Oncogenic β-catenin triggers an inflammatory response that determines the aggressiveness of hepatocellular carcinoma in mice
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Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the third leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide. Its pathogenesis is frequently linked to liver inflammation. Gain-of-function mutations in the gene encoding β-catenin are frequent genetic modifications found in human HCCs. Thus, we investigated whether inflammation was a component of β-catenin–induced tumorigenesis using genetically modified mouse models that recapitulated the stages of initiation and progression of this tumor process. Oncogenic β-catenin signaling was found to induce an inflammatory program in hepatocytes that involved direct transcriptional control by β-catenin and activation of the NF-κB pathway. This led to a specific inflammatory response, the intensity of which determined the degree of tumor aggressiveness. The chemokine-like chemotactic factor leukocyte cell–derived chemotaxin 2 (LECT2) and invariant NKT (iNKT) cells were identified as key interconnected effectors of liver β-catenin–induced inflammation. In genetic deletion models lacking the gene encoding LECT2 or iNKT cells, hepatic β-catenin signaling triggered the formation of highly malignant HCCs with lung metastasis. Thus, our results identify inflammation as a key player in β-catenin–induced liver tumorigenesis. We provide strong evidence that, by activating pro- and antinflammatory mediators, β-catenin signaling produces an inflammatory microenvironment that has an impact on tumoral development. Our data are consistent with the fact that most β-catenin–activated HCCs are of better prognosis.

Introduction
Cumulative evidence indicates that chronic inflammatory diseases result in a predisposition to various types of cancers (1, 2). An inflammatory component is present in the microenvironment of most neoplastic tissues, including those that are not causally related to an obvious inflammatory process (2). Recent data have shown that different genetic alterations occurring in tumor cells activate an inflammatory program that has a profound impact on cancer development (3). Oncogenic transcription factors such as NF-κB and STAT3, together with inflammatory cytokines, have been defined as key orchestrators of the intricate dialogue among the components of the immune system present in the tumor microenvironment and cancerous cells (3, 4).

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the third leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide. It develops frequently within the context of chronic hepatitis, which is characterized by liver inflammation and hepatocyte apoptosis (5). Interestingly, the adult liver contains a specific microenvironment composed of immune cells dedicated to preventing the chronic inflammation that could potentially result from the liver parenchyma’s constant exposure to the dietary and environmental carcinogens present in the blood arriving from the gut (6). Because chronic liver inflammation and the associated regenerative wound-healing responses are strongly linked to the development of HCC, it is not surprising that the liver’s inflammatory response is tightly regulated. In addition, inflammation seems to be a key promoter that drives maladaptive wound-healing responses (7).

One of the major pathways involved in hepatocellular carcinogenesis is the Wnt/β-catenin pathway (8, 9). Aberrant β-catenin signaling, mainly due to mutations in the β-catenin gene, is found in 30%–40% of human HCCs (9, 10). Mutant β-catenins translocate into the nucleus and activate a Wnt/β-catenin–dependent gene transcription program that promotes tumorigenesis. However, the mechanisms by which aberrant Wnt/β-catenin pathway activation leads to the development of HCC are not completely understood. Several molecular integrative studies have revealed that β-catenin–mutated HCCs exhibit specific morphological and metabolic features associated with more favorable prognoses and can be grouped within a homogeneous HCC subclass (11–13). This suggests that β-catenin–activated HCCs develop via a common carcinogenic pathway.

We decided to investigate whether inflammation was a component in the process of β-catenin–induced liver tumorigenesis. Using genetic models that recapitulated different stages of β-catenin–induced hepatocellular transformation, we demonstrated that β-catenin signaling induces an inflammatory program in hepatocytes that involves direct transcriptional control by β-catenin and activation of the NF-κB pathway. This leads to a specific inflammatory response, the intensity of which determines the...
The degree of tumor aggressiveness. We identified 2 interconnected key mediators of liver β-catenin–induced inflammatory response: the chemokine-like chemotactic factor leukocyte cell–derived chemotaxin 2 (LECT2), and invariant NKT cells (iNKT). In genetic deletion models lacking the LECT2 gene or iNKT cells, β-catenin signaling triggered the development of highly malignant HCCs that also metastasized to the lung. Our results identify, for what we believe is the first time, inflammation as a key player in β-catenin–induced liver tumorigenesis and provide strong evidence that, by activating pro- and antiinflammatory mediators, β-catenin signaling produces an inflammatory milieu that determines the aggressiveness of the tumor.

Results
To study the role of the liver microenvironment during β-catenin–induced tumorigenesis, we took advantage of 2 different genetic mouse models that we previously described as mimicking 2 of the steps (initiation and progression) involved in the tumoral process induced by oncogenic activation of β-catenin signaling in hepatocytes (Figure 1A). The first model reproduces the initial step of β-catenin oncogenesis and is based on the tamoxifen-inducible hepatospecific inactivation of the tumor suppressor gene adenomatous polyposis coli (Apc−/−). All hepatocytes of the liver lobule show aberrant activation of β-catenin after tamoxifen injection (14, 15). Because Apc−/− animals die at an early age (15), we used the transgenic Lpk-myc mouse model to study tumor progression, since these mice developed HCC-bearing activating mutations in the β-catenin gene (Figure 1B and refs. 9, 16). Indeed, in contrast with the intestine, in which c-myc oncogene is a critical target of the Wnt signaling pathway that drives tumorigenesis, we demonstrated, using a combination of several molecular approaches (ChIP-seq, RNA-Seq), that c-myc was not a target of Wnt signaling in the liver (15, 17–19). In this model, c-myc and β-catenin signaling cooperate to drive liver carcinogenesis.

β-catenin activation in hepatocytes modifies the liver microenvironment and results in specific targeting of iNKT cells. To evaluate the impact of hepatocyte β-catenin activation on the liver microenvironment at an early step of hepatocarcinogenesis, we purified and analyzed nonparenchymal cells (NPCs) from Apc−/− mouse livers 5 and 8 days after tamoxifen injection and compared them with the NPCs of control mice. In agreement with our previous findings (14),
β-catenin activation in hepatocytes modifies the liver microenvironment and specifically affects iNKT cell recruitment. (A) The ratio of liver weight/body weight was assessed, and the number of NPCs was evaluated in control and Apc<sup>−/−</sup> livers at 5 and 8 days after tamoxifen injection. (B) FACS analysis of NPCs was performed to assess the absolute number (Nb) of the various immune cell subpopulations (T cells, B cells, F4/80-positive cells, neutrophils, and NK cells) in Apc<sup>−/−</sup> and control livers, 8 days after tamoxifen injection. (C) FACS analysis of NPCs from Apc<sup>−/−</sup> and control livers was performed using α-GalCer/CD1d tetramer expression to assess the number (upper left panel) and proportion (upper right panel) of iNKT cells in the total population and the number (lower left panel) and proportion (lower right panel) of iNKTs expressing or not expressing the CD4 marker. (D) FACS analysis of NPCs was performed using α-GalCer/CD1d tetramer expression to assess the number (left panel) and the proportion (right panel) of iNKT cells in 12-month-old tumoral Lpk-myc<sup>−/−</sup> livers and nontumoral control livers. (E) FACS analysis of NPCs was performed using α-GalCer/CD1d tetramer expression to assess the number (left panel) and the proportion (right panel) of iNKT cells in T-SV40 and control livers. The graphs represent the number or the proportion of cells among CD45<sup>+</sup>/Ly5<sup>+</sup> cells in the dot plots. Dots show representative FACS analysis, and the numbers represent the percentage of iNKTs gated on CD45<sup>+</sup>/Ly5<sup>+</sup> cells. All data are representative of 6 independent experiments with 4 mice/group. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001 (controls vs. Apc<sup>−/−</sup> or controls vs. Lpk-myc<sup>−/−</sup> or controls vs. T-SV40). Error bars represent SD.

We then characterized the liver microenvironment in β-catenin-induced liver tumors. Relative to the other immune cell populations in the NPC compartment of β-catenin–activated tumors of Lpk-myc<sup>−/−</sup> mice, iNKTs exhibited disproportionate decreases, which were similar to those found in the Apc<sup>−/−</sup> mice (Figure 2D and Supplemental Figure 1C).

To ascertain whether this iNKT population decrease was related to the activation of β-catenin signaling, we analyzed a transgenic HCC mouse model that undergoes β-catenin-independent tumorigenesis (21). In this model, the proportion of iNKT cells in the NPC population in the tumor microenvironment of SV40 T–induced HCC tumors was not lower than that found in control animal livers (Figure 2E and Supplemental Figure 1D).

Characterization of iNKT cells in β-catenin–activated livers. At least 2 phenotypically distinct subsets of iNKT cells exist in the liver and in other tissues of mice. These are defined as CD4<sup>+</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup> (CD4<sup>+</sup>) and CD4<sup>−</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup> (double negative). We determined whether β-catenin activation had an impact on these 2 distinct subsets and found that the diminished proportion of iNKTs was more pronounced in the CD4<sup>+</sup> iNKT cells than in the CD4<sup>−</sup> iNKT cells (Figure 2C).

We then investigated the activation status of these cells by analyzing the cell surface levels of the constitutively expressed early activation marker CD69 in the livers of Apc<sup>−/−</sup> and control mice after tamoxifen treatment. We found that the iNKT activation level was significantly higher in Apc<sup>−/−</sup> livers compared with that in control livers (Figure 3A). We also found that the CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD4<sup>−</sup> iNKT cells were similarly activated (Figure 3A).

To determine whether the iNKT cells in the Apc<sup>−/−</sup> mutant mice were activated in vivo, we challenged mice with a sublethal dose of concanavalin A (ConA). Apc<sup>−/−</sup> livers were highly sensitive to ConA-induced hepatitis, as shown by histological analyses of the Apc<sup>−/−</sup> livers and by the subsequent increases in the serum levels of aspartate amino transferase (AST) (Figure 3, B and C). These results confirmed that iNKT cells were also activated in Apc<sup>−/−</sup> livers in vivo.

A striking characteristic of iNKT cells is their ability to rapidly produce Th1-type (e.g., IFN-γ) and Th2-type (e.g., IL-4) cytokines in response to primary stimulation, suggesting an important and diverse role for these cells in immunoregulation. It was recently reported that the liver CD4<sup>+</sup> iNKTs are capable of promoting tumor rejection through IFN-γ production (22). Thus, we measured their capacity to produce IFN-γ and IL-4 using ex vivo analysis (20). We found that the CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD4<sup>−</sup> subpopulations of iNKTs, isolated from Apc<sup>−/−</sup> mutant livers, were both able to produce higher amounts of IFN-γ and IL-4 compared with iNKTs isolated from control livers (Figure 3D).

Together, these findings show that, consequent to the oncogenic activation of β-catenin in hepatocytes, a specific inflammatory microenvironment was built up that particularly and preferentially had an impact on iNKT cells.

β-catenin activation in hepatocytes triggers a proinflammatory program associated with the activation of NF-κB. To better understand how β-catenin could contribute to this specific shaping of the liver microenvironment, we studied the expression of several immune mediators. We took advantage of recently generated deep-sequencing data, which identified the transcriptional program triggered in the hepatocytes in response to oncogenic activation of β-catenin. These data report the fold changes in the mRNA levels of genes regulated by β-catenin in hepatocytes (RNA-seq) and the repertoire of the TCF4/β-catenin binding
sites within the hepatocyte chromatin (Chip-Seq), revealing whether or not these genes were direct targets of β-catenin (Torre et al., unpublished observations). Among the genes whose expression was modified including those that were or were not direct targets of β-catenin, we selected genes that were related to inflammation, and we performed real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR) on whole liver mRNA from the different mouse models we studied. As expected, Axin2 expression was strongly induced in preneoplastic Apc–/– liver and Lpk-myc+ liver tumors, confirming the strong activation of β-catenin signaling in both models (Figure 4). We found that several proinflammatory chemokines and cytokines were upregulated, which could largely explain the immune cell recruitment in Apc–/– livers. Some of these genes were direct targets of β-catenin (e.g., Ccl24, Cxcl2, Cxcl10, Cxcl11, Il15, and Il18), and others were indirect target genes (e.g., Ccl2 and Ccl5). However, we found that none of the genes encoding the canonical inflammatory mediators of liver inflammation, such as Il6, Il1a, Il1b, and Tnfa, were induced (Figure 4).

Together, the gene expression profiles of these livers argued that β-catenin is able to induce an inflammatory program in Apc–/– livers (Figure 4). Interestingly, most of the inflammatory-related genes found to be induced in the livers of Apc–/– mice were similarly increased in β-catenin–activated liver tumors developed in Lpk-myc+ mice. Minor differences likely reflected the different stages of tumorigenesis produced by the 2 models (Figure 4).

The gene expression signatures of these tumor models (upregulation of Ccl2, Ccl5, Il15, Il1r1, Tlr, tweak receptor, the kinase Ikkβ and Socs3) (see Figure 4) prompted us to investigate whether 2 key signaling pathways of inflammation, NF-κB and STAT3, were involved in tumorigenesis. We found that NF-κB was strongly activated in the tamoxifen-treated/β-catenin–activated livers (Figure 4A). Supershift analysis revealed an activation of mostly RelA/p50 heterodimers (Figure 4B). Using immune-blots analysis, we detected no STAT3 pathway activation in the livers of Apc–/– mutant mice, in accordance with the fact that Socs3 was directly induced by β-catenin activation (Figure 4 and

---

**Figure 3**
Characterization of iNKT cells in β-catenin–activated livers. NPCs were collected from livers 8 days after tamoxifen injection. (A) FACS profiles for CD69 expression (left panel) and MFI of CD69 (right panel) in NPCs gated on αGalCer–loaded CD1d tetramer-positive cells (iNKTs) from Apc–/– and control livers, including those from the CD4+ and CD4− subpopulations. (B) Paraffin-embedded sections obtained from control and Apc–/– livers after ConA challenge were stained with H&E. Scale bars: 50 μm. (C) Levels of AST were measured in the sera of control and Apc–/– mice 12 hours after ConA challenge. (D) Intracellular staining for IFN-γ (top panels) and IL-4 (bottom panels) was performed after in vitro stimulation of NPCs. This analysis was carried out in CD4+ and CD4− αGalCer/CD1d tetramer-positive cells. The graphs represent the percentage or the number of cells among the CD45+Ly5+ cells in the liver. The histograms are representative FACS analyses. All data are representative of 6 independent experiments with 5 mice/group. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001 (controls vs. Apc–/–). Error bars represent SD.
most upregulated genes in the β-catenin–induced inflammatory program expressed in the hepatocytes (Figure 4). It was also induced before the other chemokines/cytokines (see Supplemental Figure 2). Most importantly, LECT2-deficient mice harbor, in the liver, elevated numbers of iNKT cells (27), the component of the immune system that we found particularly targeted in β-catenin–induced liver inflammation.

To study the role of LECT2 in our HCC model, we generated mice lacking both Apc and LECT2 (Apc−/−LECT2−/−) and analyzed the inflammatory response induced in the absence of LECT2. First, we determined whether the Wnt/β-catenin pathways in the livers of the double-mutant mice and Apc−/− mice were triggered in similar manners (Figure 6A and Supplemental Figure 2). Macroscopic analysis of double-mutant mouse livers showed a particularly flaccid and loose texture compared with samples from Apc−/− mice. Histological staining of liver sections revealed some necrotic areas associated with immune infiltrates (Figure 6, B–E). Accordingly, serum transaminase levels were higher in Apc−/−LECT2−/− mice than in Apc−/− mice (Figure 6F). This correlated with increased liver apoptosis (Supplemental Figure 2) and a decrease in the survival rate of the animals (Figure 6F).

To better define the molecular mechanisms responsible for the aggravated liver phenotype in Apc−/−LECT2−/− mice, we used FACS analysis to investigate the liver NPCs populations of these mice compared with those of mice with Apc−/− and LECT2−/− backgrounds. As expected, we found that the number of iNKT cells increased in Apc−/−LECT2−/− livers compared with those of control mice (27), but was not modified after oncogenic activation of β-catenin in the double-mutant livers (Figure 6G). More interestingly, we found that iNKT cells were not recruited in Apc−/− livers that expressed a high level of LECT2 induced by β-catenin, in accordance with the previous described inhibitory role of LECT2 in the homing of iNKT cells toward the liver (Figure 6G and ref. 27). We then analyzed the activation level of the iNKT in β-catenin–activated livers and found that the iNKTs were more activated in Apc−/−LECT2−/− livers than in Apc−/− livers (Figure 6I). Moreover, their cytokine secretion profile was modified. Indeed, we detected a cytokine shift especially in the CD4+ iNKT subpopulation, as they produced significantly less IFN-γ but far more IL-4 than the CD4+ iNKT cells of Apc−/− livers (Figure 6I). As IFN-γ is a key antitumoral cytokine, our data suggested that the antitumoral properties of CD4+ iNKTs in the absence of LECT2 were severely impaired.

In addition, we found that the profile of infiltrating immune cells in Apc−/−LECT2−/− livers was characterized by an increase in neutrophils compared with those of Apc−/− mice (Figure 6H). In agreement with this finding, chemokines in the liver, such as Cxcl1, Cxcl12, and Cxcl14, were induced to higher levels in the Apc−/−LECT2−/− mutant mice than in the Apc−/− mutant mice (Figure 4). These results demonstrate that these 2 mutant backgrounds differed with respect to their infiltrating immune cell profiles and their chemokine/cytokine expression profiles.
We next investigated NF-κB and STAT3 pathways in these mutant mice and found that NF-κB activation in Apc–/–LECT2–/– livers was slightly lower than that in the Apc–/– livers, but that neither background exhibited any STAT3 activation (Figure 6K). These findings revealed that LECT2 holds an important liver antiinflammatory property and appears to be an important player in modulating the intensity of the liver inflammatory immune response precipitated by oncogenic activation of β-catenin signaling in hepatocytes.

**iNKT cells display antiinflammatory properties during β-catenin–induced liver inflammation.** Since we confirmed a key role for LECT2 in iNKT cell homeostasis, we analyzed the role of these immune cells in β-catenin–induced liver inflammation. Using anti-NK1.1 antibodies, we depleted iNKT cells in Apc–/– mutant livers and observed a strong increase in the inflammatory response compared with those in Apc–/– mutant mice treated with control antibodies (Figure 7D).

Therefore, we identified 2 interconnected effectors of the particular β-catenin–induced liver inflammation, the chemokine-like protein LECT2 and iNKT cells. Together, these effectors exerted antiinflammatory activities and dampened the magnitude of the liver inflammatory response driven by oncogenic activation of β-catenin in the hepatocytes.

*nKts and LECT2 are critical cellular and molecular effectors controlling tumor progression of β-catenin–induced HCC.* Finally, as we found that both depletion of the iNKT cells and deletion of LECT2 aggravated the inflammatory phenotype of Apc–/– livers, we evaluated their impact on β-catenin–dependent tumor development. We

---

**Figure 5**

β-catenin activation in hepatocytes triggers an intrinsic inflammatory program associated with the activation of NF-κB. (A–E) NF-κB activation (A) in liver nuclear extracts from Apc–/– and control livers was monitored by EMSA analysis. Supershift analysis (B) was performed to determine which NF-κB subunit was involved in Apc–/– livers. Immunoblotting was used to detect phospho-STAT3, STAT3, and β-actin (C) in protein lysates from Apc–/– and control livers. NF-κB activation (D) in liver nuclear extracts from Lpk-myc and control livers was monitored by EMSA analysis. (E) Immunoblotting was used to detect phospho-STAT3, STAT3, and β-actin in protein lysates from Lpk-myc and control livers. (F) mRNAs were extracted from NPCs and analyzed by real-time qPCR using specific primers. (G) Sera from Apc–/– and control mice were collected and CCL5 plasma levels were evaluated using Luminex technology (Bio-Rad). All data with statistical analysis are representative of 4 experiments with 4 mice/group. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.001 (controls versus Apc–/–). Error bars represent SD.
generated Lpk-myc- mice either deficient in iNKTs using Jα18-KO animals (29) (Lpk-myc/Jα18−/−) or deficient in LECT2 (27) (Lpk-myc/LECT2−/−). We compared the development of liver tumors at 12 months in these 2 double-mutant mice to that in the Lpk-myc- single-mutant mice.

The absence of either iNKTs or LECT2 strongly promoted the progression of the HCC tumoral development induced by β-catenin (Figure 8, A and B). The number and size of tumor nodules in Lpk-myc/Jα18−/− and in Lpk-myc/LECT2−/− mice were greater than in Lpk-myc- control mice (Figure 8, A–I). The tumors that developed in the 2 types of double-mutant mice had higher grades of malignancy and were less differentiated than those of Lpk-myc- control mice (Figure 8, C–I). A number of tumors in the double-mutant animals exhibited a very poorly differentiated phenotype (fetal-like phenotype) that was never encountered in Lpk-myc- tumors (Figure 8, C–I). In addition, liver tumors from Lpk-myc/Jα18−/− and Lpk-myc/LECT2−/− mice had higher mitotic indices compared with tumors from Lpk-myc- mice (Figure 8, J and K). These results showed that the genetic deletion of either iNKTs or LECT2 strongly promoted both the initiation and progression steps of HCC development and led to a more undifferentiated phenotype. Remarkably, we found that 40% of the double-mutant mice harbored lung metastasis, a feature that was never observed in the Lpk-myc- mice (Figure 8L). Lung metastases were dramatically more prevalent in the mice that lacked iNKT cells than in the mice lacking LECT2 (Figure 8L).

Together, our findings provide strong evidence that, through the control of 2 interconnected inflammatory components, iNKT cells and the chemokine-like factor LECT2, β-catenin signaling induces an immune response that determines the aggressiveness of the tumorigenesis driven by β-catenin in hepatocytes.

Discussion

Using different genetically modified mouse models, we investigated whether β-catenin pathway activation, one of the most frequent events found in human HCC, induces a specific inflammatory microenvironment that could be involved in the oncogenic process. Our main finding is that β-catenin triggered a smoldering inflammation through the control of both pro- and antiinflammatory programs that was permissive for tumor development. The essence of the immune response determines tumor progression and metastatic capabilities.

We found that β-catenin induces a specific inflammatory program. Strikingly, the classical liver inflammatory cytokines described in a number of HCC-linked liver inflammation models, such as IL-6, TNF-α, IL-1α, and IL-1β (for review, see refs. 3, 4), were absent from the chemokine/cytokine profile induced by β-catenin activation in hepatocytes. The inflammatory program controlled by β-catenin and the singular inflammatory response that we described in this study constitute specific hallmarks of the inflammatory fuel induced by β-catenin in the liver. Our Chip-Seq data obtained from isolated hepatocytes revealed that several chemokine, cytokine, and cytokine receptor genes (e.g., Lct2, Cxcl2, Cxcl10, Cxcl11, Il1, and Il11r1) are cell-autonomous direct targets of β-catenin activation through the LEE/TCF motifs present in their promoters. Furthermore, we also observed a strong constitutive activation of NF-κB in the livers of Apc-/- mutant mice as well as in β-catenin-activated tumors. The increased expression of the chemokine/cytokine genes Ccl2, Ccl5, and Il15, all of which are bona fide NF-κB targets, probably results from this NF-κB activation. Thus, the proinflammatory chemokine/cytokine program arose from a combination of direct control by Wnt/β-catenin signaling and indirect control through NF-κB activation. The NF-κB activation likely resulted as a consequence of the cytokines produced by the immune cells and from β-catenin–induced increases in the expression levels of partners in the NF-κB pathway (such as Il1r1 and Il15). As observed in other models of cancer-related inflammation (3), a feed-forward loop between the β-catenin–activated hepatocytes and the immune/inflammatory cells is likely to exist, and this could sustain tumor-associated inflammation (see Figure 9).

The induction of an intrinsic proinflammatory transcriptional program has been described for several other oncoproteins, such as Ras and Myc family members, and constitutes a part of their oncogenic properties (3). What we believe is new in our findings is that we clearly demonstrate that β-catenin signaling is able to induce simultaneously a pro- and an antiinflammatory program that shapes a protumorigenic microenvironment with a low grade of chronic inflammation. We identified at least 2 interconnected mediators that could explain how β-catenin could induce a smoldering inflammation: a molecular mediator, LECT2, which is a chemokine-like protein that regulates the function of the cellular mediator, and the iNKT cells. Indeed, deletion of LECT2 resulted in a dramatic aggravation of the inflammatory response observed in the liver of Apc−/− mutant mice. The antiinflammatory functions of LECT2 have been reported to play a suppressive role in anti–type II collagen antibody–induced arthritis (30). The precise mechanism by which LECT2 exerts an antiinflammatory action in the liver is presently unknown, but our results provide some clues. Analysis of LECT2−/− deficient animals demonstrated that LECT2 regulates iNKT cell homeostasis in the liver through at least 2 nonexclusive mechanisms: (a) the control of iNKT homing activity toward the liver and (b) the control of the iNKT Th1/Th2 cytokine secretion profile (27). Our data strongly support the notion that LECT2 controls the homing of the iNKT in β-catenin–activated livers. As expected (27), the number of iNKT cells in these livers increased in LECT2-deficient mice, suggesting that LECT2 prevents iNKT homing toward the liver. In addition, iNKT cells were not recruited to the livers of Apc−/− mutant mice, which retain the ability to produce high levels of LECT2 induced by β-catenin. In contrast, most other immune cell populations were actively recruited to this β-catenin–induced liver microenvironment. These observations support the view that LECT2 prevents the homing of the iNKTs toward the liver. In addition, the numbers of iNKT cells in Apc−/−LECT2−/− livers and in the livers of LECT2−/− mice were the same, showing the key role of LECT2 in iNKT cell homing. Corroborating the present data, we recently found that the number of iNKT cells in the livers of mice that harbored a hepatospecific deletion of β-catenin and lacked LECT2 expression increased following tamoxifen treatment, revealing that these mice have an immune phenotype similar to that of LECT2-deficient mice (J.P. Couty and S. Colnot, unpublished observations). Furthermore, we found that iNKTs were more highly activated in Apc−/−LECT2−/− mice than in Apc−/− mice, but exhibited an altered cytokine secretion profile. This was more pronounced in the CD4+ iNKT subpopulation, which had shifted toward a Th2 phenotype. The CD4+ iNKT subpopulation has been reported to display potent antitumoral activities through its production of high levels of IFN-γ. Thus, the shift in its cytokine secretion profile to lower levels of IFN-γ is consistent with the higher grade of malignancy observed in Lpk-myc−/−LECT2−/− mice compared with that found in Lpk-myc- mice.
Depletion of iNKT cells by anti-NK1.1 antibodies markedly aggrava-
ted the inflammatory response observed in the livers of Apc+/− mutant mice in a manner similar to that produced by Apc+/− mice 
lacking the Lect2 gene. iNKTs are a specific population of immune 
T cells that are particularly enriched in the liver (31). There is compel-
luding evidence that iNKT cells have an important role in tumor immu-
nosurveillance in mice (32). The CD4+ iNKT cell subset appears to be 
responsible for the main antitumoral resistance through its produc-
tion of IFN-γ. The cytokine profile of CD4+ NKTs in humans indi-
cates that they might have a similar role (22, 33, 34). iNKT cells from 
patients with cancer have been shown to produce less IFN-γ than 
iNKT cells from healthy individuals (35, 36). Our results correlated 
with these observations, since genetic deletion of the iNKT cells in 
Lpk-myc/Ja18−/− mice led to a stronger progression of β-catenin–
activated tumors. Our results provide some clues to explain how iNKTs 
exert their antitumoral properties. By dampening the inflammatory 
response (adequate immune response) and by controlling the com-
position of the inflammatory milieu through immunosuppressive 
effectors, iNKTs might prevent the tumor microenvironment from 
becoming permissive to the metastatic process. We found that the 
number of a subpopulation of immature inflammatory macrophages 
expressing F4/80+ CD11b+ Gr1+ was higher in iNKT-depleted Apc+/− 
livers compared with the livers of Apc+/− mice treated with control 
antibodies. These inflammatory macrophages were recently identi-
fied in inflammation-induced colorectal tumorigenesis and were 
reported to be a major source of tumor-promoting factors (37).

Another important implication of our results is that β-catenin 
signaling appears to determine the metastatic spread of β-catenin–
mutated HCCs by controlling the level of inflammation. Genetic 
deletion of either Lect2 or the iNKT cells in Lpk-myc+ mice caused 
β-catenin–induced HCCs to progress into high-grade tumors, and 
in almost half of mice, these produced lung metastases. As both 
Lect2 and the iNKT cells displayed antiinflammatory properties, 
these results highlight the critical role of the magnitude of the 
inflammatory response as a driving force in cancer metastasis. The 
mechanisms involved need further investigation, but 2 of the che-
mokines that were upregulated in Lect2-deficient mice, CXCL1 and 
CXCL12, have already been implicated in metastasis (38, 39).

Finally, our data are sharply relevant to human HCC patho-
genesis. Constitutive activations of NF-κB and STAT3 have been 
described in human HCCs (40). Although the β-catenin genetic 
status of these tumors was not determined, these authors found 
an inverse relationship between NF-κB and STAT3 and also found 
that the majority of STAT3-positive HCCs were negative for 
NF-κB activation. NF-κB–positive tumors were also found to be 
less aggressive than the STAT3-positive tumors (40). Our data 
showing that β-catenin–activated tumors, as well as those in the 
livers of tamoxifen-treated Apc+/− mice, displayed NF-κB pathway 
activation, but not STAT3 pathway activation, are in perfect 
correlation with the human study (40). In addition, constitutive acti-
vation of the STAT3 pathway leads to the development of benign 
adrenomas that progress to HCC only when it is combined with 
β-catenin–activating mutations (41). This observation reinforces 
our results that oncogenic β-catenin activation did not result in 
constitutive STAT3 activation, and may explain why β-catenin 
gain-of-function mutations are required for the progression of 
STAT3-activated liver adenoma to HCC. Finally, since the immune 
response in the presence of Lect2 retained antitumor activity, our 
results suggest that the particular inflammatory program induced 
by β-catenin that results in low-level inflammation may be part 
of the reason why β-catenin–activated human HCCs belong to a 
subclass of HCCs with rather better prognoses than other HCCs 
(see Figure 9). A second point is that, in a previous study, we found 
that Lect2 expression levels in β-catenin–activated human HCCs 
 inversely correlated with the differentiation status of the tumor 
(23). Consistent with our present data, Lect2 transcripts were 
found to be downregulated in HCC patients with vascular inva-
sion, again suggesting that Lect2 has tumor suppressor functions 
(26). The reasons that Lect2 is downregulated in human HCCs 
is still unknown, but the results of a recent study indicate that it 
could be linked to an epigenetic mechanism (42). In their investi-
gation of the role of a methyl-binding domain protein (Mbd2) in 
Wnt signaling, the authors found that the Lect2 gene was the only 
β-catenin target gene that was upregulated in an Mbd2 deficiency 
context. All other β-catenin target genes whose expression was 
modified in Mbd2 deficiency were downregulated (42).

Our data identified inflammation as a new player of β-catenin–
induced liver tumorigenesis and showed that oncogenic β-catenin 
signaling constructed a permissive inflammatory environment 
that had an impact on HCC development. Lect2 and iNKT cells 
are potentially new targets in immunotherapeutic approaches to 
the treatment of liver cancer.

Methods

Mice. All mice were kept in well-controlled animal housing facilities 
and had free access to tap water and food pellets. Mice capable of an 
inducible inactivation of the Apc gene in the hepatocytes have been described 
previously (14, 15). Briefly, mice carrying the Apc gene flanked by loxP 
sequences were crossed with transthyretin TTR-Cre-Tam mice (43) to 
generate Apc+/− (Apclox/lox, TTR-Cre-Tam) and control mice (Apclox/lox, Cre 
negative). Apc−/− mutant mice were bred on a C57BL/6 background for at 
least 6 generations before the different strains were crossed. L-type pyru-
vate kinase Lpk-myc+ transgenic mice, which express the oncogene c-myc 
in the liver under the control of rat L-PK regulatory regions and develop 
multifocal HCCs, were bred on a C57BL/6 background for at least 8 gen-
erations before being crossed (9). Lect2-deficient mice (Lect2−/−) were 
provided by S. Yamagoe (27) and were backcrossed for 10 generations on a 
C57BL/6 background. Apc−/− mice were crossed with Lect2−/− mice to gen-
erate Apc\(^{-/-}\)LECT2\(^{-/-}\) (Apc\(^{flox/flox}\), TTR-Cre-Tam, LECT2\(^{-/-}\)) and Apc\(^{-/-}\) (Apc\(^{flox/flox}\), TTR-Cre-Tam, LECT2\(^{+/+}\)). All 7- to 9-week-old mice received a single i.p. injection of 1.5 mg of tamoxifen (MP Biomedicals).

Lpk-myc\(^{+}\) mice were crossed with LECT2\(^{-/-}\) mice to generate double Lpk-myc\(^{+}\)LECT2\(^{-/-}\) and control single Lpk-myc\(^{+}\) mice (Lpk-myc\(^{LECT2+/+}\)). Lpk-myc\(^{+}\) mice were crossed with J\(\alpha\)18\(^{-/-}\) (deficient in iNKTs and backcrossed for 13 generations on a C57BL/6 background) mice (29) to generate double Lpk-myc\(^{+}\)J\(\alpha\)18\(^{-/-}\) and control single Lpk-myc\(^{+}\) mice (Lpk-myc\(^{J\alpha\alpha 18+/+}\)). Tumor development was studied at 12 months in control single Lpk-myc\(^{+}\) and double Lpk-myc\(^{+}\)LECT2\(^{-/-}\) or Lpk-myc\(^{+}\)J\(\alpha\)18\(^{-/-}\) mice. Only littermates were used to constitute homogeneous groups of mice.

Nonparenchymal liver cell preparation. Livers were harvested and then perfused with 1x HBSS containing 10 mM HEPES to remove circulating blood cells. The liver was passed through a stainless steel mesh in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 2% heat-inactivated FCS (JRH Biosciences), 5 mM HEPES, 2 mM GlutaMAX (Invitrogen; Life Technologies), 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 \(\mu\)g/ml streptomycin, and 5 \(\times\)10\(^{-5}\) M \(\beta\)-mercaptoethanol (Sigma-Aldrich). The liver cell suspension was collected, and parenchymal cells were separated from NPCs by centrifugation for 2 minutes at 48 g. The supernatant containing the NPCs was collected and centrifuged for 10 minutes at 440 g. The pellet was then resuspended in 40% percoll diluted in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 2% FCS, layered onto 80% percoll, and centrifuged for 15 minutes at room temperature at 780 g. The NPC fraction was collected at the interface (40%/80% percoll), and the cells were spun down twice in ice-cold PBS. Viable NPCs were counted by a trypan blue exclusion method, resuspended in serum-containing medium, and stored on ice until further use.

Figure 7
iNKTs display antiinflammatory properties during \(\beta\)-catenin–induced liver inflammation. (A) FACS analysis of NPCs was performed to assess iNKT cell depletion in controls and Apc\(^{-/-}\) livers after treatment with anti-NK1.1 or isotype-matched irrelevant antibodies 8 days after tamoxifen injection. (B) Paraffin-embedded sections obtained from controls and Apc\(^{-/-}\) livers after treatment with anti-NK1.1 or isotype-matched irrelevant antibodies were stained with H&E. Scale bars: 50 \(\mu\)m. (C) Serum transaminase levels (ALAT) were measured in controls and Apc\(^{+-}\) mice after treatment with anti-NK1.1 or isotype-matched antibodies. (D) The sub-populations of F4/80\(^{+}\)CD11b\(^{+}\)Ly6C\(^{+}\) immature macrophages were quantified in the livers of Apc\(^{-/-}\) and control mice treated with either anti-NK1.1 or isotype-matched irrelevant 8 days after tamoxifen injection. Dot plots show representative FACS analyses of immature macrophages, gated on F4/80\(^{+}\) cells. The numbers indicate the percentage of these cells among the F4/80\(^{+}\) cells. All data are representative of 3 independent experiments with 4 mice/group. **P < 0.01 (controls vs. Apc\(^{-/-}\)); &P < 0.01 (Apc\(^{-/-}\) + isotype-matched Abs versus Apc\(^{-/-}\) + anti-NK1.1 Abs).
Flow cytometry. Flow cytometry was performed on a FACSCalibur flow cytometer (BD Biosciences). Cells were incubated with anti-CD16/CD32 antibodies (Fcγ III/II receptor, clone 2.4G2) prior to staining with labeled antibodies (or isotype-matched control immunoglobulin) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. NPCs were identified according to cell surface expression of Ly5 to calculate the proportion and absolute numbers of immune cell subpopulations. We used the following monoclonal antibodies: anti-TCR-β chain, anti-NK1.1, anti-CD19, anti-Gr1, anti-CD4, anti-CD8, anti-CD69, anti-Ly6C, anti-Ly6G, anti-B220, and anti-CD45/Ly5, that were purchased from BD Biosciences and Pharmingen.

Figure 8
iNKTs and LECT2 are critical cellular and molecular players controlling tumoral progression of β-catenin-dependent liver tumorigenesis. (A) 12-month-old Lpk-myc+ (n = 12) and Lpk-myc+LECT2+ (n = 11) or Lpk-myc+Jα18−/− (n = 7) mice were sacrificed, and the number of tumor nodules was evaluated (arrows). (B) The graph represents the mean number of tumors for each group of mice. (C–H) Paraffin-embedded sections obtained from Lpk-myc+, Lpk-myc+LECT2+, and Lpk-myc+Jα18−/− livers were stained with H&E, and the grade of differentiation for each tumor nodule was assessed. Scale bars: 1 mm (C–E); 120 μm (F); 60 μm (G and H). (I) The graph shows the mean number of tumors for each group of mice, according to their degree of differentiation. (J) Immunohistochemistry of Ki67 expression was performed on paraffin-embedded sections obtained from Lpk-myc+ and Lpk-myc+LECT2+ or Lpk-myc+Jα18−/− livers to evaluate the proliferation rate of the tumor nodules. Scale bars: 50 μm. (K) The graph represents the mean number of Ki67+ cells for each group of mice. (L) Paraffin-embedded sections obtained from Lpk-myc+, Lpk-myc+LECT2+, and Lpk-myc+Jα18−/− lungs were stained with H&E. Scale bars: 4 mm (left); 200 μm (middle, right).
Anti-F4/80-APC was purchased from eBiosciences. CD1d–α-galactosylceramide–loaded (CD1d–α-GalCer-loaded) tetramers (APC-labeled) were provided by the NIH Tetramer Core Facility. CELLQuest software (BD Biosciences) was used for analyzing flow cytometry data.

**Intracellular staining.** Liver NPCs were stimulated for 4 hours with 110–8 M of PMA (Sigma-Aldrich), 10–6 M ionomycin, and 10 μg/ml brefeldin A. Cells were then washed and incubated with CD1d–α-GalCer tetramer-APC, anti–NK1.1-PE, anti–β-TCR-FITC, and anti–CD4-PerCp. For intracellular staining, cells were fixed with 4% PFA, washed, and subsequently permeabilized using the Cytofix/Cytoperm BD kit following the manufacturer’s instructions, and then further incubated with anti–IL-4 or anti–IFN-γ (both Alexa Fluor 488 or PE conjugated) (BD Biosciences). The cells were washed and analyzed on a FACSCalibur flow cytometer using CellQuest software (BD Biosciences).

**Cell cycle analysis.** Mice were injected i.p. with 50 mg/kg of BrdU (Sigma-Aldrich) and were killed 2 hours later. Incorporation of BrdU was detected intracellularly using anti-BrdU FITC or APC-conjugated antibodies according to the manufacturer’s recommendations (BD Biosciences).

**ConA-induced liver injury.** Mice were injected i.v. with 15 mg/kg ConA (Sigma-Aldrich) 8 days after Wnt/β-catenin triggering. Mice were killed 6–10 hours after ConA injection.

**EMSAs.** Nuclear extracts were prepared and analyzed for DNA binding activity using the HIV-LTR tandem B oligonucleotide as a B probe as previously described (44). For supershift assays, nuclear extracts were incubated with specific antibodies for 30 minutes on ice before incubation with the labeled probe.

**Histological studies.** Liver biopsies were fixed and embedded in paraffin prior to staining with H&E. Immunostaining of glutamine synthase to monitor β-catenin activation was performed as previously described (14). Immunostaining of Ki67 (Novocastra) to monitor the proliferation of hepatocytes was performed as previously described (45).

**Serum transaminase levels.** Blood was collected and transaminase levels were measured by a standard method as previously described (46).

**RNA extraction and analyses.** Total RNAs were extracted with RNeasy kit (QIAGEN) and real-time qPCR analysis was performed using Taqman (Roche Diagnostics) as previously described (41). Gene expression was analyzed using TaqMan gene expression assays (Applied Biosystems) as described in Supplemental Table 1. Gene expression was normalized to ribosomal 18S RNA (41). The level of expression of all the inflammatory genes in the different mouse liver samples was calculated with the 2ΔΔCT method and compared with the expression level of the corresponding gene in control liver tissues and expressed as an n-fold ratio.
Cytokine assays. The chemokine CCL5 was quantified in mouse sera by Luminex technology and the Bio-Rad 9 Plex Kit, according to the manufacturer’s recommendations (Bio-Rad).

Western blotting. Immunoblot analyses of liver protein extracts were performed using anti-phospho-STAT3, anti-STAT3, and anti-β-actin antibodies (Cell Signaling).

Study approval. All reported animal procedures were approved by the French Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and by the Directeur général des Services Vétérinaires of the Préfecture de Police de Paris (Paris, France).

Statistics. Data are expressed as mean ± SD. Statistical significance was determined by 2-way ANOVA followed by a Student’s t test. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
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