Recent genome-wide discovery studies have identified a spectrum of mutations in different malignancies and have led to the elucidation of novel pathways that contribute to oncogenic transformation. The discovery of mutations in the genes encoding isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH) has uncovered a critical role for altered metabolism in oncogenesis, and the neomorphic, oncogenic function of IDH mutations affects several epigenetic and gene regulatory pathways. Here we discuss the relevance of IDH mutations to leukemia pathogenesis, therapy, and outcome and how mutations in IDH1 and IDH2 affect the leukemia epigenome, hematopoietic differentiation, and clinical outcome.

Introduction

Mutations in isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH) have been identified in a spectrum of human malignancies. Mutations in IDH1 were first identified in an exome resequencing analysis of patients with colorectal cancer (1). Shortly thereafter, recurrent IDH1 and IDH2 mutations were found in patients with glioma, most commonly in patients who present with lower-grade gliomas (2). IDH1 mutations were subsequently discovered in patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML) through whole genome sequencing (3), which was followed by the identification of somatic IDH2 mutations in patients with AML (4–6). Further studies revealed that IDH mutations induce a neomorphic function to produce the oncometabolite 2-hydroxylglutarate (2HG) (7, 8), which can inhibit many cellular processes (9, 10). In particular, the ability of 2HG to alter the epigenetic landscape makes IDH a prototypical target for prognostic studies and drug targeting in leukemias.

Neomorphic function leads to oncometabolite production

IDH proteins catalyze the oxidative decarboxylation of isocitrate to α-ketoglutarate (αKG, also known as 2-oxoglutarate). IDH3 primarily functions as the allosterically regulated, rate-limiting enzymatic step in the TCA cycle, while the other two isoforms, which are mutated in cancer, utilize this catalytic process in additional contexts including metabolism and glucose sensing (IDH1) and regulation of oxidative respiration (IDH2) (11, 12). Loss-of-function mutations in other TCA cycle components have previously been linked to investigations of the effects of 2HG on the jumonji C domain histone-modifying enzymes and the newly characterized tet methylcytosine dioxygenase (TET) family of methylcytosine hydroxylases. Importantly, expression of IDH or exposure to chemically modified, cell-permeable 2HG affects hematopoietic differentiation, likely due to changes in epigenetic regulation that induce reversible alterations in differentiation states (15).

TET1 was initially discovered as a binding partner of mixed-lineage leukemia (MLL) in patients with MLL-translocated AML (16, 17). However, the function of the TET gene family and its role in leukemogenesis remained unknown until TET1 was shown to catalyze αKG-dependent addition of a hydroxyl group to methylated cytosines (18), which precedes DNA demethylation and results in altered epigenetic control (10, 18–24). TET enzymes have further been shown to catalyze conversion of 5-methylcytosine (5mC) to 5-formylcytosine (5fC) or 5-carboxylcytosine (5cC) (25, 26). These data suggest that loss of TET2 enzymatic function can lead to aberrant cytosine methylation and epigenetic silencing in malignant settings. TET2 mutations were initially found in array-comparative genomic hybridization and genome-wide SNP arrays, which identified microdeletions containing this gene in a patient with myeloproliferative neoplasm (MPN) and myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) (27). This discovery was followed by the identification of somatic missense, nonsense, and frameshift TET2 mutations in patients with MDS, MPN, AML, and other myeloid malignancies.
Most TET2 alleles result in nonsense/frameshift mutations, which result in loss of TET2 catalytic function (31), consistent with a tumor suppressor function in myeloid malignancies. When 2HG was hypothesized to affect specific enzymatic processes in oncogenic leukemia, AML patients were observed to harbor IDH1/2 and TET mutations in a mutually exclusive manner (9). Of note, exploration into the functional relationship between these mutant IDH proteins and the function of TET2 ultimately suggested a role for 2HG in inhibiting TET enzymatic function. IDH1- or TET2-mutant patient samples are characterized by increased global hypermethylation of DNA and transcriptional silencing of genes with hypermethylated promoters. Expression of these IDH-mutant alleles in experimental models was further observed to result in increased methylation, reduced hydroxymethylation, and impaired TET2 function (9). Finally, in biochemical assays, 2HG was shown to directly inhibit TET2 as well as other αKG-dependent enzymes (10). These data demonstrate that a key feature of IDH1/2 mutations in hematopoietic cells is to impair TET2 function and disrupt DNA methylation (Figure 1).

Models of leukemia employing IDH/2HG and TET2
Conditional loss of Tet2 expression in mice results in a chronic myelomonocytic leukemia (CMML) phenotype and increased hematopoietic self-renewal in vivo (32). Of note, in vitro systems have shown that TET2 silencing and expression of IDH1/2 mutant alleles leads to impaired hematopoietic differentiation and expansion of stem/progenitor cells (9). More recently, IDHI (R132H)-conditional knockin mice with hematopoietic-specific recombination were analyzed and found to have myeloid expansion, although they did not develop overt AML. This suggests that IDH mutations by themselves cannot promote overt transformation, and that additional genetic, epigenetic, and/or microenvironmental factors are needed to cooperate with mutant IDH alleles to promote hematologic malignancies. The hematopoietic defects included increased numbers of hematopoietic stem cells and myeloid progenitor cells, and a DNA methylation signature that was similar to observed patterns in primary AML patients with IDH1 mutations (33). While many models of IDH-mutant leukemia have shown potential, future models that incorporate the complexity seen in human patients are needed, as discussed below. More recently, the effects of IDH1/2 mutations on hematopoietic cell lines were replicated using exogenously applied 2HG, which was rendered permeable to the cell membrane by esterification. The Kaelin group used this system to dissect the role of 2HG in the αKG-dependent pathways that may be affected in IDH mutation, and to show that the effects are reversible (34). Tools such as these will help advance our understanding of the biology of IDH mutations and, by extension, the potential therapies that may affect mutant IDH and the downstream pathways. Indeed, given the recent description of mutant-selective IDH1/2 inhibitors (34–37), the development of genetically accurate models of IDH mutant-mediated leukemogenesis will be critical to evaluate the effects of targeted therapies in mice with AML and subsequently in the clinical context.

Prevalence of IDH mutations in leukemia patients
IDH mutations are most common in patients with cytogenetically normal AML (CN-AML), and the prevalence of IDH1 and IDH2 missense mutations among patients with AML is between 5% and 20% (Table 1). In comparison with other cancers in which IDH1 mutations are more common than IDH2 mutations, IDH2 mutations are relatively more common in AML, such that the frequency of IDH1 and IDH2 are comparable in AML patients (38). IDH1 and IDH2 mutations are mutually exclusive from one another in AML. Different subtypes of AML have been postulated to have different biases toward IDH mutation. For instance, some studies suggest that IDH1 mutations are more common in patients with abnormal karyotype, while IDH2 mutations are primarily found in patients with CN-AML (39). In addition, 3% of patients with CMML were observed to have IDH1 mutations (40).

Patients can present with de novo AML, or alternatively can progress to AML from chronic-phase MPN and MDS. IDH mutations are associated with trisomy 8 in MDS and AML patients, but...
IDH mutations are not as broadly characterized in other hematopoietic disorders. In chronic myeloid leukemia (CML), mutant IDH has been suggested to play a role in progression to blast phase of advanced disease (52). Examination of acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) has also shown limited prevalence of IDH mutation, including 3%–5% of adult ALL and 0% of childhood ALL (53, 54).

**Prognosis for patients with IDH mutations**

The prognostic significance of IDH mutations is of great interest in leukemia, particularly given that IDH mutations are associated with relatively favorable outcome in gliomas. In AML, patients with the R132H IDH1 mutation have lower overall survival (55, 56). Patients with R172K IDH2 mutation have lower rates of complete remission (5), and patients with this mutation have a worse prognosis than those with R140Q IDH2 mutations (57). However, the relevance of IDH mutations to outcome in AML is more complex than it first appears. In one study of newly diagnosed patients, IDH mutations were associated with older age and higher platelet levels, but were not independent predictors of survival (58). IDH2 mutations have no influence on treatment outcome in CN-AML (59), and IDH1 mutations appear to remain stable over the course of disease, are not acquired at relapse, and do not have any independent impact on survival (60). In childhood AML, IDH mutation was actually associated with increased overall survival, but this covariate was not found to be an independent predictor of survival (48). Of note, two recent studies have suggested that R140Q IDH2 mutations are associated with favorable outcome in younger adults treated with dose-intensive therapy (57, 61), suggesting that the prognostic relevance of IDH mutations may depend on the specific allele, patient age, or treatment regimen. By contrast, IDH mutations, particularly R172K IDH2 mutations, are associated with adverse outcome in older adults with AML, suggesting an age-dependent relevance of IDH mutations to outcome in AML (5).

Although the independent prognostic value of IDH mutations themselves varies in different studies, IDH has been defined as part of prognostic subsets in combination with other mutations in AML. IDH mutation has often been observed to cooccur with mutations in the nucleophosmin (NPM1) protein in adults with acute myeloid leukemia (AML) (7) and to occur in a clonal pattern with other mutations (57, 58). However, the prognostic significance of IDH mutations in AML has not been consistent across studies (7, 57, 61).

In MPN, IDH mutations have been observed in 5%–20% of patients whose disease transforms to secondary AML (42). In pairwise comparisons of MPN and resultant post-MPN AML from the same patients, the acquisition of IDH mutations was observed during the process of transformation, implying an important role for IDH mutation in the progression to post-MPN secondary leukemia (43, 44). In addition, IDH mutations in chronic-phase MPN patients predict for an increased risk of subsequent transformation to AML, suggesting IDH mutations may serve as a marker of incipient transformation in patients in advance of pathologic evidence of transformation (Figure 2 and ref. 45).

IDH mutations are less commonly observed in childhood AML. In a study of 531 patients with childhood AML, IDH1 hotspot mutations were not found, though they were observed in adults with AML (46). Further investigation by the same group indicated that IDH2 mutations were also rare in this population (47). Another study examining patients from the Berlin-Frankfurt-Münster AML (AML-BFM) and Children’s Oncology Group (COG) study cohorts identified IDH mutations in 4% of 460 pediatric AML cases, most commonly in patients with AML classified according to the French-American-British classification system as M1/M2 (48), which is defined as immature or granulocytic differentiation by flow cytometry. In a study of children with a spectrum of malignancies, IDH1/2 mutations were found in only one of 115 AML patients (49). Recent studies have corroborated this prevalence and classification (50). In contrast, examination of AML in young adults (<60 years) showed that 6.6% of all patients harbored an IDH1 mutation (51), suggesting that IDH mutations are a consequence of age-related oncogenesis. Similar results have been observed for TET2 and DNMT3A mutations in pediatric AML (47, 50), suggesting that mutations in epigenetic modifiers are uncommon in children with AML and increase in frequency with increasing age. Alternatively, it is possible that IDH mutations occur early in leukemogenesis, and that there is a latent period between acquisition of IDH mutations, accumulation of 2HG, and leukemic transformation.
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**Figure 1**  
Normal IDH functions to convert isocitrate to αKG in the Krebs cycle. Oncogenic mutations in IDH induce neomorphic function to produce the oncometabolite 2HG. In leukemias, 2HG affects the TET family of proteins, which results in impaired hydroxymethylation of DNA and disrupted epigenetic control. Inhibitors of mutated IDH have shown promise in preclinical testing as well as in mechanistic studies of this system.
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**Figure 2**  
Many mutations have been observed in conjunction with IDH1/2 mutations in different types of leukemia. In de novo adult AML, these mutations should be observed in the context of other prognostic indicators such as CEBPA, NPM1, and DNMT3A mutation. In AML that progresses from MPN, IDH1/2 mutations can be examined separately from the mutations responsible for MPN (such as JAK2 or MPL mutations) using paired pre- and post-transformation samples. Evidence supports a role for IDH1/2 hotspot mutations in leukemic transformation.
(57, 58, 62) as well as children (48, 50). In an effort to determine if a larger set of mutant disease alleles can inform outcome in AML, Patel and colleagues performed mutational profiling of 502 AML patients younger than 60 years of age (63). Of note, NPM1/IDH-mutant patients without the FLT3 internal tandem duplication were found to compose a favorable subset of patients with outcome superior to patients with core-binding factor translocations, which historically have been observed to be associated with the most favorable outcome in AML (64). This observation may be specific to the specific mutation: one study suggested that NPM1 mutations coincident with IDH2 mutations had a lower risk of relapse, which was not observed for combined NPM1 and IDH1 mutations (57). Whether the differences in the prognostic relevance between IDH1 and IDH2 mutations are due to level of expression, differential cellular localizations, or relative production of 2HG remains to be delineated. In addition, the studies showing a favorable outcome for patients with FLT3-ITD-negative, NPM1/IDH-mutant AML were conducted in younger adults, and whether elderly patients with FLT3-ITD-negative, NPM1/IDH-mutant AML have similarly favorable outcomes with dose-intensive therapy or with less aggressive regimens needs to be delineated.

In other hematological disorders, prognosis in IDH mutation has not been explored as extensively. In one study of 88 ALL patients, all three patients with R132H IDH1 mutations relapsed or died within six months (54). In MDS, mutant IDH1 has been associated with shorter leukemia-free survival (65). IDH mutations are a common event in the progression from MPN to AML, and the incidence of IDH mutation seems to be independent of V617F JAK2 mutation (42). However, concurrent IDH and V617F JAK2 mutations have been shown to correlate with reduced leukemia-free survival in MPN patients (66). These data suggest that IDH mutations can serve as a marker of incipient transformation, which may predate clinical evidence of overt transformation in patients with chronic myeloid malignancies.

**Detecting IDH mutation in clinical specimens**

Several methods for detecting IDH mutations have been explored in a clinical context, including Sanger sequencing and multiplex assays, and these approaches have been used to track IDH mutation status over the course of disease and treatment. For instance, the SNaPshot Multiplex assay employs fluorophore-labeled allele-specific primers to identify hotspot mutations (67, 68). When a SNaPshot assay was used to track IDH mutation status of three patients, the only patient with detectable R132H IDH1 mutations in the bone marrow after induction chemotherapy was also the only one of these patients to relapse (69). One study used a high-sensitivity multiplex PCR method to screen for R132 IDH1 mutations by creating a pool of mutation-specific primers that generate PCR products of different lengths in mutant versus wild-type samples (70). Another approach is to utilize PCR-denaturing high performance liquid chromatography (PCR-DHPLC) to detect heterozygous mutation before further examination with Sanger sequencing (58). Similarly, high-resolution melting is a rapid method that capitalizes on differential DNA melting curves to detect mutations in specific genes. This method has been used to detect different mutations and SNPs in IDH genes in patients with AML (71). This technique may serve as a valuable screening technique to make screening of clinical samples more efficient in comparison with Sanger sequencing (72).

Since the neomorphic function of IDH was identified, 2HG has been considered as a potential biomarker of IDH-related oncogenesis. Several studies have employed liquid-chromatography mass spectroscopy to explore this. A prospective study of patients with newly diagnosed AML serially examined 2HG levels in serum and urine, with parallel evaluation of IDH1/2 mutant allele burden in bone marrow. Both of these parameters correlated with treatment response, suggesting that 2HG might serve as an appropriate proxy for IDH mutation and as a marker of residual disease (69). Recently, DiNardo and colleagues measured serum 2HG levels in patients from the E1900 AML patient cohort and found that 2HG correlated with the presence of IDH1/2 mutations, regardless of the specific mutant allele (73). Consistent with the mutational data, high 2HG levels with cooccurring NPM1 mutations or without cooccurring DNMT3a mutations were associated with improved survival (73). Most importantly, residual 2HG detected in samples taken when patients were in clinical remission were associated with impaired survival, suggesting that 2HG may serve as a sensitive measure of minimal residual disease in IDH-mutant AML.

As 2HG emerges as a biomarker, alternative assays have been developed for more convenient measurement. An enzymatic assay for 2HG has been developed that assesses levels of NADH, which is produced stoichiometrically as 2HG is metabolized by recombinant 2HG dehydrogenase (74). Alternatively, given the well-explored relationship between 2HG production and TET2 function, hydroxymethylcytosine (5hmC) levels have been found to correlate with IDH mutational status and response to treatment in leukemia patients, indicating that hydroxymethylation of genomic DNA may serve as a biomarker of IDH mutation activity in AML (75).

**IDH inhibitors**

The development and preclinical validation of IDH inhibitors has emerged as an important goal in order to test the viability of mutant IDH1/2 as a therapeutic target and as a tool to help dissect the IDH/2HG pathway in different malignant contexts. One compound under investigation is compound 35, which is active against R132H IDH1 mutants, and preclinical tests have indicated that its application reduces 2HG production in cell lines and mouse xenograft models (35). A similar selective compound was more recently used in combination with an exogenous 2HG system to show that the cellular phenotype induced by IDH mutation is reversed by this drug, whereas the cellular phenotype induced by exogenous 2HG production is not (34). Recently, compounds targeting mutant IDH2 have been explored in leukemia cell lines (36) and glioma cell models (37). In both cases, IDH inhibitors were found to reduce 2HG production and inhibit the growth of leukemia or glioma cells in a mutant-specific manner. In addition, IDH inhibition led to global changes in DNA methylation/histone state and to induction of hematopoietic/neural differentiation, suggesting that these agents might induce differentiation in IDH-mutant cells through alterations in the epigenetic state. However, extensive in vivo studies in IDH-mutant transformation models remain to be reported, and the role of IDH in malignant cells after oncogenic transformation requires additional, extensive investigation.

**IDH mutations in leukemia**

The discovery of mutation in metabolic genes has given long-awaited credence to concept that altered metabolism is a hallmark of human cancers. However, the implications of mutations
in genes such as IDH to oncogenesis are complex, and enormous potential lies in understanding the ramifications of this mutation, 2HG production, and downstream effectors such as TET2 in leukemia. Understanding this system will require the production of better models of IDH-mutant leukemias that incorporate the genetic complexity observed in human leukemia, particularly incorporation of many combinations of mutations. Clinically, the significance of IDH mutation must be examined in the context of other mechanistically important factors in larger cohorts with more comprehensive mutational and epigenetic analysis — and new technologies in screening, sequencing, and otherwise evaluating patient samples will help allow this to happen. As IDH inhibitors continue to be developed and to move closer to clinical testing, it will come to paramount to identify patient populations that stand to benefit the most from IDH-targeted therapy and to determine whether combination therapies should be investigated. Finally, while the role of IDH mutations in prognosis and therapeutic response requires further evaluation, there is clearly significant evidence that understanding its function in this disease will unlock significant mechanistic features of leukemogenesis. Particularly given its differential prognostic impact in glioma, a deeper understanding of these mutations and their neomorphic, oncogenic function will improve our understanding of carcinogenesis.
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