Discovering naturally processed antigenic determinants that confer protective T cell immunity


CD8+ T cells (TCD8) confer protective immunity against many infectious diseases, suggesting that microbial TCD8 determinants are promising vaccine targets. Nevertheless, current T cell antigen identification approaches do not discern which epitopes drive protective immunity during active infection — information that is critical for the rational design of TCD8-targeted vaccines. We employed a proteomics-based approach for large-scale discovery of naturally processed determinants derived from a complex pathogen, vaccinia virus (VACV), that are presented by the most frequent representatives of four major HLA class I supertypes. Immunologic characterization revealed that many previously unidentified VACV determinants were recognized by smallpox-vaccinated human peripheral blood cells in a variegated manner. Many such determinants were recognized by HLA class I–transgenic mouse immune TCD8 too and elicited protective TCD8 immunity against lethal intranasal VACV infection. Notably, efficient processing and stable presentation of immune determinants as well as the availability of naive TCD8 precursors were sufficient to drive a multifunctional, protective TCD8 response. Our approach uses fundamental insights into T cell epitope processing and presentation to define targets of protective TCD8 immunity within human pathogens that have complex proteomes, suggesting that this approach has general applicability in vaccine sciences.

Introduction

Vaccination is an effective approach to prevent infectious diseases. The majority of current vaccines consists of live, attenuated, or inactivated pathogens that were developed in the past by empirical approaches (1). Understanding vaccine-mediated correlates of immunity is critical for the rational design of novel pathogen-free vaccines against diseases caused by complex pathogens — such as malaria, tuberculosis, or HIV/AIDS, for which no effective vaccine currently exists — or to improve the safety and/or efficacy of existing vaccines (1–6).

CD8+ T cells (TCD8) play a critical role in conferring protective immunity against many infectious diseases, including those caused by respiratory viruses (7–11), cytomegalovirus (12), HIV (13), Plasmodium spp. (14, 15), and M. tuberculosis (16, 17). A key role for TCD8 immunity has also been established for the safety of smallpox vaccination in nonhuman primates (18) and humans (19). Therefore, microbial T cell epitope-based vaccines can complement current efforts to develop safe and effective antibody-targeted subunit vaccines.

Identification of immune TCD8 targets is a complicated task, because of MHC class I polymorphism and the ability to generate numerous potential epitopes from complex microbial proteomes for TCD8 appraisal. Computational epitope prediction in combination with TCD8 recognition assays is one solution to this quandary (20). This approach has identified numerous immune epitopes from different pathogens (http://www.iedb.org/). In addition, to elucidate the protective potential of TCD8 immunity, different correlates — such as the response magnitude (21), epitope immunogenicity, binding affinity (22), p/MHC stability (23), and recognition potency (24) as well as the ability to generate multifunctional effector response (25) and long-lived memory response (26) — have been comprehensively evaluated.

Vaccinia virus (VACV; ~200 proteins) is widely used as a model to study TCD8 responses to pathogens with complex proteomes. Interrogation of computationally predicted epitopes revealed that humans and mice recognize numerous epitopes by VACV-immune TCD8; humans recognize these epitopes in a variegated, i.e., partially overlapping, manner (27–31). Nevertheless, due to the current limitations of bioinformatics prediction approaches, identification of viral determinants that are efficiently presented in vivo and initiate protective TCD8 responses remains a challenge. In addition, the complexity of antimicrobial TCD8 responses (27–31) severely limits the screening of even known immune determinants for protective efficacy. Hence, only limited preclinical mouse studies have addressed
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the protective potential of individual antigenic determinants, which were mostly focused on a few rapidly detectable specificities (31–34). Therefore, the actual array of critical antigenic determinants driving protective TCD8 responses during a natural infection or after vaccination remains unknown. Furthermore, it has been noted that the computational approach has underestimated the breadth of VACV-derived TCD8 epitopes (35), necessitating a reevaluation of TCD8 epitope presentation by alternative approaches.

As alternatives to algorithm-based epitope prediction, mass spectrometry–based proteomics approaches to sequence peptides eluted from HLA class I molecules have been used to identify VACV epitopes (32, 36, 37). A significant advantage to this approach is that it directly informs whether an antigenic determinant is naturally processed, thereby narrowing the search for potential vaccine targets to those determinants that are efficiently presented during an infection (38). While only a few such epitopes have been evaluated for immune recognition (32, 37), none were ever interrogated for their protective potential. Therefore, it remains unclear whether the proteomics approach is superior for TCD8 epitope discovery over currently existing computational approaches and whether eluted peptides inform relevant vaccine targets.

In this study, we used VACV as a model, because a critical role has been attributed to TCD8 in conferring protective immunity against lethal respiratory VACV infection (10, 11). Using data from a comprehensive proof-of-principle study for the identification of potent TCD8 targets from a complex virome, we report large-scale discovery and immunologic characterization of naturally processed antigenic determinants of VACV that are presented by five frequent HLA class I allotypes that represent four major class I supertypes. Additionally, we provide direct evidence that naturally processed epitopes inform targets for TCD8-based protective immunity. Our strategy, in which fundamental insights into T cell epitope processing and presentation were used to define targets of protective TCD8 immunity, should have general applicability in vaccine sciences.

Results

Numerous VACV-derived determinants are naturally processed and presented by HLA class I molecules. Recognition of infected cells by TCD8 relies on efficient presentation of microbial determinants. We used a proteomics approach coupled with functional studies to identify and characterize naturally processed HLA class I–restricted determinants presented during active poxvirus infection (Figure 1). HeLa cells expressing soluble HLA-A*02:01 (sA2), -B*07:02 (sB7), -B35:01 (sB35), -A01:01 (sA1), or -B45:01 (sB45) molecules were infected with VACV, and associated peptides were eluted from class I molecules and fractionated by reversed-phase chromatography. In-line microcapillary HPLC-electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) of each eluted peptide fraction resulted in the acquisition of a large number of spectra (data not shown). Those that contained 9–11 amino acid residues and conformed to the canonical A2 and B7 binding motifs are validated using the corresponding synthetic peptide spectra and considered naturally processed class I–restricted determinants. That these are TCD8 epitopes is ascertained by functional assays and dual p/class I tetramer staining. Additionally, the protective potential of the TCD8 epitopes is tested in peptide vaccination and a respiratory VACV challenge model.
The comparative proteome searches identified 581 sA2- and 132 sB7-associated peptides that were expressed only by VACV-infected but not uninfected cells; of those, 109 A2- and 65 B7-restricted peptide sequences correlated with the MS/MS spectra’s fragment ion data with high confidence (see Methods, Supplemental Figure 1, and Supplemental Table 1; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI67388DS1) and, hence, were selected for synthesis and further study. Similar analysis identified numerous naturally processed VACV peptides eluted from sA1, sB35, and sB45 molecules as well (our unpublished observations).

Mass spectrometry was performed with a low-resolution instrument (LTQ ion trap), which made data analysis challenging. Hence, synthetic peptides corresponding to the amino acid sequences of naturally processed peptides were analyzed by LC-MS/MS for spectral validation of each eluted peptide. For this purpose, the spectrum of the eluted peptide was qualitatively compared to that of the corresponding synthetic peptide. We found that the MS/MS spectra of most of the eluted peptides were similar to those of the corresponding synthetic peptides (Supplemental Figure 1 and data not shown), confirming the identity of the naturally processed peptides.

Comparison to the VACV proteome revealed that the naturally processed peptides were derived from all functional and kinetic classes of VACV proteins, with multiple determinants emerging from several ORFs (Supplemental Table 1), consistent with previous reports (28). Importantly, many known immune epitopes reported from algorithm-predicted determinants were also identified as naturally processed (Supplemental Table 1), indicating that the proteomics approach can be used for the discovery of TCD8 targets. Critically, however, we also identified dozens of determinants that would not be predicted to be TCD8 epitopes based upon the bioinformatics approach alone, many of which we validated as bona fide TCD8 targets (see below). Thus, we found from this thorough, large-scale analysis that, during VACV infection, a large and diverse array of naturally processed viral determinants was presented by representative members of the four most frequent HLA class I supertypes — i.e., A2 (A2), B7 (B7, B35), A1 (A1), and B44 (B45).

**Summary of immune epitopes identified in smallpox-vaccinated B7 volunteers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peptide sequence</th>
<th>Volunteers&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>V003</th>
<th>V111</th>
<th>V150</th>
<th>V154</th>
<th>V155</th>
<th>V158</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FPYEGKGVF</td>
<td>222</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>456&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPRSMLSIF</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>282&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPSNHILL</td>
<td>534&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>653&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>673&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPKNDFVSF</td>
<td>367&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>367&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>367&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPRAIRFL</td>
<td>576&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>576&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>576&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPNOHHTIDL</td>
<td>392</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APASSLLPAL</td>
<td>332</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>689&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPFSVFINPI</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>9,074</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>736</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPITGSKIL</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>8,701</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>1,383</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YPSNKNYIEI</td>
<td>1,317</td>
<td>438</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>722&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPSNVEIKAI</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>534</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPKYLEIEI</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>1,725</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPSKMMYALL</td>
<td>681</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPSVILKIL</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>2,235&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>The numbers of spot-forming cells over background per million PBMCs that secrete IFN-γ in response to individual peptides are presented; responses are considered positive only if spot-forming cells per million PBMCs were at least 100 over background.

<sup>b</sup>Epitopes also found as positive in tetramer binding assay.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peptide sequence</th>
<th>Volunteers&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>V003</th>
<th>V111</th>
<th>V150</th>
<th>V154</th>
<th>V155</th>
<th>V158</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TVADVHRL</td>
<td>1,226&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>2,588&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>165</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPMSLRSTII</td>
<td>985&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPAYRINTL</td>
<td>157&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPRLHYATVM</td>
<td>257&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>The HLA class I allotype for each donor is provided in Supplemental Table 2. <sup>b</sup>The numbers of spot-forming cells over background per million PBMCs that secrete IFN-γ in response to individual peptides are presented; responses are considered positive only if spot-forming cells per million PBMCs were at least 100 over background.

<sup>c</sup>Epitopes also found as positive in tetramer binding assay.
determinants identified above. Splenocytes from acutely infected B6-K6D3;B*0702tg (B7tg) and B6-K6D3;A*0201tg (A2tg) mice functionally responded to 12 out of 83 B7- and 15 out of 152 A2-restricted epitopes in the ELISPOT assay (Figure 2, A and B, Supplemental Figure 3, and Supplemental Figure 4A). Within the same VACV-immune splenocytes, p/class I tetramers specifically identified 14 B7- and 18 A2-restricted epitopes, demonstrating a strong concordance between the two assays (Figure 2C and Supplemental Figure 4, B and C). Notably, this screen revealed many novel TCD8 epitopes, in addition to those identified by algorithm-based approaches (Table 2 and Supplemental Table 5).

Akin to TCD8 responses to other pathogens (41), those against VACV in B7tg mice followed a reproducible hierarchy such that certain specificities were dominant and others were subdominant, which we defined as >1% or <1% of p/class I tetramer-positive TCD8, respectively (Figure 2D and Supplemental Figure 4D). To confirm that subdominant TCD8 specificities detected were indeed antigen specific and not cross-reactive, splenocytes from VACV-immune and naive B7tg mice were expanded ex vivo with individual antigenic peptides in the presence of recombinant human IL-2, followed by staining with p/B7.2 tetramers on day 7 by detection of epitope-specific TCD8 with p/B7.2 tetramers at day 6 after boost (Supplemental Figure 7B, Supplemental Figure 8, and data not shown). Moreover, such protein-elicited TCD8 functionally responded to restimulation with antigenic peptide, which induced the expression of intracellular IFN-γ and mobilized CD107a — a marker for degranulation — to the plasma membrane (Supplemental Figure 7C). Hence, we conclude that all the tested immune determinants are processed and presented from the cognate VACV proteins and exhibit similar phenotype, functional competence, and homing pattern. The immunologic rationale for the selection of potent vaccine targets among numerous determinants should rely on their ability to elicit postinoculation (p.i.). Robust expansion of epitope-specific TCD8 from VACV-immune splenocytes but not from naive splenocytes was observed (Supplemental Figure 5), indicating that all antigen-specific reactivity detected (Figure 2) was specifically elicited in response to VACV in vivo.

In an independent approach, we assessed whether the TCD8-reactive peptides discovered by proteomics are actual epitopes generated through processing and presentation from cognate VACV proteins in vivo. For this purpose, B7tg mice were immunized with individual VACV-derived proteins containing targeted epitope sequences. Nine recombinant proteins containing the ten immune determinants (two of which are D1R derived; Supplemental Figure 6) were produced in E. coli and purified. B7tg mice were primed and boosted (i.p.) with individual proteins formulated with the natural killer T cell ligand α-galactosylceramide (Supplemental Figure 7A), which is known to function as an adjuvant (42–45). All proteins were immunogenic when formulated with α-galactosylceramide and induced a robust systemic response, as judged
multifunctional, protective responses. Hence, to identify measurable qualities that can predict their protective capacity, B7 tg mice were inoculated with VACV and, on day 7, the functional potency, clonal diversity, and tissue distribution were determined for splenic immune TCD8 recognizing the 10 B7-restricted VACV determinants. TCD8 responses against the 10 determinants were nonoverlapping (Supplemental Figure 9, A and B) and accounted for about one-half of the overall TCD8 response to VACV (Supplemental Figure 10A). Analysis of biomarkers associated with antigen exposure revealed effector (CD44hiCD62LloGzmB+KLRG1+) and memory (CD44hiCD62LhiCD127hi) phenotypes within both dominant and subdominant specificities (Figure 3, A and B). We also quantified 4 TCD8 effector mediators, because the protective efficacy of T cell–based vaccines was previously correlated with the frequency of multifunctional effectors (25, 46). Remarkably, intracellular IFN-γ, TNF-α, and IL-2 synthesis and cytolytic granule release (judged by surface CD107a mobilization) upon ex vivo restimulation with antigenic peptide were proportional to the frequency of a given TCD8 (Figure 3, C and D). These results suggest similar functional competence of dominant and subdominant TCD8 specificities elicited in the primary response to VACV. Furthermore, each of the analyzed immune determinants was recognized by a polyclonal T cell receptor repertoire (Figure 3E and Supplemental Figure 10B). Last, the 6 most frequent TCD8 specificities were proportionally distributed to all tissues in frequencies reminiscent of the hierarchy observed in the spleen (Figure 3F and Supplemental Figure 10C).

Collectively, our results indicate that the different TCD8 specificities generated against naturally processed VACV determinants exhibit similar phenotype, functional competence, and homing pattern irrespective of their dominance hierarchy. Thus, efficient recruitment of virtually any precursor TCD8 (pTCD8) that recognize naturally processed VACV determinant has the potential to generate a protective response.

Most immune TCD8 specificities elicited against efficiently presented epitopes contribute to protection against VACV. Efficient recruitment of naive antigen-specific pTCD8 that can evolve into effector and memory cells is required to generate protective immunity. Hence, to determine the extent of TCD8 recruitment upon VACV infection, we compared frequencies of naive and immune TCD8 recognizing individual naturally processed epitopes. For this purpose, pTCD8 against the 10 characterized immune epitopes were enumerated in naive B7 tg mice after successive TCD8 and p/B7.2 tetramer-based enrichments (Supplemental Figure 11). We found that the VACV epitope-specific pTCD8 frequencies ranged from approximately 126 (E2L216–224) to approximately 6,104 (L4R37–45) per mouse, which corresponds to 17 and 731 pTCD8 per 10⁶ naive TCD8, respectively (Figure 4). Of the 10 epitopes, only 3—namely, the highly dominant A34R82–90 (mean ~2,465 pTCD8 per mouse), dominant D1R808–817 (mean ~1,892 pTCD8 per mouse), and subdominant L4R37–45 (mean ~6,104 pTCD8 per mouse)—were higher than those reported for the most frequent pTCD8—e.g., MCMV M45-D5 (~1,500 pTCD8 per mouse) and VACV B8R-K8 (~1,400 pTCD8 per mouse) epitopes (47–51). B7 tg mice contain only about one-third of the total TCD8 (~7 × 10⁶ to 8 × 10⁶ TCD8 per mouse; data not shown) ordinarily generated by C57BL/6 mice (~17 × 10⁶ TCD8 per mouse; ref. 51), perhaps because the former expresses only one class I allele, as they do not express mouse H2 class I molecules. Hence, the normalized pTCD8 frequency per 10⁶ TCD8 appears abnormally high. Notable, for 3 dominant (A34R82–90, D1R808–817, B8R-K8) and 4 subdominant (J6R303–311, D1R86–694, A3L192–200, E2L216–224) TCD8 specificities, we found that the pTCD8 frequency corresponded to the effector hierarchy established during primary VACV infection (Figure 2D and Figure 4). This result suggests proportional expansion of the corresponding naive pTCD8 during infection.

Surprisingly, the naive pTCD8 frequency for the 3 remaining subdominant determinants (L4R37–45, D5R275–283, F4L6–14) was not concordant with the magnitude of the primary TCD8 response (Figure 2D and Figure 4), suggesting that these determinants are less potent TCD8 targets. To test this prediction, all 10 immune epitopes were individually formulated with anti-CD40 antibody plus poly(I:C) as adjuvant (see Methods) and used to immunize mice, an approach reported to efficiently elicit TCD8 responses (52). Tracking of blood TCD8 with p/B7.2 tetramers revealed that some determinants, including the dominant B8R-K8 epitope, were not immunogenic, even after repeated vaccinations (data not shown). This lack of immunogenicity, previously described for some H2Kb-restricted immune determinants (22), was not predicted by the frequency of naive or VACV-immune TCD8. Critically, nonetheless, when mice were primed with in vitro–matured, peptide-loaded DCs, all 10 epitopes elicited robust epitope-specific secondary responses, which accounted for 4% to 92% of total blood TCD8 (Figure 5, A and B). Thus, our results suggest that many of the available precursor specificities, including those for subdominant TCD8, have the potential to be efficiently recruited to the immune response when the cognate antigenic determinant is efficiently presented.

We next determined the protective potential of individual TCD8 specificities recognizing naturally processed determinants. For this, the above epitope-vaccinated mice were lethally challenged by i.n. VACV; these mice were observed during the next 6 days for morbidity and weight loss, and, on day 6 after challenge, lung viral burdens were measured as well. To ensure elicitation of robust

---

### Table 2

Summary of immune epitopes identified in B7 transgenic mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sequencea</th>
<th>ORF</th>
<th>t½ (h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FKNDVFSb</td>
<td>B8R70–79</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPSNHILLb</td>
<td>A3L102–100</td>
<td>5.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPKEYSSELb</td>
<td>D5R275–283</td>
<td>7.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPYYEGKVfb</td>
<td>E9L226–234</td>
<td>6.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPDRAIRLb</td>
<td>E2L216–224</td>
<td>4.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPRSMILSb</td>
<td>L4R37–45</td>
<td>6.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPNOHHTILDb</td>
<td>N2L104–113</td>
<td>4.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPTNTLTSb</td>
<td>I6L237–245</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Known immune epitopes also found as naturally processed in this study

| RPSTRNFEb | D1R808–817 | 2.93 |
| LPRPDTRHb | A34R82–90 | 1.52 |

Known immune epitopes not found as naturally processed in this study

| MPAYRINTLb | J6R303–311 | 5.44 |
| HFRNYATVMb | D1R86–694 | 6.47 |
| APNPNNRFBb | F4L6–14 | 8.81 |
| RPMSLRTIIb | O1L235–244 | 5.31 |

aIdentified by IFN-γ ELISpot assay. bEpitopes also found as positive in tetramer binding assay.
technical advance

Figure 3
Individual TCD8 exhibit similar phenotype, functional competence, and homing pattern. (A) Experimental design. B7+tg mice were inoculated i.p. with 2 × 10^6 pfu VACV, and the TCD8 response was characterized on day 7. (B) Dominant (D1R688–694, B8R70–79) and subdominant (A3L192–200) TCD8 specificities identified in VACV-immune spleens acquired similar effector (CD44+CD62L-LGzmB+KLRG1hi) and memory (CD44hiCD62L-hiCD127hi) phenotypes. Representative data for 3 TCD8 specificities of 6 measured are shown. Numbers represent the percentage of p/B7.2 tetramer+ cells within TCD8 gate (top row) or the percentage of p/B7.2 tetramer+ TCD8 with indicated phenotype. (C and D) Multifunctionality of dominant and subdominant TCD8 detected in immune spleens after ex vivo restimulation with peptide. Data are representative of at least 2 independent experiments. Numbers in C represent the percentage of IFN-γ+CD107a+ cells within the TCD8 gate (top row) or the percentage of IFN-γ+CD107a+ TCD8 expressing the indicated cytokines (middle and bottom rows). Error bars in D represent mean ± SD of the assay replicates (n = 3–5). (E) Broad TCR Vβ usage within individual TCD8 specificity. Bar segments indicate the proportion of TCRβ clonotype within the indicated p/B7.2 tetramer+ TCD8 spleen cells. Note that priming with VACV proteins followed by viral challenge enhanced the 4 low-frequency TCD8. (F) Wide tissue distribution of VACV-specific TCD8. Bar segments indicate the proportion of the indicated specificity within the 10 p/B7.2 tetramer+ TCD8 measured. Data are representative of 2 independent experiments (n = 5).

Figure 4
Frequency of naive pTCD8 that recognize the 10 naturally processed VACV determinants identified in B7tg mice. Our approach to pTCD8 enumeration is described in Methods and shown in detail in Supplemental Figure 11. Data are representative of 3 to 5 independent experiments using pooled spleen and macroscopic LNs (n = 10). Each symbol represents 1 experiment; number indicates mean pTCD8 per mouse.
A large literature supports an essential role for TCD8 in protective immunity against many infectious diseases. Notably, even pathogens with relatively small proteomes, e.g., influenza virus, generate broad TCD8 responses in humans (53), and those with large proteomes, e.g., VACV, elicit a more complex response (28, 29). Hence, we would predict the actual breadth of the TCD8 response to complex pathogens — such as Plasmodium or Mycobacterium spp., which surprisingly has never been determined — to be much more complex than those described so far. Moreover, in addition to limited knowledge of immune epitopes, the protective efficacy of individual TCD8 specificities elicited by vaccination has not been elucidated. Consequently, TCD8 protection studies were limited to a few readily detectable epitopes in mice. In contrast, attempts to achieve TCD8-targeted vaccination against complex pathogens in humans were rather empirical and have relied on elicitation of TCD8 with engineered vaccines without solid evidence for efficient presentation of the targeted antigenic determinant during infection (54, 55).

Bioinformatics/algorithm-based prediction for TCD8 epitope discovery, a generally accepted approach, resulted in identification may contribute to overall antiviral immunity during acute infection. Our results emphasize the proteomics approach for discovery of naturally processed HLA class I–restricted epitopes that are efficiently presented during infection with a complex pathogen as a viable method to identify targets of protective TCD8 immunity.

**Discussion**

The novelty of our work lies in the provision of direct evidence that identification of potent TCD8 targets for pathogens with complex proteomes requires discovery and comprehensive characterization of naturally processed antigenic determinants that are efficiently presented during infection. Hence, in this comprehensive proof-of-principle study, we first discovered naturally processed VACV determinants that are recognized by immune TCD8 and characterized their protective potential in mice. Such an approach in which in-depth insights into fundamental immunobiology of T cell epitopes was used to define targets of protective TCD8 immunity has a broad application, especially for the rational design of TCD8-based vaccines against disease agents with complex proteomes.
of numerous VACV-specific immune determinants. Surprisingly, using our proteomics approach, we identified numerous naturally processed and presented VACV epitopes that were not previously considered as potential TCD8 targets by algorithm prediction and, hence, were not tested for TCD8 recognition. Moreover, by subsequent testing of naturally processed determinants in vaccinated mice and humans, we found many novel immune epitopes, including dominant and subdominant ones. These findings provide evidence that prediction approaches have greatly underestimated the actual breadth of the TCD8 response to VACV. Thus, it is likely that discovery of immune epitopes for pathogens with larger proteomes using algorithms would not be feasible, due to the necessity for screening of large predicted epitope arrays for immune recognition and because currently available T cell assays, such as tetramer staining or ELISpot, albeit sensitive, are severely limit in their potential for high-throughput screening of T cell epitopes (56).

The sensitivity limits of the proteomics approach favor detection of stably presented and highly abundant MHC-associated determinants. Nevertheless, our work suggests that this approach is superior to other approaches because the search for potential vaccine targets is narrowed to those determinants that are efficiently presented during an actual infection. We identified 67 naturally processed B7-restricted antigenic determinants, from which 27 were stably presented by the cognate class I molecule. Ten stably presented determinants were recognized by immune TCD8 in B7tg mice, together covering nearly 50% of the overall anti-VACV TCD8 response. Seven out of the ten epitopes recognized by TCD8 were tested for protection; four of these conferred sufficient protection against lethal respiratory VACV infection. Collectively, approximately 6% of the eluted peptides, or approximately 15% of stably presented peptides, were recognized by immune TCD8 and elicited protective responses in vivo.

The human cell line used as the source of class I–bound peptides may underrepresent or overrepresent actual in vivo breadth of naturally processed and presented VACV determinants. We found one eluted epitope (L4R37–45) that was poorly presented during acute VACV infection and generated nonprotective response in epitope vaccination despite the high frequency of functional responders. Some naturally processed determinants of VACV reported previously were not found in this study. It is likely that the use of different cell lines, different multiplicity and kinetics of infection, and engineered soluble class I molecules to elute bound peptides may have resulted in the identification of nonoverlapping naturally processed determinants in different studies (32, 36). Some of the qualitative differences mentioned above may have arisen from differences in the activity of the class I peptide–loading complex that associated with soluble and membrane-bound class I molecule. Differences in proteasome activities, both constitutive and immunoproteasome, in HeLa cells compared with those in professional antigen-presenting cells could have further confounded proteomics-based epitope discovery. Notwithstanding these limitations, because we have functionally characterized the eluted peptides, we believe that this is the first proof-of-principle study suggesting that naturally processed epitopes inform relevant targets for protective TCD8 responses from a microbe with a large proteome.

The protective potential of individual TCD8 specificities elicited during a natural infection or upon successful vaccination has remained undereveloped. To elucidate the requirements for
rational targeting of microbial TCD8 epitopes, VACV-immune TCD8 recognizing 10 naturally processed immune determinants of variable dominance were interrogated for phenotype, function, proliferation, and protective efficacy in B7+/− mice. Surprisingly, we found that individual TCD8, regardless of their dominance, exhibited similar phenotype and functional competence. Moreover, protective efficacy of individual TCD8 specificities elicited by vaccination was discordant with the established dominance hierarchy. On the other hand, vaccination with a single dominant (B8R69–79) or subdominant (J6R93–111 and A3L192–200) determinant conferred sufficient protection from lethal respiratory VACV infection. Hence, naive precursors of both dominant and subdominant specificities efficiently evolved into multifunctional and protective immune responses upon epitope-targeted vaccination. These results provide the first comprehensive evidence to our knowledge that TCD8 dominance in acute infection does not inform the quality of the immune responders that confer protection. It rather reflects quantitative differences in the frequency of their naive precursors, as was noted by others as well (57). Importantly, we have shown that protection is achieved when targeted immune determinants are efficiently presented during viral infective cycle.

Notably, some epitopes (namely, B8R69–79) were immunogenic when mice were vaccinated with peptide-pulsed DCs but not with poly(I:C) plus anti-CD40 antibody, suggesting that the immunogenicity of epitopes depends on the form in which they are presented. In one instance, immunization scheme I — in which priming was performed with peptide formulated with poly(I:C) plus anti-CD40 antibody — resulted in a much more robust TCD8 response against F4L6–14 than that resulting from scheme II. Consequently, the former resulted in much better protection than the latter, which was essentially nonprotective (data not shown). Therefore, the vaccination scheme itself does not explain the difference in protection. Instead, we found that, to confer protection against lethal VACV challenge, it was critical to achieve a robust TCD8 response by epitope vaccination. Moreover, when immunogenic, we also discovered that individual TCD8 specificities, despite similar functional competence, exhibited varied protective efficacy in acute VACV infection. Critically, this varied protection was not directly linked to TCD8 frequency elicited by vaccination: e.g., some responders at lower frequency (A3L192–200) were more protective than others elicited at higher frequency (F4L6–14), whereas some responders (L4R37–45) were not protective regardless of their frequency. It is likely that differential abundance of determinants and/or kinetics of their natural presentation define viability of infected cells to cognate TCD8 in vivo, thus restricting protective responses to specificities that efficiently recognize infected cells. For example, we demonstrated that targeting the poorly presented immune determinant L4R37–45 failed to protect.

Taken together, our findings emphasize the discovery of naturally processed and efficiently presented immune determinants as a rational approach for the identification of protective TCD8 specificities from complex microbial proteomes. Importantly, such protective immune determinants — especially because some of these were commonly recognized by mouse and human immune cells (namely, B8R69–79, A3L192–200, and J6R93–111) and are highly conserved among poxviral, including variola, proteomes — are potential candidates for TCD8-targeted vaccine design. Application of the approach described herein could benefit discovery of vaccine targets against other human scourges such as malaria, tuberculosis, and HIV/AIDS.

Methods

**Viruses.** The Western Reserve strain of VACV (ATCC, VR-119) was grown in and titrated with BSC-40 cells.

**Large-scale cell culture and VACV infection.** Soluble HLA class I (a2A1.1 and sB7.2) production and harvest were as described previously (58). Briefly, approximately 1 × 10⁷ viable cells were inoculated with VACV (MOI 0.1). Supernatants containing approximately 0.3–4.2 mg/1A2.1 and sB7.2 were collected at 24, 48, and 72 hours after inoculation.

**Isolation and fractionation of class I-associated peptides.** sA2 and sB7 were affinity purified using W6/32-bound protein A-Sepharose (GE Healthcare). Class I-associated peptide elution, separation, and reversed-phase HPLC purification were all performed as previously described (59).

**Mass spectrometry sequencing of eluted peptides.** Lyophilized fractions were resuspended in 0.1% formic acid and subjected to reversed-phase microcapillary LC-nanoESI-MS/MS analysis using an Agilent 1100 binary HPLC pump and an LTQ linear ion trap mass spectrometer 2.2 (Thermo Fisher). A fritless, microcapillary column (100-µm inner diameter) was packed with 10 cm of 5-µm C18 reversed-phase material (Syngery 4i Hydro RP80a, Phenomenex) as previously described (60). Reversed-phase HPLC–fractionated peptides were loaded onto the column equilibrated in buffer A (0.1% formic acid, 5% acetonitrile) using a LCPackings autosampler. Flow splitting was used to reduce the HPLC flow rate from 200 µl per minute to 0.3 µl per minute as previously described (60, 61). Peptides from the microcapillary column were eluted directly into the linear ion LTQ mass spectrometer equipped with a microelectrospray source (James Hill Instrument Service). Peptides were eluted using a 60-minute linear gradient from 0% to 60% buffer B (0.1% formic acid, 80% acetonitrile) at a flow rate of 0.3 µl per minute. During the gradient, the eluted ions were analyzed by 1 full precursor MS scan (400–2,000 m/z), followed by 5 MS/MS scans of the 5 most abundant ions detected in the precursor MS scan while operating under dynamic exclusion. The program extractms2 was used to generate the ASCII peak list and identify +1 or multiply charged precursor ions from the native mass spectrometry data file (62) Tandem spectra were searched with no protease specificity using SEQUEST-PVM (63) against a concatenated Human RefSeq protein database release (May 2005) (28,818 entries) and Vaccinia_WR_Copenhagen protein database (760 entries). For multiply charged precursor ions (z ≥ 2), an independent search was performed on both the +2 and +3 mass of the parent ion. A weighted scoring matrix was used to select the most likely charge state of multiply charged precursor ions as previously described (64, 65). Data were processed and organized using the BIGCAT software analysis suite (64).

**Bioinformatics.** Candidate immune epitopes were selected based solely on their spectral characteristics, namely, (a) their presence in replicate samples (A2) or at multiple time points (A2 and B7); (b) high Cn score; and (c) independent visual confirmation of the mass spectrum. A search for public immune epitopes was conducted through the Immune Epitope Database (http://www.iedb.org/). Peptide conservation among other orthologs was accomplished with no protease specificity using SEQUEST-PVM (63) against a concatenated Human RefSeq protein database release (May 2005) (28,818 entries) and Vaccinia_WR_Copenhagen protein database (760 entries). For multiply charged precursor ions (z ≥ 2), an independent search was performed on both the +2 and +3 mass of the parent ion. A weighted scoring matrix was used to select the most likely charge state of multiply charged precursor ions as previously described (64, 65). Data were processed and organized using the BIGCAT software analysis suite (64).

**Volunteers.** Individuals (Supplemental Table 2) receiving the DryVax smallpox vaccine were identified and recruited into IRB-approved studies by S. Joyce or C. Oseff and A. Sette (28). Peripheral blood was obtained by venipuncture, and PBMCs were isolated by centrifugation for Ficoll-Paque (GE Healthcare).

**Mice and infection.** B7+/− and A2+/− transgenic mice were previously described (66). These mice do not express the class Ia molecules H2K and D. To elicit primary TCD8 responses, 6- to 8-week-old mice were inoculated i.p. with approximately 2 × 10⁷ pfu VACV in sterile PBS. After 7 days, mononuclear cells were harvested from the various tissues and used for functional assays.

**ELISPOT.** Ten thousand to 300,000 cells were cocultivated with the appropriate peptide concentration or medium alone for 16 to 18 hours. IFN-γ
secreted into the medium was quantified by ELISpot assay, as previously described, using 1–1.5 μg/ml anti-human IFN-γ (1-D1K, Mabtech) or anti-
mouse IFN-γ (AN18, eBioscience) (67).

Statistics. ELISpot data were processed using Statistica software (StatSoft). Where indicated, data comparisons were performed using Prism version 4.0 (GraphPad Software). Multiple group comparisons were performed using 1-way ANOVA with Dunnnett’s post-hoc test to compare epitope-vaccinated groups with mock. Comparisons between 2 groups were performed using Mann-Whitney test. P < 0.05 was considered significant.

Study approval. All mice were bred, maintained, and used in experiments in compliance with approved Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee regulations at Vanderbilt University. The Vanderbilt University and La Jolla Institute for Allergy and Immunology IRBs approved all studies involving human PBMCs. All volunteers provided consent per IRB protocol prior to blood collection.

Supplemental material. Additional data are available in the Supplemental Methods, supplemental tables, and supplemental figures, which are available online.
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