HIV infection selectively targets CD4+ effector memory T (TEM) cells, resulting in dramatic depletion of CD4+ T cells in mucosal effector sites in early infection. Regeneration of the TEM cell compartment is slow and incomplete, even when viral replication is controlled by antiretroviral therapy (ART). Here, we demonstrate that IL-15 dramatically increases in vivo proliferation of rhesus macaque (RM) CD4+ and CD8+ TEM cells with little effect on the naive or central memory T (TCM) cell subsets, a response pattern that is quite distinct from that of either IL-2 or IL-7. TEM cells produced in response to IL-15 did not accumulate in blood. Rather, 5-bromo-2’-deoxyuridine (BrdU) labeling studies suggest that many of these cells rapidly disperse to extralymphoid effector sites, where they manifest (slow) decay kinetics indistinguishable from that of untreated controls. In RMs with uncontrolled SIV infection and highly activated immune systems, IL-15 did not significantly increase CD4+ TEM cell proliferation, but with virologic control and concomitant reduction in immune activation by ART, IL-15 responsiveness was again observed. These data suggest that therapeutic use of IL-15 in the setting of ART might facilitate specific restoration of the CD4+ T cell compartment that is the primary target of HIV with less risk of exhausting precursor T cell compartments or generating potentially deleterious regulatory subsets.

Introduction
Infection-transmitting HIV and its nonhuman primate (NHP) counterpart SIV use CCR5 as their primary coreceptor and therefore preferentially target the differentiated CD4+ “effector memory” T (TEM) cells that constitute the major population of CD4+ T cells in extralymphoid effector sites such as the intestinal lamina propria, lung, and vaginal mucosa (1–4). This targeting results in the massive depletion of these populations during acute infection (5–10). Regeneration is inefficient, and mucosal CD4+ TEM cell deficits persist indefinitely in most infected individuals (5–7, 11), even with effective antiretroviral therapy (ART) (6, 12–14). Restoration of depleted CD4+ T cell populations has long been a therapeutic goal in HIV infection, but the recent realization that the TEM cell compartment bears the brunt of viral attack and is most closely linked with defense against opportunistic pathogens (7) suggests that these restoration efforts should include, if not focus on, this compartment.

TEM cells, both CD4+ and CD8+, represent functionally differentiated cell types that are derived from antigen-stimulated naive precursors in the primary response or from central memory T (TCM) cell precursors at later time points (4). Although mechanisms influencing the functional specialization of differentiating TEM cells have been described (4), their in vivo population dynamics, including the factors regulating the extent of their recruitment from less differentiated precursors, their proliferative/self-renewal capacity, and their lifespan, are poorly characterized, particularly for the CD4+ lineage. Previous work has implicated the common γ chain family cytokines IL-2, IL-7, and IL-15 as important general regulators of peripheral T cell homeostasis (15–19). IL-2, a product of activated T cells, supports expansion of antigen-activated CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, but its primary, nonredundant role in immune regulation appears to be the production of regulatory T cells and maintenance of peripheral tolerance (20). IL-7 is produced by nonlymphoid cells and plays a crucial role in promoting expansion of both thymic and peripheral T cell populations, the latter including both the CD4+ and CD8+ lineages, and both the naive and memory compartments (15, 21–23). IL-15, also produced by nonlymphoid cell types, directs NK cell and NK-T cell development, as well as CD8+ memory T cell function and homeostasis (15, 17, 18, 23–25). Significantly, while rodent studies have clearly demonstrated a major role for IL-15 in the regulation of both homeostatic and infection-associated CD8+ memory T cell proliferation, most studies have not shown analogous activity in the CD4+ memory compartment (15, 26–28).

In SIV-infected NHPs, provision of exogenous IL-15 has been demonstrated to increase the numbers of circulating CD8+ T cells, preferentially affecting the TEM subset (29). Although this study reported no effect of IL-15 therapy on CD4+ memory T cells, CD4+ TEM cells are a minority population of CD4+ memory cells in uninfected rhesus macaques (RMs) (30) and, as indicated above, are often highly depleted in SIV infection (2, 7), making it easy to miss specific changes in this subset. Indeed, the ability of IL-15 to dramatically enhance NHP CD4+ memory responses to immunization (31), to induce TEM cell–associated markers such as CCR5 on
human CD4⁺ memory T cells (32), and to specifically drive proliferation of the human CD4⁺ TEM subset in vitro (33) suggests that IL-15 may, in fact, play a role in CD4⁺ TEM cell development and homeostasis in primates. Here, we use the RM model to critically evaluate this hypothesis. Our results confirm the specific ability of IL-15 to potentially induce proliferation of CD8⁺ TEM cells, with little effect on CD8⁺ TEM cells, but, most significantly, unequivocally demonstrate that this cytokine has similar activity on CD4⁺ TEM cells. Moreover, we demonstrate that IL-15 increases the flux of long-lived CD4⁺ T cells into extralymphoid effector sites and induces CD4⁺ TEM cell proliferation in SIV-infected RMs undergoing ART. Thus, IL-15, in contrast to either IL-2 or IL-7, selectively expands the CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ TEM cell compartments, suggesting that this cytokine might have unique therapeutic efficacy in the reconstitution of CD4⁺ T cell populations in the extralymphoid tissues of HIV⁺ subjects with effective viral suppression.

Results

CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ memory, but not naive, T cells proliferate in vitro in response to IL-15. Our initial studies established that twice-weekly administration of 10 μg/kg rhesus IL-15 significantly expands the CD4⁺ memory T cell response to tetanus toxoid in healthy RMs (31). We therefore assessed the ability of this IL-15 regimen to initiate in vivo proliferation of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺, naive and memory T cells in healthy adult RMs (see Methods for naive/memory delineation criteria). Proliferative activity was monitored by flow cytometric evaluation of Ki-67 antigen, the expression of which has previously been shown to reflect S phase in the preceding 4–7 days (30). As expected, sham injections did not affect the proliferative activity in any T cell subset, but 2 doses of IL-15, given 3 days apart, were sufficient to systematically increase proliferation of memory, but not naive, T cells in both the CD4⁺ and the CD8⁺ lineage (Figure 1A). In general, an increasing percentage of Ki-67⁻ memory T cells expressing Ki-67 from baseline (defined as the average of the day –7 and day 0 values) to day 7 after the first dose is shown for all 6 RMs that were given the 2-dose IL-15 regimen. (C) The figure shows the correlated expression of CD28 and CCR7 on gated CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ memory T cells from the peripheral blood of a typical adult RM. Note that the double-negative TEM subset dominates the circulating CD8⁺ memory T cell population but constitutes only a very minor component of CD4⁺ memory T cells. CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ memory T cells in blood also differ in the presence of a significant CD28⁻ CCR7⁻ subset in the latter, but not the former, population. (D) The figure shows the typical profiles of CD28 versus CCR7 expression on lung airspace and small-intestinal mucosal CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ memory T cells of an adult RM. Note that the vast majority of these memory T cells display either a CD28⁺CCR7⁺ transitional or a CD28⁻CCR7⁻ TEM phenotype.

Figure 1

IL-15 induces memory, but not naive, CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells to proliferate. (A) The fraction of cells expressing the proliferation marker Ki-67 was determined in phenotypically defined naive versus memory, CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ TEM cells from the peripheral blood of 2 representative, normal RMs that were given sham injections first, followed by IL-15 injections 70 days later. (B) The change in the fraction of total CD4⁺ or CD8⁺, peripheral blood memory T cells expressing Ki-67 from baseline (defined as the average of the day –7 and day 0 values) to day 7 after the first dose is shown for all 6 RMs that were given the 2-dose IL-15 regimen. (C) The figure shows the correlated expression of CD28 and CCR7 on gated CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ memory T cells from the peripheral blood of a typical adult RM. Note that the double-negative TEM subset dominates the circulating CD8⁺ memory T cell population but constitutes only a very minor component of CD4⁺ memory T cells. CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ memory T cells in blood also differ in the presence of a significant CD28⁻ CCR7⁻ subset in the latter, but not the former, population. (D) The figure shows the typical profiles of CD28 versus CCR7 expression on lung airspace and small-intestinal mucosal CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ memory T cells of an adult RM. Note that the vast majority of these memory T cells display either a CD28⁺CCR7⁺ transitional or a CD28⁻CCR7⁻ TEM phenotype.
IL-15 selectively acts on $T_{EM}$ and transitional memory T cells. (A) The CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$ memory T cell subsets defined by CD28 and CCR7 expression (see Figure 1C) in peripheral blood were assessed for expression of Ki-67 before, during, and after IL-15 treatment (arrows). Note that CD4$^+$ memory cells lack a significant CD28$^-$CCR7$^+$ component, accounting for why only 3 populations are shown for this lineage. (B) The change in percentage Ki-67$^+$ from baseline (the average of day –7 and day 0 values) to peak (either day 7 or day 10) is shown for the designated CD4$^+$ (black) and CD8$^+$ (red) memory subsets in 4 different RMs that received at least 3 doses of IL-15 (10 μg/kg) on days 0, 3, and 7. The IL-15–induced changes in percentage Ki-67$^+$ for each of the 3 comparable CD4$^+$ versus CD8$^+$ memory subsets (means provided in the figure) were not significantly different. (C) The figure shows the expression of CCR5 by the CD4$^+$ memory subsets defined by CD28 and CCR7 in the peripheral blood of a representative adult RM. (D) The fraction of CCR5$^+$, CD4$^+$ peripheral blood memory T cells expressing Ki-67 is shown before, during, and after IL-15 treatment (arrows; 10 μg/kg) in the same 2 representative RMs depicted in A. As would be expected based on the staining pattern shown in C, IL-15 induced a substantial increase in proliferation within the CCR5-expressing, CD4$^+$ memory population.

Based on expression of the costimulatory molecule CD28 but has since been refined along the lines of the human paradigm with the CD28 CCR7$^+$ phenotype representing fully differentiated $T_{EM}$ cells, the CD28$^-$CCR7$^+$ phenotype representing $T_{CM}$ cells, and the CD28$^+$CCR7$^-$ and CD28$^+$CCR7$^+$ phenotypes representing transitional populations (4, 30, 34). Figure 1, C and D, illustrates the CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$ memory populations delineated by these markers in blood and extralymphoid effector sites (lung airspace and intestinal mucosa) in adult RMs. Note first that, in keeping with the $T_{CM}$/$T_{EM}$ paradigm, discrete CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$ $T_{CM}$ cell populations circulate in blood but are largely excluded from effector sites (which are composed of variable proportions of CD28$^+$CCR7$^-$ transitional memory cells, and fully differentiated CD28$^+$CCR7$^+$ $T_{EM}$ cells). Second, whereas the CD28 CCR7$^+$ $T_{EM}$ subset constitutes the majority CD8$^+$ memory population in RM blood, this subset is only a minor component of the circulating CD4$^+$ memory T cell population, making it necessary to specifically focus on the phenotypically defined subsets to visualize CD4$^+$ $T_{EM}$ cell regulation in peripheral blood. Therefore, to evaluate the possibility that IL-15 preferentially acts on CD4$^+$ $T_{EM}$ cells in vivo, we assessed the Ki-67$^+$–defined proliferative activity of the CD28$^+$CCR7$^+$–defined, CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$ memory subsets before, during, and after IL-15 treatment of normal RMs.

As shown in Figure 2A, IL-15 administration resulted in a dramatic increase in proliferative activity among the CD28$^+$CCR7$^+$ memory subsets, both CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$, and to a lesser extent the CD28$^+$CCR7$^-$ transitional populations. In contrast, proliferation of both CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$ (CCR7$^+$CD28$^+$) $T_{EM}$ cells and the CD8$^+$, CCR7$^-$CD28$^-$ subset was only modestly increased by IL-15. The baseline-to-peak increase in proliferation (Δ%Ki-67$^+$) during IL-15 treatment was similar for CD4$^+$ versus CD8$^+$ T cells within each comparable memory subset (Figure 2B), clearly indicating that the IL-15 responsiveness of CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$ T cell lineages is essentially equivalent. As the HIV/SIV coreceptor CCR5 is expressed on over 60% and over 90% of the transitional (CD28$^+$CCR7$^-$) and fully differentiated (CD28$^+$CCR7$^+$) CD4$^+$, $T_{EM}$ subsets, respectively (Figure 2C), it is not surprising that IL-15 specifically increases the proliferative activity of CCR5$^+$, CD4$^+$ memory T cells (Figure 2D). These data indicate that IL-15 has the capacity to directly influence the regeneration of the T cells most efficiently targeted by HIV/SIV.

IL-15 and IL-2 receptors share both β and γ chains, differing only in their α chains, and the growth-promoting activities of these cytokines can have considerable overlap (17, 18, 31). Nevertheless, assessment of the in vivo effects of IL-2 in our system revealed a decidedly different pattern of activity (Figure 3). IL-2 was able to significantly
increase proliferation of the CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, CD28CCR7- T_EM cells, either CD4+ or CD8+. As IL-7 has been reported to potentiate initiation proliferation among CD4+ and CD8+ naive T cells and T_EM cells in NHPs (21), these data indicated that among the major pro-proliferative, common γ chain family cytokines, only IL-15 manifests T_EM cell selectivity.

In our assessment of different IL-15 dosing regimens, we observed that extending treatment past day 10 often failed to maintain peak T_EM cell proliferative responses (see, for example, RM no. 15134 in Figure 2A). This late resistance to IL-15 was clearly not due to the development of anti–IL-15 antibodies, as dosing at longer time intervals resulted in full responsiveness (Figure 4A), and no evidence of antibodies to this rhesus IL-15 preparation have been detected in similarly or more extensively treated RMs (F. Villinger, unpublished data). Closer scrutiny of the Ki-67 profiles of CD4+ T_EM cells in IL-15-treated RMs (Figure 4B) indicates that the proliferative response was first noticeable at days 3 and 5 as an increase in Ki-67dim-intermediate+ cells (black arrows), consistent with new cells being recruited into the cell cycle. These cells appear to have proceeded as a group to become Ki-67bright+ by day 7, and then Ki-67dim-intermediate+ by day 10 (blue arrows), consistent with coordinate progression through the cell cycle and back into G0. In RMs given at least 4 IL-15 doses over 2 weeks, a second, smaller Ki-67bright+ peak appeared at day 10 (red arrow). At day 14, a smaller Ki-67dim-intermediate+ peak was noted (red arrow), consistent with the cell cycle progression of the Ki-67bright+ cells found at day 10. Finally, a third, small Ki-67bright+ peak appeared at day 14, which dissipated by day 17 (green arrows). These data strongly suggest that the initial IL-15 dose(s) appears to find the majority of response-capable cells, producing a large “wave” of coordinate cell cycle progression. Successive doses find progressively fewer response-capable cells and therefore elicit progressively smaller proliferative waves. This response pattern suggests that responding cells may become refractory to IL-15-mediated signals after their initial response, and/or that IL-15–responsive cells are limiting and take time to regenerate.

Figure 3

CD28-, CCR7- T_EM cells are poorly responsive to IL-2. (A) The CD4+ and CD8+ memory T cell subsets defined by CD28 and CCR7 expression in the peripheral blood in 2 representative RMs (of 4 total) were assessed for expression of Ki-67 before, during, and after 2 weeks of twice-weekly administration of IL-2. Note that IL-2 preferentially induced proliferation in the CD28-CCR7+ component of both the CD4+ and the CD8+ memory populations. (B) The mean (± SEM) change in percentage Ki-67 from baseline (the average of day −7 and day 0 values) to peak response (day 7 or 10 after the first cytokine dose) is shown for the CD28-CCR7−defined peripheral blood memory T cell subsets in all 4 IL-2–treated RMs, and the 4 RMs that received at least 3 doses of IL-15 (the pre-peak doses on days 0, 3, and 7, matching the pre-peak IL-2 dosing schedule). While IL-2 demonstrated a superior (to CD4+) or similar (to CD8+) ability to induce proliferation among CD28-CCR7− transitional memory T cells, IL-15 was dramatically better than IL-2 in initiating proliferation within both the CD4+ and the CD8+, CD28-CCR7− T_EM subsets. *0.05 < P < 0.005, **P < 0.005.
compared with that in blood, and these cells have largely downregulated Ki-67 expression at the time of first appearance in BAL (7). These findings indicate that these sites are largely supplied by emigration of memory T cells that have recently completed proliferation elsewhere. As shown in Figure 6B, the administration of IL-15 before BrdU pulsing increased the average peak accumulation of BrdU+ CD4+ and CD8+ memory T cells in BAL specimens approximately 3-fold over that in untreated controls. Subsequent decay of the BrdU-labeled BAL T cells in IL-15–treated RMs was parallel to that in untreated RMs, indicating that the turnover of the IL-15–induced T cells in pulmonary airspaces is not accelerated relative to that in normal controls. Taken together, the blood and BAL BrdU data indicate that IL-15–induced CD4+ and CD8+ memory T cell proliferation results in long-lived progeny that efficiently disperse into extralymphoid effector sites.

**Figure 5**

IL-15–responsive memory subsets do not accumulate in peripheral blood. (A) The fraction of total CD4+ and CD8+ memory T cells in each of the CD28/CCR7–defined subsets in blood (see Figure 1A) is shown before, during, and after IL-15 treatment (the same IL-15–naive RMs shown in Figure 2). Note that despite vast differences in IL-15–induced proliferation between these subsets, their relative frequencies in blood (particularly the TCM/TEM ratio) either did not change or changed only transiently. (B) The absolute number of CD4+ and CD8+ CD28−CCR7− TEM cells is shown in the same RMs. Again, profound IL-15–induced proliferation resulted in only transiently increased numbers of TEM cells in peripheral blood.

**Figure 4**

IL-15 responsiveness is limited by the availability of response-capable cells, but not by development of an anti–IL-15 antibody response. (A) The expression of Ki-67 within the CD28− subset of circulating CD4+ and CD8+ memory T cells is shown over time in a healthy, adult (IL-15–naive) RM receiving 2 courses of IL-15 therapy 70 days apart. Each black box represents 2 doses of IL-15 (each dose 10 μg/kg). Note that the second response was of a magnitude similar to or greater than that of the initial response. (B) The figure shows successive histograms of Ki-67 expression on gated CD28−CCR7−, CD4+ memory T cells from an RM (no. 18743) that received 10 μg/kg IL-15 on days 0, 3, 7, and 10 (see Figure 2 for overall quantitative data). The arrows (black, blue, red, and green) delineate successive waves of Ki-67 expression (see text). *IL-15 dose given on indicated days.

**TEM cell sensitivity to IL-15 is lost with uncontrolled SIV infection but is restored with pharmacologic suppression of viral replication.** As restoration of the depleted CD4+ TEM cell compartment would be expected to be beneficial to HIV-infected individuals, we examined the effect of IL-15 on memory subset proliferation in SIV-infected monkeys. Figure 7A shows the effect of IL-15 treatment on RMs with stable, untreated chronic-phase SIVmac239 infection, with plasma viral loads in the range of 5 × 10^5 to 5 × 10^7 copies/ml. Consistent with previous studies (7), steady-state memory T cell proliferative activity in these infected RMs was 2- to 4-fold higher than that in uninfected animals (compare with Figure 2). This increased steady-state proliferation was found in both TEM and TCM subsets and, although somewhat higher in CD4+ memory subsets, involved CD8+ memory subsets as well. In 4 of 6 studied RMs (nos. 21462 and 21102 shown), circulating CD4+ TEM cells were substantially reduced by infection, but detectable; in the other 2 studied RMs (no. 21522 shown), this depletion was essentially complete. Administration...
of 4 doses of IL-15 at either 10 μg/kg or 50 μg/kg per dose over 2 weeks to these infected RMs had little or no effect on proliferation of CD4+ T\textsubscript{EM} cells and transitional memory cell populations (Figures 7A and 8B). Among CD8+ T\textsubscript{EM} cells, IL-15 elicited a blunted increase in percentage Ki-67 in all these RMs, which was noticeably delayed in the 2 animals receiving the lower IL-15 dose. Among the CD8+ CM, CD8+ T\textsubscript{EM} cells did not increase in percentage Ki-67 (e.g., baseline to peak) among CD4+ and CD8+ T\textsubscript{EM} cells in ART-treated, SIV-infected RMs, which was expected to be significantly different from that in untreated HIV-infected RMs (P < 0.02). The average amplitude of the percentage Ki-67 change in ART-treated RMs was reduced compared with that in uninfected RMs, but this difference did not achieve statistical significance. Moreover, it was evident from the data shown in Figure 8B that peak frequencies of proliferating (Ki-67+) T\textsubscript{EM} cells in ART-treated RMs after IL-15 administration were often in the same range as those in the uninfected animals given IL-15, the primary difference being the higher baseline proliferation of the former group. As in uninfected RMs, the majority of CD4+ transitional memory and T\textsubscript{EM} cells induced to proliferate by IL-15 in ART-treated RMs expressed the CCR5 coreceptor (data not shown). It is therefore noteworthy that despite this IL-15–induced expansion of SIV “targets,” IL-15 therapy was not associated with an increase in plasma viral loads in these animals (Figure 9B).

We also explored the IL-15 responsiveness of memory T cells specific for the potentially opportunistic pathogen rhesus cytomegalovirus (RhCMV) and SIV itself in 3 RMs (nos. 21021, 21046, and 20955) with controlled SIV infection (1 spontaneous, 2 ART, respectively) and known overall T\textsubscript{EM} cell responsiveness to IL-15 (Figures 7B and 8). Not surprisingly, the pre–IL-15-treatment CD28/CCR7 phenotype of the pathogen-specific T cells in peripheral blood predicted whether IL-15 would influence their proliferative activity (Figure 9C). The RhCMV-specific CD4+ and CD8+ T cells and the SIVgag-specific CD8+ T cells in blood included a large CD28/CCR7--component and showed a clear increase in proliferative activity with IL-15 administration. In contrast, SIVgag-specific CD4+ T cells in blood included virtually no CD28/CCR7-T\textsubscript{EM} cells and manifested little change in their proliferative status during IL-15 therapy.

**Discussion**

The common γ chain cytokine family members IL-2, IL-7, and IL-15 share the ability to initiate and/or enhance mature T cell proliferation and, via this mechanism and effects on T cell survival, exert a major influence on peripheral T cell homeostasis (15–19). Despite a plethora of work documenting the consequences of “adding” these cytokines to or “subtracting” them from various immunologic systems and models, the specific, nonredundant role(s) of each of these cytokines in controlling peripheral T cell population dynamics and function has, to date, not been clearly defined. In this study, we have unequivocally established in an NHP model that the major in vivo pro-proliferative activity of IL-15 on peripheral T cells is not, as has been previously suggested (15, 18, 24, 26, 28, 29), largely restricted to CD8+ memory T cells but, rather, is directed at memory T cells of either the CD8+ or the CD4+ lineage that are either T\textsubscript{EM} or differentiating toward T\textsubscript{EM} (4). Although our data do not distinguish among IL-15 directly inducing T\textsubscript{EM} or transitional memory cells to proliferate, induction occurring indirectly via a distinct cytokine intermediate, and IL-15 acting on T\textsubscript{EM} cell precursors to simultaneously induce proliferation and T\textsubscript{EM} cell differentiation, the ability of IL-15 to preferentially promote proliferation of purified T\textsubscript{EM} cells and manifest little change in their proliferative status during IL-15 therapy.

**of infections, plasma viral loads were reduced by 3–5 logs, CD4+ T\textsubscript{EM} cells became detectable in blood in all animals, steady-state CD4+ and CD8+ memory subset proliferative activity was substantially reduced, and IL-15 administration at either the 10 or the 50 μg/kg dose level was able to significantly increase the proliferative activity within the CD4+ and CD8+ T\textsubscript{EM} and CD28+CCR7+ transitional subsets (Figure 8, A and B). The IL-15–induced change in percentage Ki-67 (e.g., baseline to peak) among CD4+ and CD8+ T\textsubscript{EM} cells in ART-treated, SIV-infected RMs was found to be significantly different from that in untreated SIV-infected RMs (P < 0.02). The average amplitude of the percentage Ki-67 change in ART-treated RMs was reduced compared with that in uninfected RMs, but this difference did not achieve statistical significance. Moreover, it was evident from the data shown in Figure 8B that peak frequencies of proliferating (Ki-67+) T\textsubscript{EM} cells in ART-treated RMs after IL-15 administration were often in the same range as those in the uninfected animals given IL-15, the primary difference being the higher baseline proliferation of the former group. As in uninfected RMs, the majority of CD4+ transitional memory and T\textsubscript{EM} cells induced to proliferate by IL-15 in ART-treated RMs expressed the CCR5 coreceptor (data not shown). It is therefore noteworthy that despite this IL-15–induced expansion of SIV “targets,” IL-15 therapy was not associated with an increase in plasma viral loads in these animals (Figure 9B).

We also explored the IL-15 responsiveness of memory T cells specific for the potentially opportunistic pathogen rhesus cytomegalovirus (RhCMV) and SIV itself in 3 RMs (nos. 21021, 21046, and 20955) with controlled SIV infection (1 spontaneous, 2 ART, respectively) and known overall T\textsubscript{EM} cell responsiveness to IL-15 (Figures 7B and 8). Not surprisingly, the pre–IL-15-treatment CD28/CCR7 phenotype of the pathogen-specific T cells in peripheral blood predicted whether IL-15 would influence their proliferative activity (Figure 9C). The RhCMV-specific CD4+ and CD8+ T cells and the SIVgag-specific CD8+ T cells in blood included a large CD28+CCR7--component and showed a clear increase in proliferative activity with IL-15 administration. In contrast, SIVgag-specific CD4+ T cells in blood included virtually no CD28+CCR7-T\textsubscript{EM} cells and manifested little change in their proliferative status during IL-15 therapy.

**Discussion**

The common γ chain cytokine family members IL-2, IL-7, and IL-15 share the ability to initiate and/or enhance mature T cell proliferation and, via this mechanism and effects on T cell survival, exert a major influence on peripheral T cell homeostasis (15–19). Despite a plethora of work documenting the consequences of “adding” these cytokines to or “subtracting” them from various immunologic systems and models, the specific, nonredundant role(s) of each of these cytokines in controlling peripheral T cell population dynamics and function has, to date, not been clearly defined. In this study, we have unequivocally established in an NHP model that the major in vivo pro-proliferative activity of IL-15 on peripheral T cells is not, as has been previously suggested (15, 18, 24, 26, 28, 29), largely restricted to CD8+ memory T cells but, rather, is directed at memory T cells of either the CD8+ or the CD4+ lineage that are either T\textsubscript{EM} or differentiating toward T\textsubscript{EM} (4). Although our data do not distinguish among IL-15 directly inducing T\textsubscript{EM} or transitional memory cells to proliferate, induction occurring indirectly via a distinct cytokine intermediate, and IL-15 acting on T\textsubscript{EM} cell precursors to simultaneously induce proliferation and T\textsubscript{EM} cell differentiation, the ability of IL-15 to preferentially promote proliferation of purified T\textsubscript{EM}
cells in vitro (ref. 33 and L.J. Picker, unpublished data) favors the first possibility. In our studies, the increased proliferation induced by IL-15 resulted in long-lived progeny with the capacity to migrate into lung airspaces, a typical extralymphoid effector site. Thus, IL-15 preferentially expands those populations of T cells capable of localizing in extralymphoid effector sites and directly responding to pathogen invasion.

Although IL-2 can substitute for IL-15 in some functional activities — for example, the ability to initially amplify antigen-specific T cell responses (16–18, 20, 24, 31) or, in this study, to induce proliferative activity is primarily focused on T cells recirculating among secondary lymphoid tissues (naive and TCM and TCM, respectively) and likely serves to pathogen invasion.

The ability to synthesize TNF-α and IFN-γ (21). In preliminary studies, we have found that low-dose IL-7 selectively induces proliferation of CD4+ and CD8+ TCM cells with little effect on TCM cell populations of either lineage (L.J. Picker, unpublished data). These findings, along with the observation that the IL-7 receptor α chain (CD127) and IL-7 responsiveness is downregulated in the highly activated immune populations (42, 43, and L.J. Picker, unpublished data), indicate that IL-7’s pro-proliferative activity is primarily focused on T cells recirculating among secondary lymphoid tissues (naive and TCM cells) and likely serves as a major homeostatic regulator of these populations. The data also suggest that at the most stimulatory dosing regimens, therapeutic IL-7 has the capacity to disrupt the normal naive/memory compartment could exacerbate, rather than ameliorate, the immune suppression of HIV-infected subjects (20).

IL-7 also has the capacity to stimulate peripheral T cell expansion, both by induction of peripheral T cell proliferation and by support of thymopoiesis (15, 18, 19, 21, 22, 40). In NHPs, therapeutic IL-7 was found to expand peripheral CD4+ T cells in normal macaques, as well as macaques infected with SIV or subjected to total-body irradiation and autologous stem cell transplantation (21, 22, 40, 41). In these studies, IL-7 appeared to dramatically increase proliferation of both naive and memory phenotype T cells (among memory, predominantly the CD28+ EM cells) and thus does not appear to play as direct a role as IL-15 in the regulation of the extralymphoid T cell populations. In addition, it is increasingly clear that IL-2 has a unique role in the development and maintenance of the regulatory T cell populations that protect against autoimmunity and counter-regulate T cell responses to exogenous antigens (20). IL-2 was the first of the T cell “growth factors” to be discovered, and as a result, it has been extensively evaluated as a therapeutic approach to T cell reconstitution (16). Significantly, while long-term, intermittent low-dose IL-2 therapy in HIV-infected individuals has been shown to be efficacious in increasing CD4+ T cell numbers in peripheral blood (36–38), the CD4+ T cells increased by these regimens are predominantly naive, and to a lesser extent TCM in phenotype (36). The effect of such long-term IL-2 therapy on tissue CD4+ TEm cell populations has not been reported, but efficient repletion of this compartment would not be predicted on the basis of this pattern of activity. Moreover, the expanded naive CD4+ T cells in IL-2–treated subjects were demonstrated to express both CD25 and the forkhead transcription factor P3 (foxP3) (39), suggesting that these cells constitute a regulatory T cell population and raising the possibility that this population could exacerbate, rather than ameliorate, the immune suppression of HIV-infected subjects (20).

Although IL-2 can substitute for IL-15 in some functional activities — for example, the ability to initially amplify antigen-specific T cell responses (16–18, 20, 24, 31) or, in this study, to induce proliferation of CD28+ , CCR7+ transitional memory cells — the major in vivo functions of these cytokines appear to be quite distinct. Most notably, IL-2 had little or no effect on the proliferation of fully differentiated (CD28+ , CCR7+) TEM cells and thus does not appear to play as direct a role as IL-15 in the regulation of the extralymphoid T cell populations. In addition, it is increasingly

Figure 7

IL-15 responsiveness is diminished in the highly activated immune systems of RMs with uncontrolled SIV infection but remains intact in a spontaneous controller. (A) The figure shows the effects of 2 weeks of twice-weekly IL-15 therapy at the same dose used in previous experiments (10 μg/kg, RMs no. 21522 and no. 21462) and a dose 5 times higher (RM no. 21102) on the expression of Ki-67 by the peripheral blood CD4+ and CD8+ memory T cell subsets defined by CD28 and CCR7 expression in 3 representative RMs (of 6 total) with typical untreated, plateau-phase SIV infection (see Figure 9A for plasma viral loads). Note that circulating CD4+, CD8+ CCR7+ TEM cells were absent in RM no. 21522 because of viral pathogenicity. (B) The same analysis is shown for an SIVmac239-infected RM (no. 21021) with spontaneous control of viral replication (plateau plasma viral loads < 5 x 10^6 copies/ml) treated with 10 μg/kg IL-15 at the same dosing schedule.
The maximal effect of IL-15 (baseline to peak) on CD4+ (black) and CD8+ (red) T EM (CD28−CCR7−) cell proliferation (percentage Ki-67) is shown for all study RMs given at least 3 doses of IL-15 (filled diamonds, 10 μg/kg; open diamonds, 50 μg/kg) on days 0, 3, and 7. The magnitude of the IL-15–induced proliferation in the CD4+ and CD8+ T EM cell populations of the uninfected and ART-treated SIV-infected cohorts (means provided in the figure) was not significantly different; however, the IL-15–induced changes in T EM cell proliferation in both of these cohorts were significantly different from that observed in the SIV-infected RMs with uncontrolled (e.g., high-viral-load) infection (P < 0.02).

Second, IL-15’s pro-proliferative activity was either absent (CD4+ T EM cells) or markedly blunted (CD8+ T EM cells) in RMs with uncontrolled SIV replication. This observation is not surprising, given that uncontrolled SIV infection is associated with strikingly high memory T cell activation, proliferation, and turnover (7). From an analytic standpoint, it is difficult to visualize an IL-15–mediated increase in proliferation when baseline levels are so high. From a mechanistic standpoint, it is highly likely that the high baseline memory T cell proliferation in infected RMs is secondary to signaling of common γ chain cytokines, resulting in induction of the feedback inhibition mechanisms described above. Moreover, the high turnover (e.g., limited survival times) of the highly activated CD4+ and CD8+ memory cells in infected animals (7) may prevent the accumulation necessary to visualize an IL-15 effect. Finally, CD4+ T EM cells are profoundly depleted in typical SIV-infected RMs, leaving very few cells available to respond to therapeutic IL-15.
Moreover, these new insights into IL-15 could be predicted to increase the kinetics and extent of effector compartments (7). However, the intensity and/or quality of the activation limits this salutary effect by shortening the survival of new cells, and not overstimulating the system. Our data suggest that an optimized IL-15 regimen would allow long-term survival of new cells, and not overstimulate their proliferation rather than other aspects of activation — we would posit that IL-15 therapy would enhance CD4+ T EM cell reconstitution without the deleterious effects of generalized immune activation. Moreover, the ability of IL-15 to promote CD8+ T EM cell expansion, survival, and function (18, 24, 25, 45) would likely enhance immunity against HIV and opportunistic pathogens, providing further benefit. Indeed, we demonstrate IL-15–mediated induction of proliferation for both SIV- and CMV-specific CD8+ T cells here (Figure 9C). The potential for IL-15 therapy to exacerbate inflammatory disease in susceptible subjects (46) will have to be carefully monitored in treated subjects, as will its potential to enhance viral replication. With regard to the latter, IL-15 administration did not increase SIV replication in this study and would not be expected to appreciably increase overt HIV replication in effectively ART-treated human subjects; however, its ability to produce new targets might lead to increased seeding of the latently infected T cell pool. Finally, in individuals receiving ART in advanced infection, damage to immune microenvironments and depleted CD4+ naive T cell populations might limit the efficacy of IL-15 monotherapy. In this situation, a case might be made for alternating cycles of low-dose IL-7 and IL-15, the former to boost precursor populations in secondary lymphoid tissues, the latter to use these precursor populations to restore tissue T EM cell compartments.

In summary, this report documents the ability of IL-15 to regulate the development and maintenance of both CD4+ and CD8+ T EM cell populations and points to the potential of IL-15 therapy to promote CD4+ T EM cell reconstitution in HIV infection. This potential could initially be explored in a controlled NHP study quantitatively comparing mucosal CD4+ T cell reconstitution, SIV- and opportunistic pathogen–specific T cell populations, and viral dynamics in SIV-infected RMs treated with ART alone versus ART plus IL-15 therapy. Moreover, these new insights into IL-15 function help clarify the relative roles of IL-2, IL-7, and IL-15 in peripheral T cell physiology, suggesting that these cytokines complement each other by differential focus on immunoregulation, naive/T EM cell homeostasis, and T EM cell development, respectively. Although the details of how these and other T cell regulators interact to maintain a balanced, functioning peripheral immune system remain to be elucidated, these new insights provide a useful paradigm for rational design of immune reconstitution therapy in all relevant settings.
Methods

Animals and veterinary procedures. A total of 34 purpose-bred juvenile and adult male RMs (Macaca mulatta) of Indian genetic background and free of coccoparasite herpesvirus 1, D-type simian retrovirus, simian T-lymphotrophic virus type 1, and SIV infection were used in this study. Nine of these RMs were assessed for IL-15 responsiveness after experimental infection with SIVmac239 (5 ng equivalents given i.v.) (7), including 3 animals studied both before (day 160 postinfection) and after ART (day 357 postinfection, day 49 post-ART), 4 animals studied with untreated infection only (day 160, 454, 497, and 589 postinfection, respectively), and 2 animals studied with ART-suppressed infection only (both at day 809 postinfection, day 195 post-ART). ART consisted of daily s.c. injections of 9-R-(2-phosphonoformyl)adenine (PMPA; tenofovir; 30 mg/kg) and β-2′,3′-dideoxy-3′-thia-5-fluorocytidine (FTC; entricitricbine; 30 mg/kg) (35). Plasma SIV RNA was assessed using a real-time RT-PCR assay, as previously described (47). Of the 25 non-SIV-infected RMs, all healthy animals with normal CD4+ and CD8+ T cell memory compartments, 8 were given cytokines at 1 or more time points; the remaining 17 served as untreated controls for comparative BrdU labeling studies or were used for phenotypic analysis of tissue memory populations. BAL collection and BrdU administration were performed as previously described (7). Intestinal mucosal specimens were obtained via endoscopically guided biopsy of the upper small intestine. All RMs were housed at the Oregon National Primate Research Center in accordance with standards of the Center’s Animal Care and Use Committee and the NIH “Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals” (48). All animal experiments were approved by the Oregon National Primate Research Center’s Animal Care and Use Committee.

Immunofluorescent staining and flow cytometric analysis. PBMCs, BAL, and intestinal mucosal cells were prepared for flow cytometric analysis as previously described (7, 11, 30). Polychromatic (6- to 10-color) flow cytometric analysis was performed on a 3-laser BD LSR II instrument using Pacific Blue, AmCyan, FITC, PE, PE–Texas red, PE-Cy7, peridinin chlorophyll protein–Cy5.5 (True Red), allogeneocyanin, allogeneocyanin-Cy7, and Alexa 700 as the available fluorescent parameters. Instrument setup and data acquisition procedures were performed as previously described (49). List-mode multiparameter data files were analyzed using the FlowJo software program (version 6.3.1; Tree Star Inc.). Each peripheral blood analysis included lineage-defining markers (CD4, CD8α and CD8β, and CD3), phenotyping markers (CD28, β2-integrin, CD95, CCR7, or CCR5), and response markers (Ki-67, anti-BrdU). After gating on CD4+ or CD8+ T cells, the overall memory and naïve T cell subsets were delineated based on CD28, β2-integrin, CCR7, CCR5, and CD95 expression patterns (30, 34). Briefly, naïve cells constitute a uniform cluster of cells with a CD28αβ, β2-integrinβα, CCR7β, CCR5β, CD95+ phenotype, which are clearly distinguishable from the phenotypically diverse memory population that are predominantly CD95+βα (all TCM and most TEM) or, if CD95+, display 1 or more of the following “non-naïve” phenotypic features: CD28β, CCR7β, β2-integrinα, and β2-integrinα. All analyses included CD95 and at least 2 of these other phenotypic markers for memory subset discrimination. Gated memory cells were then separated into CD28 versus CCR7− or CCR5− defined subsets. As lung lavage T cells are invariably all memory cells (30), assessment of BrdU and Ki-67 expression by these cells was performed directly on gated CD4+ versus CD8+ T cell populations. Cytokine flow cytometry was used to analyze the effect of IL-15 on peripheral blood T cells responsive to SIVgag peptide mixes (15-mers overlapping by 11 amino acids), whole RhCMV lysates, or a specific RhCMV IE-1 peptide, using concomitant CD69 upregulation and TNF-α production as the specific read-out, as previously described (30, 49).

Cytokines and mAbs. Cloning, production, and quality control of recombinant thius IL-15 (GenBank sequence number U19843) was performed as previously described (31). IL-15 preparations used in this study had bioactivities of between 4 × 108 and 6 × 108 U/mg and contained less than 0.3 endotoxin units per microgram IL-15 by Limulus amoebocyte assay. Recombinant human IL-2 (Proleukin) was obtained from Chiron Corp. Monoclonal antibodies L200 (CD4; AmCyan, allogeneocyanin-Cy7), SP34-2 (CD3; True Red), SK1 (CD8α; allogeneocyanin-Cy7, True Red, PE-Cy7), CD28 (CD28; PE, True Red, unconjugated), B56 (Ki-67; FITC, PE), B44 (anti-BrdU; FITC), DX2 (CD95; PE, allogeneocyanin, PE-Cy7), MAB111 (anti–TNF-α, allogeneocyanin) FIB504 (β2-integrin; PE, PE-Cy7), FN50 (CD69; PE), 9F10 (CD49d; unconjugated), and MAB111 (anti–TNF-α, allogeneocyanin) were obtained from BD Biosciences. Monoclonal antibodies 2ST8.517 (CD8β, PE-Cy7), CD282 (PE–Texas red), and streptavidin–PE-Cy7 were obtained from Beckman Coulter. FN18 (CD3) was produced and purified in house and conjugated to Pacific Blue or Alexa 700 using a conjugation kit from Invitrogen Corp. Monoclonal antibody 15053 (anti-CCR7) was purchased as purified Ig from R&D Systems, conjugated to biotin using a Pierce biotinylation kit, and visualized with streptavidin–Pacific Blue (Invitrogen Corp.).

Statistics. Statistical analysis was conducted with the program StatView (Abacus Concepts Inc.). The significance of differences between pre- and post-treatment values was assessed with a paired 2-tailed Student’s t test. Comparisons between different groups of animals (treated versus control; SIV-infected versus uninfected or ART-treated infected) or different treatment regimes (IL-15 versus IL-2) were made using an unpaired, 2-tailed Student’s t test. P values less than 0.05 were considered significant.
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