymphatic effect. It is particularly important for post-inflammatory regression of the already expanded neo-lymphatic network within the regional LN (34). TGF-β1 (35–37), endostatin (38), and thrombospondin (39) also have an antilymphatic role (40). However, our knowledge of the negative regulatory mechanisms of IAL remains relatively limited, and further studies are necessary to identify other antilymphatic signaling pathways.

The balance between prolymphangiogenic and antilymphatic elements determines the actual state of IAL

Intranodal follicular B cells (41, 42), CD11b macrophages (25, 43), and fibroblast-type reticular stromal cells (44) are well recognized sources of prolymphangiogenic molecules including VEGF-A and VEGF-C, while T lymphocytes are the main source of the antilymphatic IFN-γ (34). The actual amplitude and pattern of IAL is determined by the balance of prolymphangiogenic and antilymphatic elements, which leads to a bi-directional regulatory
system (Figure 2 and refs. 5, 34, 40). This allows considerable flexibility and accounts for how the lymphatic system can be context dependent and dynamically respond to its microenvironment. For example, skin exposure to either LPS or the T cell mitogen concanavalin-A induces similar inflammatory phenotypes; however, only LPS induces significant IAL (34).

The dynamic phenotypic changes observed during IAL may be explained by the spatiotemporal balance between prolymphangiogenic and antilymphangiogenic drives. Peripheral tissue inflammation induces robust lymphangiogenesis in the draining LNs (34, 45, 46). During the early phase of inflammation, the prolymphangiogenic factors increase and initiate proliferation of the nodal subcapsular lymphatic vessels. At the peak of inflammation, the prolymphangiogenic factors completely dominate the antilymphatic factors; thus, the lymphatic network spreads out. As inflammation resolves, the balance moves toward the antilymphatic factors and the expanded neo-lymphatic network regresses (25, 34, 47). In parallel, the coordination between stromal cells, immune cells, and interstitial flow affects the intranodal distribution of VEGF-A to influence the spatiotemporal pattern of IAL (48). Meanwhile, extravascular IAL at the peripheral tissues follows a different natural course, in which the bulk of expanded extravascular lymphatic vessels tend to remain after inflammation resolves (22, 49). This may reflect the absence of strong antilymphatic factors, such as intranodal T cells and IFN-γ, which would induce lymphatic regression in the peripheral tissue after inflammation is resolved.

Recently, Kelley et al. proposed the concept of lymphatic vessel memory (50). Upon recurrent inflammation, lymphatic vessel memory provokes an accelerated expansion of structurally distinct but functioning lymphatic vessels. Of note, this category of lymphangiogenesis depends on neither the VEGF-C/VEGFR-3 axis nor the VEGF-A/VEGFR-2 axis (50). Further study is necessary to elucidate how this novel mechanism contributes to IAL.

**Lymphatic vessels and immune cells closely interact during inflammation**

LECgs are directly and indirectly involved in the regulation of tolerance and immunity (51). The lymphatic vessels can be considered the “afferent arm” of an immune reflex arc, while the blood vessels serve as the “efferent arm” (52). Under inflammatory conditions, large quantities of immune cells are transported through blood vessels and gather at the inflamed peripheral tissue. Lymphatic vessels locally promote the recruitment of macrophages and DCs that have gathered at the peripheral tissue. Antigen-bearing DCs and macrophages enter the lymphatic vessels at peripheral tissue through discontinuous endothelial junctions or preformed portals (53, 54) and continue to travel into regional LNs. The recruited immune cells further provoke IAL by secreting prolymphangiogenic factors (10, 11). Such reciprocal interactions between lymphatic vessels and immune cells contribute to the immune system’s response to inflammatory stimuli.

Macrophages are one of the most important types of immune cells during IAL. Bone marrow–derived myeloid cells/macrophages are dispatched into the circulation and are transported via blood vessels to the inflamed tissue, where they phagocytose pathogens and clear debris. They then move from the peripheral tissue to regional LNs through lymphatic vessels, which is an important process for resolving inflammation (55). LECs express chemotactic molecules that promote macrophage infiltration. In turn, macrophages secrete paracrine prolymphangiogenic growth factors such as VEGF-C, VEGF-D, and VEGF-A in response to inflammatory stimuli (20, 56, 57). Increased levels of VEGF-A enhance angiogenesis, which acts to reinforce immune cell recruitment (23). These interactions between macrophages and lymphatic vessels lead to a reciprocal regulatory relationship in the process of IAL. Another important role of macrophages during IAL is transdifferentiation into LECs (15–19), as described above.

DCs have a major role in adaptive immunity, as they serve as APCs; therefore, proper recruitment and migration of DCs are critical to the inflammatory response. LECs aid the recruitment of DCs by producing chemokines that are sensed by hematopoietic cells (for example, LECs express CCL21, which recruits CCR7+ DCs) (10, 11, 58, 59). Inflammatory cytokines provoke both LECs and DCs to recruit more DCs. Inflammatory stimuli trigger LECs to express leukocyte adhesion receptors, such as ICAM-1, VCAM-1, and E-selectin, which mediate trafficking of DCs through the afferent lymphatic vessels (60). Meanwhile, inflammatory cytokines promote DC maturation and their migratory capacity (61).

Studies (62, 63) on solid organ transplantation revealed that VEGFR-3 signaling is also involved in mediating migration of DCs toward the draining LN. In a rat cardiac allograft model,
interfering with VEGFR-3 signaling inhibited LEC production of CCL21, an important chemokine required to accomplish the homing of activated CCR7 APCs to secondary lymphoid tissue. Consequently, modulating the activities of VEGFR-3 signaling may influence adaptive immunity (63). Similarly, in a cornal transplantation model, local suppression of VEGFR-3 inhibited graft origin DC migration to the recipient draining LN (62). This reduced donor-specific delayed hypersensitivity and improved graft survival. In this context, reciprocal interactions between the lymphatic vessels and immune cells in response to inflammatory stimuli during IAL can ultimately affect the course of adaptive immunity.

Lymphatic vessels and respiratory tract inflammation

Under normal conditions, lymphatic vessels of the respiratory tract adopt a microstructure optimized to facilitate the entrance of immune cells and interstitial fluid. The basement membrane of lymphatic vessels is discontinuous, especially at the initial lymphatic capillaries, through which inflammatory cells frequently pass. Unlike the continuous zipper-like junctions (zippers) of endothelial cells that effectively seal up the borders of collecting lymphatic channels and blood vessels, initial lymphatics display LECs with overlapping flaps anchored simply by discontinuous, button-like junctions (buttons) (53, 54). Interestingly, 14 days after infection with Mycoplasma pulmonis, the airway presented with growing lymphatic sprouts, which had zippers instead of buttons (53). Such remodeling of the lymphatic vessels makes them less permeable and contributes to impaired fluid clearance and mucosal edema (8). Prophylactic administration of dexamethasone in the same airway inflammation model was found to largely prevent remodeling of both blood vessels and lymphatic vessels; however, once the inflammation resolved, it was less effective for treating the mice. Dexamethasone treatment reversed most of the blood vessel remodeling caused by inflammation, but it failed to reverse the lymphangiogenesis (49), although some microstructural alterations, such as button formation, were observed (8). Antilymphatic treatment with anti-VEGFR-3 antibody (mF4-31C1) inhibited additional lymphangiogenesis but failed to suppress lymphatic vessels that had already been induced before the start of treatment. After inflammation resolved, the number of the sprouts decreased and their microstructure once again consisted of buttons (53).

Studies on human idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, which accompanies chronic inflammation and ultimately ends in pulmonary fibrosis and respiratory failure, suggested newly formed lymphatic vessels are probably part of the pathophysiology and contribute to the fibrotic process and maintenance of injury (64). Lymphatic vessels were observed throughout fibrotic tissue and at the periphery of fibroplastic foci (64). With worsening of the disease, the number of lymphatic vessels did not change, but the newly formed lymphatics displayed morphologic alterations. Bronchoalveolar lavage fluid analysis of patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis demonstrated elevated levels of CCL21 chemokines, which were likely produced by LECs (64). CCL21 can promote fibroblast proliferation by stimulation of its receptor CCR7 and also enhance the recruitment of DCs (64–66). Collectively, chronic inflammatory diseases involving the respiratory system may be accompanied by significant structural alterations of lymphatic vessels, which probably are irreversible changes that are resistant to lymphatic modulating treatments.

**Inflammatory bowel disease**

Mice deficient for angiopoietin-2 have intestinal lymphatic dysplasia and exacerbated colitis in the dextran sulfate sodium colitis model (67, 68), suggesting that lymphatic vessels are functionally important for the resolution of bowel inflammation. Selective ablation of the lymphatic vessels led to distortion of the intestinal villi architecture and severe bowel inflammation (69). In a human study of ulcerative colitis, the disorder of the lymphatic vessel architecture reflected disease activity (70). These observations imply that the integrity of intestinal lymphatic vessels is essential to maintain the structure and function of intestinal villi. Similarly, antilymphatic treatment with anti–VEGFR-3 antibodies in an animal model of inflammatory bowel disease aggravated inflammation and submucosal edema, increased leukocyte infiltration, and caused the lymphatic vessels to become enlarged and tortuous (67). Although the precise pathophysiology of inflammatory bowel disease is unknown, lymphatic vessel obstruction and dysfunction are long-recognized features observed in humans with this disease (71). Lymphangiectasia and lymphocytic perilymphangitis were also found in patients with Crohn’s disease, suggesting that lymphatic derangements are a part of the pathogenesis (72, 73). The presence of lymphangiogenesis is another frequently reported feature in both Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis (70, 74, 75). Among patients with Crohn’s disease, relatively lower lymphatic vessel density was associated with a higher risk of endoscopic disease recurrence after surgery, suggesting that patients could benefit from improved lymphatic flow (76). However, it remains controversial whether lymphatic expansion promotes bowel inflammation and injury or represents a protective or adaptive response. Disturbances in lymphatic function seem to correspond with aggravation of inflammatory bowel disease; therefore, lymphangiogenic remodeling could have benefits, at least in the early phases of disease (76, 77), but further research on this issue is necessary.

**Diabetes**

Accumulating evidence indicates that inflammation is an important contributor to insulin resistance. Chronic inflammatory elements, such as macrophage-derived TNF-α, promote type 2 diabetes (78, 79). In a diabetic animal model, lymphangiogenesis occurred around islet cells and contributed to the pathogenesis of inflammation. Suppression of VEGFR-3 reduced lymphangiogenesis at islets and draining LNs; consequently, inflammation decreased, while islets were preserved (80). Moreover, functional alteration of the lymphatic system was also observed in a diabetic rat model (81). In patients with type 2 diabetes, the dermal lymphatic system showed increased lymphatic vessel density and proliferation. Freshly isolated human dermal LECs from these patients exhibited a distinct gene expression signature, including enhanced expression of chemotactic factors and leukocyte trafficking receptors (CXCL10, VCAM-1, and CMTM7). This resulted in increased recruitment of macrophages, which can serve as a major source of pro-inflammatory cytokines, including TNF-α. Consequently, the aberrant lymphatic vessel growth observed in type 2 diabetes could account for the persistent inflammation that impairs wound healing (82). Further studies are necessary to determine whether IAL under diabetic conditions is also associated with other major complications, such as macroangiopathy, microangiopathy, and neuropathy.
Transplantation and rejection

Immune-mediated graft rejection is one of the most devastating and challenging events encountered after organ transplantation. There is a great need for strategies to modulate the recipient’s immunity to promote graft survival and function while avoiding excessive immunosuppression. Afferoent lymphatic vessels serve as a route for the delivery of both donor and recipient APCs, which carry alloergic tissue antigens to the regional LNs (83). Increased lymphangiogenesis promotes the migration of APCs carrying foreign tissue antigens to the recipient’s draining LNs, which provokes inflammation and is assumed to facilitate the initial immune cascade events that trigger adverse immune reactions. Some studies have shown that interference with lymphatic function suppresses lymphangiogenesis and prolongs graft survival (52, 83). Other studies, using an experimental corneal graft model, identified early post-transplantation lymphangiogenesis as a significant risk factor for immune rejection after keratoplasty, which can be reduced with postoperative anti-angiogenic/anti-lymphangiogenic treatments (84–86).

Using suture-induced corneal neovascularization and corneal transplantation murine models, Dietrich et al. demonstrated that preexisting lymphangiogenesis induced by inflammation is a critical factor promoting immune rejections of corneal grafts (52). Encouragingly, even if inflammation was present prior to transplantation, preoperative antilymphatic treatment with either anti-VEGFR-3 antibody or a small-molecule agent against the LEC integrin αvβ3 significantly improved graft survival, suggesting that temporary prophylactic antilymphatic treatment before transplantation could be beneficial (52). A case report describes an allogeneic and autologous cultivated limbal stem cell graft transplantation instead of a conventional keratolimbus allograft (because the antigenicity of the graft is thought to decrease during culture, causing lower rates of rejection; ref. 87) in a patient with a history of two failed penetrating keratoplasties (88). Unfortunately, immune rejection still occurred, and this pioneering attempt failed (88). Lymphangiogenesis was observed in the removed graft, which again emphasizes the importance of IAL in transplantation (88). Another important consideration is the ideal timing of transplantation if inflammation has already occurred. Near complete regression of pathologic corneal lymphatic vessels was observed six months after suture-induced corneal lymphangiogenesis was established in mice (89). This implies that the keratoplasty procedure for patients with a history of corneal inflammation should be delayed, if possible, to secure an inflammation-free interval (86, 89).

Similar observations have been made in visceral organ transplants. In a diabetic mouse model transplanted with pancreatic islet allografts, lymphangiogenesis occurred in the islet allografts and in draining LNs after transplantation (83). However, antilymphatic treatment using anti-VEGFR-3 antibody, FTY720, or simu- tinib inhibited IAL and significantly prolonged graft survival (83). In human renal transplants (90), the lymphatic vessel density increased in grafts. In addition, lymphatic vessels were closely associated with nodular mononuclear infiltrates, which contained chemokine receptor CCR7+ cells that appeared to have been attracted by LECs. These observations suggest that lymphangiogenesis probably contributes to detrimental alloreactive immune responses and the export of the rejection infiltrate (90). Thus, lymphangiogenesis could be exploited as a therapeutic target for modulating immune rejection and tolerance after cornea and visceral organ transplantation.

Conclusion

Lymphangiogenesis is one of the most frequently observed signs of inflammation. IAL is not only closely related to inflammatory symptoms, but it can significantly influence the course of inflammation. New methods for lymphatic modulation were recently developed, creating novel treatments for inflammatory disorders. However, researchers and clinicians should keep in mind that lymphatic modulating therapies could be a double-edged sword, and must consider the complexity and context of lymphatic biology during treatment. Although considerable progress has been achieved, the field of IAL remains new and our understanding of lymphatic biology is far from complete. In particular, research elucidating the pathogenic links between IAL and specific diseases is necessary for reasonable clinical decision-making and evidence-based therapeutic approaches for lymphatic modulation. Further research, both preclinical and clinical, is still required to address issues relevant to IAL, such as autoimmune diseases, immunodeficiency, post-transplant concerns, and metabolic syndromes.
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