Cross-presenting CD103⁺ dendritic cells are protected from influenza virus infection
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CD8⁺ cytotoxic T cells are critical for viral clearance from the lungs upon influenza virus infection. The contribution of antigen cross-presentation by DCs to the induction of anti-viral cytotoxic T cells remains controversial. Here, we used a recombinant influenza virus expressing a nonstructural 1–GFP (NS1-GFP) reporter gene to visualize the route of antigen presentation by lung DCs upon viral infection in mice. We found that lung CD103⁺ DCs were the only subset of cells that carried intact GFP protein to the draining LNs. Strikingly, lung migratory CD103⁺ DCs were not productively infected by influenza virus and thus were able to induce virus-specific CD8⁺ T cells through the cross-presentation of antigens from virally infected cells. We also observed that CD103⁺ DC resistance to infection correlates with an increased anti-viral status in these cells that is dependent on the expression of type I IFN receptor. These results show that efficient cross-priming by migratory lung DCs is coupled to the acquisition of an anti-viral status, which is dependent on the type I IFN signaling pathway.

Introduction

The identification of the mechanisms that control the initiation of anti-influenza virus CD8⁺ T cell responses that clear viral infections requires knowledge of the identity of the APCs and the location and time of antigen presentation by APCs to T lymphocytes. In viral infections, DCs could potentially acquire viral antigens through direct infection (direct MHC-I presentation pathway) or through the acquisition of exogenous antigens by phagocytosis of virally infected cells or viral particles (cross-presentation pathway). Efficient cross-priming is easily demonstrated in mouse models with an impaired direct antigen presentation pathway (1–3). In addition, genetic deletion of the CD103⁺ lung DC subset that excels in cross-priming revealed that these cells control the priming of naive CD8⁺ T cells during influenza virus infection (4) or Sendai virus infection (5). However similar to lymphoid tissue CD8⁺ DCs, CD103⁺ DCs are also very potent at direct priming of CD8⁺ T cells (6) (J. Helft and M. Merad, unpublished observations), suggesting the possibility that the reduced CD8⁺ T cell responses (4, 5) resulted from the loss of direct antigen presentation normally provided by infected CD103⁺ DCs. Thus the physiological contribution of cross-presentation to the induction of anti-influenza virus CD8⁺ T cell immunity in vivo is still a matter of debate.

Attempts to generate recombinant fluorescent influenza viruses have been hampered because most of the viruses expressing reporter genes have reduced levels of replication and do not show significant pathogenesis in mice (7). In this study, we visualized the route of viral antigen uptake by lung and LN DCs and examined the antigenic presentation pathway used by DCs to induce efficient CD8⁺ T cell immunity upon intranasal influenza virus infection.
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DCs disappeared from the lung (Figure 1, C and D), whereas the CD11b+ DC population expressing GFP increased dramatically. Unlike CD11b+ DCs found in the steady state, CD11b+ DCs that accumulated in influenza-infected lungs expressed high levels of Ly6C and likely arose from blood monocytes (Supplemental Figure 1A; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI60659DS1).

Lung migratory CD103+ DCs carrying GFP appeared in the draining MLNs at around 12 hours after infection, peaked at 48 hours after infection (Figure 1, E–G, and Supplemental Figure 1B), and remained the main DC population carrying GFP to the MLNs in a CCR7-dependent manner. To evaluate the role of migratory lung DCs in the priming of anti-viral CD8+ T cells, we infected WT or Ccr7–/– mice with a lethal dose of a recombinant influenza virus expressing the MHC-I OVA peptide SIINFEKL (PR8-OTI). Forty-eight hours later, MLNs of WT or Ccr7–/– infected mice were collected and cocultured with CFSE-labeled OVA-specific TCR transgenic CD8+ T cells (OTI) (Figure 2A). OTI T cells proliferated only in the presence of LN cells isolated from WT but not Ccr7–/– mice, establishing that CCR7-dependent lung DC migration controlled the priming of anti-viral CD8+ T cells.

To examine the specific contribution of lung migratory DCs and LN-resident DCs to the priming of anti-viral CD8+ T cells, we purified lung migratory CD103+ and CD11b+ DCs and LN-resident CD8+ and CD4+ DCs isolated from the MLNs 24 hours after infection (Figure 2B) or 48 hours (not shown) after intranasal infection with 105 PFUs of PR8-OTI virus. Each DC population was then cocultured for 3 days with CFSE-labeled OTI T cells. We found that lung migratory CD103+ DCs (Figure 2B) drove very potent CD8+ T cell proliferation at 24 and 48 hours after infection, whereas migratory CD11b+ DCs and LN-resident CD8+ and CD4+ DCs were much less efficient at presenting viral antigens to CD8+ T cells (Figure 2B).

To test whether depletion of lung migratory CD103+ DCs in vivo compromises the induction of anti-viral CD8+ T cell immunity, we used 2 different mouse models. Conditional depletion of lung migratory CD103+ DCs in diphtheria toxin–treated (DT-treated) transgenic mice expressing the receptor for DT under the langerin promoter (langerin-DTR), abrogated the induction of anti–viral-specific CD8+ T cells (Supplemental Figure 2, A–C), which is consistent with prior studies (4). We further confirmed the key role of migratory CD103+ DCs in the priming of viral antigens to CD8+ T cells using mice deficient for the basic leucin zipper transcription factor 3 (Baf3–/–). Baf3–/– mice specifically lack CD103+ DCs in the lung, but not CD11b+ DCs (Figure 2C and ref. 5). Similar to langerin-DTR mice treated with DT, Baf3–/– mice were unable to mount an efficient anti-viral CD8+ T cell response in the lung-draining LNs and the lungs 7 days after infection (Figure 2, D and E). Although LN-resident CD8+ DCs were also reduced in DT-treated langerin-DTR and Baf3–/– mice, the ex vivo antigen presentation assays (Figure 2, A and B) showing that lung migratory CD103+ DCs are the main inducers of anti-viral CD8+ T cell responses suggest that the compromised ability to mount anti-viral CD8+ T cell response in langerin-DTR and Baf3–/– mice is solely due to the absence of lung migratory CD103+ DCs.

Lung migratory CD103+ DCs are protected from infection by influenza virus. To assess whether the unique ability of CD103+ DCs to induce anti-viral CD8+ T cell immune response was due to their higher susceptibility to influenza virus infection in vivo, we measured productive viral infection of migratory and LN-resident DC populations in the draining MLNs. The influenza HA protein is essential for the budding of newly synthesized viral particles and Figure 1G). Thus, virus-derived GFP is actively transported to the draining LNs by lung migratory CD103+ DCs.

Together, these results show that the migration of DCs is the main road for viral antigen transport to the LNs and exclude a significant contribution of free virus transport through afferent lymph. Influenza virus infection is thus restricted to cells residing in the respiratory tract.
is among the first viral proteins to be expressed on the cell surface of host infected cells. Therefore, detection of HA expression on DC cell surface marks their productive infection. Strikingly and in contrast to epithelial cells and macrophages (Figure 3, A and B), we failed to detect cell-surface expression of HA in CD103+GFP+ DCs isolated from the MLNs (Figure 3C) of mice 48 hours after infection with 10^6 PFUs of NS1-GFP virus. This suggests that most lung CD103+ DCs that transport GFP to the draining LNs were not productively infected by the virus. We further confirmed that CD103+GFP+ DCs were not virally infected using embryonated chicken eggs, which are permissive for viral growth ex vivo (Table 1). We failed to detect viral growth in eggs injected with purified migratory CD103+GFP+ DCs isolated from the MLNs 48 hours after infection, whereas viral growth was detected in eggs injected with alveolar macrophages purified from the same mouse (Table 1). We also failed to detect virally infected CD11b+ GFP+HA+ DCs in the draining MLNs of virally infected mice (Figure 3D and Table 1). To determine whether productive virus could reach the LNs independently of DCs, WT or Ccr7−/− mice were infected intranasally with NS1-GFP virus (10^6 PFUs) and different dilutions of LN single-cell suspension collected 2 days after infection were injected into embryonated chicken eggs (Supplemental Figure 3A). We were unable to detect viral growth in embryonated eggs at any dilution (Supplemental Figure 3A)

Together, these results show that lung CD103+ and CD11b+ DCs migrating to the MLNs upon intranasal influenza infection do not carry infectious viral particles and are not infected by influenza virus in vivo.

**Figure 2**

Lung tissue migratory CD103+ DCs control anti-viral CD8+ T cell immunity. (A) WT and Ccr7−/− mice were infected with 10^7 PFUs of PR8-OTI virus. Forty-eight hours later, MLN cells were cocultured with CFSE-labeled CD8+ OT-I T cells for 3 days. Proliferation was measured by flow cytometry assessment of CFSE dilution (representative histograms). Graph represents the number of divided T cells per well. Each dot represents 1 mouse. (B) WT mice were infected with 10^7 PFUs of PR8-OTI virus. Lung migratory CD103+ DCs and CD11b+ DCs and LN-resident CD8+ and CD4+ DCs purified from the MLN 24 hours after infection were cocultured with CFSE-labeled CD8+ OT-I T cells for 3 days. Proliferation was measured by flow cytometry assessment of CFSE dilution (representative histograms). Graph represents the number of divided T cells per well after coculture with different numbers of DCs per well (DC:T ratio). Data are representative of 3 separate experiments. (C) CD45–CD11c+MHCII+ lung phagocyte populations (CD103+ DCs, CD11b+ DCs, and CD103–CD11b– alveolar macrophages) were analyzed by flow cytometry in naive WT and Batf3−/− mice. Representative percentages of each population in the CD45–CD11c+MHCII+ gate are noted. (D and E) WT and Batf3−/− mice were infected with 3 × 10^3 PFUs of NS1-GFP virus. Seven days later, the percentages and absolute numbers of NP-specific endogenous CD8+ T cells in the MLNs (D) and the lungs (E) were measured by flow cytometry using H2Dd/ASNENMETM-specific dextramer staining. Graphs represent the percentage (%) and the absolute numbers (abs no.) of dextramer-positive CD8+ T cells among total CD3+CD8+B220– T cells. Data are representative of 3 separate experiments.

CD103+ DCs uniquely preserve viral protein in endosomal compartments. The results above revealed that the influenza virus did not efficiently infect CD103+ DCs transporting GFP to the draining LNs. In infected cells, viral NS1 proteins should accumulate in the cytoplasm and the nucleus. To further confirm that lung migratory CD103+ GFP+HA+ DCs were not virally infected, we analyzed the intracellular localization of the NS1-GFP protein in CD103+GFP+ DCs purified from MLNs of mice infected with 10^6 PFUs of NS1-GFP virus using confocal microscopy. We found that NS1-GFP was not diffusely expressed in the nucleus and cyto-
plasm of CD103⁺GFP⁺ DCs (Figure 3E and Supplemental Figure 3B) as is the case for infected lung macrophages (Figure 3E and Supplemental Figure 3B). Importantly, we also found that GFP accumulated in early endocytic compartments positive for LAMP2 and EEA1 (Figure 3F and Supplemental Figure 3C). These results further establish that CD103⁺GFP⁺ DCs acquire GFP through phagocytosis of virally infected cells and not through direct viral infection. Lung CD103⁺ DCs seemed to be remarkably potent at preserving intact intracellular GFP during their migration to the draining LNs when compared with CD11b⁺ migratory DCs. Consistently, using intracellular staining, we found that in addition to GFP, CD103⁺GFP⁺ DCs were also able to preserve other viral proteins such as viral nucleoprotein (NP) (Figure 3G).

To assess whether CD103⁺ DCs' ability to preserve GFP reflected their poor degradative potential compared with CD11b⁺ DCs, we used expression array analysis of migratory CD103⁺ DCs purified from the MLNs of naive mice. Interestingly, we found that lung CD103⁺ DCs expressed a lower number of transcripts for proteases (Figure 3H and Supplemental Figure 3D) and lysosomal markers (Figure 3I and Supplemental Figure 3D) that control lysosomal antigen degradation compared with lung CD11b⁺ DCs, whereas both DC subsets expressed abundant and similar expression of housekeeping genes (not shown). Together, these results suggest that CD103⁺ DCs are endowed with a specific ability to retain phagocytosed antigens in low degradative compartments, thus supporting efficient cross-presentation to antigen-specific CD8⁺ T cells (13, 14).

To directly establish the role of CD103⁺ DCs in the cross-presentation of lung antigens in vivo, we used antigen-coated latex beads, an experimental system that has been widely used to directly assess phagocytic cross-presentation potential of DCs (15, 16). We found that both lung DC subsets captured 1 μm fluorescent latex beads injected intratracheally, with the same efficiency in the lung (Supplemental Figure 4A), and transported them to the draining MLNs (Supplemental Figure 4B). Both DC subsets transported the latex beads to the LNCs in a CCR7-dependent manner (Supplemental Figure 4, C and D), and we failed to detect any bead uptake by LN-resident CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ DCs (Supplemental Figure 4B). To directly assess the contribution of CD103⁺ DCs to the cross-presentation of lung particulate antigens, langerin-DTR mice were immunized with 1 μm latex beads covalently coated with the influenza viral protein NP and mixed with poly(I:C) as adjuvant 24 hours prior to PBS or DT injection. The depletion of CD103⁺ DCs in DT-treated mice completely abrogated the cross-priming of anti-NP CD8⁺ T cells 7 days after immunization (Supplemental Figure 4E). These results establish the superior ability of lung migratory CD103⁺ DCs to cross-present lung particulate antigens to CD8⁺ T cells.

**Type I IFN signaling protects migratory CD103⁺ DCs from influenza virus infection in vivo.** The results above revealed that, in contrast to epithelial cells and alveolar macrophages of the lung, influenza virus does not efficiently infect lung CD103⁺ and CD11b⁺ DCs that migrate to the LNs. In contrast, in vitro coculture of CD103⁺ and CD11b⁺ DCs isolated from the lung of naive mice in the presence of NS1-GFP virus led to their productive infection, as both DC subsets harbored HA expression at the cell surface and diffuse NS1 expression in the nucleus and cytoplasm (Figure 4, A and B, and Supplemental Figure 5). These results suggest that active mechanisms induced upon viral infection help protect lung migratory DCs from productive viral infection.

Type I IFNs induce cellular resistance to virus infection (17). All type I IFNs bind to the same heterodimeric receptor, IFN-α/β receptor (IFNAR), and loss of IFNAR increases lung pathology after influenza virus infection, but does not increase susceptibility to influenza virus infection in vivo (18). To examine whether type I IFNs contributed to lung DC protection from viral infection in vivo, we infected Ifnar1⁻/⁻ mice with 10⁶ PFUs of NS1-GFP virus and measured lung DC infection rate. Two days after infection, the number of GFP⁺HA⁺ infected lung CD103⁺ DCs present in the MLNs was substantially increased in Ifnar1⁻/⁻ mice as compared with WT mice (Figure 4, C and D), suggesting that type I IFN signaling contributes to CD103⁺ DC resistance to influenza virus infection. Increased viral infection was specific to lung migratory DCs, since there was no significant increase of infected GFP⁺HA⁺ alveolar macrophages and lung epithelial cells in Ifnar1⁻/⁻ mice at this early time point after infection (Figure 4E).

To directly compare WT and Ifnar1⁻/⁻ DC susceptibility to infection in the presence of similar amounts of virus, we cocultured Ifnar1⁻/⁻ and Ifnar1⁺/+ lung DCs with increasing doses of NS1-GFP virus in vitro. Using HA⁺GFP⁺ staining to measure infection, we found that even in the presence of similar virus titers in vitro, Ifnar1⁻/⁻ CD103⁺ DCs were more susceptible to virus infection compared with Ifnar1⁺/+ CD103⁺ DCs (Figure 4F). To further confirm the role of IFN in CD103⁺ DC protection from viral infection, we determined whether compromised IFN signaling in Stat2⁻/⁻ CD103⁺ DCs will affect their susceptibility to viral infection in vivo. We infected Stat2⁻/⁻ mice with 10⁹ PFUs of NS1-GFP and found that, similar to the phenotype found in Ifnar1⁻/⁻ mice, the number of GFP⁺HA⁺ infected lung CD103⁺ DCs present in the MLNs was substantially increased in Stat2⁻/⁻ mice as compared with WT mice (Figure 4G). Taken together, these results establish that CD103⁺ DCs' increased susceptibility to viral infection in Ifnar1⁻/⁻ mice does not result from higher viral load, but is a consequence of an active protective mechanism that is partly controlled by type I IFNs.

CD103⁺ DCs acquire an anti-viral state in vivo.** To explore the mechanisms that control CD103⁺ DC resistance to viral infection, we quantified the viral sensors and IFN signaling machinery of alveolar macrophages and CD103⁺ DCs that populate the normal lungs (Figure 5A). We found that alveolar macrophages expressed higher levels of transcripts involved in viral sensing (Rig1, Ips1, Myd88, Trif) compared with lung CD103⁺ DCs, whereas CD103⁺ DCs expressed higher levels of transcripts involved in IFN signaling (Ifnar1, Stat1, Stat2) compared with alveolar macrophages, suggesting that macrophages and CD103⁺ DCs are differentially equipped to respond to viral infection and IFN stimuli in vivo (Figure 5A).

To assess the response of lung phagocytes to influenza virus infection, WT mice were infected with 10⁸ PFUs of NS1-GFP virus and lung alveolar macrophages, and CD103⁺ DCs were purified 12 hours after infection. Alveolar macrophages isolated from infected lungs expressed higher levels of type I IFN-α and -β compared with CD103⁺ DCs (Figure 5B), which is consistent with the higher expression of viral-sensing transcripts by these cells in the steady state (Figure 5A). In contrast to alveolar macrophages and in line with the higher expression of IFNAR and IFN signaling transcripts, CD103⁺ DCs expressed higher levels of IFN-inducible genes as compared with alveolar macrophages (ISG15, OAS1a, Mx1) at 12 hours after infection (Figure 5C).

To characterize the anti-viral status of lung tissue phagocytes, we used RNA sequencing to perform a broader analysis of the transcriptome of purified lung CD103⁺ DCs and alveolar macro-
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A Lung CD45^+ cells, 15 h p.i.

B Lung macrophages, 15 h p.i.

C LN CD103^+ cells, 48 h p.i.

D LN CD11b^+ cells, 48 h p.i.

E CD103^+

F CD103^+

G LN, 48 h p.i.

H Proteases

I Lysosomal markers
Figure 3
CD103+ migratory DCs are not infected by influenza virus and uniquely preserve viral proteins in endosomal compartments. (A–I) Mice were infected with 10^6 PFUs of NS1-GFP virus. HA expression was measured by flow cytometry in CD45+ lung cells (A) and alveolar macrophages (B) 15 hours after infection or in MLN CD103+ (C) and CD11b+ DCs (D) 48 hours after infection. Percentage of GFP+ cells in each population is noted in C and D. (E) Cell-surface HA expression was measured by confocal microscopy in MLN CD103+ GFP- DCs and CD11b+ DCs purified 48 hours after infection and lung alveolar macrophages purified 15 hours after infection. Original magnification, ×63, zoom 3. (F) Intracellular LAMP2 expression was analyzed by confocal microscopy in migratory CD103+ GFP- or CD11b+ DCs, isolated from the MLNs 48 hours after infection. Original magnification, ×63, zoom 3. (G) Intracellular NP expression was measured by flow cytometry in MLN cells isolated 48 hours after infection. Dot plots show percentage of intracellular NP expression among migratory CD103+ and CD11b+ DCs. (H and I) Affymetrix gene chip arrays of CD11b+ and CD103+ migratory DCs sorted from the MLNs of naive mice. Graphs represent mRNA transcript ratio between CD103+ DCs and CD11b+ DCs. Each dot represents 1 experiment. Bars represent the mRNA transcript absolute values for each gene. Data are representative of 3 separate experiments. *P ≤ 0.05, **P ≤ 0.01, ***P ≤ 0.001.

Discussion
We used a recombinant influenza virus expressing GFP as a fusion protein with the nonstructural protein NS1 to establish the kinetics of infection and transport to the draining LNs. Using this system, we provide evidence that lung DCs that transport viral antigens to the draining LNs are protected from influenza virus infection in vivo and that induction of viral-specific CD8+ T cell immunity is mainly dependent on cross-presentation of virally infected cells by lung migratory noninfected CD103+ DCs (Supplemental Figure 6B). We also show that lung migratory CD103+ DCs express a natural anti-viral state that is further strengthened by type I IFN released during the first few hours following influenza virus infection.

In our model, influenza virus did not migrate freely to the draining LNs and reached the LNs carried by lung migratory DCs. Lung CD103+ DCs appeared in the draining LNs as early as 12 hours after infection and started to activate viral-specific CD8+ T cells between 18 and 24 hours after infection. The early migration potential of lung CD103+ DCs is consistent with prior studies (19, 20) and is not specific to viral infection settings, as similar results were obtained when mice were immunized with particulate antigens (21).

CD103+ DCs were the only lung DC population able to transport intact NS1-GFP protein to the draining LNs. CD103+ DCs could have potentially acquired GFP through direct influenza virus infection or through phagocytosis of dying infected cells. Although in vitro studies established DC susceptibility to influenza virus infection (22, 23), strong evidence of DC infection by influenza virus in vivo is still lacking, and confusion remains on the primary route of antigen acquisition by DCs in vivo. Most studies have used intracellular staining and PCR of viral products (4, 24, 25) to measure the presence of viral antigens in DCs. However, these studies cannot formally discriminate between the different routes of antigen uptake because phagocytic compartments containing dying material may also contain intact viral protein and mRNA. Using cell-surface HA staining and nuclear localization of NS1-GFP protein expression as a measure of cellular viral infection, we found that lung CD103+ DCs that transport GFP to the LNs showed no signs of viral infection. Instead CD103+ DCs accumulated NS1-GFP protein in endocytic LAMP2- and EEA1-positive compartments and not in the nucleus, suggesting that they acquired GFP mainly through phagocytosis of virally infected cells in the lungs. CD103+ DCs’ ability to preserve intact GFP material captured in the lungs while migrating to the LNs was consistent with the low expression levels of lysosomal degradative enzymes and the localization of NS1-GFP in early endosomal compartments positive for EEA1. Together, these results suggest that CD103+ DCs’ specific ability to retain phagocytosed antigens in low-degradative compartments likely contributed to their superior ability to promote the cross-presentation of virally infected cells to LN CD8+ T cells (14).

The inability of lung CD11b+ DCs to present viral antigens to CD8+ T cells in our study contrasts with recent published findings (20). However, in the study of Ballesteros-Tato et al., CD11b+ DCs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Viral growth in eggs following leukocyte injection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cell type</td>
<td>HA titers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LN CD103+ DCs</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LN CD11b+ DCs</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung macrophages</td>
<td>1024</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Either 10^5 CD11b+ or CD103+ DCs purified from the MLN or 10^5 macrophages purified from lungs of mice 48 hours after infection with 10^6 PFUs of NS1-GFP virus were injected into 10-day-old embryonated chicken eggs. Data are representative of 3 separate experiments.

*Range of HA titers in allantoic fluid (HA units/50 ml). n, number of assays that score positive for viral growth/number of assays that score negative for viral growth number.
Purified from infected mice were cultured with effector endogenous CD8+ T cells isolated from the MLNs of mice infected 7 days earlier, and the T cell proliferation observed in this assay may also result from the reactivation of effector CD8+ T cells primed by lung CD103+ DCs.

Importantly, our study revealed that virally infected CD103+ DCs accumulate in the MLNs of Ifnar1--/-- mice but are absent from the MLNs of Ifnar1+/+ mice infected with NS1-GFP, suggesting that type I IFN not only protects DCs from viral infection, but also protects mice from systemic viral dissemination. In addition to its key anti-viral effect, type I IFN was shown to promote DC maturation (26, 27) and enhance the cross-presentation potential of DCs (28). Together, these results suggest that type I IFN signaling may simultaneously activate the acquisition of an anti-viral state while promoting DC capacity to cross-present antigens and cross-prime adaptive T cell responses.

Protecting lung DCs from viral infection may have several advantages in vivo. First, it protects DCs from NS1-mediated inhibition of maturation, which may compromise their ability to initiate adaptive immunity (29). This likely also applies to other respiratory viruses known to encode inhibitors of DC function, such as respiratory syncytial viruses (30). Second and more importantly, it may help contain the virus locally and prevent its systemic dissemination by infected DCs migrating to LNs. During influenza virus infection, induction of adaptive immunity is required to clear the infection, but systemic dissemination of the virus could severely compromise host integrity. Preventing DC infection while promoting cross-presentation of virally infected cells may therefore provide a means to achieve optimal immunity while limiting virus spread in vivo. This is further supported by a recent publication showing that viral infection of human DCs directly impairs their ability to cross-present viral antigen (31).

Figure 4
Type I IFNs protect migratory DCs from influenza virus infection in vivo. (A and B) Lung CD103+ DCs were purified from naive mice and infected in vitro with NS1-GFP virus (10 MOI). Twelve hours after infection, cell-surface expression of HA was measured in CD103+ DCs by flow cytometry (A) and confocal microscopy analysis (B). A representative percentage of GFP+ cells among CD103+ DCs is shown in A. (C–E) WT and Il1ra1--/-- mice were infected with 10^6 PFUs of NS1-GFP virus. Dot plots and graph show the percentages of GFP+HA+ cells among CD103+ (C) and CD11b+ (D) DCs isolated from MLNs 48 hours after infection. In the graph, each dot represents 1 mouse. Data are representative of 3 separate experiments (n = 3). (E) Percentages of GFP+HA+ cells among alveolar macrophages and CD45+ epithelial cells isolated from the lungs 12 hours after infection. Each dot represents 1 mouse. (F) Lung CD103+ DCs isolated from WT CD45.1+C57BL/6 or Il1ra1--/-- CD45.2+C57BL/6 mice were mixed at 1:1 ratio, plated in vitro, and infected with the indicated NS1-GFP virus MOI. Plots represent the percentages of GFP+HA+ cells among WT or Il1ra1--/-- DCs 12 hours after infection. Each dot represents 1 well. Data are representative of 2 separate experiments. **P ≤ 0.01, ***P ≤ 0.001. (G) WT and Stat2-- mouse infected with 10^6 PFUs of NS1-GFP virus. Graph shows the percentages of GFP+HA+ cells among CD103+ DCs isolated from MLNs 48 hours after infection (n = 3 to 4). Each dot represents 1 mouse.
Cross-presentation has been thought to represent an evolutionary feature of DCs to avoid viral interference with antigen presentation (32, 33). Our findings showing that induction of viral-specific CD8+ T cell immunity is strongly dependent on the cross-presentation of virally infected cells by lung-noninfected CD103+ DCs provide a strong argument in favor of this hypothesis. Importantly, our study identifies a broad transcriptional program, partly elicited by type I IFN signaling that controls the acquisition of an anti-viral state by cross-presenting DC (34). Dissecting the molecular program that controls DC protection from viral infection should help the development of novel strategies to promote anti-viral therapeutic immunity in vivo.

**Methods**

*Mice.* C57BL/6 mice were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory. Lan-gerin-DTR mice were provided by B. Malissen (Centre d’Immunologie de
research article

Marseille-Luminy, Marseille, France) (35). Cor7−/− mice were provided by M. Lowe (Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, Ohio, USA). Rag−/− OT-1 mice were purchased from Taconic. Ifnari−/− mice (36) were housed in the Mount Sinai School of Medicine animal facility. 129SvfBaf2−/− mice were provided by K.M. Murphy (37). Stat2−/− mice were provided by C. Schindler (Columbia University, New York, New York, USA). All mice were analyzed at 8 and 12 weeks of age and housed in a specific pathogen–free environment.

Isolation of DCs. Lungs, spleen, or LN tissues were digested with 0.4 mg/ml of type IV collagenase (Sigma-Aldrich) at 37°C for 45 minutes (lungs) or 30 minutes (spleen and LNs).

Viruses. Influenza strain A/Puerto Rico/8/34 carrying GFP reporter in the NS segment (PR8:NS1-GFP) has been described (8). PR8:OTI (38) virus carries the chicken OVA257–264 SIINFEKL peptide in the neuraminidase (NA) segment. All viruses were grown either in 9-day-old embryonated eggs or in MDCK cells (ATCC) and titered using standard plaque assay on MDCK cells (ATCC).

Infection in vitro. Mice were anesthetized with ketamine-xylazine and intranasally infected with the indicated virus dose diluted in 25 μl PBS. For GFP expression analysis, mice were infected with 107 PFUs of NS1-GFP virus. For in vivo T cell priming experiments, mice were infected with 3 × 106 PFUs of NS1-GFP virus. For ex vivo T cell assay, mice were infected with 107 PFUs of PR8:OTI virus.

Infection in vitro. 10,000 DCs or 200,000 total CD11c+ cells purified from lungs of naive mice were infected for 1 hour at 37°C in a 96-well plate with NS1-GFP virus at an MOI of 3 or 10 in Opti-MEM medium (Gibco Life Technologies; Invitrogen). After 1 hour, RPMI medium with 10% FBS was added to the cells.

Cell lines. MDCK cells were maintained in MEM with 10% FBS and penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco Life Technologies; Invitrogen).

Detection of infectious virus. Indicated cell types were purified and FACs sorted based on cell-surface markers. 100,000 cells were injected into pathogen-free 10-day-old embryonated eggs (Charles River Laboratories) and incubated for 2 days at 37°C. The presence of virus in the allantoic fluid was measured by standard HA assay using 0.5% chicken red blood cells.

Flow cytometry. Multiparameter analysis were performed on the LSR II (BD) and analyzed with Flowjo software (Tree Star). Monoclonal Abs specific to mouse B220, CD8α, MHC class II (I-A/I-E), CD103, CD11b, CD11c, CD45, Vet2, SiglecF, and the corresponding isotype controls were purchased from BD Biosciences or eBioscience. Anti-HA (PY-102) and anti-mouse EEA1 Abs (Abcam) were conjugated with fluorophores by Glycanbio. Cells were fixed with 2% PFA for 5 minutes, permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 for 10 minutes, and blocked with 1% FBS in PBS.

RNA sample preparation. For RNA-seq, total RNA was extracted from sorted cell populations using Trizol reagent as described (39). RNA was amplified and hybridized on the Affymetrix Mouse Gene 1.0 ST array according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Raw data for all populations were preprocessed and normalized using the RMA algorithm (40) implemented in the “Expression File Creator” module in the GenePattern suite (41). All data sets have been deposited at the National Center for Biotechnology Information/GEO (GEO GSE15907). Thresholds for value expression were defined using 2 methods. See Supplemental Methods for detail.

mRNA deep sequencing. For RNA-seq, total RNA was extracted from FACs-sorted cells using Trizol. Isolation Reagent (Roche Molecular Biochemicals) according to the manufacturers’ instructions. PolyA+ RNA was selected from 300–600 ng of total RNA per sample using oligo-dT Magnetic Beads (Illumina). The polyA+ RNA was fragmented by Covaris and retrotranscribed; libraries were prepared for mRNA-seq using the Illumina mRNA Sample Preparation Kit (Illumina) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The resulting libraries were subjected to end-repaired cDNA by PCR with Phusion High-Fidelity Taq Polymerase (Finzymes). The samples were sequenced on an Illumina Hi-Seq 2000. Between 19,000,000 to 20,000,000 mouse genome mapping reads were obtained. All data sets have been deposited at the National Center for Biotechnology Information/GEO (GEO GSE38838).

Visualization tools. Microarray and deep-sequencing transcripts were visualized with the “Heat Map Viewer” or the “Hierarchical Clustering” tool using Gene Pattern (41).
Statistical analysis was performed with Prism (GraphPad software). In scatter-plot graphs, symbols represent individual mice or assay and horizontal bars represent means. \( P < 0.05 \) is considered significant.
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