Introduction
Recent evidence suggests that the use of certain antihypertensive drugs may decrease the incidence of AD (1–4). For example, based on their analysis of the Cochrane Dementia and Cognitive Improvement Group’s Specialized Register (containing reports of trials from all major medical databases), Lopez-Arrieta and Birks (1) reported that the dihydropyridine Ca²⁺ channel receptor antagonist nimodipine decreases the incidence of AD in subjects with hypertension. Additionally, the double-blind placebo-controlled Systolic Hypertension in Europe Trial reported that long-term antihypertensive therapy with nitrendipine, another Ca²⁺ channel receptor blocker, reduced the risk of dementia, primarily AD-type, in hypertensive cases after a median follow-up of 2 years (4), while Guo et al. (3) reported that the combination of certain β-adrenergic blockers and dihydropyridine Ca²⁺ channel receptor antagonists protected elderly hypertensive subjects from developing AD. Last, the Cache County Study of Memory and Aging concluded that the use of antihypertensive medications is associated with a reduced incidence of AD among subjects 65 years of age and older, with the greatest reduction seen in the use of K⁺-sparing diuretics (2).

Despite this encouraging evidence, other studies have failed to support the efficacy of antihypertensive agents in AD dementia. In particular, the Rotterdam study reported that the use of antihypertensive drugs did not significantly affect the relative risk for developing AD among 7,046 elderly subjects who were free of dementia at baseline (5). Moreover, several randomized trials for the prevention of cardiovascular disease (e.g., Systolic Hypertension in the Elderly Program [SHEP], ref. 6), the Medical Research Council [MRC] trial [ref. 7], and the Study on Cognition and Prognosis in the Elderly [SCOPE; ref. 8]) concluded that the use of certain β-adrenergic blockers, thiazide diuretics, or angiotensin II type 1 receptor blockers (ARBs; e.g., candesartan), respectively, did not improve cognitive performance. Thus, at present there is inconsistent evidence regarding the influence of antihypertensive drugs on AD incidence and/or pathogenesis.

In an effort to clarify this discrepancy, we carried out a high-throughput drug screening to test the hypothesis that antihypertensive drugs might influence AD through mechanisms affecting β-amyloid protein (Aβ) neuropathology, independent of blood pressure–lowering activity. Abnormal accumulations of Aβ peptides in the brain are associated with a cascade of cellular events resulting in cognitive decline (9). Aβ species with different amino and carboxyl termini are generated from the ubiquitously expressed amyloid precursor protein (APP) through sequential proteolysis by β- and γ-secretases (10–12). A third proteolytic enzyme, α-secretase, may reduce Aβ generation by cleavage of APP within the Aβ peptide sequence (13). While aggregation and precipitation of Aβ peptides into extracellular amyloid plaque deposits in the brain are key pathological features of AD, recent studies indicate that accumulations of soluble high-molecular-weight (HMW) extracellular oligomeric Aβ species, rather than deposition of amyloid per se, might be specifically related to spatial memory reference deficits (14–19).

We report that certain antihypertensive drugs are able to lower Aβ in vitro. We also found that the ARB valsartan is able to lower Aβ and inhibit Aβ oligomerization into soluble HMW extracellular...
species in vivo. These effects were seen even at a dose equivalent to approximately 2-fold lower than that commonly prescribed for the treatment of hypertension in humans. The functional relevance of this finding was confirmed by evidence that valsartan's Aβ-lowering activity in the brain coincided with attenuation of spatial memory reference deficits in Tg2576 mice, in the absence of detectable blood pressure-lowering activity.

**Results**

Identification of antihypertensive drugs with AD-modifying properties. Our high-throughput screening study assessed 55 antihypertensive drugs representing all pharmacological classes of currently available antihypertensives (see Complete list of 55 commonly prescribed antihypertensive drugs). We found that 7 of the 55 agents significantly reduced the accumulation of Aβ1-40 and Aβ1-42 in primary embryonic cortico-hippocampal neuron cultures derived from the Tg2576 mouse AD model (20). Aβ reductions were observed in a dose-dependent fashion (Table 1). The predicted drug concentrations resulting in a 50% inhibition of steady-state Aβ species (see Complete list of 55 commonly prescribed antihypertensive drugs) were observed in a dose-dependent fashion (Table 1). Potential Aβ-lowering activity was assessed using primary cortico-hippocampal neuron cultures derived from embryonic (E15) Tg2576 AD mice. In the high-throughput dose-response screening studies, the 7 drugs shown in boldface were found to exert significant Aβ-lowering activity, in vitro and in vivo.

Using an established in vitro oligomerization assay (21), we found that among the 7 drugs identified, valsartan (10 µM) significantly reduced the accumulation of HMW Aβ species, while losartan was effective to a lesser extent. In contrast, propranolol HCl, carvedilol, an α/β-adrenergic blocker; valsartan and losartan, ARBs; and nifedipine HCl, a Ca2+ channel blocker, were found to lower Aβ, valsartan had stronger in vitro anti-Aβ oligo.

**Table 1.** Complete list of 55 commonly prescribed antihypertensive drugs screened for potential Aβ-lowering activity, in vitro

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>α/β-Adrenergic blockers</th>
<th>Prazosin hydrochloride</th>
<th>Urapidil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>β-Adrenergic blockers</td>
<td>Propranolol hydrochloride (α)</td>
<td>Labetalol hydrochloride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Atenolol</td>
<td>Metoprolol tartrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alprenolol</td>
<td>Nadolol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nadolol</td>
<td>Pindolol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Practolol</td>
<td>Timolol maleate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acebutalol hydrochloride</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β/α-Adrenergic blockers</td>
<td>Carvedilol</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors</td>
<td>Perindopril erbumine</td>
<td>Fosinopril sodium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enalapril maleate</td>
<td>Captopril</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ramipril</td>
<td>Benazepril hydrochloride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quinapril hydrochloride</td>
<td>Trandolapril</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angiotensin receptor blockers</td>
<td>Valsartan</td>
<td>Losartan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Olmesartan medoxomil</td>
<td>Telmisartan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Irbesartan</td>
<td>Candesartan cilexetil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium channel blockers</td>
<td>Dihydropyridine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nicardipine hydrochloride</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nitrendipine</td>
<td>Flunarizine hydrochloride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nifedipine</td>
<td>Nimodipine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amlodipine besylate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-dihydropyridine</td>
<td>Diltiazem hydrochloride</td>
<td>Verapamil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diuretics</td>
<td>Thiazide diuretics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bendroflumethiazide</td>
<td>Hydroflumethiazide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hydrochlorothiazide</td>
<td>Metolazone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Indapamide</td>
<td>Chlorothiazide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chlorothalidine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loop diuretics</td>
<td>Bumetanide</td>
<td>Ethacrynic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Torsemide</td>
<td>Furosemide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Potassium-sparing diuretics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amiloride hydrochloride</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other mechanisms of action</td>
<td>Direct vasodilators</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hydralazine hydrochloride</td>
<td>Minoxidil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dihydropyridine</td>
<td>Diazoxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Isoxsuprine hydrochloride</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minoxidil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other mechanisms of action</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guanethidine sulfate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All drugs were obtained as part of the Spectrum Collection from MicroSource Discovery Systems Inc. The 55 antihypertensive agents are listed in 8 pharmacological classes (24, 39). Potential Aβ-lowering activity was assessed using primary cortico-hippocampal neuron cultures derived from embryonic (E15) Tg2576 AD mice. In the high-throughput dose-response screening studies, the 7 drugs shown in boldface were found to exert significant Aβ-lowering activity, in the absence of cellular toxicity.
of blood pressure–lowering activity, we treated Tg2576 mice with AD-type spatial memory reference deficits and Aβ-to-amyloid precursor protein. As a result, 5 months in Tg2576 mice, delivered in the drinking water at either 10 or 40 mg/kg/d, did not significantly influence animal body weight (Figure 2A), daily fluid consumption (Figure 2B), or general metabolic status, as reflected by glucose tolerance responses (Figure 2C), assessed at approximately 11 months of age.

When we tested the potential influence of valsartan on modifications in blood pressure in Tg2576 mice, we found that valsartan delivered at either 10 or 40 mg/kg/d failed to produce a statistically significant change in either systolic, diastolic, or mean arterial blood pressure in normotensive Tg2576 mice (see below), relative to untreated age- and sex-matched Tg2576 control mice, after approximately 5 months of chronic treatment (Figure 2D). These data are consistent with a previous report that valsartan has no effect on normotensive rats (23).

In further control studies, we confirmed that adult (6–7 months old) Tg2576 mice are normotensive compared with strain-, age-, and sex-matched WT mice (Figure 2E).

Valsartan treatment attenuates AD-type cognitive deterioration coincidental with the prevention of Aβ oligomerization into soluble HMM extracellular species. The Tg2576 AD mouse model is well known to develop progressive Aβ-associated cognitive deterioration with increasing age (25). As expected, our study demonstrated that untreated control, 11-month-old Tg2576 mice showed impaired acquisition of spatial learning, as assessed by the Morris water maze (MWM) test (26). The mice failed to learn to use the available visual cues to help locate a submerged escape platform, as indicated by the lack of significant improvements in the escape latency across consecutive learning trials (Figure 3A).

After treatment with valsartan, Tg2576 mice were able to locate the escape platform, as demonstrated by significantly reduced escape latency with progressive learning trials (Figure 3A), even when valsartan was delivered at dose equivalents approximately 2-fold lower that those commonly prescribed for the treatment of hypertension in humans (10 mg/kg/d) (Figure 2D). These data are consistent with a previous report that valsartan has no effect on normotensive rats (23).

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identification of 7 antihypertensive drugs with Aβ-lowering activity in vitro</th>
<th>EC50 (M): Aβ-lowering activity</th>
<th>LDH (at 1 x 10^-6 M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>j-Adrenergic blocker</td>
<td>Aβ1-40</td>
<td>Aβ1-42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propranolol HCl (/+−)</td>
<td>5.75 x 10^-5</td>
<td>4.68 x 10^-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α1j-Adrenergic blocker</td>
<td>Carvediolol</td>
<td>6.61 x 10^-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angiotensin receptor blockers</td>
<td>Valsartan</td>
<td>1.44 x 10^-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium blocker</td>
<td>Losartan</td>
<td>7.82 x 10^-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diuretic</td>
<td>Nicardipine HCl</td>
<td>3.64 x 10^-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vasodilator</td>
<td>Amiloride HCl</td>
<td>8.67 x 10^-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydralazine HCl</td>
<td>9.29 x 10^-6</td>
<td>1.04 x 10^-5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Among the 55 clinically prescribed antihypertensive drugs, 7 drugs showed a dose-dependent Aβ-lowering activity without exerting neurotoxicity as evaluated by LDH assay. The drug dose-response curve was analyzed using sigmoid dose-response (variable hillslope) nonlinear fitting method (Prism Software; GraphPad Software). $\text{EC}_{50}$ values were derived from the equation: $y = \text{lowest Aβ level} + (\text{highest Aβ level} - \text{lowest Aβ level}) / (1 + 10^{(\log\text{EC}_{50} - x)} \times \text{hillslope})$, where the x value is logarithm of drug concentration and the y value is Aβ level. LDH, lactate dehydrogenase.
Figure 1
Valsartan prevents oligomerization of Aβ1–42 peptide into a HMW Aβ species in vitro. (A) Western blot analysis of Aβ1–42 oligomers in the presence of losartan, valsartan, carvedilol, hydralazine, propranolol, nicardipine, or amiloride. Bands at 3.5 kDa represent the monomeric Aβ form, whereas the smear between 55 and 130 kDa represents the oligomeric form of Aβ. (B) Valsartan decreases the accumulation of HMW Aβ1–42 species. Inset: Nonaggregated Aβ1–42 control (lane 1). Representative Western immunoblot of Aβ1–42 in the absence (lane 2) or presence of 10 μM valsartan (lane 3). (C) Quantitative dot blot analysis of valsartan inhibition of Aβ1–42 oligomerization. The same samples used in B were subjected to dot blot analysis using oligomer-specific antibody A11. Inset: Representative dot blot image. Results are expressed as percent of negative control (negative control presents nonaggregated Aβ), and values represent mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05.

Given the central role of soluble HMW extracellular Aβ oligomers in AD-type cognitive deterioration, we examined the accumulation of HMW Aβ peptides in the brain. We found that treatment of Tg2576 mice with valsartan, at either 10 or 40 mg/kg/d, resulted in an approximately 2- to 3-fold reduction in HMW Aβ oligomers in the cerebral cortex (Figure 3B), approximately 5 months after treatment. This reduction in soluble HMW Aβ oligomers in both 10 and 40 mg/kg/d valsartan–treated animals coincided with improvement in spatial memory function in these animals. Besides the reduction in HMW oligomeric Aβ, we also found a significant reduction in total soluble Aβ peptide in the valsartan-treated mouse brains (Figure 3C).

While it is possible that the observed reduction in soluble, extracellular HMW Aβ oligomeric peptide content might be a reflection of an overall reduction in total Aβ peptide (see below; Figure 3D), we note that the ratio of soluble HMW Aβ to total soluble Aβ content in the brain of valsartan-treated Tg2576 was approximately 2-fold lower than that in the untreated Tg2576 animals, tentatively suggesting that a significant proportion of the total soluble Aβ peptides in the brain of the valsartan-treated groups is not in the neurotoxic soluble, extracellular HMW form. This evidence supports the potential role of valsartan as an inhibitor of Aβ oligomerization.

Valsartan prevents AD-type amyloid neuropathology. Treatment of Tg2576 mice with 40 mg/kg/d valsartan resulted in a 1- to 2-fold reduction in total guanidine-extractable Aβ1–42 peptide (P < 0.05) and Aβ1–40 peptide (P < 0.05) in the cerebral cortex and hippocampal formation (P < 0.05 and P < 0.01 for Aβ1–42 and Aβ1–40, respectively) (Figure 3D). We found that treatment with 10 mg/kg/d valsartan also reduced total Aβ1–40 peptide accumulation in the brain compared with the untreated control animals (P < 0.05 for both cerebral cortex and hippocampal formation) (Figure 3D).

Finally, consistent with this evidence that valsartan treatment prevents the accumulation of total Aβ peptides in the brain, we also found a significant reduction in AD-type amyloid plaque burden in the contralateral hemisphere of the same Tg2576 mice treated with valsartan at 10 mg/kg/d (P < 0.01 for cortex; P < 0.05 for hippocampus) or 40 mg/kg/d (P < 0.01 for cortex; P < 0.05 for hippocampus), relative to age- and sex-matched untreated control Tg2576 mice, as assessed stereologically (Figure 3E).

Valsartan may beneficially influence AD pathogenesis through degradation and clearance of Aβ from the brain. To understand the mechanisms underlying the benefits of valsartan on AD-type cognitive function and Aβ neuropathology, we first examined whether valsartan can influence the processing of the APP. We found that valsartan treatment had no effect on APP holoprotein levels (C8 antibody that detects the full-length APP protein) in brain homogenates (cerebral cortex) (Figure 4A). Nor did α-, β-, and γ-secretase activities in the cerebral cortex of valsartan-treated Tg2576 mice differ from those of age- and sex-matched untreated control Tg2576 mice (Figure 4B). In addition, we found no detectable change in the content of α-, β-, or γ-carboxyterminal fragments (α-, β-, or γ-CTFs), in the brain of Tg2576 mice treated with 10 mg/kg/d or 40 mg/kg/d relative to untreated control Tg2576 mice (P = 0.46, P = 0.25, and P = 0.23 for α-, β-, and γ-CTFs, respectively; 1-way ANOVA); similarly, no detectable changes were found in the content of soluble APPs (sAPPs) (P = 0.45) or sAPPβ (P = 0.94) in the brain of valsartan-treated mice at either 10 or 40 mg/kg/d relative to untreated control Tg2576 mice.

Collectively, this evidence tentatively excludes the possibility that valsartan treatment in Tg2576 mice may prevent AD-type cognitive deterioration and AD-type neuropathology by reducing the generation of Aβ peptides in the brain from APP processing, as we also found in vitro. We note that in vitro studies using...
primary Tg2576 neuron cultures, valsartan treatment had no influence on intracellular Aβ content, as assessed by Western blot analysis (data not shown).

Based on the valsartan-induced decrease in total Aβ1-40 or Aβ1-42 content in the brain, independent of mechanisms involving APP processing, we considered the possibility that valsartan treatment could lead to reduced levels of Aβ peptides in the serum, thereby creating a “sink effect” that promotes efflux of Aβ from the brain into the circulation (27). There was a 20%–25% dose-dependent reduction in Aβ1-42 and Aβ1-40 in the serum of valsartan-treated Tg2576 mice, relative to untreated controls (Figure 4C), but these changes did not reach statistical significance. It is still possible that valsartan treatment reduces the accumulation of Aβ peptides, including soluble extracellular HMW Aβ oligomers, in the brain, in part by promoting peripheral Aβ clearance.

While valsartan showed no influence on secretase activity or APP processing, we did find that valsartan treatment at 40 mg/kg/d led to a significant elevation in the activity of cell membrane-bound (CM-bound) insulin-degrading enzyme (IDE) in the cerebral cortex (P = 0.0211) (Figure 4D), accompanied by elevation of IDE protein content (Figure 4D, inset), relative to age- and sex-matched untreated control Tg2576 mice. This elevation of IDE in Tg2576 mice was highly selective, as we found no detectable changes in intracellular soluble IDE (Figure 4D), neprilysin (Figure 4E), or endothelin-converting enzyme–1 (ECE-1) (Figure 4F) in the brains of valsartan-treated Tg2576 mice, relative to age- and sex-matched Tg2576 untreated control mice.

The upregulation of IDE activity in vivo is consistent with our in vitro finding in cortico-hippocampal primary neuron cultures derived from Tg2576 embryos. We found that cotreatment of cultures with the IDE inhibitor 1,10-phenanthroline prevented valsartan-mediated Aβ1-42-lowering activities in the conditioned medium 16 hours after treatment; Aβ1-42 content in the conditioned medium as percentage of control cultures: vehicle-treated cultures, 100% ± 2.45%; valsartan-treated (50 μM) neuron cultures, 49.2% ± 4.7% (P < 0.001 vs. control); valsartan plus 1,10-phenanthroline–treated (60 μM) cultures, 96.0% ± 2.24% (P < 0.001 vs. valsartan alone; 1-way ANOVA followed by Newman-Keuls post-hoc analysis). Collectively, this evidence supports the central role of IDE in modulating Aβ-lowering activities in response to valsartan treatment in the brain.

Based on recent evidence showing that AD dementia is associated with reduced membrane IDE activity and content, but not soluble IDE (28), our observation suggests that valsartan treatment might reduce total Aβ content, including HMW soluble Aβ in the brain, in part, by facilitating membrane-associated IDE-mediated proteolytic cleavage of Aβ peptides.

Discussion
This study was designed primarily in response to a series of epidemiological and clinical studies reporting mixed results on the
association of the use of antihypertensive drugs and AD incidence (1–5). To clarify whether any of the currently available antihypertensive medications could provide beneficial AD-modifying activity, we surveyed 55 antihypertensive drugs for their potential beneficial role in AD-type amyloid neuropathology. Seven of these were identified to significantly reduce $A\beta$ accumulation in vitro. Among these 7 drugs tested for antioligomerization activity, we found valsartan, and to a lesser extent losartan, to be the only drugs capable of attenuating oligomerization of $A\beta$ peptides into soluble HMW $A\beta$ species in vitro.

Valsartan treatment prevents $A\beta$-related spatial memory reference deficits and AD-type neuropathology in vivo at doses equivalent to or lower than the recommended dose for humans. In our study we found that treatment of Tg2576 mice with valsartan at a concentration as low as 10 mg/kg/d attenuated spatial reference memory deterioration that coincided with an approximately 3-fold reduction in HMW $A\beta$ peptide content in the brain, while IDE activity and the content of total $A\beta_{1–42}$ (but not total $A\beta_{1–40}$) were unaffected. We hypothesize that treatment with the low valsartan dose most likely beneficially influences AD-type spatial memory deterioration through direct interaction of valsartan with $A\beta$ peptides in the brain (as we found in vitro) and prevents the generation of soluble, extracellular HMW $A\beta$ species. This activity is independent of IDE promotion. This scenario is consistent with the recent study showing that extracellular, soluble HMW oligomeric $A\beta$ peptides purified from the brain of middle-aged, impaired Tg2576 mice could disrupt memory functions, even episodically, when administered to

Figure 3
Chronic valsartan treatment of Tg2576 mice resulted in dose-dependent attenuations of AD-type spatial memory deterioration in Tg2576 mice, which is coincidental with significant reductions in HMW soluble $A\beta$ species and AD-type neuropathology in the brains of Tg2576 mice. (A) The influence of $A\beta$-related spatial memory in response to valsartan treatment at 10 and 40 mg/kg/d versus the untreated control Tg2576 mice was assessed using an MWM test in approximately 11-month-old female Tg2576 mice. Latency score represents time taken to escape to the platform from the water. (B) Assessments of soluble, extracellular HMW $A\beta$ peptide contents in the brain using an antibody specific for HMW oligomeric $A\beta$ peptides in a dot blot analysis. Inset: Representative dot blot analysis of HMW soluble $A\beta$ contents. (C) Assessment of total PBS-soluble $A\beta$ peptide using ELISA assay. (D) Assessment of $A\beta_{1–42}$ and $A\beta_{1–40}$ peptide concentrations in the cerebral cortex and hippocampus of valsartan-treated (10 or 40 mg/kg/d) or control mice. (E) Stereological assessment of cerebral cortex and hippocampal $A\beta$ plaque burden in valsartan-treated or control mice expressed as thioflavin-S–positive volume as a percentage of regional volume. Inset: Representative photograph of thioflavin-S–positive $A\beta$ plaque neuropathology in neocortex (CTX) and hippocampal formation (H) in untreated control (left panel) and valsartan-treated (40 mg/kg/d) Tg2576 mice (right panel). Arrowheads point toward thioflavin-S positive amyloid plaques. Original magnification, ×125. Values represent group mean ± SEM; n = 7–9 mice per group. In B and C, *P < 0.001; In D and E, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01; 1-way ANOVA followed by Newman-Keuls post-hoc analysis.
normal rats (18). In addition to preventing Aβ oligomerization, we found that the higher dose of valsartan (40 mg/kg/d) also significantly promoted CM-IDE activity in the cerebral cortex of Tg2576 mice. This increase in CM-IDE activity was highly selective, as there was no detectable change in other proteases involved in the clearance of Aβ (e.g., neprilysin and ECE). Thus at the higher dose, valsartan most likely benefited spatial memory functions in Tg2576 mice by simultaneously increasing Aβ degradation by IDE and reducing Aβ aggregation into soluble, extracellular HMW Aβ species.

Finally, an additional mechanism through which valsartan prevents Aβ-related spatial memory impairment and AD-type neuropathology may be through the promotion of Aβ “sinking” from the brain to the periphery, as suggested by the decreased level of serum Aβ in valsartan-treated Tg2576 mice. However, our results did not reach statistical significance. Additional studies will clarify whether valsartan treatment may also reduce Aβ neuropathology by promoting Aβ sinking from the brain to the periphery.

Collectively, our evidence suggests that valsartan treatment might prevent Aβ-related spatial memory reference deficits in the Tg2576 AD mouse model through a combination of multiple mechanisms: (a) reducing Aβ aggregation into HMW Aβ species; (b) increasing Aβ degradation by IDE; and possibly (c) promoting sinking of Aβ peptides from the brain to the periphery.

Valsartan beneficially prevented Aβ-related spatial memory reference deficit in Tg2576 mice at a dose less than the equivalent recommended clinical dose for hypertensive treatment. While there are as yet no data in humans to support the idea that angiotensin receptor–blocking drug valsartan has any impact on the incidence or progression of cognitive impairment, this study may provide the appropriate impetus for clinical trials in vulnerable human subjects, such as patients with mild cognitive impairment, at subclinical doses.

Aside from valsartan, we identified 6 antihypertensive drugs that significantly reduced accumulations of Aβ peptides in vitro in primary Tg2576 cortico-hippocampal neuron cultures. While our evidence supports the in vivo efficacy of valsartan to attenuate AD-type phenotypes in Tg2576 AD mouse model, we have not yet determined the in vivo efficacy of the other 6 Aβ-lowering antihypertensive drugs. Many factors, including biological availability and drug metabolism, may influence Aβ-lowering activity of a drug in vivo. It would not be surprising if some drugs that are biologically active in vitro were not effective in vivo. Thus, individual drugs must be investigated on a case-by-case basis. Ongoing studies in our laboratory are evaluating the remaining 6 antihypertensives individually for their in vivo efficacy to protect against progressive Aβ neuropathology and Aβ-related memory deficits in AD.

Figure 4
Valsartan treatments prevented cognitive impairment and attenuate AD-type neuropathology, in part, by promoting membrane-bound insulin degradation enzyme activity. (A) APP content in the cortex of valsartan-treated or untreated control Tg2576 mice. Inset: Representative immunoreactive APP (C8 antibody) and β-actin signals. (B) Assessments of cellular α-, β-, and γ-secretase activities in the cerebral cortex of Tg2576 mice in response to valsartan treatment. (C) Assessment of Aβ1–42 and Aβ1–40 peptide content in peripheral blood (serum). (D) Assessments of CM-associated (left panel) and cytosolic (right panel) IDE activity in the cerebral cortex of Tg2576 mice in response to valsartan treatment. Inset: Representative immunoblot signals of membrane and cytosolic IDE protein content from the same samples. (E) Assessments of neprilysin content by Western blotting using a commercial rabbit anti-mouse neprilysin antibody. Inset: Representative neprilysin and actin protein signals from the same blot. (F) Assessment of ECE activity using an Endothelin-1 ELISA System. Values represent group mean ± SEM; n = 7–9 mice per group. *P < 0.05; 1-way ANOVA, followed by Newman-Keuls post-hoc analysis.
Methods

Cell cultures and drug screening. E16 cortico-hippocampal neuronal cultures were prepared from heterozygous Tg2576 transgenic mice (Tg2576 neurons) (20) (see below). Embryonic brain tissue was mechanically triturated and centrifuged. Neurons were seeded onto poly-γ-lysine-coated 96-well plates at 1.0 × 10⁵ cells per well and cultured in the serum-free chemically defined Neurobasal medium, supplemented with 2% B27, 0.5 mM t-glutamine, and 1% penicillin-streptomycin ( Gibco-BRL, Invitrogen). The absence of astrocytes (~2%) was confirmed by the virtual absence of glial fibrillary acidic (GFAP) protein immunostaining (data not shown). For primary screening, cultured neurons were treated with 100 μM of drug in duplicate for 16 hours; all drugs were obtained in stock from Micro-Source Discovery Systems Inc. Conditioned medium was collected for Aβ detection using commercially available ELISA kits (BioSource). Drugs that reduced Aβ content by more than 15% in the primary screening were selected for secondary screening. Primary neurons prepared in 96-well plates were treated with 0.1 μM, 1 μM, 10 μM, 50 μM, and 100 μM of each drug in duplicate for approximately 16 hours, and conditioned medium was collected for Aβ detection. Cell viability was assessed using a commercially available LDH assay kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Promega). The EC₅₀ value of each drug was calculated using the GraphPad Prism software package (GraphPad Software).

Aβ peptide oligomerization assay in vitro. Lyophilized Aβ₁₋₄̫ peptide was dissolved in 1,1,3,3,3-hexafluoro-2-propanol (HFIP; Sigma-Aldrich), incubated at room temperature for 60 minutes, aliquoted, vacuum dried, and stored at –80°C. Aβ peptide was dissolved in DMSO and diluted into ddH₂O to a final concentration of 100 μg/ml. The peptide was then mixed with equal volume of drugs and incubated at 37°C for 1 day (29).

Following incubation, samples were centrifuged at 14,000 g for 10 minutes at 4°C. Supernatants were mixed with 2× SDS sample buffer and separated on a 10%–20% Tris-Tricine gradient SDS gel (Invitrogen). The separated peptides were subjected to Western blotting using 6E10 antibody (1:1,000; Signet). Immunoreactive signals were visualized using ECL detection (Amersham) and quantified densitometrically (Quantity One; Bio-Rad).

For dot blot analysis, samples used for the Western blot analysis (100 ng peptide) were directly applied to the nitrocellulose membrane, air dried, and blocked with 5% nonfat milk followed by incubation with antibody A11 ( Biosource), an antibody that specifically recognizes the oligomeric form of Aβ. Immunoreactive signals were detected and quantified as described above.

Tg2576 mice and valsartan treatment. This study used Tg2576 AD transgenic mice that express the human 695-aa isoform of APP containing the Swedish double mutation ( APPsw) [(APP695)Lys670→Asn, Met671→Leu] driven by a hamster promoter. Female Tg2576 mice and age-, sex-, and strain-matched WT mice (Taconic) were randomly assigned to the following valsartan treatment groups: 10 mg/kg/d, 40 mg/kg/d, and the control water treatment group. In the Tg2576 AD mouse model, Aβ peptide content in the brain accumulates exponentially between 7 and 12 months of age (24, 30); therefore, we treated animals for 5 months, starting at 6 months of age. All animal studies were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Mount Sinai School of Medicine.

Valsartan monosodium salt was obtained from MicroSource Discovery Systems Inc. For the preparation of valsartan drinking solutions, we dissolved valsartan (stored in a dry environment) in sterile water by adding valsartan salt to water at 30–40°C and stirred vigorously until it was completely dissolved. The solution was then cooled to room temperature slowly without external cooling to discourage precipitation of the drug. Valsartan salt has a solubility of approximately 5 g/l at room temperature, and the aqueous valsartan salt solution is slightly acidic, with a pH of 5.5. We generally neutralize aqueous valsartan solution with sodium bicarbonate without detectable reduction of valsartan solubility. For our preclinical in vivo studies, we prepared neutralized valsartan aqueous solutions at concentrations (50–200 mg/l) well below the maximal solubility of sodium valsartan in water. Valsartan solutions in the drinking water were wrapped in aluminum to avoid potential photochemical changes and always maintained at room temperature to avoid potential precipitation from solution. Valsartan salt does not contain labile groups, and routine quality control checking detected no change in the recovered compound on TLC analysis at both 50 and 200 mg/l valsartan solutions. Quality was assessed in 3- to 10-week-old solutions stored at room temperature in dark compartments (data not shown). Drinking solutions for the in vivo treatments were freshly prepared twice a week. Liquid consumption and animal body weight were monitored weekly throughout the study.

At approximately 11 months of age, following assessment of spatial memory functions by the MWM test, mice were anesthetized with the general inhalation anesthetic 1-chloro-2,2,2-trifluoroethyl difluoromethyl ether (Baxter Healthcare) and sacrificed by decapitation. Brains were harvested and hemi-dissected. One hemisphere was fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 24 hours for histological studies. Hippocampus and cortex were dissected from the opposite hemisphere, rapidly frozen, pulverized in liquid nitrogen, and stored at ~80°C for biochemical studies.

Assessment of blood pressure and glucose utilization. Mouse blood pressure was routinely recorded using a commercial blood pressure analysis system designed specifically for small rodents (Hatteras Instruments). To assess potential alteration in glucose utilization in response to chronic treatment with valsartan, we used an insulin glucose tolerance test (IGTT), as previously described (31). Briefly, mice were given a single dose of glucose postprandially (i.p. 2 g/kg body weight). Blood was collected from the tail vein periodically over a 2-hour period. Blood glycemic content was assessed using the OneTouch LifeScan System (LifeScan), following the manufacturer’s instructions.

Behavioral assessment of cognitive function by the MWM test. We used the MWM test to evaluate working and reference memory function in response to treatment with valsartan in Tg2576 mice, as previously described (25). At approximately 11 months of age, mice were put into the water maze from 4 different quadrants; spatial memory was assessed by recording the average latency time for the animal to escape to the hidden platform. The behavior analysis was consistently conducted during the last 4 hours of the day portion of the light cycle in an environment with minimal stimuli (e.g., noise, movement, or changes in light or temperature).

Assessment of HMW soluble oligomeric Aβ oligomerization in the brain by dot blot assay. In this study, soluble proteins were extracted from the brain samples (cortex) with PBS in the presence of protease inhibitors and centrifuged at 78,500 g for 1 hour at 4°C (32). Four micrograms of extracellular soluble protein isolated from the cerebral cortex of valsartan- or vehicle-treated Tg2576 mice was directly applied to a nitrocellulose membrane, air dried, and blocked with 5% nonfat milk, as previously described (21). The membrane was probed with either anti-oligomer A11 antibody (1:1,000; Biosource) or 6E10 antibody (1:1,000; Signet) (21). Dot blot immunoreactivities were quantified densitometrically. For total soluble Aβ assessment, the extracellular soluble protein used for dot blot was subject to ELISA analysis (BioSource) (21).

Assessment of AD-type amyloid neuropathology in Tg2576 mice. For quantitative assessment of Aβ peptide in the brain, frozen pulverized tissue was homogenized in 5.0 M guanidine buffer, diluted (1:10) in PBS containing 0.05% (vol/vol) Tween-20 and 1 mM Pefabloc protease inhibitors (Roche Biochemicals) and centrifuged for 20 minutes at 4°C. Total Aβ₁₋₄̫ or Aβ₁₋₄̫ was quantified by sandwich ELISA (BioSource), as previously reported (29). Serum Aβ content was analyzed using the same ELISA kit, following manufacturer’s instructions.
For stereological assessment of AD-type amyloid burden, freshly harvested mouse brain hemispheres were immersion fixed overnight in 4% paraformaldehyde. They were then sectioned in the coronal plane on a Vibratome at a nominal thickness of 50 μm. Every 12th section was selected from a random start position and processed for thioflavin-S staining, as previously described (29, 33). All stereologic analysis was performed using a Zeiss Axiohotophotomicroscope equipped with a Zeiss motorized stage and Msp65 stage controller, a high-resolution MicroFire digital camera, and a Dell computer running the custom-designed software Stereo Investigate (MBF Bioscience). The amyloid burden was estimated using the Cavalieri principle with a small-size grid (25 × 25 μm) for point counting, as previously described (29).

APP processing and α-β-γ-secretase activity. Expression of holo-APP was examined by Western blot analysis with the C8 antibody (raised against aa 676–695 of human APP cytoplasmic domain; gift of Dennis Selkoe, Brigham and Women’s Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA). Immunoprecipitation was performed for detection of sAppβ and sAppβ by as previously described (29). α-, β-, and γ-CTFs were assessed by Western blot analysis as previously described (29). α-, β-, and γ-secretase activities were assessed using commercially available kits (R&D Systems) (29, 34). Brain samples were homogenized in supplied buffers. Homogenate was then added to secretase-specific APP peptide conjugated to the reporter molecules EDANS and DABCYL reporter molecules, allowing for the release of a fluorogenic moiety. Cleavage of APP peptide by secretase physically separates the APP processing and enzymatic activity assay.

APP processing and α-β-γ-secretase activity. Expression of holo-APP was examined by Western blot analysis with the C8 antibody (raised against aa 676–695 of human APP cytoplasmic domain; gift of Dennis Selkoe, Brigham and Women’s Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA). Immunoprecipitation was performed for detection of sAppβ and sAppβ by as previously described (29). α-, β-, and γ-CTFs were assessed by Western blot analysis as previously described (29). α-, β-, and γ-secretase activities were assessed using commercially available kits (R&D Systems) (29, 34). Brain samples were homogenized in supplied buffers. Homogenate was then added to secretase-specific APP peptide conjugated to the reporter molecules EDANS and DABCYL. In the uncleaved form, fluorescent emissions from EDANS were quenched by the physical proximity of the DABCYL moiety. Cleavage of APP peptide by secretase physically separates the EDANS and DABCYL reporter molecules, allowing for the release of a fluorescent signal. The level of secretase enzymatic activity is proportional to the fluorometric reaction in the homogenate (R&D Systems).

IDE protein content and enzymatic activity assay. Frozen brain samples were pulverized in dry ice and homogenized in homogenization buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 20 μg Sigma Protease Inhibitor/ml tissue homogenate by passing them through a 26-gauge needle approximately 15 times. Lysates were first centrifuged at 2,500 × g for 10 minutes, nuclei and cell debris and subsequently at 100,000 × g for 45 minutes. The obtained supernatant was subjected to Western blot analysis using rabbit anti-mouse IDE antibody (Abcam Inc.). IDE immunoreactivity was visualized with ECL (Amersham) and quantified densitometrically. Actin immunoreactivities (rabbit anti-actin; 1:5,000; Sigma-Aldrich) were used to control sample loading and normalization of IDE immunoreactive signal.

IDE activity was measured by degradation of [125I]insulin, as previ-
ously described, with modifications (35, 36). Briefly, the same protein fractions (50 μg) used for assessment of IDE protein expression were incubated in the presence of [125I]insulin in reaction buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 20 μl Sigma Protease Inhibitor/ml tissue homogenate, and 1% BSA) at 37°C; the reaction was stopped by adding 9 volumes of 5% TCA. The levels of [125I]insulin released into the TCA-soluble, degraded insulin or TCA-insoluble, undegraded insulin were used as IDE activity indexes.

ECE activity and neprilysin protein content. Frozen pulverized brain samples were homogenized in homogenization buffer (50 mM Tris/pH 7, 0.1 mM PMSF); nuclei and cell debris were removed by centrifugation at 2,500 × g for 15 minutes. The membrane pellet was obtained by centrifugation at 100,000 × g for 45 minutes. The obtained membranes were washed once and dissolved in the homogenization buffer supplied with 1% N-octyl-glucoside (Sigma-Aldrich) for 1 hour at 4°C. The nonsoluble part was removed by centrifugation at 20,000 × g for 60 minutes. Protein content in the supernatant was measured using a Bio-Rad Protein Assay. Fifty micrometers of the membrane protein was incubated with 100 ng rat big ET-1 (American Peptide Co.) at 37°C for 4 hours in 250 μl of the reaction mixture (50 mM Tris/pH 7). The reaction was stopped by adding 600 μl of cold ethanol (–20°C). After centrifugation at 10,000 × g for 10 minutes, the resulting supernatant was lyophilized, and the dry pellet was reconstituted with 250 μl of the assay buffer and subjected to the ET-1 measurement by an Endothelin-1 Biotrak ELISA System (Amershams Biosciences). A cubic-spline curve was fitted to the standards, and the unknown values were interpolated from the standard curve (37, 38) (data not shown). Zinc-dependent metallopeprotease neprilysin content in the mouse brain was measured by Western blot analysis using rabbit anti-mouse NEP antibody (Alpha Diagnostics International).

Statistics. All values are expressed as mean ± SEM. Differences between means were analyzed using either 2-way repeated measures ANOVA or ordinary 1-way ANOVA followed by Newman-Keuls post-hoc analysis, when indicated. In all analyses, the null hypothesis was rejected when P was less than 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed using the Prism Stat program (GraphPad Software Inc.).
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