LXRβ is required for glucocorticoid-induced hyperglycemia and hepatosteatosis in mice
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Although widely prescribed for their potent antiinflammatory actions, glucocorticoid drugs (e.g., dexamethasone) cause undesirable side effects that are features of the metabolic syndrome, including hyperglycemia, fatty liver, insulin resistance, and type II diabetes. Liver x receptors (LXRs) are nuclear receptors that respond to cholesterol metabolites and regulate the expression of a subset of glucocorticoid target genes. Here, we show LXRβ is required to mediate many of the negative side effects of glucocorticoids. Mice lacking LXRβ (but not LXRα) were resistant to dexamethasone-induced hyperglycemia, hyperinsulinemia, and hepatic steatosis, but remained sensitive to dexamethasone-dependent repression of the immune system. In vivo, LXRα/β knockout mice demonstrated reduced dexamethasone-induced expression of the key hepatic gluconeogenic gene, phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEPCK). In perfused liver and primary mouse hepatocytes, LXRβ was required for glucocorticoid-induced recruitment of the glucocorticoid receptor to the PEPCK promoter. These findings suggest a new avenue for the design of safer glucocorticoid drugs through a mechanism of selective glucocorticoid receptor transactivation.

Introduction
Glucocorticoids (GCs) and their synthetic analogs are among the most widely prescribed drugs in the world (1). GC drugs have profound antiinflammatory and immunosuppressive properties that are critical for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis, cerebral edema, allergic reactions, asthma, and certain types of cancer. They are also employed as potent immunosuppressants to prevent organ transplant rejection and graft-versus-host disease (2). Unfortunately, the development of major metabolic side effects remains the key limitation for the long-term therapeutic use of GCs. Common side effects requiring dosage adjustment or cessation of treatment include diabetes, hypertension, osteoporosis, and muscle wasting (3).

GCs were first recognized as important determinants in diabetes when it was found that adrenalectomy of diabetic animals decreased hyperglycemia (4). Since then, there have been numerous reports linking elevated GCs with the metabolic syndrome, obesity, and insulin resistance (5–9). Patients with Cushing syndrome (a rare condition characterized by elevated endogenous GCs) develop an abnormal fat distribution, insulin resistance, hyperglycemia, and hypertension in 80%–90% of cases (10). Fatty liver (hepatic steatosis) has also been characterized in Cushing patients (11), and several studies have found that hepatic steatosis is an independent risk factor for the development of insulin resistance (12–15).

The role of endogenous GCs is to supply the body with enough glucose to survive under conditions of acute stress or reduced glucose intake. The physiologic response to stress is mediated by the release of cortisol (in humans) or corticosterone (in rodents) into the bloodstream. The increase in GC hormone then acts on multiple metabolic tissues via its receptor to increase circulating glucose levels.

The mechanisms by which GCs achieve this effect are multifactorial and involve the following: (a) increased hepatic glucose production (gluconeogenesis) (16), (b) decreased peripheral glucose uptake into muscle and adipose (17, 18), (c) breakdown of muscle and fat to provide additional substrates for glucose production (19, 20), and (d) inhibition of insulin release from pancreatic β cells (9, 21). The stress response is intended to be of short duration to reset the balance of plasma glucose. If prolonged GC exposure is present (as with therapeutic use of GCs or in Cushing syndrome), insulin secretion will increase to compensate for the excess glucose and ultimately result in severe insulin resistance and metabolic dysfunction.

The GC receptor (GR) and liver X receptors LXRα (NR1H3) and LXRβ (NR1H2) are members of the nuclear receptor superfamily of transcription factors that regulate distinct but overlapping transcriptional programs (22, 23). GR and LXRβ are expressed at relatively high levels throughout the body, whereas LXRα expression is highest in liver, kidney, intestine, adipose, and adrenal gland (24). GCs act by binding to GR in the cytoplasm, causing translocation of the ligand-bound receptor to the nucleus. There, GR homodimerizes and activates the carbohydrate metabolic pathway through the direct binding and activation of GR response elements in key gluconeogenic enzymes such as phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEPCK) and glucose-6-phosphatase (G6Pase) (25, 26). In addition, activation of GR represses the expression of several genes involved in inflammation (e.g., IL-1, TNF-α, IL-6, and COX-2), a function that accounts for the widespread therapeutic use of synthetic GCs. The endogenous ligands of LXRs are oxidative metabolites of cholesterol, also called oxysterols. As such, the LXRs are known for their important role in modulating whole-body cholesterol homeostasis by acting as sensors of the intracellular cholesterol load (27, 28). Upon activation, LXR increases the expression of an array of genes involved in cholesterol efflux (29–31), cholesterol metabolism (32, 33), and fatty acid synthesis (34). Interestingly, like GR, LXRs have potent
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antiinflammatory actions that are due to repression of a number of overlapping target genes (35). However, in contrast to GR, activation of LXRα has been shown to have beneficial effects in diabetic rodent models by improving glucose control (36-38). This improvement has been attributed to inhibition of hepatic PEPCK (37, 38), G6Pc (38, 39), and 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase (40); and increased skeletal GLUT4 expression (38, 41), collectively resulting in decreased circulating glucose.

Taken together, the studies above highlight striking parallels of exogenous GC administration. Here, we demonstrate that LXRα/β mice are hypercorticosteronemic but do not exhibit symptoms of Cushing disease. (A) Circulating corticosterone from WT and Lxrαβ−/− mice (n = 4) measured at the peak of the circadian rhythm (10 pm, 2 hours after lights off). Plasma was analyzed for corticosterone level by LC/MS/MS. (B) Size comparison of 15-month-old male WT and Lxrαβ−/− mice. The size differential between the genotypes is most pronounced after 1 year of age. (C) Body weights of WT (n = 17) and Lxrαβ−/− (n = 36) mice at 6 months of age. Body fat (D) and plasma glucose (E) levels from WT and LXR-null mice measured by NMR minispec and tail nick, respectively (average ± SEM, n = 10). Mice used in C–E were male mice (6 month) fed a chow diet. *P < 0.05 versus WT (Student’s t test).

Results
Lxrαβ−/− mice exhibit hypercorticosteronemia without Cushing disease symptoms. We previously reported that Lxrαβ−/− mice develop adrenomegaly and have 2-fold higher basal morning corticosterone levels in plasma compared with WT mice (42). These basal differences persist throughout the circadian cycle because corticosterone levels remained 2-fold higher in Lxrαβ−/− mice compared with WT mice in the evening (Figure 1A). In agreement with our previous work (43), Lxrαβ−/− mice were lean (Figure 1, B and C) and had a percentage of fat similar to that of WT mice (Figure 1D). In addition, Lxrαβ−/− mice had lower fasting glucose levels compared with WT mice (Figure 1E).

Lxrαβ−/− mice are resistant to GC-induced hyperglycemia. To determine whether Lxrαβ−/− mice are resistant to the metabolic effects of elevated GCs, we treated WT and Lxrαβ−/− mice with dexamethasone (DEX) or vehicle for 5 days and sacrificed the animals on day 6. DEX was chosen for these studies because it is a potent GR agonist and has 20-fold higher basal morning corticosterone than vehicle, whereas DEX-treated Lxrαβ−/− mice developed hyperglycemia (3-fold increase in circulating glucose compared with vehicle), whereas DEX-treated Lxrαβ−/− mice were

Figure 1

Figure 2

Lxrαβ−/− mice display dissociated GC effects. WT and Lxrαβ−/− mice (6 months, male) were treated with 2.5 mg/kg DEX or vehicle (sesame oil) twice a day for 5 days (A and B) or 14 days (C). Mice were sacrificed by decapitation at lights on in the fed state. Trunk blood was collected, and organs were harvested. Plasma glucose (A) and plasma corticosterone (B) levels were measured using commercial kits. (C) The spleen weight was used as a marker of lymphoid organ atrophy. Data shown represent average ± SEM, n = 4–6. *P < 0.05 by ANOVA and Student-Newman-Keuls.
refractory to this effect (Figure 2A). Notably, Lxrα/β−/− mice were still sensitive to feedback repression of corticosterone by DEX (Figure 2B). Spleen weight was measured as a secondary marker of immune function, since lymphoid organ atrophy is commonly observed after chronic GC treatment. Both WT and Lxrα/β−/− mice exhibited significant atrophy of the spleen with chronic DEX treatment (Figure 2C). Thus, the Lxrα/β−/− mice represent a unique animal model that exhibits dissociated GC actions (i.e., resistance to the glycemic response of DEX but sensitive to feedback repression of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis and lymphoid organ atrophy).

Figure 3
Insulin tolerance is maintained in DEX-treated Lxrα/β−/− mice. (A and B) WT and Lxrα/β−/− mice were treated with DEX or vehicle for 5 days. Plasma insulin (A) and free fatty acids (B) were measured in the fed state using commercially available kits. (C–E) Mice were treated with DEX or vehicle for 7 days and fasted for 4 hours prior to performing the OGGT (C, D) and ITT (E) tests. (C and D) Mice were gavaged with 20% D-glucose (2 g/kg) and blood sampled from a tail nick at different time points. Plasma glucose (C) was assayed using a colorimetric kit, and plasma insulin (D) from the glucose tolerance test was assayed by RIA. (E) Insulin was injected i.p. at 0.75 U/kg, and plasma was assayed at regular intervals to measure glucose. The definitions of circles and squares in part C also apply to parts D and E. Mice were injected with saline or insulin (1.5 U/kg, i.p.) 5 minutes prior to sacrifice. Liver extracts (20 μg) were immunoblotted using antibodies against p-Akt and normalized against total Akt (F). Samples were run on the same gel but were noncontiguous. Data shown are average ± SEM. n = 4 (A and B); n = 7 (C and D); n = 6–7 (E). *P < 0.05, ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls. In line graphs C–E, asterisks indicate significant difference from Lxrα/β−/− mice with the same treatment regimen. #P < 0.05, ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls, significantly different from vehicle-treated control of same genotype.
**Lxrαβ−/− mice are refractory to DEX-induced insulin intolerance.** To further investigate the role of LXRs in the DEX-mediated effects on carbohydrate metabolism, circulating insulin levels and insulin tolerance were assessed. As expected, insulin levels increased dramatically in response to DEX-induced hyperglycemia in WT and Lxrαβ−/− mice (Figure 3A). However, insulin levels remained lower in Lxrαβ−/− mice treated with DEX relative to WT mice. As previously reported (43), Lxrαβ−/− mice also had lower basal levels of insulin compared with WT mice (Figure 3A). There were no significant differences in plasma free fatty acids between WT and Lxrαβ−/− mice treated with DEX (Figure 3B), consistent with previous studies (44). Glucose and insulin tolerance tests (ITTs) were performed on WT and Lxrαβ−/− mice after a 7-day treatment with DEX. A trend toward decreased glucose tolerance was seen in both WT and Lxrαβ−/− mice treated with DEX compared with mice that received vehicle (Figure 3C), consistent with the known effects of DEX on decreasing peripheral insulin sensitivity (9). Although there was no significant difference in plasma glucose between Lxrαβ−/− and WT mice during the glucose tolerance test, plasma insulin levels were markedly higher in WT animals treated with DEX (Figure 3D), demonstrating that LXR-null mice required less absolute levels of insulin to achieve a similar level of glucose excursion. Furthermore, under both basal and chronic DEX treatment, there was significant preservation of insulin tolerance in the Lxrαβ−/− mice compared with WT mice, especially at the early time points (15 and 30 minutes) but also over the entire time course as assessed by AUC (Figure 3E). This suggested that the enhanced insulin tolerance in the Lxrαβ−/− mice favorably contributes to the prevention of hyperglycemia under chronic DEX treatment. In support of this conclusion, we noted that the level of hepatic phosphorylated Akt (normalized to total Akt) was enhanced 2.5-fold in Lxrαβ−/− mice relative to WT mice after 5 minutes exposure to i.p. insulin (Figure 3F). Taken together, these data demonstrate that Lxrαβ−/− mice remain more insulin tolerant compared with WT mice when challenged with DEX, despite having similar plasma FFA levels.

**Lxrαβ−/− mice differentially activate GR target genes in liver.** To determine whether the selective effects of DEX observed in the Lxrαβ−/− mice were due to differential expression or activation of GR, DEX and GR levels were measured in the liver. There was no significant difference in the hepatic exposure to DEX in WT and Lxrαβ−/− as measured by liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC/MS/MS) (Figure 4A). In addition, the translocation of GR from the cytoplasm to the nucleus in response to ligand was intact, since GR nuclear protein levels remained similar in livers of WT and Lxrαβ−/− mice treated with DEX (Figure 4B). Furthermore, GR mRNA levels were unchanged between WT and Lxrαβ−/−, and DEX treatment decreased GR mRNA significantly in the liver of both genotypes (Figure 4C). Consistent with these findings, the classic GR target gene tyrosine aminotransferase (TAT) (45, 46) was induced to a similar extent in WT and Lxrαβ−/− mice (Figure 4C). Remarkably, expression of PEPCK and G6Pc was differentially induced in WT versus Lxrαβ−/− animals. In agreement with known regulation by GR, DEX increased PEPCK expression 3-fold and G6Pc expression 1.8-fold in WT mice but not in Lxrαβ−/− mice (Figure 4D). Analysis of PGC-1α and FOXO1, 2 other known transcriptional regulators of PEPCK and G6Pc, showed DEX-dependent increases in their expression in WT mice (Figure 4D). In Lxrαβ−/− mice, DEX still induced expression of FOXO1 and PGC-1α, but this induction was diminished significantly compared with WT mice. Together, these data point to a role for LXR in modulating gene-selective GR activation.

**Lxrβ−/− mice are protected from GC-induced hyperglycemia and hyperinsulinemia.** To explore the contribution of the 2 LXR proteins to the selective hepatic GC resistance, Lxrα−/− and Lxrβ−/− mice were subjected to chronic DEX administration and phenotypic characterization was performed. LXRαβ is regarded as the most active LXR isoform in the liver because of its high expression and critical role in the regulation of lipogenesis and cholesterol homeostasis (33, 47–50). Interestingly, after 14 days of DEX treatment, resistance to hyperglycemia was only observed in Lxrβ−/− and Lxrαβ−/− mice, and not in WT or Lxrα−/− mice (Figure 5A). Consistent with these data, the WT and Lxrα−/− mice became severely hyperinsulinemic after DEX treatment (Figure 5B). In oral glucose tolerance tests (OGTTs), Lxrα−/− mice were less...
glucose tolerant after DEX treatment relative to vehicle (measured by the AUC), whereas Lxrβ−/− mice actually had improved glucose tolerance after DEX (Supplemental Figure 1A; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI41681DS1). Furthermore, the corresponding insulin levels were dramatically increased in the Lxrα−/− mice treated with DEX (Supplemental Figure 1B), reminiscent of the response seen in WT mice (Figure 3D). In contrast, this insulin response was severely dampened after a glucose bolus in the DEX-treated Lxrβ−/− mice (Supplemental Figure 1B). These data suggest that, similar to the Lxrα/β−/− mice, the Lxrβ−/− mice require less absolute levels of insulin to achieve a similar level of glucose excursion. In addition, the insulin data are consistent with a previous report that demonstrated that islets isolated from Lxrβ−/− mice exhibited decreased glucose-stimulated insulin secretion (51).

Taken together, the glucose and insulin profiles after OGTT suggest that the Lxrα−/− mice are potentially more susceptible to developing GC-induced hyperglycemia than WT mice. Consistent with this notion, activation of LXRα by synthetic LXR agonists has been shown to reverse hyperglycemia in mouse models of insulin resistance (37, 38). Therefore, loss of the protective effect of LXRα under these conditions would be expected to result in an exacerbation of the GC effect.

Lxrβ−/− mice are protected from GC-induced hepatic steatosis. The development of insulin resistance is highly correlated with the presence of fatty liver. We explored whether the preserved glucose tolerance in the Lxrβ−/− and insulin tolerance in the Lxrα/β−/− mice after DEX treatment correlated with decreased fat accumulation in the liver. Histologic examination of liver sections was performed with oil red O staining to detect neutral lipids. Significant lipid droplets were observed in livers of WT and Lxrα−/− mice treated with DEX, whereas no change was detected in the liver histology of Lxrβ−/− and Lxrα/β−/− mice between vehicle and DEX treatment (Figure 5C). Liver triglyceride levels were significantly increased with DEX in all genotypes except Lxrβ−/−, although the same trend was observed in this genotype (Figure 5D). These data correlate strongly with the insulin levels measured after DEX treatment (Figure 5B), supporting the essential role of insulin in the development of GC-induced hepatic steatosis (52, 53).

Recruitment of GR to its target genes is decreased in livers of Lxrβ−/− and Lxrα/β−/− mice. To determine whether the requirement for LXRs in the GC regulation of PEPCK was autonomous to the liver, gene expression was investigated in primary mouse hepatocytes. PEPCK was selectively induced by DEX in WT and Lxrα−/− but not
Discussion

In this report, we detail the discovery of LXRβ as an important potentiating factor in GC-mediated hyperglycemia and hepatic steatosis. As discussed below, these findings are of both physiologic and pharmacologic interest. On the physiologic side, this work reveals an unexpected crosstalk between LXR and GC regulation of glucose and lipid metabolism. GCs potently upregulate hepatic glucose production under conditions of stress to protect the body from excessive nutrient depletion. This outcome is achieved through the upregulation of numerous genes in the gluconeogenic pathway that include PEPCK and G6Pc. PEPCK is considered to be the rate-limiting enzyme in gluconeogenesis and its expression is directly controlled by GR. The combination of GCs and LXRα/β results in a synergistic elevation of PEPCK expression, which increases hepatic gluconeogenesis.

In the immune system, GCs have long been known to regulate inflammation. DEX dramatically decreases cytokine expression in response to LPS. However, in LXR-null mice, the response to LPS is more robust and more severe than WT. This finding indicates that the LXR isoforms play a role in the immune system.

To address the mechanism of these promoter-specific actions of GCs, we next investigated promoter occupancy by in vivo chromatin immunoprecipitation of GR bound to the GC response element (GRE) of either the PEPCK or the TAT gene promoters. As expected, there was a robust recruitment of GR to both the PEPCK and TAT GREs in response to DEX treatment in WT mice (Figure 6, A and B). Remarkably, GR occupancy of the PEPCK promoter, but not the TAT promoter, was dramatically decreased in the LXRβ−/− and Lxrαβ−/− primary hepatocytes (Figure 6A). In contrast, the induction of TAT was similar in all genotypes. Two additional GCs were tested (cortisol and triamcinolone acetonide) in primary hepatocytes and showed the same result, demonstrating that this effect is not DEX specific but represents a general GC-mediated effect (data not shown). These data indicate a hepatocyte autonomous role for LXR in contributing to GC-induced hepatic gluconeogenesis.

To address the mechanism of these promoter-specific actions of GCs, we next investigated promoter occupancy by in vivo chromatin immunoprecipitation of GR bound to the GC response element (GRE) of either the PEPCK or the TAT gene promoters. As expected, there was a robust recruitment of GR to both the PEPCK and TAT GREs in response to DEX treatment in WT mice (Figure 6, A and B). Remarkably, GR occupancy of the PEPCK promoter, but not the TAT promoter, was dramatically decreased in the LXRβ−/− and Lxrαβ−/− primary hepatocytes (Figure 6A). In contrast, the induction of TAT was similar in all genotypes. Two additional GCs were tested (cortisol and triamcinolone acetonide) in primary hepatocytes and showed the same result, demonstrating that this effect is not DEX specific but represents a general GC-mediated effect (data not shown). These data indicate a hepatocyte autonomous role for LXR in contributing to GC-induced hepatic gluconeogenesis.

The immunosuppressive effects of DEX are maintained in LXR-null mice. GCs are widely used therapeutically for their immunosuppressive and antiinflammatory effects. Since hepatic steatosis and hyperglycemia were differentially induced in the LXRβ−/− mice in response to DEX, it was of interest to determine whether the antiinflammatory response to GCs was preserved. Examination of the lymphoid tissues and white blood cells from LXR-null mice treated with DEX for 14 days confirmed that the loss of LXRβ does not influence the ability of GCs to suppress the immune response (as previously shown for Lxrαβ−/− mice in Figure 1C). Spleen atrophy was apparent in both Lxrα−/− and Lxrβ−/− mice (Figure 7A). A differential white cell count definitively demonstrated that lymphocyte extravasation and neutrophil demargination were present with DEX treatment regardless of the LXR genotype (Figure 7B). To directly assess the molecular effects of DEX on the immune system, we measured the mRNA expression of cytokines from thiglycolate-elicited peritoneal macrophages. As expected, after stimulation with LPS, there was a significant increase in the expression of key proinflammatory cytokines such as IL-1β, IL-6, and TNF-α from the primary macrophages (Figure 7C). The response to LPS in the Lxrα−/−, Lxrβ−/−, and Lxrαβ−/− macrophages was attenuated compared with that of the WT mice. These data are consistent with both LXR isoforms playing a role in the immune response and support previous reports that Lxrαβ−/− mice are more susceptible to infection than WT mice (54–56). In addition, pretreatment with DEX dramatically decreased the cytokine expression in response to LPS for all LXR genotypes (Figure 7C). Therefore, while there is an LXRβ-dependent GC response in the liver on glucose metabolism, the response to GCs in the immune system appears to be independent of LXR.
as such the molecular factors controlling the expression of this gene have been extensively studied (25, 57–62). PEPCK transcription is strongly activated by GCs and inhibited by insulin (63). In addition to GR, numerous proteins are bound to accessory factor sites on the PEPCK promoter either directly or indirectly and are essential for maximal GC response including PPARα (64), FOXO1 (65), and PGC1α (65). We found the induction of liver PEPCK, FOXO1, and PGC1α after DEX treatment was significantly attenuated in the Lxrα/β-/- mice compared with WT mice. In addition, Lxrβ-/- mice remained more insulin tolerant than WT mice after chronic DEX treatment determined from basal insulin values and an ITT, and this effect was specifically due to loss of LXRβ. From experiments performed in primary hepatocytes, we found that the selective transcriptional effect of GCs was cell autonomous and required LXRβ despite the fact that the enhanced insulin tolerance of the Lxrα/β-/- mice would also contribute to protection against GC-induced hyperglycemia. Together, these data suggest that LXRβ in the liver contributes selectively to the regulation of key gluconeogenic enzymes including PEPCK.

Nonalcoholic fatty liver results from an imbalance of fat metabolism in the liver. This can arise if there is excessive fatty acid uptake or synthesis, decreased fatty acid oxidation, or decreased secretion of VLDL particles. GCs are known to contribute to fatty liver production through a combination of increased fatty acid synthesis and decreased fatty acid β oxidation (66). The effect of GCs on promoting fatty acid synthesis has been shown to require the presence of insulin. In fact, GCs alone, in the absence of insulin, do not promote fatty acid synthesis (53). However, when combined with insulin, GCs synergistically increase de novo lipogenesis (52). While extensive studies have been performed to understand the molecular regulation of gluconeogenesis by GCs (61), the detailed molecular mechanisms by which GCs induce fatty liver have not been well characterized (9). It was recently reported that downregulation of the transcriptional repressor HES1 by GR is important in promoting fatty liver because reconstitution of HES1 promoted lipolysis through the ectopic expression of pancreatic lipases (67). These same lipases were recently reported to be upregulated by PPARα activation (68). Our data suggest that this mechanism is not involved in the resistance to fatty liver seen in the Lxrβ-/- mice since the expression of HES1 was not significantly altered by DEX and we were unable to detect the expression of these pancreatic lipases in our liver samples (Supplemental Figure 2 and data not shown). PPARγ, a key transcriptional regulator of fatty acid oxidation, has been found to be essential for DEX-mediated induction of hypertension and insulin resistance in Ldlr-/-/Pparα-/- animals, but its role in hepatic steatosis was not analyzed in that study (69). Here, we found that PPARα expression was unchanged between WT and Lxrα/β-/- mice and downstream target genes were not dramatically or differentially altered with DEX in WT and Lxrα/β-/- mice (Supplemental Figure 2). PPARγ has been recently implicated in hepatic steatosis through the regulation of fat-specific protein 27 (FSP27), a direct PPARγ target gene (70). In our study, PPARγ expression itself was not significantly changed by DEX in both WT and Lxrα/β-/- mice, whereas FSP27 was induced equally by DEX in WT and

Figure 7
Lxrβ-/- mice remain sensitive to the immunosuppressive effects of DEX. (A) WT, Lxrα-/-, Lxrβ-/-, and Lxrα/β-/- mice were treated with DEX (2.5 mg/kg, b.i.d.) for 14 days (by i.p. injection). The spleen was weighed at the time of sacrifice using an analytical balance. (B) Blood smears were obtained 6 days after initiating DEX treatment by tail nick. After staining, a differential white blood cell count was performed under ×40 magnification. B, basophils; E, eosinophils; N, neutrophils; L, lymphocytes; M, monocytes. (C) Thioglycolate-elicited peritoneal macrophages were treated with vehicle or 100 nM DEX (6 hours) followed by LPS stimulation (10 ng/ml) for an additional 18 hours. RNA was extracted, reverse transcribed, and analyzed for gene expression by real-time QPCR. Average ± SEM, n = 4–6 (A and B); average ± SD, n = 3 (C). *P < 0.05, Student’s t test for comparison of groups within 1 genotype.
To capitalize on the discovery that LXRβ is potentiating some of the negative metabolic effects of GCs, a detailed molecular mechanism for how selective transactivation occurs must be uncovered. While an improved insulin tolerance in LXRα/β-null mice would provide protection against DEX-induced hyperglycemia, the cell autonomous effect of PEPCk regulation in the primary hepatocytes suggests a more direct mechanism is involved. We have shown that the GC levels in the livers of WT and Lxrβ/β–/– mice treated with DEX are similar, yet there is differential regulation of GR target genes that points to a promoter-specific mechanism. To that end, we have shown that GR is differentially recruited to the PEPCk promoter in the absence of LXR. This effect is not due to a general decrease in recruitment of cofactors to the PEPCk promoter in the Lxrβ/β–/– mice since 2 other factors important for PEPCk activation (C/EBPβ and SRC-1) were similarly recruited (Supplemental Figure 3). Coimmunoprecipitation studies in HELC293 cells overexpressing GR and Flag-tagged LXRα or LXRβ uncovered an interaction between GR and each isoform of LXR (our unpublished observations). Therefore, this protein-protein interaction is unlikely to account for the LXRβ-specific mechanism demonstrated herein unless competition is occurring between the 2 receptors for binding to GR. Ongoing studies are currently being directed at understanding the basis for the selective recruitment of GR to the PEPCk promoter. In addition, it will be of interest to explore whether other detrimental effects of long-term GCs, including osteoporosis and muscle wasting, show LXR selectivity.

In summary, the discovery that the Lxrα/β–/– mice were hypercorticosteronemic without exhibiting Cushing-like symptoms prompted our investigation into whether the mice were resistant to the effects of GCs. Through the use of the potent synthetic ligand DEX, we discovered that Lxrα/β–/– mice were selectively resistant to some of the effects of GCs—most notably the metabolic effects—but were still sensitive to the immunosuppressive effects (Figure 8). Furthermore, we uncovered the liver as a key organ influencing the effect of LXRβ on GC-mediated induction of PEPCk. The data presented herein renew the optimism that a more selective GC agonist can be designed to provide exceptional antiinflammatory action without the development of negative metabolic effects.

Methods

Materials
DEX and dexamethasone-21-acetate were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. All solvents were HPLC grade from Caledon Laboratories.

Animal experiments. WT, Lxrα–/–, Lxrβ–/–, and Lxrα/β–/– mice (33, 34) were maintained on a mixed strain background (C57BL/6:129SvEv) and housed in a temperature and light-controlled environment. All mice used in the studies were male aged-matched between 3 and 8 months as indicated. The WT mice used in these studies were littermates derived from the original Lxrα/β–/– crosses. For all the experiments described herein, the mice were bred homozygously, changing breeder pairs every 4 months to avoid fertility problems in the Lxrα/β–/– mice. Mice were fed ad libitum the 2016S or 2018S diet from Harlan Teklad. To minimize the production of endogenous GCs from environmental stress, mice were sacrificed in the fed state (unless otherwise indicated) within 1 minute of initial handling by decapitation and within 2 hours after the start of the light cycle. Animals were treated with DEX or dexamethasone-21-acetate at 2.5 mg/kg in sesame oil (vehicle) twice daily for 5–7 days (s.c.) or 14 days (i.p.). From the different dosing regimens, it was determined that s.c. injections of DEX yielded higher intra-liver DEX levels compared with i.p. injections. There were only minor or insignificant changes in body weight after DEX treatment (Supplemental Table 1).
All animals were approved by the Faculty Advisory Committee on Animal Care at the University of Toronto and the Institutional Animal Care and Research Advisory Committee at the University of Texas Southwest Medical Center.

**Plasma analyses.** Trunk blood was collected in EDTA tubes on ice, and plasma was stored at −80°C. Insulin and corticosterone levels were measured by RIA (Millipore). Blood glucose was measured using a handheld glucometer (FreeStyle) or after separation of plasma using the enzymatic assay from Wako. Free fatty acids were measured from plasma using an enzymatic assay (Wako).

*Corticosterone and DEXLC/MS/MS analysis.** Plasma corticosterone (Figure 1A) and liver DEX levels were measured by LC/MS/MS. Plasma samples (100 μl) were spiked with internal standard and precipitated with 4 volumes of acetonitrile. After centrifugation, the supernatant was washed with 100 μl of saturated KCl and 100 μl of water. The GCs were extracted in 4 volumes of 6:4 MTBE/CH₂Cl₂ and evaporated to dryness. Samples were resuspended in 250 μl of methanol for analysis. To the approximately 200 mg of liver (same piece that was used for triglyceride analysis), 84 ng of the internal standard triamcinolone acetonide was added prior to homogenization. After washing and measurement of cholesterol and triglyceride levels, as described above, the remaining sample was dried under N₂ and resuspended in 500 μl of methanol for analysis. Then, 10 μl was injected onto the LC/MS/MS triple quadrupole instrument (Agilent Technologies) running in ESI positive ion mode. Samples were loaded onto a Zorbax C18 column (4.6 × 50 mm, 5 μm; Agilent) and run using a mobile phase of methanol (MeOH) and water, both containing 5 mM ammonium acetate, increasing from 20% MeOH to 60% MeOH over 12 minutes, then flushing with 100% MeOH for 2 minutes before returning to initial conditions. Positive ions [M+H]⁺ for corticosterone (m/z 347→329, RT 10.4 minutes), DEX (m/z 393→373, RT 10.1 minutes), and triamcinolone acetonide (m/z 435→415, RT 10.9 minutes) were monitored in multiple reaction monitoring mode.

**Glucose and insulin tolerance tests.** For the OGTT, mice were fasted for 4 hours before receiving an oral gavage of 20% D-glucose (Sigma-Aldrich) (2 g/kg body weight). At 0, 15, 30, 60, and 120 minutes after injection, 20 μl of blood was collected from the tail into an EDTA-coated microvette tube. After centrifugation at 1500 ×g for 20 minutes, the plasma was stored at −80°C until glucose and insulin analysis. For the ITT, mice were fasted for 4 hours and given an i.p. injection of human insulin (0.75 U/kg; Sigma). Total RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis, and real-time QPCR analysis. Total RNA was extracted from tissues using RNA STAT-60 (Tel-Test Inc.), treated with DNase I (RNase-free; Roche), and reverse transcribed into cDNA with random hexamers using the High Capacity Reverse Transcription System (ABI; Applied Biosystems). Primers used are shown in Supplemental Table 2 and were validated as previously described (79). Real-time quantitative PCR (QPCR) reactions were performed on an ABI 7900 in 384-well plates containing 12.5 ng cDNA, 150 nM of each primer, and 5 μl 2X SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (ABI) in a 10 μl total volume. Relative mRNA levels were calculated using the comparative Ct method normalized to cyclophilin mRNA.

**Primary hepatocytes isolation.** Mouse primary hepatocytes were isolated by collagenase perfusion and purified by centrifugation as described (80). Freshly prepared hepatocytes were seeded at a final density of 0.5 × 10⁶ cells per well onto type I collagen-coated 6-well plates in attachment media (William’s E Media, 10% charcoal stripped FBS, 1x penicillin/streptomycin, and 10 nM insulin). Media was exchanged 3 hours after plating, and all the experiments were performed on the second day. Ligands were added to the cells in M199 media without FBS, and cells were harvested 16 hours after ligand treatment for RNA extraction.

**Western blot analysis.** Protein extracts were subjected to SDS-PAGE and transferred to PVDF membranes. Membranes were incubated overnight at 4°C with primary polyclonal antibodies against Akt (1:1000; Cell Signaling Technologies), p-Akt (Ser473) (1:1000; Cell Signaling Technologies), GR (M-20, 1:200; Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.), or lamin B1 (1:1000; Abcam), followed by a 1-hour incubation with a peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (1:2000). Peroxidase activity was measured using ECL Plus (GE Healthcare) and visualized using the Storm phosphorimager (GE Healthcare). Quantitation was performed using ImageQuant from GE Healthcare.

**ChIP.** ChIP was carried out using the EZ ChIP kit (Millipore). Livers were perfused in situ for 30 minutes via the portal vein with either vehicle or 10 nM DEX. Whole liver was minced and cross-linked in 1% formaldehyde containing PBS, 1 mM DTTP, and 1 mM PMSF for 10 minutes at room temperature. Cross-linking was stopped by addition of glycine to a final concentration of 125 mM for 5 minutes at room temperature, followed by centrifugation and washing the pellet twice in ice-cold PBS containing 1 mM DTTP and protease inhibitors (Complete; Roche). Liver nuclei were recovered by Dounce homogenization in a hypotonic buffer (10 mM Heps [pH 7.9], 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM KCl, 0.2% Nonidet P-40, 0.2 mM sodium orthovanadate, 0.15 mM spermine, 0.5 mM spermidine, 1 mM EDTA, 5% sucrose, 1 mM DTTP, and protease inhibitor) and layered onto a cushion buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 15 mM NaCl, 60 mM KCl, 0.15 mM spermine, 0.5 mM spermidine, 1 mM EDTA, 10% sucrose, 1 mM DTTP, and protease inhibitor) followed by centrifugation. The nuclei pellet was washed with cold PBS and resuspended in 2 ml sonication buffer (0.75% SDS, 2 mM EDTA, and 50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0]). The chromatin was sheared to 200–1000 bp by sonication. The sonicated chromatin was diluted 7.5-fold in dilution buffer (Millipore); 800 μl of diluted sample per immunoprecipitation was used. After 1-hour preclearing with protein G agarose beads (100 μl/15), 10 μg of GR (M-20), SRC-1 (C-19), or c/EBPβ (M-341) antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc) was added for overnight incubation. Protein G agarose (60 μl) was used to recover the immune complexes (2 hours at 4°C). Washes and elutions were performed in accordance with the ChIP kit. DNA was reverse cross-linked overnight at 65°C, RNAse treated for 30 minutes at 37°C, proteinase K treated for 2 hours at 45°C, and purified using a spin column to a final volume of 50 μl. The eluate was diluted 5-fold with water, and QPCR was performed using 5 μl of template DNA with the following primers: PEPCkpr GRE (0 kb F, TGCGAGGCAGCAACTAGAT GAA, R, TGATGGCAAACGTGAGGCTTCT; −3 kb PEPCkpr F, TGGGAGACACACACATTATTTCCA, R, GTTCCCTTAGACTGCTCCAGCA; TGAT GRE (−2.5 kb) F, CGGAAAACAGGGAGGCTAA, R, CATGACACCACAGGCGCTTC. Quantitation was performed by QPCR (standard curve method) using serial dilutions of the input as standards.

**Histology.** Oil red O staining of liver sections was performed from formalin-fixed and sucrose-protected tissues. Cryosectioning and staining with oil red O was performed by the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center Histology Core.

**Liver triglycerides.** Lipids were extracted from liver (0.2 g) in chloroform/methanol (2:1, v/v) using the Folch method (81). Extracts were washed once in 50 mM NaCl and twice in 0.36 M CaCl₂/methanol. The organic phase was separated and brought up to 5 ml with chloroform. Dried aliquots of standards and samples were redissolved in 10 μl of 1:1 chloroform/Triton X-100 and evaporated overnight. Samples were assayed for triglycerides using a commercial colorimetric assay (Thermo).

**White blood cell differential.** Blood (20 μl) was thinly smeared on a glass slide, air-dried, and dipped in Hemastain (Hema 3 stain set; Fisher). A differential count was then performed under brightfield microscopy on 100 white blood cells.
Thiglycolate-elicted peritoneal macrophages. Macrophages were collected by peritoneal lavage using 10 ml of PBS 4 days after i.p. injection of mice with 2 ml of 3% thiglycolate. Isolated cells were washed in PBS and pelleted at 1000 g. Cells were plated to a density of 2 × 10^6 cells/well in a 6-well plate in DMEM plus 10% FBS. After allowing the macrophages to adhere over night, pretreatment with vehicle or 100 nM DEX was initiated in DMEM containing 10% charcoal stripped FBS. After 6 hours, wells were spiked with vehicle or LPS to a final concentration of 10 ng/ml and left an additional 18 hours before harvesting for RNA and QPCR.

Statistics. For comparison between 2 groups, the unpaired Student’s t test was performed. One-way ANOVA followed by the Student-Newman-Keuls test was used to compare more than 2 groups. P < 0.05 was considered significant. All tests were performed using the software program Primer of Biostatistics (McGraw Hill).
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