A parable for regenerative medicine
In this ongoing parable of regenerative medicine, the initial hope was that we were in an advantageous position to take a “shot on goal” by moving quickly to clinical studies. The rationale was noble, clear, and compelling, particularly given the unmet clinical need and the robust results of early scientific studies. However, it now is becoming increasingly clear that we may not have the optimal cell type in hand, let alone a clear understanding of other key variables such as in vivo delivery, efficiency of grafting, and suppression of alternative, unwanted cell phenotypes (e.g., pacemaker cell formation in the midst of cardiac muscle regeneration).
Cardiovascular stem cell biology still remains one of the most intriguing fields of scientific inquiry in the cardiovascular field and holds great long-term potential. Perhaps, given our growing understanding of the complexity of cell therapy for heart disease, the time has come to “move the ball down the field” by first trying to understand the mechanistic basis of this potential therapy.
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The leak stops here: platelets as delivery vehicles for coagulation factors
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Gene therapy is an attractive approach for the treatment of hemophilia, as continuous expression of donated clotting factor VIII (FVIII) DNA would ensure clotting factor replacement at constant circulating levels rather than at the peaks and troughs that characterize the current protein infusion therapeutic approach. In this issue of the JCI, Shi et al. describe an interesting variant of a gene transfer approach for hemophilia (see the related article beginning on page 1974). They showed that targeted expression of FVIII in megakaryocytes, with storage in the α-granules of platelets, has the advantage of delivering clotting factors directly to the site of an injury, where platelets accumulate in large numbers and undergo activation accompanied by release of granule contents. Earlier clinical experience with gene transfer into hematopoietic cells highlighted the potential safety risks of this approach, but an F8 transgene may represent a lower risk than transgenes for growth factors or their receptors.
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Gene therapy for the treatment of genetic disease remains one of the most compelling ideas in modern molecular medicine. In genetic disease, where the therapeutic objective is long-term expression of a specific protein, there are — broadly speaking — two potential strategies: gene transfer into a stem cell via an integrating vector, so that all daughter cells contain the genetic modification, and gene transfer with a nonintegrating vector into long-lived postmitotic cells (such as skeletal or cardiac muscle, hepatocytes, or cells in the central nervous system), so that long-lasting expression is achieved even without vector integration. Both of these strategies have been used to achieve long-term expression of a clotting factor, with phenotypic correction, in large animal models of hemophilia, one by use of a retroviral vector in neonatal (rapidly dividing) hepatocytes (1), and the other by use of an adeno-associated virus vector introduced into mature hepatocytes (2–4) (Figure 1A).

One of the advantages of hemophilia as a model for gene therapy is that tissue-specific expression of the donated gene is not
required. Although clotting factors are normally made in the liver (in both hepatocytes and endothelial cells), biologically active coagulation factors can be synthesized in a variety of tissues; in fact, some of the currently marketed recombinant clotting factors are synthesized in baby hamster kidney and CHO cells. This latitude in choice of target tissue has been adequately exploited in the field, and cures of murine models of hemophilia have been achieved through clotting factor expression in skeletal muscle (5), the epidermis (6), and bone marrow stromal cells (7).

**Factor VIII gene expression in megakaryocytes — a therapeutic platelet?**

In 2003, Poncz and coworkers proposed and demonstrated the feasibility of gene transfer into platelet precursors as a strategy for the treatment of hemophilia A, an X-linked disorder caused by deficiency of coagulation factor VIII (FVIII; Figure 1B) (8). Using the platelet-specific glycoprotein Ibα promoter, they constructed a transgenic mouse expressing human B-domain–deleted FVIII and showed that expression of FVIII in megakaryocytes and platelets improved hemostasis in hemophilia A mice (as judged by bleeding times), even though circulating FVIII levels did not rise above 1% of normal. They further showed that human FVIII colocalized with VWF in the α-granules of platelets and that transfusion of platelets from the transgenic mice into mice with hemophilia A resulted in an improvement in hemostasis in these animals as well. That hemostasis improved even without an increase in circulating levels of FVIII was due to platelet activation and subsequent release of granule contents, including FVIII, which was demonstrated by measuring FVIII levels in a platelet lysate. It was suggested that local release of FVIII from the activated platelet at the site of a bleed could result in effective hemostasis even in the presence of circulating antibodies (i.e., inhibitors) to FVIII. In this issue of the JCI, Shi and colleagues (9) provide convincing evidence in support of this approach and show for the first time to my knowledge that this strategy improves hemostasis even in the presence of high-titer inhibitors to FVIII, a problem encountered in as many as 25–30% of patients with hemophilia A.

**Feasibility issues: scaling up and engraftment**

Can this encouraging result in mice be extended to humans with the disease? An advantage for hemophilia in assessing the likelihood of
treatment success in humans is the availability of a large animal model of the disease. The availability of strains of hemophilic dogs that are prone to FVIII inhibitor formation (10), of the cloned canine F8 cDNA (11), and of well-established techniques for bone marrow transplantation of genetically modified cells in dogs (12) means that the feasibility of this strategy can be readily assessed in an organism close in size to humans. If feasibility is demonstrated in the hemophilic dog model, it will still be critical to assess the safety of the approach. Transduction of CD34+ hematopoietic stem cells with an integrating vector has already been used successfully to treat lymphoid immunodeficiency disorders in children with X-linked SCID (13) and adenosine deaminase deficiency SCID (ADA-SCID) (14). In these disorders, the success of the gene transfer approach was dependent on a selective survival and growth advantage for the transduced cells, which eventually came to represent a substantial proportion of the circulating cells. In the case of FVIII, of course, no survival advantage accrues to the transduced cells, so the powerful in vivo selection that is a key to success in immunodeficiency disorders plays no role here. Potential strategies to circumvent this obstacle include full myeloablation prior to transplantation with genetically modified autologous cells so that the repopulated marrow contains mostly genetically modified cells (as essentially used in these experiments, in which mice were lethally irradiated prior to transplant), or coexpression of the donated gene with a drug resistance marker (15) so that transplantation of genetically modified autologous cells, followed by drug selection, results in a strong in vivo survival advantage for the transduced cells. Since short-term risks of autologous transplantation are very low and the presence of both hemophilic and acquired inhibitors can be a difficult problem in treatment management, the risk/benefit ratio for gene transfer in this setting may well be a favorable one.

Safety issues: integration and insertional mutagenesis

Aside from issues related to engraftment and survival of genetically modified cells, though, the use of integrating vectors raises the concern of insertional mutagenesis. This had been an anticipated complication of gene transfer with integrating vectors, but had remained largely theoretical until 2002, when it was first reported in two children three years after they had undergone successful gene transfer for X-linked SCID (16, 17). In incisive and compelling work, the investigators that first described this complication demonstrated progressive outgrowth of a leukemic clone in which the donated gene had integrated within the LMO2 oncogene. A recent study suggests that the identity of the donated gene itself (in the case of X-linked SCID, a receptor for a cytokine growth factor) contributed to the adverse event (18). The absence of such complications in ADA-SCID, in which the donated gene is an enzyme rather than a growth factor receptor, is consistent with a role for the transgene product and suggests that genes like F8 (a cofactor for an enzyme) would be unlikely to lead to the complication seen in X-linked SCID. An emerging concept is that growth factors, their receptors, and signal transduction molecules may represent a higher level of risk as transgene products compared with enzymes and cofactors. Proposed strategies for avoiding risks related to insertional mutagenesis include use of insulating elements to “shield” the donated gene from effects of flanking DNA and vice versa (19), development of vectors that target certain “safe” sites in the chromosomal DNA (20), and use of suicide elements (e.g., a thymidine kinase gene) within the donated gene cassette so that a rapidly expanding clone can be controlled with a small-molecule drug (e.g., gancyclovir) (21). None of these concerns represent insuperable obstacles, and the work described by Shi et al. (9) may herald an important therapeutic advance for those individuals with hemophilic or acquired inhibitors. Particularly for those in the latter group, where mortality within the first year after diagnosis can be as high as 10%, a strategy based on infusion of genetically modified autologous bone marrow cells may be a reasonable alternative to current immunosuppressive regimes, which have had only modest success rates. The availability of differing gene transfer approaches for hemophilia will be important for this patient group, in which—for example—some patients can never be candidates for liver-directed gene transfer strategies due to underlying liver disease. In the long term, it is likely that there will be multiple successful strategies for gene transfer in hemophilia. An approach that generates “therapeutic” platelets would be a welcome advance.
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