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The fibrodysplasia ossificans progressiva R206H ACVR1 mutation activates BMP-independent chondrogenesis and zebrafish embryo ventralization
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Patients with classic fibrodysplasia ossificans progressiva, a disorder characterized by extensive extraskeletal endochondral bone formation, share a recurrent mutation (R206H) within the glycine/serine-rich domain of ACVR1/ALK2, a bone morphogenetic protein type I receptor. Through a series of in vitro assays using several mammalian cell lines and chick limb bud micromass cultures, we determined that mutant R206H ACVR1 activated BMP signaling in the absence of BMP ligand and mediated BMP-independent chondrogenesis that was enhanced by BMP. We further investigated the interaction of mutant R206H ACVR1 with FKBP1A, a glycine/serine domain–binding protein that prevents leaky BMP type I receptor activation in the absence of ligand. The mutant protein exhibited reduced binding to FKBP1A in COS-7 simian kidney cell line assays, suggesting that increased BMP pathway activity in COS-7 cells with R206H ACVR1 is due, at least in part, to decreased binding of this inhibitory factor. Consistent with these findings, in vivo analyses of zebrafish embryos showed BMP-independent hyperactivation of BMP signaling in response to the R206H mutant, resulting in increased embryonic ventralization. These data support the conclusion that the mutant R206H ACVR1 receptor in FOP patients is an activating mutation that induces BMP signaling in a BMP-independent and BMP-responsive manner to promote chondrogenesis, consistent with the ectopic endochondral bone formation in these patients.

Introduction

Fibrodysplasia ossificans progressiva (FOP; MIM 135100), a rare genetic disorder of progressive extraskeletal (heterotopic) ossification, is the most severe form of human heterotopic ossification known and results in profoundly decreased mobility of affected individuals (1). Patients with classic FOP have congenital malformation of the great toes and develop progressive heterotopic ossification within soft connective tissues in characteristic anatomic patterns (2, 3). Ectopic bone formation in FOP occurs through an endochondral pathway in which cartilage forms initially at the lesional site and is subsequently replaced by bone (4, 5). The genetic mutation in FOP is therefore a likely key regulator of cartilage and bone formation.

The gene mutation for patients with the classic FOP clinical phenotype was mapped to chromosome 4q23–24, and mutations were identified in activin A receptor, type I (ACVR1; also known as ALK2), which encodes a bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) type I receptor (6). ACVR1 is expressed in several tissues, including cartilage and skeletal muscle, consistent with both the congenital skeletal malformations and the sites of postnatal endochondral heterotopic ossification in FOP. Previous reports demonstrated that constitutive activation of ACVR1 induces alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity, expands cartilage elements, and induces joint fusions, all of which are associated with enhanced chondrogenesis and/or dysregulation of the BMP signaling pathway (7–9).

BMPs, members of the TGF-β superfamily, were identified initially as a family of proteins that could induce ectopic bone formation through an endochondral process (10–12). BMPs are critical signaling proteins, not only for bone and cartilage formation, but also in many stages of vertebrate development (13). During in vivo vertebrate development, BMP signaling is first required in early embryogenesis and is involved in the patterning of the tissues along the dorsal-ventral axis (14).

BMPs are extracellular ligands that exert their effects by binding to complexes of type I and type II serine/threonine kinase BMP receptors that are located at the cell membrane (15–19). Four type I receptors (ACVR1 [ALK2], BMPR1A [ALK3], BMPR1B [ALK6], and ACVR1L [ALK1]) can mediate BMP signal transduction. Three type II receptors have been identified: BMPR2 (BMPRII), ACVR2A (ActRII), and ACVR2B (ActR-IIIB). In the absence of BMP binding, the FK506-binding protein 1A (FKBP1A protein, also called FKBP12) binds the glycine-serine (GS) domain of BMP type I receptors to inhibit internalization of the receptor and down-
In this study we investigated the effect of the FOP ACVR1 (c.617G→A; R206H) mutation on the BMP signaling pathway. A series of in vitro assays consistently demonstrated that the FOP ACVR1 mutant activates ligand-independent BMP signaling and support the hypothesis that this GS domain mutation results in impaired ACVR1-FKBP1A binding, leading to leaky receptor activation in the absence of ligand. We used zebrafish alk8 embryos injected with wild-type and mutant ACVR1 mRNAs to study the function of the FOP ACVR1 mutant in BMP signaling in vivo. Assays for zebrafish embryonic development confirm ligand-independent hyperactivation of BMP activation in vivo. Furthermore, in cell differentiation assays, the ACVR1 mutant receptor induces enhanced chondrogenesis that is both BMP independent and BMP responsive. These data provide strong evidence suggesting that the mutant R206H ACVR1 receptor induces dysregulation of BMP signaling to promote heterotopic endochondral ossification in FOP patients.

**Results**

The FOP mutant ACVR1 receptor (c.617G; R206H) activates BMP-independent signaling of the BMP pathway. To examine the functional effects of the ACVR1 c.617G→A; R206H mutation that occurs in classic FOP patients, we compared the activity of wild-type (c.617G; R206) and mutant (c.617A; R206H) ACVR1 expression constructs on expression of the BMP pathway transcriptional target gene inhibitors of differentiation 1 (ID1) (29, 30). The wild-type construct contains arginine at codon 206 (R206), while the mutant construct replaces codon 206 with histidine (R206H).

**Figure 1**

**A** Activation of ID1 transcription. COS-7 cells were cotransfected with an ID1 promoter luciferase reporter construct (–985/+94) and either human wild-type ACVR1 or mutant ACVR1 (R206H) expression vectors. Similar assays used U-2 OS and C2C12 cells. Data represent mean ± SEM (n > 3). **B** Induction of Smad1/5/8 phosphorylation. COS-7 (top) or MC3T3-E1 cells (bottom) were transfected with V5-tagged wild-type ACVR1 or c617A mutant ACVR1 (R206H) constructs or with the empty vector control (C). Immunoblot analysis for phosphorylated Smad1/5/8 showed induction of Smad1/5/8 phosphorylation without BMP treatment in cells expressing the mutant but not wild-type ACVR1 or empty vector constructs. Total Smad1 protein is shown. V5 antibody was used to detect the expression of the V5-tagged ACVR1 proteins. β-Actin was detected as a loading control. Data are representative of 3 individual experiments.
BMP ligand. Phosphorylation of Smad2, a Smad specifically activated by TGF-β signaling, was not changed in response to mutant ACVR1, indicating specificity for the BMP signaling pathway (data not shown). Similar results were obtained using MC3T3-E1 (pre-osteoblast) cells (Figure 1B).

Independently of Smads, BMP signaling also activates the p38 MAPK pathway (32–34); however, additional assays in COS-7 cells showed no increase in p38 MAPK phosphorylation in response to ACVR1 (R206H) (data not shown). These data support the hypothesis that the BMP-independent activation of BMP signaling by the mutant R206H ACVR1 receptor is mediated, at least in part, through the canonical BMP Smad signaling pathway.

**Mutant R206H ACVR1 shows impaired binding of FKBP1A in the absence of BMP ligand.** To explore the mechanism for BMP-independent activation of the BMP pathway by the ACVR1 GS domain R206H mutation, we examined the interaction of this receptor with the inhibitory protein FKBP1A/FKBP12, which binds to the GS domain of type I receptors and prevents leaky signal transduction in the absence of ligand binding (20–23). COS-7 cells were cotransfected with an FKBP1A expression construct and with wild-type or R206H mutant ACVR1 expression constructs. Immunoprecipitation of FKBP1A and subsequent immunoblotting to detect associated ACVR1 showed decreased interaction of FKBP1A with the ACVR1 mutant, compared with wild-type, in the absence of BMP (Figure 2A). Furthermore, while wild-type ACVR1 responded to exogenous BMP as expected by releasing ACVR1–FKBP1A binding, little change was observed in the level of interaction between FKBP1A and the mutant ACVR1 receptor following BMP treatment (Figure 2A). Reciprocal immunoprecipitation experiments (IP for ACVR1, immunoblot for FKBP1A) showed consistent results (Figure 2B). These data suggest that altered GS domain conformation and/or GS domain phosphorylation that may affect either direct or indirect binding of FKBP1A to mutant ACVR1 are possible mechanisms for the BMP-independent activation of the downstream signals by the FOP mutant receptor.

**The R206H ACVR1 mutation induces BMP-independent hyperactivity of BMP signaling in vivo.** Gradients of BMP signaling in zebrafish embryos direct dorsal-ventral cell fate specification during embryogenesis. Low levels of BMPs allow dorsal cell fate specification (e.g., neural tissue; formation of head structures), whereas higher levels of BMPs direct ventral cell fate specification (e.g., epidermis, blood, formation of tail structures). In zebrafish, Alk8, the ortholog of human ACVR1/ALK2, is a BMP type I receptor that mediates dorsal-ventral axial patterning in the embryo. In the zygotic alk8 mutant *lost-a-fin* (laf), BMP signaling is strongly reduced or absent in post-gastrula stages, causing an expansion of dorsal cell fates at the expense of ventral cell fates that results in a dorsIALIZED phenotype with defects restricted to the tail (Figure 3, A and B, and refs. 27, 28, 35). Microinjection of wild-type human ACVR1 RNA into *alk8*–/– zebrafish embryos rescued approximately 80% of the injected embryos completely or partially (Figure 3, C and F), showing that human ACVR1 can function as a BMP type I receptor in this zebrafish model and substitute for Alk8.

By contrast, microinjection of mutant ACVR1 RNA (encoding R206H) into *alk8*–/– zebrafish embryos induced a strongly ventralized phenotype at 1 day after fertilization (Figure 3, D–F), indicating that the FOP ACVR1 mutation induces hyperactivity of BMP signaling in the zebrafish embryo. Compared with the *alk8* mutants rescued with wild-type ACVR1, R206H ACVR1–injected embryos displayed defects in both head and tail tissues (Figure 3), evidence that development was affected during gastrulation (35). This is further supported by the greatly expanded expression of the ventral markers *eve1* and *gata2* and the more restricted expression of the dorsal marker *foxb1* during gastrulation (Figure 3, G–L).

As further evidence of BMP signaling activation, proteins isolated from zebrafish embryos were examined by immunoblotting for phosphorylated Smad1/5. (There is no known Snail8 in zebrafish.) Embryos that expressed the mutant R206H ACVR1 showed increased levels of Smad1/5 phosphorylation relative to embryos expressing the control ACVR1 (Figure 3M).

**Mutant ACVR1 signaling during zebrafish embryogenesis is mediated through Smads.** Injection of mutant R206H ACVR1 mRNA into wild-type embryos induced a range of ventralized phenotypes (Figure 4, A–D). To examine dependence of mutant ACVR1-induced ventralization on downstream signal transduction by Smad5, *smad5* morpholinos, which cause strongly dorsIALIZED (C4 and C5) phenotypes in wild-type embryos (36), were used to inhibit Smad5 expression. Similar to the effect on wild-type embryos (Figure 4, E and F), inhibition of Smad5 induced a dorsIALIZED phenotype in 4-somite-stage embryos (Figure 4, G and H) showing a complete block of the ventralizing effect of the R206H ACVR1 mutant and indicating that Smad5 is required downstream of the mutant ACVR1 receptor to activate BMP signaling in zebrafish. These experiments demonstrate that robust activation of BMP signaling by the mutant R206H ACVR1 receptor requires the Smad pathway in vivo.

Additional evidence that the R206H ACVR1 mutant receptor activates BMP signaling through the canonical Smad pathway was provided by treatment with dorsomorphin (DM), a small mol-
The molecule that inhibits BMP type I receptor signaling (ACVR1/ALK2, BMPR1A/ALK3, BMPR1B/ALK6) by specifically blocking Smad pathway activation (37). The reduced BMP signaling caused by DM induces severely dorsalized embryonic phenotypes in the zebrafish (Figure 4I) (37). To determine whether DM can disrupt the ventralizing activity of the R206H ACVR1 mutation, we injected wild-type embryos into wild-type or mutant (R206H) ACVR1 mRNA, grouped by phenotype, then genotyped for the alk8 mutation. Compared with wild-type embryos (A), uninjected alk8−/− embryos are weakly (class 2; C2) dorsalized (B), with loss of the ventral fin fold (arrowheads). Wild-type ACVR1 mRNA (6–60 pg) at the 1-cell stage fully (as in A) or partially rescued the mutant phenotype (C1 dorsalized; C). (A–C) Embryos at 1 day after fertilization. Right panels show posterior of same embryos at 2 days after fertilization. (D and E) Injection of 50 pg mutant ACVR1 mRNA strongly (D) or severely (E) ventralized alk8−/− embryos. Scale bars: 0.2 mm. (F) Phenotype quantification of injected alk8−/− embryos (WT ACVR1, n = 43; R206H, n = 15). (G–L) In situ hybridization of wild-type embryos injected with wild-type (G–I) or mutant ACVR1 (J–L) to detect ventral markers eve1 (G, n = 12/12; J, n = 10/13; onset of gastrulation) and gata2 (H, n = 14/14; K, n = 15/19; mid-gastrulation) or dorsal marker foxb1.2 (I, n = 12/12; L, n = 6/13, 7/13 showed no expression; mid-gastrulation). Animal pole views with dorsal at right. Arrowheads delineate the dorsal-ventral expression domains. Scale bars: 0.2 mm. (M) Total mid-gastrulation stage protein from wild-type zebrafish embryos injected with wild-type or mutant ACVR1 mRNA was immunoblotted to detect phospho-Smad1/5. Increased Smad1/5 phosphorylation was observed even at a low dose (6 pg) of mutant ACVR1. β-Actin was detected as a loading control.

Figure 3

Overexpression of R206H ACVR1 in zebrafish embryos causes strong ventralization by enhancing BMP signaling. Embryos from crosses between alk8−/− heterozygotes were injected with wild-type or mutant (R206H) ACVR1 mRNA, grouped by phenotype, then genotyped for the alk8 mutation. Compared with wild-type embryos (A), uninjected alk8−/− embryos are weakly (class 2; C2) dorsalized (B), with loss of the ventral fin fold (arrowheads). Wild-type ACVR1 mRNA (6–60 pg) at the 1-cell stage fully (as in A) or partially rescued the mutant phenotype (C1 dorsalized; C). (A–C) Embryos at 1 day after fertilization. Right panels show posterior of same embryos at 2 days after fertilization. (D and E) Injection of 50 pg mutant ACVR1 mRNA strongly (D) or severely (E) ventralized alk8−/− embryos. Scale bars: 0.2 mm. (F) Phenotype quantification of injected alk8−/− embryos (WT ACVR1, n = 43; R206H, n = 15). (G–L) In situ hybridization of wild-type embryos injected with wild-type (G–I) or mutant ACVR1 (J–L) to detect ventral markers eve1 (G, n = 12/12; J, n = 10/13; onset of gastrulation) and gata2 (H, n = 14/14; K, n = 15/19; mid-gastrulation) or dorsal marker foxb1.2 (I, n = 12/12; L, n = 6/13, 7/13 showed no expression; mid-gastrulation). Animal pole views with dorsal at right. Arrowheads delineate the dorsal-ventral expression domains. Scale bars: 0.2 mm. (M) Total mid-gastrulation stage protein from wild-type zebrafish embryos injected with wild-type or mutant ACVR1 mRNA was immunoblotted to detect phospho-Smad1/5. Increased Smad1/5 phosphorylation was observed even at a low dose (6 pg) of mutant ACVR1. β-Actin was detected as a loading control.
double *bmp2b/bmp7* mutant phenotype (39). Homozygous mutant *
* bmp2b or * bmp7* embryos exhibited a strongly dorsalized phenotype
(25% of all progeny were C5), whereas sibling embryos (wild-type
and heterozygotes; 75% of all progeny) showed a wild-type pheno-
type (Figure 5A). Microinjection of mutant R206H ACVR1 RNA
induced embryonic ventralization, indicating BMP signaling over-
activation, in the presence of BMP (wild-type or heterozygotes, Figure
5A), as well as in * bmp7*– or * bmp2b*–null embryos (Figure 5A). To
completely knock down all BMP ligand expression in the embryos,
we injected a * bmp2b* morpholino into * bmp7*–/– embryos. The single
and double BMP ligand–deficient embryos (Figure 5B) showed
strongly dorsalized phenotypes due to loss of BMP signaling. By
contrast, single and double BMP-deficient embryos responded to
injected mutant R206H ACVR1 by exhibiting a strongly ventral-
ized phenotype (Figure 5B). Importantly, the wild-type ACVR1
showed no rescuing ability when injected into * bmp7*–null mutant
embryos (* n* = 290, 60 pg * ACVR1* mRNA). Thus, these results show
that the mutant ACVR1 acts downstream of BMP ligand and activ-
ates BMP signaling in vivo in a BMP-independent manner.

**Mutant R206H ACVR1 enhances chondrogenesis.** Heterotopic bone
formation in FOP patients develops through an endochondral ossification pathway in which a fibroproliferative vascular stage
is followed by chondrogenesis and then replacement of cartilage
by bone (4, 40). To investigate whether mutant R206H ACVR1 can
influence chondrogenesis, we examined effects on chondrocyte dif-
erentiation by the ACVR1 mutation in chick limb bud micromass cultures that were infected with retroviral constructs containing
either wild-type or mutant ACVR1. Overexpression of wild-type
ACVR1 had no effect on extracellular matrix accumulation, which
was monitored by Alcian blue staining, or on ALP activity when
compared with cultures infected with empty viral vector (Figure
6). This is consistent with effects of other BMP receptors, such as
BMPR1B (41). By contrast, overexpression of the R206H ACVR1
mutant induced a steady increase in matrix accumulation and ALP
activity that were clearly visible after 1 week in culture. This effect
was milder than that caused by a previously described constitutively
active ACVR1 (caACVR1; Q207D), which was designed based on
studies using the TGF-β receptor 1 (42). The dramatic increase in
chondrogenic differentiation in response to the caACVR1 Q207D
was already visible after 2 days in culture and saturated after
1 week in culture (Figure 6).

To determine whether the effect of R206H ACVR1 is BMP inde-
pendent, we coexpressed the receptor constructs with a construct
for the BMP antagonist Noggin that directly binds BMP ligands,
blocking the ability of BMP to bind receptors (43). Noggin had a
dominant effect on wild-type ACVR1, as shown by complete inhi-
bition of chondrogenic differentiation, while Noggin had no effect
on caACVR1 Q207D activity. However, Noggin-treated cultures
expressing mutant R206H ACVR1 had reduced chondrogenic nod-
ule formation and ALP activity (Figure 6), showing that the FOP
R206H ACVR1 mutation has a milder self-activating effect than
the Q207D variant but, like this constitutively active variant, seems
to be, at least in part, BMP independent. Significantly, by contrast
for the mutant ACVR1 only, * n* = 169; mutant * ACVR1* plus
smad5 MO, * n* = 202). (I) DM inhibits mutant ACVR1–induced ven-
tralization. Wild-type embryos treated with DM (40 μM) become severely dorsalized
(* n* = 54), whereas solvent alone has no effect (* n* = 42). Ventralization induced by
20 pg mutant * ACVR1* mRNA (* n* = 79) is completely blocked by DM (* n* = 75).
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ACVR1 mutation signaling requires the BMP/Smad signaling pathway. (A–D)
Compared with un.injected embryos (A), wild-type embryos injected with 10 pg
mutant R206H ACVR1 mRNA showed a range of ventralized phenotypes at 1 day
after fertilization: weak (V1, B; and V2, C), moderate (V3, D), and strong (V4 and V5,
examples shown in Figure 3, D and E). Scale bars: 0.2 mm. (E–H) Compared
with un injected embryos (E), wild-type embryos injected with translation-blocking
morpholinos (MO) against smad5 (F) showed strongly dorsalized phenotypes,
evident by the characteristic elongated morphology at the 4-somite stage.
When coinjected with smad5 MO, mutant ACVR1 (10 pg) does not induce ven-
tralization (G). Scale bars: 0.2 mm. (H) Quantification of embryo injection results
(uninjected, * n* = 82; mutant ACVR1 only, * n* = 289; smad5 MO only, * n* = 169; mutant
ACVR1 plus smad5 MO, * n* = 202). (I) DM inhibits mutant ACVR1–induced ventral-
ization. Wild-type embryos treated with DM (40 μM) become severely dorsalized
(* n* = 54), whereas solvent alone has no effect (* n* = 42). Ventralization induced by
20 pg mutant * ACVR1* mRNA (* n* = 79) is completely blocked by DM (* n* = 75).
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ably, the relative expression levels of all marker genes were only...c格林 supportive, whereas cells expressing the wild-type ACVR1 or empty viral vector showed clear downregulation of all analyzed marker genes for chondrogenesis. These data support the hypothesis that while caACVR1 stimulates advanced chondrogenic differentiation, R206H ACVR1 only mildly induces the expression of early chondrogenesis markers.

Discussion

FOP is a severely disabling musculoskeletal disease characterized by extensive formation of endochondral bone within soft connective tissues. This heterotopic bone formation is the most clinically relevant feature of FOP. However, malformation of skeletal bones during embryogenesis also occurs in FOP patients and illustrates that the underlying genetic mutation occurs in a gene with functional importance in regulating chondro-osseous differentiation during embryonic skeletal development as well as in adult musculoskeletal tissues.

A series of recent investigations in FOP cells (48–50) demonstrated altered signal transduction through the BMP pathway, with increased phosphorylation of the BMP-specific Smads and increased expression of BMP transcriptional targets in the absence of exogenous BMP ligand. Our recent discovery (6) of mutations in the gene encoding ACVR1, a BMP type I receptor, in all examined FOP patients confirms that changes in the BMP signaling pathway cause FOP.

In this study, we conducted functional analyses of the ACVR1 c.617G→A (R206H) mutation that is found in all FOP patients with classic features of the disease (malformed great toes and progressive heterotopic ossification). Consistent with our studies in FOP patient cells (48–50), in vitro and in vivo assays determined that the R206H ACVR1 mutation is an activating mutation that stimulates BMP signaling without requiring BMP to initiate the signaling cascade. Our in vitro assays showed that BMP-independent BMP signaling by R206H ACVR1 specifically activates the Smad pathway, as was also found in recent studies using C2C12 cells (51). We further demonstrated that Smad signaling is required for R206H ACVR1 signaling in vivo through zebrafish embryo assays using DM, a small molecule that inhibits BMP type I receptor phosphorylation of BMP pathway-specific Smads (37).

The FOP ACVR1 c.617A mutation causes an amino acid substitution of histidine for arginine in codon 206 (R206H), a highly conserved amino acid among vertebrates and among human ACVR1 family members (6). Codon 206 is within the G5 activation domain adjacent to the protein kinase domain of ACVR1. Protein homology modeling of the ACVR1 receptor predicts that the protein conformation of the R206H ACVR1 mutant is altered and could lead to changes in the ability of the receptor to interact with proteins that bind the receptor G5 domain (6, 52). The G5 domain of all type I TGF-β/BMP superfamily receptors is a critical site for binding and activation of the pathway-specific Smad signaling proteins and is a specific binding site for FKBP1A (also known as FKBP12), a highly conserved inhibitory protein that prevents leaky activation of type I receptors in the absence of ligand (21–23).

Our data demonstrate that the R206H ACVR1 protein has reduced interaction with FKBP1A in the absence of BMP and suggest that this impaired FKBP1A-ACVR1 interaction contributes to BMP-independent BMP pathway signaling. Although our data cannot distinguish between direct and indirect FKBP1A-ACVR1 binding, the data are consistent with the hypothesis that altered ACVR1 G5 domain

The condensation phase of mesenchymal prechondrogenic cells is the first event in chondrogenesis, characterized by the expression of collagen type II (44). Aggrecan is a major component of cartilage matrix (45, 46) and is expressed in chondrocytes that are not terminally differentiated (47). Within the condensation, increasing expression of Indian hedgehog (Ihh) and collagen type X occurs as chondrocytes differentiated into prehypertrophic and hypertrophic chondrocytes, respectively. Overexpression of wild-type ACVR1 in chick micromass cultures resulted in expression levels of marker genes that are comparable to those of the empty viral vector, while cells overexpressing R206H ACVR1 showed upregulation of collagen type II and aggrecan. The latter-stage differentiation markers Ihh and collagen type X showed expression levels comparable to those in control cultures. By contrast, overexpression of caACVR1 Q207D resulted in a dramatic increase in Ihh and collagen type X expression. Aggrecan expression was only slightly enhanced, whereas collagen type II was markedly downregulated. Remarkably, the relative expression levels of all marker genes were only slightly decreased when R206H ACVR1 and Q207D caACVR1 cultures were cotransfected with Noggin, whereas cells expressing the wild-type ACVR1 or empty viral vector showed clear downregulation of all analyzed marker genes for chondrogenesis. These data support the hypothesis that while caACVR1 stimulates advanced chondrogenic differentiation, R206H ACVR1 only mildly induces the expression of early chondrogenesis markers.

**Figure 5**

Mutant R206H ACVR1 induces ventralization in a BMP7 and BMP2b ligand–independent manner. (A) Embryos from crosses of bmp7 heterozygous adults (bmp7+/+ × bmp7/+) or bmp2b heterozygous adults (bmp2b+/× bmp2b/+) were injected with 10 pg mutant ACVR1 mRNA (indicated as R206H +), examined for extent of ventralization, then identified by genotyping (bmp7/bmp7, homozygous null for bmp7; bmp7+/+, heterozygous; +/+ wild-type). Genotyping showed that bmp7 homozygotes (n = 32) and bmp2b homozygotes (n = 44) are ventralized by mutant ACVR1, as are wild-type and heterozygous siblings (bmp7+/+ crosses, n = 94; bmp2b+/+ crosses, n = 144). Of the un.injected (indicated as R206H −) control embryos examined (for bmp7+/+ × bmp7/+, crosses, n = 146; for bmp2b+/+ × bmp2b/+ crosses, n = 29), approximately one-quarter displayed a strongly dorsIALIZED C5 ph

Figure 6
Mutant R206H ACVR1 enhances chondrogenesis in a BMP-independent and BMP-responsive manner. Micromass cultures were infected with RCASBP(A) alone (control) or containing either wild-type ACVR1, a constitutively active caACVR1 Q207D construct, or the R206H ACVR1 mutation either alone or together with RCASBP(B) expressing Noggin (NOG). (A) Micromass cultures were stained with Alcian blue to visualize early chondrogenic differentiation by extracellular matrix accumulation after 7 days. (B) Quantification of Alcian blue incorporation into the extracellular matrix is shown. Significant differences: wtACVR1 vs. caACVR1***; wtACVR1+NOG vs. caACVR1+NOG***; wtACVR1 vs. R206H***; wtACVR1+NOG vs. R206H+NOG***. (C) ALP-stained micromass cultures visualize prehypertrophic differentiation after 9 days in culture. Scale bars in A and C: 2 mm. (D) Quantification of ALP staining by histomorphometric analysis (ALP activity quantified as pixel² × 10,000) is shown. Significant differences: wtACVR1 vs. caACVR1***; wtACVR1+NOG vs. caACVR1+NOG***; wtACVR1 vs. R206H***; wtACVR1+NOG vs. R206H+NOG**. (E) Relative expression of marker genes for chondrogenic differentiation by qRT-PCR of cDNA from 8-day micromass cultures. Amplification was normalized to the expression of 28S rRNA. For quantification in B and D, significant differences in comparison to wtACVR1 or wtACVR1+NOG are indicated. Graphs in B and D show mean ± SEM of quadruplicate samples from 1 of 3 representative experiments. Horizontal lines represent significant differences at *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001. Data in E, shown as mean ± SEM, are representative results from 2 independent cDNA syntheses and qRT-PCR.
structure changes the affinity or ability of GS domain–interacting proteins, such as FKBP1A, to properly regulate BMP pathway activity. Additionally, our data show that the R206H ACVR1 mutation does not fully prevent FKBP1A interaction, suggesting that FKBP1A–mutant ACVR1 interactions are less stable and/or of shorter duration. The mutant GS domain may interact with FKBP1A in a manner that allows activation of Smads and downstream signaling in the presence of aberrant FKBP1A binding.

The BMP pathway is an important signaling pathway in many tissues and during many stages of development. Disruption of this pathway has severe consequences, as illustrated by knockout mouse models (53, 54) and by overactivation or by mutation of Alk8, the functional ACVR1 homolog in zebrafish (7, 27, 28), and recent data demonstrated that Alk8 is required for zebrafish dorso-ventral embryonic patterning (38). It was therefore surprising that the mutation that causes FOP overactivates the BMP pathway yet allows human embryonic development to occur relatively unimpaired, with only mild skeletal effects. One explanation may be that the FOP mutation is only moderately activating. By comparing FOP R206H ACVR1 with caACVR1 Q207D in micromass chondrogenesis assays, we found that the R206H ACVR1 receptor has a milder stimulation of cell differentiation compared with caACVR1 Q207D. The effects of the FOP ACVR1 mutant receptor during embryonic development may therefore induce only relatively minor malformations as observed in FOP skeletal formation (1, 6). Furthermore, the data are consistent with the idea that, in postnatal connective tissues, increased BMP signaling from the mutant receptor may be only moderately “on” under basal in vivo conditions, allowing for the quiescent periods that are observed in patients between active episodes of heterotopic bone formation, but priming the cells to respond to changes in the local tissue environment by forming extraskeletal bone.

This possibility is supported by micromass cultures expressing wild-type ACVR1, caACVR1 Q207D, or R206H ACVR1 that showed significant differences in expression of specific marker genes for chondrogenic differentiation. While expression levels of all marker genes remained almost unchanged in wild-type ACVR1 cultures compared with the empty viral vector, the expression profile induced by caACVR1 Q207D displayed evidence of advanced chondrogenic differentiation as shown by decreased levels of the early differentiation stage marker collagen type II together with enhanced expression of the later-stage markers Ihh and collagen type X. Indian hedgehog has been shown previously to be induced by BMP signaling transmitted via the ACVR1 receptor (9). By contrast, the R206H ACVR1 mutant only slightly enhances the expression of initial and early chondrogenesis markers (collagen type II and aggrecan), consistent with a milder stimulatory effect of the FOP ACVR1 mutation on chondrocyte differentiation. Remarkably, the BMP antagonist Noggin resulted in dramatically reduced expression of chondrogenic markers in wild-type ACVR1 and empty viral vector cultures, but not in those expressing caACVR1 or R206H ACVR1, suggesting that the enhanced chondrogenesis induced by the mutant receptors is mediated predominantly through their BMP-independent activation.

The extraskeletal bone that develops within soft tissues in FOP patients occurs through an endochondral ossification pathway, forming ectopic cartilage that is subsequently replaced by ectopic bone (4, 40). In vitro chondrogenesis assays demonstrated that the R206H ACVR1 mutation can stimulate progenitor cells to differentiate to cartilage in concert with the appropriate cell environment and differentiation factors and that this mutant receptor activates BMP signaling constitutively without BMP stimulation. However, chondrogenesis assays in chick micromass cultures showed that enhanced signaling by the mutant R206H ACVR1 can be partially inhibited by the BMP antagonist Noggin, suggesting that in addition to BMP-independent activity by the R206H ACVR1 receptor, this mutant receptor is also responsive to BMP, consistent with previous BMP signaling pathway studies that used cells derived from FOP patients (48–50).

While our studies provide important insight into the molecular pathophysiology of the mutant ACVR1 receptor on the BMP signaling pathway in FOP, interpretations of these data are limited to those allowed by the experimental systems used. Most notably, the studies are based on transient transfection of wild-type and mutant gene constructs into cultured cells or into zebrafish embryos and are unlikely to reproduce the specific mutant gene dose that is present in heterozygous mutant cells in FOP patients. The balance of expression of mutant and wild-type ACVR1 protein is likely to affect ligand binding dynamics, receptor oligomerization, internalization, and/or activation of downstream signaling pathways, as well as receptor response to environmental triggers that are clinical features of the natural history of FOP. Further refinement of our understanding of BMP signaling in FOP will follow with development of an ACVR1 mutant knock-in mouse that will more precisely mimic the naturally occurring gene dose effect of the mutant R206H ACVR1 allele. Such a model is under development.

In conclusion, we show here that the recurrent single nucleotide missense mutation (c.617G→A) in the gene encoding ACVR1, a BMP type I receptor that causes FOP in all classically affected individuals, induces enhanced chondro-osseous differentiation and can activate the BMP signaling pathway in a BMP-independent manner. However, this mutant receptor also shows the ability to respond to BMP to enhance receptor activity. Additionally, our study provides mechanistic insight into the constitutive activity of this mutant ACVR1 receptor. These findings have practical implications in developing model systems for testing new pharmacologic approaches for blocking this renegade receptor. Finally, this study provides insight into a signaling pathway that regulates skeletal morphogenesis and also that, when dysregulated in a specific manner, orchestrates the promiscuous and ectopic chondro-osseous differentiation of soft connective tissue into a disabling second skeleton of heterotopic bone.

**Methods**

**Plasmid constructs.** A human ACVR1 expression vector was generated by insertion of the hACVR1 protein-coding sequence (GenBank accession number NM_001105.4) into the pcDNA 3.1 D VS-His-TOPO vector (Invitrogen). Nucleotide numbering reflects the ACVR1 cDNA sequence, with +1 corresponding to the A of the ATG translation initiation codon in the GenBank reference sequence, according to nomenclature guidelines (http://www.hgvs.com/mutnomen). The protein initiation codon is numbered +1. The FOP mutant ACVR1 expression vector was generated by site-directed mutagenesis of the wild-type ACVR1 sequence at cDNA position 617 (from G to A) using the GeneTailor Site-Directed Mutagenesis System (Invitrogen). The oligonucleotides used to generate the mutant construct were: forward 5′-GTACAAAAAGAAGTGCGTCACCCAGTACACTG-3′; reverse 5′-GTGAGGCTGTTTCTTTGTACCAGAAAAGGAAG-3′. The wild-type sequence is represented by “c.617G” and the FOP mutation by “c.617A.” The FKBP1A/FKBP12 expression vector was from OriGene. The human ID1 promoter (−985/+94) luciferase reporter construct was previ-
ous description (31). The ID1 gene is a direct target of BMP signaling and encodes a dominant-negative inhibitor of basic helix-loop-helix transcription factors, including members of the MyoD family that are important in myoblast differentiation (55, 56).

Cell culture. COS-7 African green monkey kidney cells, C2C12 mouse myoblastic cells, MC3T3-E1 human osteoblastic cells, and U-2 OS human osteosarcoma cells were obtained from ATCC. Cells were cultured in DMEM (COS-7 and C2C12), α-MEM (MC3T3-E1), or McCoy’s 5A medium (U-2 OS) plus 10% FBS (all from Invitrogen). All cells were cultured in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ at 37°C.

Transfection and luciferase assay. COS-7 cells were seeded into 24-well plates at 7 × 10⁴ cells per well in culture medium without antibiotics. After 24 hours, expression vectors were transfected into the cells using FuGene 6 (Roche) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Efficiency of transfection, as assessed by cotransfection with GFP constructs and subsequent GFP detection, was estimated at 60%–70%. At 48 hours, cells were washed twice with PBS and lysed in 1× passive lysis buffer (Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay, Promega). Luciferase activity was assayed following the recommended protocol and normalized to pRL-TK-Renilla luciferase signals.

Immunoblot analysis. COS-7 cells, plated at 70% confluence in 100-mm tissue culture dishes, were transfected with vector alone or pcDNA3-ACVR1 (c.617G) or pcDNA3-ACVR1 (c.617A). Total proteins were harvested in lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 150 mM NaCl, phosphate inhibitors [Pierce], protease inhibitors [Complete protease inhibitor cocktail, Roche], and 1% Triton X-100). For immunoblot analysis, 50 μg protein from each sample was mixed with 0.05% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)–polyacrylamide gel, then transferred to PVDF membranes (Bio-Rad). Membranes were incubated overnight at 4°C with antibodies specific for phospho-Smad1/5/8 and Smad1 (Cell Signaling Technology, V5, Invitrogen), or β-actin (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.) in PBS containing 5% nonfat milk and 0.5% BSA. Membranes were washed with PBS and incubated for 1 hour with the corresponding secondary antibody conjugated with horseradish peroxidase. The enhanced chemiluminescent Western blotting detection system (Pierce) was used to detect the antigen-antibody complex. Similar protocols were used for immunoblot analysis of cell protein extracts from MC3T3-E1 (mouse preosteoblasts), U-2 OS (human osteosarcoma), and C2C12 (mouse myoblasts with osteogenic potential). Western blotting of phospho-Smad1/5/8 and total Smad1/5/8 from zebrafish embryo extracts was previously described (35).

Immunoprecipitation. To examine the interaction between FKBP1A and ACVR1 in the absence of BMPs, COS-7 cells were cotransfected with normal (c.617G) or mutant (c.617A) ACVR1 expression vector and the FKBP1A expression vector. After 48 hours of transfection, cells were starved for 2 hours in serum-free medium then treated for 1.5 hours with 150 ng/ml BMP4 or BMP7 (R&D Systems). Total proteins were isolated, and protein concentration was determined by Bradford assay. Immunoprecipitation assays used 500 μg protein from each experimental sample and 2 μg FKBP1A or ACVR1 antibody (both from Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.) at 4°C overnight, followed by treatment with 30 μl of Protein A/G agarose beads (Pierce) at 4°C for 1 hour and centrifugation at 800 for 5 minutes. The immunoprecipitated complex was dissociated by 12% SDS-PAGE and detected with V5 monoclonal antibody (Invitrogen) or FKBP1A antibody (N19, Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.).

Micromass cultures. Viral constructs were designed and prepared for infection of chick limb bud mesenchymal stem cells in micromass culture. The coding sequence of chicken Acvr1 was PCR amplified from chicken embryo cDNA using the following primer pair: chAcvr1-Ncol-fwd, 5′-ACCATG-GCTTCTCCCCGTGCTGCTG-3′ and chAcvr1-BamHI-rev, 5′-AGGATCCT-CAAACAGTGACCTCTGCTTATGTTT-3′. The PCR product was digested with Ncol and BamHI and subcloned into the pSLAX-13 shuttle vector. This construct was used to introduce the corresponding human FP mutation R206H and the constitutive active variant of the receptor Q207D by Site-Directed Mutagenesis (QuickChange, Stratagene) using the following primer pairs (with lower-case letters indicating the nucleotides changed relative to wild-type Acvr1 sequence): R206H-chAcvr1-fwd, 5′-GCAAGAAGACGTTGAACGATAGCCATGCTTTG-3′ and R206H-chAcvr1-rev, 5′-CCACAGACCAGTGGATATCTGGAGACACCTTCTTCTTTGC-3′. Insertion was confirmed by Blaloc in the avian-specific viral vector RCASBP(A). chNog in RCASBP(B) was a gift from A. Vortkamp (Universität Duisburg-Essen, Duisburg, Germany). To produce the virus, RCASBP plasmids were transfected into DF1 cells, culture medium was harvested, and viral particles were concentrated by ultracentrifugation as described previously (57). Titers of all receptor-expressing RCASBP(A) viruses were determined, and concentrations of greater than 1 × 10⁹ were used throughout the experiments. The titer of Nog-expressing RCASBP(B) was 1 × 10⁹.

Micromass cultures were prepared from dissected limb buds from chicken embryos at Hamburger-Hamilton stage HH24 as described previously (58). Cells (2 × 10⁴) were plated in a drop containing 10 μl growth medium (DMEM/F12, 10%, FCS, 0.2% chicken serum, 2 mM l-Gln, penicillin/streptomycin), and 1 μl of each indicated viral concentrate was applied in a 24-well plate. Growth media were replaced 3 times a week. Alcian blue staining was performed after fixation of the cells in Kahle’s fixative (28.9% [v/v] EtOH; 0.37% formaldehyde; 3.9% [v/v] acetic acid) with 1% Alcian blue in 0.1N HCl overnight. Excess dye was removed by washing with water, and cultures were dried before photographs were taken. For quantification of incorporated Alcian blue into the proteoglycan-rich extracellular matrix, cultures were incubated with 6 M guanidine hydrochloride overnight, followed by photometric measurement at OD540. ALP staining was performed after fixation of the cells with 4% PFA and followed by incubation with NBT/BCIP. The reaction was stopped with TE buffer, and photographs were taken. For quantification, histomorphometric analyses were performed using Atemuss AxioVision 6.2 software (Zeiss).

RNA isolation and relative quantitative RT-PCR. RNA was extracted from chicken micromass cultures at day 8 using peqGold Trifast (peqLab Biotechnology GmbH) following the manufacturer’s instructions, then was digested with DNase (RNase-Free DNase kit, QIAGEN). cDNA synthesis was performed using the TaqMan Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems). Quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) (ABI Prism 7900HT cycler, Applied Biosystems) was performed by using Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) and the following primer pairs: ch-collagen type II-fwd, 5′-GAGGCAGACAGGCTTCCAC-3′ and ch-collagen type II-rev, 5′-TTGCCCATTTGGCAGTGT-3′; ch-collagen type X-fwd, 5′-CCTTCTACTGGGCTTTGACTGGA-3′ and ch-collagen type X-rev, 5′-AAGCAGCAGTTACGTATGAC-3′; ch-aggreca-fwd, 5′-TTTGTGTTGTGGTTGAACACGA-3′ and ch-aggreca-rev, 5′-AGGCCATGCTACTGCTGAG-3′. To normalize gene expression, we used ch-28S rRNA-fwd, 5′-GACTTG-GACTGGGTCTACTGGA-3′ and ch-28S rRNA-rev, 5′-GCAAAGAACAGTGGCTTCG-3′. Quantification of gene expression was calculated using the ΔΔCT method (62) and using endogenous 18S rRNA as an internal control.
was injected at 1 ng per embryo. A morpholino mixture of 2 ng smadSMO1 (5′-ATGGAGGTCTATTGCTGGAGTTCG-3′) and 2.5 ng smadSMO3 (5′-GCAGTGGCCAGAGGATGATTATG-3′) per embryo was used to knock down smad translation. All injections were performed at the 1-cell stage as described previously (63). Genotyping of the lost-a-fin\textsuperscript{tm110b} allele was performed as described (28). Homozygous null bmp7 or bmp2b mutant embryos were obtained from crosses between bmp7 or bmp2b heterozygous zebrafish. PCR genotyping for swarb\textsuperscript{m749} (64) used primers DC245P (5′-CGTCTGCAATATGGCCTAGT-3′) and DC243P (5′-CGTGTGATGAAAAACCTTGATCGTGTG-3′), which create a recognition site for the restriction enzyme TaqI only when amplifying the wild-type allele. Homozygous skelo\textsuperscript{m751} embryos (bmp7) (39) were identified by failing to amplify a closely linked simple sequence-length polymorphism (SSLP) using primers BMP7s42F (5′-CTCGACACATAGGCTCGAATG-3′) and BMP7s42R (5′-TCTATGCAATCAATGCTGACCAG-3′). For treatment with DM, a BMP signaling inhibitor that dorsalizes zebrafish embryos (37), embryos were placed in E3 embryo medium containing DMSO either alone or with 40 μM DM prior to first cleavage. All images of embryos were from an MZ12.5 stereomicroscope (Leica) with a ColorSNAP-cf digital camera (Photometrics) and processed using Adobe Photoshop. In situ hybridization and subsequent imaging were carried out as described previously (63) with probes against evii (66), gata2 (67), and foxc1.2 (68).

**Statistics.** Results are expressed as mean ± SEM. A 2-tailed Student’s t test was used for statistical comparison of 2 groups. P values of less than 0.05 were considered significant.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the Center for Research in FOP and Related Disorders, the International FOP Association, the Jan Cali Endowment, the Weldon Family Endowment, the Penn Center for Musculoskeletal Disorders, the Isaac and Rose Nussau Professorship of Orthopaedic Molecular Medicine, and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB760 to P. Seemann and S. Mundlos); by Health and Labour Science Research Grants for Research on Measures for Intractable Disease Research and the Academic Frontier Project of Saitama Medical University Research Center for Genomic Medicine, both from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Sciences, and Technology of Japan (to T. Katagiri); and by grants from the Rita Allen Foundation and the NIH (R01-GM056326 to M.C. Mullins; R01-AR40196 to F.S. Kaplan and E.M. Shore). We thank the members of our laboratories for valuable assistance and discussions of these studies.

Received for publication September 10, 2008, and accepted in revised form August 25, 2009.

Address correspondence to: Eileen M. Shore, University of Pennsylvania, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, 424 Stemmler Hall, 3450 Hamilton Walk, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104-6081, USA. Phone: (215) 898-2331; Fax: (215) 573-2133; E-mail: shore@mail.med.upenn.edu.