Chronic viral infection promotes sustained Th1-derived immunoregulatory IL-10 via BLIMP-1
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During the course of many chronic viral infections, the antiviral T cell response becomes attenuated through a process that is regulated in part by the host. While elevated expression of the immunosuppressive cytokine IL-10 is involved in the suppression of viral-specific T cell responses, the relevant cellular sources of IL-10, as well as the pathways responsible for IL-10 induction, remain unclear. In this study, we traced IL-10 production over the course of chronic lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus (LCMV) infection in an IL-10 reporter mouse line. Using this model, we demonstrated that virus-specific T cells with reduced inflammatory function, particularly Th1 cells, display elevated and sustained IL-10 expression during chronic LCMV infection. Furthermore, ablation of IL-10 from the T cell compartment partially restored T cell function and reduced viral loads in LCMV-infected animals. We found that viral persistence is needed for sustained IL-10 production by Th1 cells and that the transcription factor BLIMP-1 is required for IL-10 expression by Th1 cells. Restimulation of Th1 cells from LCMV-infected mice promoted BLIMP-1 and subsequent IL-10 expression, suggesting that constant antigen exposure likely induces the BLIMP-1/IL-10 pathway during chronic viral infection. Together, these data indicate that effector T cells self-limit their responsiveness during persistent viral infection via an IL-10-dependent negative feedback loop.

Introduction

Chronic viral infections such as HIV, HCV, and HBV are a major burden on human health due to both their high rates of morbidity and mortality as well as to the lack of effective therapies. While viral evasion of the immune response can directly contribute to viral persistence, recent findings indicate that impaired viral clearance is also facilitated by host-regulated immunosuppression. In particular, both the CD4+ and CD8+ T cell response to chronic viral infection is impaired, with some antiviral T cells failing to survive (termed “deletion”) and others persisting in a dysfunctional or “exhausted” state characterized by diminished effector function (1, 2). In particular, exhausted antiviral T cells lose effector cytokine production capacity to varying degrees depending on exhaustion severity, with cells first losing IL-2 production, followed by TNF-α and finally IFN-γ. This process is regulated by T cell gene expression changes, including inhibitory receptor induction (3, 4), and by soluble factors such as IL-10 and TGF-β (5–7). Importantly, blockade of these pathways restores T cell numbers and function and triggers a reduction in viral loads (3–7), validating immunomodulation as a viable therapy for chronic viral infections.

Despite our increasing knowledge of the molecules involved in immunomodulation during chronic viral infection, the signals that induce inhibitory molecule expression remain unclear. In order to address this question, we focused on regulation of the cytokine IL-10. IL-10 expression is elevated during mouse infection with the chronic clone 13 (Cl.13) lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus (LCMV) strain relative to infection with acute LCMV Armstrong (Arm) (5, 6). In addition, Cl.13-infected IIf0−/− mice display enhanced T cell function and augmented viral clearance (5, 6). Elevated IL-10 expression has also been implicated in immunoregulation during human HIV and HCV infection (8–11), suggesting that it is part of an evolutionarily conserved response to chronic viral infection with clinical relevance. To determine the factors controlling IL-10 induction during chronic viral infection, it is first necessary to determine the physiologically relevant cellular IL-10 sources. Hematopoietic cells are the primary source of IL-10 (12), however, while a large array of cell types, including DCs, NK cells, monocytes, B cells, and T cells, produce IL-10 during chronic viral infection (1, 5, 6, 8–15), the physiological relevance of these different IL-10 sources in vivo is controversial.

To better understand IL-10 regulation during chronic viral infection, we wished to first definitively trace the cellular sources of IL-10 during mouse LCMV-Cl.13 infection, then identify those cellular IL-10 sources that have an impact on viral clearance, and finally identify the factors responsible for IL-10 induction within these cells. We reasoned that cell types that produce more IL-10 in chronic versus acute LCMV infection (“overproducers”) would represent the most physiologically relevant sources of IL-10. Using an IL-10 reporter mouse, we identified virus-specific T cells, particularly CD4+ T cells, as one of the few cell types that over-
produced IL-10 over the course of chronic LCMV infection and demonstrated that T cell–derived IL-10 was physiologically relevant. IL-10 expression was restricted to Th1 cells within the virus-specific CD4+ T cell population and was BLIMP-1 dependent. Strikingly, IL-10 production appeared enriched within Th1 cells with diminished inflammatory function. BLIMP-1 expression was higher in Th1 cells in chronic versus acute infection, and antigen engagement during acute infection was sufficient to cause BLIMP-1 upregulation and the rapid formation of IL-10–producing Th1 cells. Finally, the signaling requirements for antigen-induced IL-10 expression compared with antigen-induced inflammatory cytokine production appear distinct. Collectively, these data identify Th1 cells as a physiologically relevant IL-10 source and indicate antigen-induced BLIMP-1 expression within Th1 cells as a key factor that leads to sustained IL-10 production and dampened T cell responses during chronic viral infection.

Results
Multiple cell types produce IL-10 during chronic LCMV-Cl.13 infection. To identify cell types that overproduce IL-10 during chronic versus acute LCMV infection, 10BiT reporter mice were infected with LCMV (16). 10BiT reporter mice possess a bacterial artificial chromosome transgene containing the \textit{Il10} gene locus, but with the Thy1.1 cDNA (containing a stop codon) inserted upstream of the \textit{Il10} locus. As a result, Thy1.1 expression is controlled by the \textit{Il10} gene regulatory elements in these mice, such that cells transcribing \textit{Il10} express Thy1.1 on their cell surface.

To validate that 10BiT mice respond normally to LCMV-Cl.13 infection, we first determined whether the T cell response kinetics and viral persistence were similar in C57BL/6 (B6) versus 10BiT mice. T cell responses in 10BiT mice were comparable to those seen in B6 mice, although viral clearance was slightly delayed in the reporter mice (Supplemental Figure 1; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI66108DS1). To begin profiling IL-10 production kinetics, 10BiT mice were either infected with a high LCMV-Cl.13 dose (2 × 10^6 PFU) to establish chronic infection or 2 × 10^6 PFU LCMV-Cl.13 (Chronic Cl.13) to establish a chronic infection. The Thy1.1 IL-10 reporter–positive cell percentage was measured over time in either spleen (A and B), blood (B), or BM (B). Gates used to determine the Thy1.1 percentage were set using transgene-negative control animals. (A) Representative Thy1.1 staining in splenocytes and (B) data compiled from 2 to 5 independent experiments (n = 4–16 mice/time point).

(C) 10BiT reporter mice were infected with 2 × 10^6 PFU LCMV-Cl.13, and on day 8 p.i., FACs-purified Thy1.1 and Thy1.1 splenocytes were left unstimulated or were stimulated for 6 hours with PMA and ionomycin. Supernatant IL-10 concentrations were then measured by ELISA. Bar graphs show the pooled data of the IL-10 amount produced per 1 × 10^6 cells from 3 independent experiments.
The greatest basal and inducible capacity to produce IL-10 during chronic LCMV-Cl.13 infection.

We next examined IL-10 expression levels within different cell populations over the course of chronic and acute LCMV infection. We were unable to consistently detect appreciable Thy1.1 expression within neutrophils, but all other cell types showed some degree of IL-10 upregulation during LCMV infection (Figure 2, A and B). Notably, B cells, FOXP3+ Tregs, NK cells, plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs), CD8+ and CD11b+ conventional DCs (cDCs), and activated (CD44hi) CD8+ and CD4+ T cells showed increased IL-10 production over the course of chronic versus acute LCMV infection (Figure 2, A and B). In contrast, while monocytes displayed increased IL-10 production during LCMV infection, we observed no difference in the IL-10 production kinetics between chronic and acute LCMV infection (Figure 2A). Collectively, these data suggest that many cell types contribute to the IL-10 pool during chronic LCMV-Cl.13 infection.

Virus-specific T cells overproduce IL-10 over the course of chronic LCMV-Cl.13 infection. We decided to further investigate the IL-10 overproduction observed within the activated CD4+ and CD8+ T cell compartments, as it implied that virus-specific T cells might be self-regulating during LCMV-Cl.13 infection. To directly examine whether virus-specific T cells produce IL-10, we stained 10BiT splenocytes with either the LCMV GP33-41-specific MHC class I
tetramer to identify CD8\(^+\)GP33\(^+\) T cells or the LCMV GP\(_{66-77}\)-specific MHC class II tetramer to identify CD4\(^+\)GP66\(^+\) T cells and examined Thy1.1 expression. IL-10 production was evident within the virus-specific T cell compartment over the entire course of chronic LCMV infection (Figure 3A and Supplemental Figure 3). Interestingly, while a larger CD8\(^+\)GP33\(^+\) cell percentage expressed Thy1.1 than did CD4\(^+\)GP66\(^+\) cells, the Thy1.1+ CD4\(^+\)GP66\(^+\) cells had a 3-fold higher Thy1.1 MFI than did Thy1.1+ CD8\(^+\)GP33\(^+\) cells, suggesting that the virus-specific CD4\(^+\) T cells produced more IL-10 on a per-cell basis than did CD8\(^+\) T cells (Figure 3B).

To confirm that the elevated reporter expression within virus-specific CD4\(^+\) and CD8\(^+\) T cells leads to higher IL-10 protein levels, we assessed IL-10 production by virus-specific T cells by ELISA. Naive, congenically marked CD8\(^+\)GP33\(^+\) TCR transgenic (P14) and CD4\(^+\)GP66\(^+\) TCR transgenic (Smarta, or STg) cells were transferred into B6 mice that were then infected with either LCMV-Arm or Cl.13. On day 8 p.i., the splenic STg and P14 cells were isolated and their IL-10 production assessed following \(\alpha\)-CD3 stimulation. Naive P14 and STg cells were unable to produce IL-10, but cells isolated from either LCMV-Arm- or LCMV-Cl.13-infected mice produced IL-10 upon TCR ligation (Figure 3C). Importantly, both P14 and STg cells from LCMV-Cl.13-infected mice produced more IL-10 than did their LCMV-Arm-derived counterparts (2- and 3-fold more IL-10, respectively) (Figure 3C). Furthermore, consistent with the higher Thy1.1 reporter MFI within CD4\(^+\)GP66\(^+\) cells, STg cells generated approximately 10-fold more IL-10 than did P14 cells during LCMV-Cl.13 infection. Thus, virus-specific T cells produce more IL-10 protein in chronic versus acute infection, with CD4\(^+\) T cells producing considerably more IL-10 than do CD8\(^+\) T cells on a per-cell basis.

**Figure 3. Virus-specific T cells overproduce IL-10 over the course of chronic LCMV infection.** (A) 10BiT mice were infected with either LCMV-Arm, acute Cl.13, or chronic Cl.13, as in Figure 1. Thy1.1 expression was examined in tetramer\(-\)stained CD8\(^+\)GP33\(^+\) or CD4\(^+\)GP66\(^+\) T cells. Data were pooled from 3 to 6 independent experiments (n = 7–16 mice/time point). (B) Thy1.1 levels were compared between CD8\(^+\)GP33\(^+\) (GP33) and CD4\(^+\)GP66\(^+\) (GP66) cells. Left panels show Thy1.1 staining in D\(^\alpha\)-GP33 tetramer-stained CD8\(^+\) (top panels, gated on CD8\(^+\)) or I-\(\dot{A}\)-GP66 tetramer-stained CD4\(^+\) (bottom panels, gated on CD4\(^+\)) cells from 10BiT transgenic mice. Right panel shows Thy1.1 staining with background staining from 10BiT cells shown in gray. Graph (far right, bottom) depicts Thy1.1 MFI of Thy1.1+ cells. Data from 3 independent experiments are shown. ***< 0.001. (C) Congenically marked Ly5.1+ P14 and STg cells were transferred into B6 mice that were subsequently infected with either 2 × 10\(^6\) PFU LCMV-Arm or 2 × 10\(^6\) PFU LCMV-Cl.13. On day 8 p.i., splenic P14 and STg cells were isolated by FACS and, along with FACS-purified naive P14 and STg cells, were cultured in the presence or absence of plate-bound \(\alpha\)-CD3 for 12 hours. Supernatant IL-10 concentrations were measured by ELISA. Data show IL-10 production per 1.5 × 10\(^5\) cells, with values pooled from 2 independent experiments.
The BM chimeras were infected with LCMV-Cl.13, and on day 8 p.i., we examined both the antiviral T cell response and viral titers. As some sickness and mortality was observed within the BM chimeras from ~day 10 of infection onward, we restricted our analysis to day 8 p.i. Consistent with previously published data on IL-10 KO mice (5, 6), there was a considerable increase in the magnitude of both the CD8+GP33+ and CD4+GP66+ responses in total KO chimeras compared with those of the WT control groups (Figure 4, A and B, and Suplemental Figure 4A). Furthermore, IL-10 deficiency rescued CD8+ T cells specific for the NP396-404 epitope (CD8+NP396+) from deletion, as was previously reported (5). We observed a corresponding decrease in organ viral titers (Figure 4C) and CD8+NP396+ T cell responses in T cell KO BM chimeras, the responses were of a similarly elevated magnitude to those in total KO chimeras (Figure 4A, B). IL-10 loss from the CD4 compartment only partially phenocopied the total KO CD8+GP33+ and CD8+NP396+ responses, while IL-10 loss from the CD8 compartment had no effect on the CD8+ T cell response (Figure 4, A and B, and Supplemental Figure 4A). IL-10 ablation from the total T cell compartment only partially boosted the CD4+GP66+ T cell response to the levels seen in the total KO chimera controls. Again, the response was weaker in CD4 T cell KO mice and unchanged in CD8 T cell KO mice.

Importantly, IL-10 ablation from the total T cell compartment, and to a lesser extent the CD4 T cell compartment, also contributed to better viral control (Figure 4C). Consistent with the unchanged T cell response in CD8 T cell KO mice, these mice...
exhibited no change in viral control (Supplemental Figure 4B). However, viral titers in the total T cell KO mice (and in the CD4 T cell KO mice) were still slightly higher than titers in mice lacking IL-10 in all immune cells (Total KO), indicating that IL-10 produced by non–T cells also regulates viral control during chronic LCMV infection (Figure 4C). Importantly, it is unlikely that Tregs are an important IL-10 source, as Treg depletion was unable to phenocopy the $\text{Il10}^{-/-}$ phenotype (Supplemental Figure 5). Collectively, these data indicate that T cell–derived IL-10 is physiologically relevant and contributes to both viral persistence and suppression of virus-specific T cells during chronic viral infection. Furthermore, while combined IL-10 production by both CD4+ and CD8+ T cells has the greatest effect on the immune response, CD4+ T cell–derived IL-10 (likely from FOXP3+ effector cells) has a bigger impact than CD8+ T cell–derived IL-10.

IL-10 is produced by virus-specific Th1 cells with diminished inflammatory function, not by Tfh cells. We next characterized the phenotype of the IL-10–producing, virus-specific CD8+ and CD4+ T cells. Consistent with previously published findings (13, 19), the IL-10–producing CD8+ T cells expressed greater amounts of the inhibitory receptors PD-1, LAG3, and 2B4 (Figure 5A and Supplemental Figure 6A). We observed that the IL-10+ CD8+ T cells could degranulate (surface CD107a+) and produce IFN-\(\gamma\), however, the IL-10+ cells were enriched in cells with lower TNF-\(\alpha\) production, a key signature of T cell exhaustion (Figure 5B and Supplemental Figure 6B). As almost no IL-2 production was detected within the stimulated CD8+ T cells during LCMV-Cl.13 infection, the correlation between IL-10 and IL-2 could not be assessed. Thus, IL-10 production appears to be restricted to exhausted CD8+ effector T cells with less inflammatory function and higher inhibitory receptor expression.
To examine the phenotype of the IL-10–expressing virus-specific CD4+ T cells, we first characterized the Th effector subsets that form on day 8 of LCMV-Cl.13 infection. Similar to LCMV-Arm infection (20) and consistent with other LCMV-Cl.13 phenotyping studies (1), we found little evidence of Th17, Th2, or induced Treg (iTreg) cell formation within virus-specific CD4+GP66+ T cells on day 8 p.i. (data not shown). Instead, as during LCMV-Arm infection (20), we found primarily Th1 cells and T follicular helper (Tfh) CD4+GP66+ cells on day 8 p.i. (Figure 5C), as has been shown previously (1).

Tfh cells typically express high levels of PD-1, ICOS, and CXCR5, while expressing low levels of PSGL-1 (20–23). IL-10 reporter (Thy1.1) expression within the CD4+GP66+ cell population on day 8 following LCMV-Cl.13 infection generally negatively correlated with these Tfh cell surface markers (Figure 5C, left, and Supplemental Figure 7A). To further confirm that IL-10 production was associated with non-Tfh cells, we examined IL-10 production by PSGL1hiCXCR5lo (non-Th1) and PSGL1loCXCR5hi (Th1) cells. IL-10 production was heavily enriched in the non–Th1 cell population on both days 8 and 20 p.i. (Figure 5D). In contrast, IL-10 reporter expression positively correlated with Th1 cell markers (Figure 5C, right, and Supplemental Figure 7B). Strikingly, IL-10 expression was restricted to T cells with higher expression of the Th1 master transcriptional regulator T-bet, Th1 cell surface molecule Ly6C, and Th1-restricted intracellular cytolytic molecule granzyme B (GZMB) (20, 22). We observed similar results in CD4+ T cells during acute LCMV infection (Supplemental Figure 8, A and B). Overall, these data suggest that IL-10 is predominantly produced by Th1 cells, not by Tfh cells.

To examine whether IL-10 expression is associated with IFN-γ-producing CD4+ T cells, CD4+ T cells from LCMV-Cl.13–infected 10BiT mice were polyclonally stimulated with α-CD3 and stained for IFN-γ and IL-10 reporter expression. Strikingly, we found that IL-10 production was almost exclusively limited to IFN-γ-producing CD4+ T cells that could degranulate (CD107a+) (Figure 5E, left, and Supplemental Figure 7C). However, similar to effector CD8+ T cells, there was a negative correlation between IL-10 expression and IFN-γ production (Figure 5F).
TNF-α and IL-2 production within the IFN-γ’ CD4+ Th1 cell population. Specifically, relative to IL-10’ Th1 cells, IL-10’ Th1 cells had a lower TNF-α MFI and produced little IL-2 (Figure 5E, right, and Supplemental Figure 7C). Interestingly, although the few IL-10-producing Th1 cells that formed in acute LCMV infection exhibited normal TNF-α production, we found that they produced less IL-2 (Supplemental Figure 8, C and D). Collectively, these data argue that the Th1 cell subset with the most impaired inflammatory function is the predominant IL-10 source.

Because IL-2 helps sustain the antiviral CD8+ T cell response during LCMV-CI.13 infection (24), the inverse relationship between IL-2 and IL-10 expression suggested a separation between effector CD4+ T cell helper and suppressive functions. IL-21 is another key cytokine that helps maintain antiviral CD8+ T cell function and survival during LCMV-CI.13 infection (25–27), so we examined its expression in virus-specific CD4+ T cells. IL10 expression correlated tightly with Thy1.1 expression, as expected (Figure 5F, left), but while there was some evidence of elevated IL21 expression within Thy1.1– cells, this difference was not statistically significant (Figure 5F, right). Thus, the separation of CD8+ T cell helper and regulatory functions within the virus-specific CD4+ T cells is evident in terms of IL-2, but not IL-21, production.

IL-10 induction within Th1 cells is NFIL3 and NOTCH independent. We next investigated the transcriptional pathways responsible for IL-10 induction within virus-specific CD4+ T cells during chronic infection, as these cells appeared to be a more abundant and physiologically relevant IL-10 source than were virus-specific CD8+ T cells. Transcription factors such as AhR, c-MAF, NFIL3, and Ikaros (IKZF) induce IL-10 expression within CD4+ T cells, and increased expression of these factors is typically required for IL-10 induction. However, we failed to observe elevated expres-
Elevated BLIMP-1 expression sustains Th1 IL-10 production during chronic viral infection. The transcription factor BLIMP-1 (encoded by the Prdm1 gene) was recently demonstrated to regulate IL-10 expression in CD8+ T cells and FOXP3+ Tregs (29, 30), so we next examined whether BLIMP-1 was responsible for IL-10 induction in Th1 CD4+ T cells during chronic LCMV infection. To first assess BLIMP-1 expression during LCMV infection, 10BiT mice were crossed with a BLIMP-YFP reporter mouse (31). The transcription factor BLIMP-1 (encoding chronic viral infection) was recently demonstrated to regulate IL-10 expression in CD8+ T cells and FOXP3+ Tregs (29, 30), so we next examined whether BLIMP-1 was responsible for IL-10 induction in Th1 CD4+ T cells during chronic LCMV infection. To first assess BLIMP-1 expression during LCMV infection, 10BiT mice were crossed with a BLIMP-YFP reporter mouse (31). The resulting 10BiT BLIMP-YFP mice were infected with LCMV-CI.13 or Arm, and YFP expression was assessed within CD4+GP66+ cells on day 8 of infection. We observed a bimodal distribution of BLIMP-1 expression in both LCMV-Arm and CI.13 infected mice, with YFPlo and YFPhi cell populations evident (Figure 6A). Based on surface marker expression and consistent with recent observations (32), the YFPlo cell population represented Th1 cells, while the YFPhi cell population represented Th1 cells (Supplemental Figure 10A). Consistent with recent findings, we also observed an increased proportion of YFPhi Th1 cells in chronic versus acute LCMV infection (Supplemental Figure 10B). In line with our earlier phenotyping data, IL-10 production was largely confined to the YFPhi Th1 cell population, with IL-10 production elevated in Th1 cells derived from LCMV-CI.13 compared with those derived from Arm infection (Figure 6, A and B). To examine whether BLIMP-1 expression was higher in Th1 cells from chronic versus acute LCMV infection, we determined the YFP MFI within the YFPlo population relative to DMSO control was calculated (C). Representative (B) or pooled (C) data from 3 independent experiments are shown (15 mice/group). ***P < 0.001; **P < 0.01; *P < 0.05; NS, indicates P > 0.05. P values in A and C measure the significance of treated versus control cells for each condition.

Figure 8. Differential ERK dependency for TCR-induced IL-10 versus inflammatory cytokine production. (A) Ly5.1+ STg 10BiT BLIMP-YFP cells were transferred into B6 mice that were subsequently infected with LCMV-Arm. Splenocytes were isolated on day 8 p.i. and incubated with GP35–57 or YFPhi peptide for 8 hours along with the indicated inhibitors. The percentage of inhibition with each inhibitor relative to the appropriate vehicle control–treated cells is shown for the percentage of Thy1.1+ cells, Thy1.1 MFI (of Thy1.1+ cells), and BLIMP-YFP MFI (of BLIMP-YFP+ Th1 cells). Data were pooled from 2 to 7 independent experiments (7–21 mice/group). (B and C) Ly5.1+ STg cells were transferred into B6 mice that were subsequently infected with LCMV-Arm. Splenocytes were isolated on day 8 p.i. and incubated with GP35–57 or YFPhi peptide for 8 hours as in A in the presence of either vehicle (DMSO) or PD98059. CD107a, IFN-γ, TNF-α, and IL-2 production was examined (B), and the percentage of inhibition of total MFI induction relative to DMSO control was calculated (C). Representative (B) or pooled (C) data from 3 independent experiments are shown (15 mice/group). ***P < 0.001; **P < 0.01; *P < 0.05; NS, indicates P > 0.05. P values in A and C measure the significance of treated versus control cells for each condition.

Figure 9. A–C. NOTCH can cause IL-10 induction in Th1 cells in cooperation with the transcription factor RBP-Jκ (28), however RBP-Jκ knockdown also had no effect on IL-10 production (Supplemental Figure 9, A–C). NOTCH can cause IL-10 induction in Th1 cells in cooperation with the transcription factor RBP-Jκ (28), however RBP-Jκ knockdown also had no effect on IL-10 production (Supplemental Figure 9, A–C). NOTCH can cause IL-10 induction in Th1 cells in cooperation with the transcription factor RBP-Jκ (28), however RBP-Jκ knockdown also had no effect on IL-10 production (Supplemental Figure 9, A–C).
erved a striking loss of IL-10 expression in CD4+GP66+ Th1 cells deficient in BLIMP-1 expression (Figure 6F).

Importantly, although Th1 proportions were diminished in Cd4-Cre Prdm1fl/fl mice, the Th1 cells that did form appeared functionally normal with regard to IFN-γ, TNF-α, and T-bet expression (Supplemental Figure 11, A–C), suggesting that IL-10 loss upon BLIMP-1 ablation was not due to abnormal Th1 differentiation. Despite the IL-10 reduction in BLIMP-1-deficient T cells in Cd4-Cre Prdm1fl/fl mice, we did not observe augmented viral clearance (data not shown). However, this is likely because the additional defects in effector CD8+ T cell function that occur in the absence of BLIMP-1 (34) override any advantage of IL-10 reduction. These data indicate that chronic viral infection elevates BLIMP-1 expression within antiviral Th1 cells, which leads to immunoregulatory IL-10 production.

Antigen engagement induces BLIMP-1 and IL-10 in Th1 cells. A key environmental signal that T cells are exposed to in chronic, but not acute, viral infection is persistent antigen encounter. We thus speculated that the elevated BLIMP-1 and IL-10 expression in virus-specific T cells during LCMV-C13 versus Arm infection was mediated by prolonged TCR engagement. On day 60 p.i. with Cl.13, we observed considerable variability between mice in IL-10 expression by virus-specific T cells. Consistent with the idea that persistent virus encounter sustains IL-10 production by virus-specific T cells, we observed a direct positive correlation between serum viral titers and CD4+GP66+ cell IL-10 production also positively correlated with CD8+GP33+ exhaustion (as assessed by PD-1 expression) (Supplemental Figure 12). To more directly test whether viral persistence could sustain IL-10 production, we performed adoptive transfer experiments in which polyclonal effector (CD44hi) CD4+ T cells were isolated from either LCMV-Arm– or Cl.13-infected 10BiT mice on day 60 p.i. and transferred into LCMV-Arm–or Cl.13 infection–matched, congenically marked recipients. Seven days after transfer (day 15 p.i.), we assessed the IL-10–producing (Thy1.1+) cell percentage within the transferred effector cells. Strikingly, we found that effector cell transfer from either the LCMV-Arm– or the Cl.13-infected donors into Arm-infected recipients led to loss of IL-10 expression (Figure 7B). In direct contrast, effector cell transfer from either LCMV-Arm– or Cl.13-infected donors into Cl.13-infected recipients led to sustained IL-10 expression (Figure 7B). Collectively, these data demonstrate that virus persistence is required for sustained IL-10 production and argue that Th1 cells from both chronic and acute infection can sustain IL-10 expression when exposed to persistent virus.

To more directly test whether antigen alone was sufficient to induce IL-10 expression, we examined whether antigen reexposure could convert IL-10–STg cells from acute LCMV-Arm infection into IL-10 producers. B6 mice given STg cells that express the 10BiT reporter (CD4-Cre Prdm1fl/fl) were infected with LCMV-Arm, and IL-10 and BLIMP-1 induction in these cultures appeared ICOS and IL-2 independent, since antibody blockade of these factors had little impact on IL-10 and BLIMP-1 reporter expression (Supplemental Figure 13B). Importantly, restimulation-induced IL-10 expression was BLIMP-1 dependent, since BLIMP-1–deficient Th1 cells from LCMV-Arm infection were severely deficient in IL-10 expression upon restimulation (Supplemental Figure 14). Antigen recognition in vivo was also able to induce IL-10 and BLIMP-1 expression in LCMV-specific Th1 cells. Intravenous GP66-77 peptide administration to LCMV-Arm–infected 10BiT BLIMP-YFP mice caused a significant increase in both IL-10 and BLIMP-1 reporter expression in CD4+GP66+ Th1 cells (Figure 7E). Again, the IL-10–producing cells were exclusively within the PSGL1+ BLIMP-1+YFP+Th1 cell population (Supplemental Figure 13C). Together, these data show that antigen recognition in vitro and in vivo can rapidly induce IL-10 and BLIMP-1 expression selectively within LCMV-specific Th1 cells. These data therefore suggest that TCR signaling is the primary driver of sustained IL-10 production in virus-specific T cells during chronic infection and that antigen-induced, BLIMP-1–dependent IL-10 expression is a common feature of Th1 cells in both chronic and acute infection. Collectively, these results support a negative feedback loop model during chronic viral infection, in which persisting viral antigens sustain IL-10 production in virus-specific T cells, thereby promoting CTL exhaustion and viral persistence.

Differential requirements for ERK signaling in IL-10 versus inflammatory cytokine induction. We next examined the signaling pathways responsible for IL-10 induction downstream of TCR engagement. The in vitro antigen–induced IL-10 expression experiments outlined in Figure 7, C and D, were repeated in the presence of a panel of inhibitors that interfere with various TCR signaling pathways. From this analysis, blockade of PI3 kinase signaling (LY294002), as well as many of the pathways downstream of PI3 kinase (Akt [triciribine], JNK [SP600125], and NF-κB [BAY-11-7082]), inhibited both BLIMP-1 and IL-10 induction (Figure 8A). Additionally, blockade of calcineurin/NFAT signaling (CsA) also significantly impaired BLIMP-1 and IL-10 induction (Figure 8A). In contrast, ERK blockade (PD98059) had little or no effect on IL-10 and BLIMP-1 induction.

The failure of ERK blockade to interfere with IL-10 induction was surprising, given previous findings that TCR-induced IL-10 expression within Th1 cells is ERK dependent (35). Furthermore, as ERK is thought to be important in inflammatory cytokine induction, this potentially implied that there are distinct signaling requirements for IL-10 versus inflammatory cytokine induction. To test this idea, we examined whether TCR-induced expression of the inflammatory cytokines IFN-γ, TNF-α, and IL-2, as well as degranulation (CD107a expression), within Th1 cells was ERK dependent. While ERK inhibition only slightly inhibited degranulation (CD107a expression), it moderately inhibited IFN-γ and IL-2 induction and substantially impaired TNF-α expression (Figure 8, B and C). Thus, the signaling requirements for TCR-induced inflammatory cytokine versus antiinflammatory IL-10 induction are somewhat distinct.
Discussion

In this study, IL-10 expression kinetics were followed over the course of chronic LCMV-Cl.13 infection using an IL-10 reporter mouse. We found that virus-specific T cells, particularly virus-specific CD4+ T cells, overproduced IL-10 in chronic versus acute LCMV infection. Selective IL-10 loss from the T cell compartment had an impact on viral clearance that partially mimicked the effect of complete IL-10 loss, suggesting that T cell–derived IL-10 was biologically relevant. When IL-10 expression was examined within virus-specific CD4+ T cells, IL-10 production was restricted to the Th1 compartment, although it was only evident in those Th1 cells with the most diminished inflammatory function. IL-10 production was similarly observed within the most exhausted virus-specific CD8+ T cells, suggesting that IL-10 production is a conserved feature of T cell exhaustion during chronic viral infection. IL-10 induction within virus-specific Th1 cells appeared independent of many well-characterized factors linked to IL-10 induction, such as c-MAF, AhR, Ikaros, NFIL3, and NOTCH, with IL-10 expression instead dependent on elevated BLIMP-1 expression during chronic viral infection. Finally, TCR engagement selectively acts on the Th1 cell population, likely in an IL-2-independent manner, to convert virus-specific CD4+ T cells from an acute infection into BLIMP-1+ IL-10 producers. Thus, persistent TCR engagement elevates BLIMP-1 levels in Th1 cells during chronic LCMV infection, causing IL-10 production and negative feedback on the T cell response.

This study is one of the first to examine IL-10 production kinetics in chronic versus acute viral infection across many cell types. While the cell types producing IL-10 have been examined in human chronic viral infections (8–11, 13, 14), an equivalent acute viral infection was not available for comparison. Our data demonstrate that many cell types contribute to the total IL-10 pool, particularly at later time points of infection. However, only 3 cell types exhibited elevated IL-10 expression from day 8 of infection throughout the course of disease: NK cells, DCs (particularly cDCs), and virus-specific T cells. Cell types that overproduce IL-10 from day 8 of infection onward are likely to play a larger role in IL-10-mediated suppression, as IL-10 receptor blockade is more efficacious over the first 8 days of infection than at later time points (5, 6, 18).

Thus, coupled with our finding that T cell–derived IL-10 is only partially responsible for IL-10–mediated immunosuppression, we speculate that these 3 cell types in combination are required for optimal IL-10–mediated immunosuppression. Together, IL-10 production from these sources could elevate IL-10 levels above a threshold level required for immunosuppression during the early time points of chronic viral infection. Nevertheless, a recent study demonstrated a minimal role for NK cell–derived (and B cell–derived) IL-10 in immunosuppression, but supported our conclusion that T cell–derived IL-10 is one of the most important IL-10 sources during chronic viral infection (36). The role of DC-derived IL-10 is still unclear, as one study suggested that IL-10 ablation from DCs has no effect on the immune response and viral control (36), while another study showed a substantial effect (37).

Our study also directly demonstrates sustained and elevated IL-10 expression by virus-specific T cells during chronic versus acute LCMV infection and identifies quantitative differences in IL-10 production between CD4+ and CD8+ T cells. T cells were originally dismissed as an important IL-10 source during LCMV-Cl.13 infection, because IL-10 expression was only modestly increased within the total CD4+ and CD8+ T cell populations in chronic versus acute LCMV infection (5). However, virus-specific T cells, which only represent a portion of the total T cell population, were not examined directly, hence the magnitude of IL-10 induction within T cells was likely underestimated. Indeed, DCs derived from Cl.13-infected mice preferentially prime IL-10–producing CD4+ T cells in vitro (6), and T cells produce high IL-10 levels during chronic HIV infection in humans (8, 13, 14). Importantly, we provide some of the first in vivo evidence that IL-10 elimination from either the total T cell compartment, or to a lesser extent from the CD4+ T cell compartment, has an effect on both the antiviral T cell response and viral clearance during chronic viral infection. This conclusion is supported by another recent study (36), although the relative contributions of CD4+ and CD8+ T cell–derived IL-10 were not assessed in this study. These data are in line with observations in other persistent nonviral infections, such as malaria, Leishmania, and Toxoplasma, in which effector T cell–derived IL-10 has an impact on disease outcome (38–40). While our BM chimera experiments also eliminated IL-10 from Tregs, it is unlikely that Treg-derived IL-10 contributed to our phenotype, as Treg depletion during days 0–8 of LCMV-Cl.13 infection had little impact on the T cell response (Supplemental Figure 5).

The physiological relevance and high per-cell production levels of virus-specific CD4+ T cell–derived IL-10 led us to study these cells in more detail. Consistent with other infectious models, IL-10 production was observed from FOXP3+ virus–specific effector Th1 cells (38–41). Strikingly, IL-10 production was only evident within those Th1 cells that had diminished inflammatory function, an observation not made in previous studies. IL-10 production similarly segregated with the most exhausted virus-specific CD8+ T cells, consistent with previous findings (13, 19). Collectively, these data highlight that T cell exhaustion is not only associated with a loss of inflammatory function, but also with a gain of suppressive function. Importantly, IL-10+ Th1 cells specific for persistent pathogens have been isolated from human blood (42), indicating that these immunoregulatory Th1 cells are evolutionarily conserved, that they similarly form in response to persistent infection in humans, and are thus likely clinically relevant to the persistence of human infections.

Surprisingly, IL-10 production was excluded from the Th1 compartment. The reasons for this remain unclear, as IL-10 has been described as a Th1 cytokine in humans (43), but it could be due to differences between mouse and human Th1 cells. Alternatively, the signals required for Th1 IL-10 production are distinct from those that trigger Th1 IL-10 production, and LCMV-Cl.13 infection may not generate these signals. Consistent with this idea, Th1 IL-10 production during LCMV-Cl.13 infection was BLIMP-1 dependent, and BLIMP-1 is an inhibitor of Th1 differentiation that is typically not expressed within Th1 cells (32, 33).

Perhaps of most interest is the novel pathway responsible for IL-10+ Th1 cell formation during LCMV-Cl.13 infection. Many transcription factors, including c-MAF (44), AhR (45), NFIL3 (46), and RBP-Jx (28), have been linked to IL-10 expression within FOXP3+ CD4+ T cells. However, these factors were either not up-regulated within virus-specific CD4+ T cells during LCMV-Cl.13 infection or were not required for IL-10 production. BLIMP-1 is a transcription factor recently reported to induce IL-10 expression...
both in virus-specific CD8+ T cells during influenza infection (30) and in Tregs (29), however it has not been implicated in IL-10 expression in effector CD4+ T cells. Thus, to our knowledge, our data represent the first demonstration that BLIMP-1 expression is elevated in Th1 cells during chronic viral infection and also the first report that BLIMP-1 regulates IL-10 in effector CD4+ T cells. Coupled with observations that BLIMP-1 negatively regulates CD8+ T cell function during LCMV-CI.13 infection by inhibitory receptor induction (34), these data argue that BLIMP-1 plays diverse roles in inhibiting the T cell response during chronic viral infection.

Finally, we found that TCR engagement induced BLIMP-1 and IL-10 expression in Th1 cells from an acute infection, which implicates antigen as a key signal that sustains IL-10 production during LCMV-CI.13 infection. In other systems, BLIMP-1 upregulation in T cells depends on IL-2 and STAT3-dependent cytokines (30, 47, 48). We currently have little evidence for a role of STAT3-dependent cytokines in IL-10 induction during LCMV-CI.13 infection, as CD4+Gp66+ cells isolated from Gzmb-Cre Stat3fl/fl mice, in which STAT3 is partially floxed in activated CD4+ T cells, have no defect in IL-10 expression during LCMV-CI.13 infection (data not shown). IL-2 was recently linked to both BLIMP-1 and IL-10 induction within CD8+ T cells (30), but chronic infection is associated with diminished IL-2 production by virus-specific T cells and lower serum IL-2 levels (49). Furthermore, IL-2 administration promotes, rather than inhibits, viral clearance (50), meaning IL-2 is unlikely to mediate IL-10 induction. Consistent with this idea, IL-2 blockade had little impact on BLIMP-1 and IL-10 induction in vitro (Supplemental Figure 13B). Furthermore, in vivo IL-2 blockade during chronic LCMV infection did not affect BLIMP-1 or IL-10 expression in preliminary experiments (data not shown). Instead, IRF4 is a likely downstream candidate involved in TCR-induced BLIMP-1 and IL-10 expression, because IRF4 is a known activator of BLIMP-1 expression and can be upregulated by TCR stimulation (29, 48, 51). In support of this model, IRF4 expression is elevated in Th1 cells during chronic versus acute LCMV infection in vivo and was induced by in vitro peptide stimulation in parallel with BLIMP-1 and IL-10 (data not shown). Furthermore, inhibitors that prevented BLIMP-1 and IL-10 induction also interfered with IRF4 induction in Th1 cells upon in vitro antigen engagement (data not shown). Indeed, 2 of the pathways required for IL-10 production (NF-κB and NFAT) (Figure 8A) induce IRF4 expression downstream of TCR engagement (51). Therefore, we postulate that sustained TCR engagement triggers BLIMP-1 upregulation and IL-10 expression via IRF4 induction. This pathway may represent an alternative way to engage the IRF4/BLIMP-1/IL-10 axis in effector (and possibly regulatory) T cells.

While TCR engagement induces expression of many cytokines, our data additionally reveal that the signaling requirements for TCR-induced antiinflammatory IL-10 expression differ from those for inflammatory cytokine production. Our data indicate that IL-10 induction is ERK independent, a surprising finding, given that TCR-dependent IL-10 induction in vitro–generated Th1 cells is ERK dependent (35). The reason for this discrepancy may relate to the c-MAF dependency of IL-10 production; while c-MAF did not appear to be involved in IL-10 expression by Th1 cells during CI.13 infection, c-MAF was implicated in IL-10 production in the study by Saraiva et al. (35). The differential signaling requirements for IL-10 versus inflammatory cytokine production may have clinical implications, as it implies that in certain contexts, Th1 cell inflammatory function could be selectively and therapeutically blocked, while leaving their antiinflammatory functions intact. This approach may have efficacy in Th1-driven inflammatory diseases.

It remains unclear, though, why only a portion of Th1 cells produced IL-10 during LCMV-CI.13 infection, given that there was no significant difference in BLIMP-1 (or IRF4) expression between IL-10+ and IL-10− Th1 cells (Figure 6, D and E, and data not shown). This observation suggests that factors other than BLIMP-1 and IRF4 may contribute to the formation of the IL-10+ Th1 subset. While we have not yet identified the molecular reason for this discrepancy, we have noted lower Bcl6 expression in IL-10− versus IL-10+ Th1 cells (Supplemental Figure 15). Since T-bet represses IL-10 production in T cells (52), and BCL-6 is a T-bet corepressor in Th1 cells (53), it is possible that lower BCL-6 expression in Th1 cells relieves the Il10 gene from T-bet-mediated repression, thereby allowing BLIMP-1–mediated transcriptional activation. Indeed, BCL-6 loss promotes IL-10 production by CD4+ T cells (54). Future work is needed to dissect the complex transcriptional regulation of IL-10 in antiviral CD4+ T cells during chronic infection, but our work identifying BLIMP-1 as an essential factor provides both an important first step in this direction and better insight into how the host regulates the balance between antiviral immunity and immunopathology during a chronic infection.

Methods

Mice, infections, and plaque assays. C57BL/6Ncr and CD45.1 (Ly5.1) mice were purchased from the National Cancer Institute. B6.129S2 Tcra–/–/J (Tcra−/−), B6.129S6 Cd4–/–/J (Cd4−/−), B6.129S2 Cd8a–/–/J (Cd8a−/−), and B6.129P2 Il10–/–/J (Il10−/−) mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory. Ly5.1+ P14 (55), Prdm1fl/fl Cd4–/– (56), LCMV-YFP (31), 10BiT (16), and STg (57) mice have been previously described. Mice were infected with 2 × 106 PFU LCMV-Arm (i.p.), 1 × 102 PFU LCMV-clone 13 (i.v.) for acute infections, or 2 × 106 PFU LCMV-clone 13 (i.v.) for chronic infections. Viral titers were measured by plaque assay (31). For details on adoptive transfers, see Supplemental Methods.

Antibodies for surface and intracellular staining. Lymphocyte isolation, surface staining, tetramer staining, and intracellular staining were performed as described previously (20, 31). See Supplemental Methods for more details.

BM chimeras. BM chimeras were generated as previously described (31). Thy1.1 mice were used as recipients, and injections with the Thy1.1-depleting antibody 19E12 were used to ensure depletion of any surviving host T cells.

Retroviral knockdown. An LMP retroviral vector (Open Biosystems) with the puromycin resistance gene removed (32) was used for retroviral transductions and was a gift of Shane Crotty (La Jolla Institute for Allergy and Immunology, La Jolla, California, USA.). The sequences for knockdown were: Nfll3, CCCGCACAAGCTTC-GGATTTAA, and RBP-Jc, AAGGGAGGAGGTTCAGATTA from Open Biosystems, using shRNAmir flanking sequences. See Supplemental Methods for additional details.

Cell isolation and stimulation. Cells were sorted using a FACSAria sorter (BD Biosciences). In some experiments, transferred Ly5.1+ STg cells were enriched using biotinylated Ly5.1 antibody and streptavidin microbeads (Miltenyi Biotec) prior to sorting. In experiments involving stimulation, cells were either stimulated in tissue culture plates precoated with 1 μg/ml α-CD3 (clone 17A2) or with 20 ng/ml PMA and
1 μM Ca²⁺ ionophore (ionomycin) for the indicated times (Figure 1, Figure 3, Figure 5, Supplemental Figure 8, and Supplemental Figure 14). For IL-10 ELISAs, the CBA Mouse IL-10 Flex Set was used (BD Biosciences). For more details, see Supplemental Methods.

RNA isolation and quantitative RT-PCR. RNA was isolated from cells using an RNEasy Kit (QIAGEN), and cDNA was synthesized with Superscript II (Invitrogen). Real-time PCR was performed using the Brilliant III Ultra-Fast QPCR Master Mix (Agilent Technologies) on a Stratagene MX3000P real-time PCR machine (Agilent Technologies). Expression was normalized using the housekeeping gene Rpl9. The primers used for amplification are listed in Supplemental Table 1. ΔRt expression was measured using the Mm00478930_ml primer-probe mixture from Applied Biosystems.

Statistics. All error bars represent SEM. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. For more details, see Supplemental Methods.

Study approval. All animal experiments were performed with the approval of the IACUC of Yale University (New Haven, Connecticut, USA).
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