Mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT) lymphomas can arise in a variety of extranodal sites. Interestingly, at least 3 different, apparently site-specific, chromosomal translocations, all affecting the NF-κB pathway, have been implicated in the development and progression of MALT lymphoma. The most common is the translocation t(11;18)(q21;q21), which results in a fusion of the cIAP2 region on chromosome 11q21 with the MALT1 gene on chromosome 18q21 and is present in more than one-third of cases. The frequency of this translocation is site-related: common in the gastrointestinal tract and lung, rare in conjunctiva and orbit, and almost absent in salivary glands, thyroid, liver, and skin. In this issue of the JCI, Hu et al. add to our understanding of the molecular consequences of this translocation, showing that its fusion product, cIAP2-MALT1, may concomitantly contribute to lymphomagenesis both as a tumor suppressor gene and as an oncogene (see the related article beginning on page 174).

MALT lymphoma: the clinical background
Extranodal marginal zone B cell lymphoma (EMZL) of mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT), also known as MALT lymphoma, is a neoplastic disease that constitutes approximately 8% of all non-Hodgkin lymphomas (1–4). It can arise in a variety of extranodal sites and occurs most often in organs such as the stomach, the salivary glands, or the thyroid, where lymphocytes are normally absent. MALT is the result of chronic phlogistic events in response to either infectious conditions such as Helicobacter pylori–associated chronic gastritis, or autoimmune disorders like Hashimoto thyroiditis and myoepithelial sialadenitis. In these conditions abnormal B cell clones can progressively replace the normal B cell population of the inflammatory tissue, giving rise to the EMZL. Over 10 years ago, H. pylori was identified as an etiologic factor in gastric MALT lymphomas after the demonstration of tumor regression in the majority of early-stage cases treated with anti-Helicobacter antibiotic therapy, and this tumor therefore became a popular model of the close pathogenetic link between chronic inflammation and lymphoma development. Other bacterial infections were later possibly implicated in the pathogenesis of MALT lymphomas arising in the skin (Borrelia burgdorferi), in the ocular adnexa (Chlamydia psittaci), and in the small intestine (Campylobacter jejuni) (1, 4).

The prognosis for patients with MALT lymphoma is good; these tumors usually have an indolent course with overall 5-year survival rates greater than 80%, but rare cases with histologic transformation in cases of aggressive diffuse large-cell lymphoma have been described. It is nowadays generally accepted that eradication of H. pylori with antibiotics should be used as the sole initial treatment of localized gastric MALT lymphoma, while the use of anti-infectious treatment in nongastric locations is still under investigation. Other effective treatment approaches include radiotherapy, chemotherapy, and anti-CD20 mAbs (2, 3).

Many chromosomal translocations affecting the same pathway
Four main recurrent chromosomal translocations have been associated with the pathogenesis of EMZLs: t(11;18)(q21;q21), t(1;14)(p22;q32), t(14;18)(q32;q21), and t(3;14)(p14.1;q32) (5–8) (Table 1). The latter is the most recently described and establishes the juxtaposition of the transcription factor FOXP1 next to the enhancer region
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of the Ig heavy chain genes (8); the pathogenetic relevance of this translocation is still unclear. Interestingly, the other 3 seemingly disparate translocation types appear to affect the same signaling pathway, resulting in the activation of NF-κB, a transcription factor with a central role in immunity, inflammation, and apoptosis (1). The t(1;14)(p22;q32) translocation is detected in only 1–2% of cases of EMZL. The translocation results in overexpression of the BCL10 gene due to the juxtaposition with the promoter region of the Ig heavy chain genes. The BCL10 gene (also known as CARMEN, CIPER, CLAP, c-E10, and mE10) codes for an adaptor protein implicated, as discussed below, in surface receptor signaling and highly expressed in the nucleus of the neoplastic B cells of EMZLs carrying this translocation (6, 9). The t(14;18)(q32;q21) translocation, described in approximately 20% of EMZL cases and cytogenetically identical to the t(14;18)(q32;q21) involving BCL2 in follicular lymphoma, juxtaposes the MALT1 gene (also known as MLT and MLTI) next to the promoter region of the Ig heavy chain genes with subsequent MALT1 overexpression (7). The t(11;18)(q21;q21) translocation is the most common translocation, occurring in 15–40% of cases (1, 4, 5, 10, 11). It results in the reciprocal fusion of cellular inhibitor of apoptosis protein 2 (cIAP2; also known as API2, AP12, BIRC3, HAIP1, HIAP1, MALT2, and MIHC) on 11q21 with MALT1 on 18q21. The creation of a fusion protein encoded by cIAP2-MALT1 on the derivative chromosome 11 is the pathogenetic event.

The main players: cIAP2, MALT1, and BCL10

The cIAP2 protein belongs to the inhibitor of apoptosis protein (IAP) family, characterized by the presence of 1–3 baculoviral IAP repeat (BIR) domains (12–15). cIAP2 contains 3 N-terminal BIRs, a middle caspase recruitment domain (CARD), and a C-terminal zinc-binding RING finger domain (Figure 1A). MALT1, a paracaspase, comprises an N-terminal death domain (DD), followed by 2 Ig-like C2 domains, and a caspase-like domain (Figure 1B) (14–16). All the breakpoints in the cIAP2 gene occur downstream of the third BIR domain but upstream of the C-terminal RING domain, with over 90% of the breaks occurring just before the CARD (Figure 1A) (1, 2, 4). Conversely, the breakpoints in MALT1 are variable but always upstream of the caspase-like domain (Figure 1B) (1, 2, 4). Thus, the resulting fusion protein always comprises the N-terminal region of cIAP2, with 3 intact BIR domains, and the C-terminal MALT1 region, containing an intact caspase-like domain (Figure 1C). The specific conservation of certain functional domains of cIAP2 and MALT1 to form a fusion protein strongly suggests the importance and synergy of these domains in oncogenic activities. NF-κB activation is one of the main downstream effects of the stimulation of cell-surface receptors, such as B cell or T cell receptors. In unstimulated cells, NF-κB molecules are sequestered in the cytoplasm, because of the binding with inhibitory κB (IkB) proteins. The IkBα protein is phosphorylated by the IkB kinase (IKK) heterodimer. The phosphorylation leads
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**Figure 1**
cIAP2, MALT1, and cIAP2-MALT1 organization. Schematic diagram showing the structure of cIAP2 (A), MALT1 (B), and the 2 most commonly observed cIAP2-MALT1 fusion proteins (C), including their known functional domains. The dashed lines show the most frequent breakpoint sites occurring in the t(11;18)(q21;q21) chromosomal translocation. The study by Hu et al. (22) in this issue of the JCI adds to our understanding of the properties of cIAP2, showing BCL10 ubiquitin ligase activity in its COOH-terminal region together with a BCL10 binding site within its NH2-terminal region. RING, really interesting new gene; ID, Ig-like domain; CLD, caspase-like domain.
(13), Hu and colleagues hypothesized that cIAP2 might also be part of the antigen receptor signaling pathway. First, RNA and protein levels of BCL10 in mammalian cells were assessed in a series of t(11;18)(q21;q21)-positive and -negative cases. Indeed, protein and not RNA levels were higher in MALT lymphoma cases bearing the chromosomal translocation, suggesting a stabilization of the protein. The possible interaction between BCL10 and cIAP2 was then investigated by immunoprecipitation both in transfected human embryonic kidney 293T cells and in untransfected primary human T cells. BCL10 and cIAP2 clearly associated with each other in both experimental models. In particular, the association was increased after T cell activation and with the phosphorylated form of BCL10. By transfection of cIAP2 deletion mutants, BCL10 was shown to bind to the BIR region of cIAP2 and not to the RING domain or CARD. As is known for other IAPs (13), Hu et al. showed that the RING domain of cIAP2 has ubiquitin ligase (E3) activity (22). Because of the BIR-mediated binding, cIAP2 induced BCL10 ubiquitylation (Figure 3A). In T lymphocytes, BCL10 degradation occurred after stimulation with anti-CD3/CD28 antibodies, but not after treatment of the cells with TNF-α, indicating that the degradation was specific to antigen receptor stimulation. The BCL10 degradation was dependent on cIAP2; in fact, transfection of primary T cells with WT cIAP2 induced greater BCL10 degradation, while transfection with cIAP2 mutants missing the RING domain determined upregulation of BCL10 and blocked BCL10 degradation after cell stimulation. These data indicate the highly relevant role that cIAP2 plays in regulating BCL10 response after antigen receptor stimulation. As mentioned above, the cIAP2-MALT1 fusion protein created by the t(11;18)(q21;q21) chromosomal translocation always lacks the RING domain of cIAP2, while always containing the BIR domains (1, 2, 4). Indeed, Hu and colleagues demonstrated that cIAP2-MALT1 is able to bind BCL10 but not able to ubiquitylate it (Figure 3B) (22). Interestingly, BCL10 and cIAP2-MALT1 synergistically activated NF-κB, and, at least in HeLa cells, the downregulation of endogenous BCL10 caused a decreased response to NF-κB activation induced by

Novel insights into cIAP2 function

The work presented by Hu et al. in this issue of the *JCI* (22) addresses a very important open question: the role of cIAP2 in normal mammalian cells and in the context of the fusion protein. Since the RING domain of IAPs can have a ubiquitin ligase (E3) activity, which determines the specificity of the ubiquitylation process, and since the latter is fundamental in protein degradation (Figure 2)

---

**Figure 2**

Schematic diagram showing the main steps of the ubiquitylation process leading to proteasomal degradation. It should be noted, however, that ubiquitylation does not always determine protein degradation.

---

**Figure 3**

Loss of function and gain of function in cIAP2-MALT1. Schematic diagram showing the structure of WT cIAP2 (A) and of the t(11;18)(q21;q21)-associated cIAP2-MALT1 fusion protein (B), as demonstrated in this issue of the *JCI* by Hu et al. (22). BCL10 can bind to the RING domain of WT cIAP2. As shown by Hu et al., the RING domain of cIAP2 has ubiquitin ligase (E3) activity. Because of BIR-mediated binding, cIAP2 leads to BCL10 ubiquitylation, a mechanism to regulate BCL10 activity after antigen receptor stimulation. The cIAP2-MALT1 fusion protein created by the t(11;18)(q21;q21) chromosomal translocation always lacks the RING domain of cIAP2, while it always contains the BIR domains (B). The cIAP2-MALT1 protein can still bind BCL10 via the BIR domains, but it is not able to ubiquitylate it, because of the lack of the RING domain. The intact BCL10 synergistically increases cIAP2-MALT1’s intrinsic capacity for NF-κB activation. It is still not clear why the BIR-mediated BCL10 binding would not affect the transfer of BCL10 from the nucleus to the cytoplasm, which would be mediated, as recently shown (23), by the nuclear export signals (NESs), present in the cIAP2-MALT1 fusion protein. The dashed lines show the most frequent breakpoint sites occurring in the t(11;18)(q21;q21) chromosomal translocation. Ub, ubiquitin.

---
expression of the cIAP2-MALT1 fusion protein. These data indicate that BCL10 expression might be needed for cIAP2-MALT1 function. As a whole, the Hu et al. study (22) shows that cIAP2 as well as MALT1 and BCL10 is involved in physiological antigen receptor stimulation. The EMZL-specific cIAP2-MALT1 fusion gene would behave both as a tumor suppressor gene, since it is no longer able to down-regulate BCL10 expression, and also as an oncogene, since the fusion protein induces strong NF-kB activation (22). These data are in perfect accordance with the high expression levels of BCL10 observed in clinical EMZL cases bearing the t(11;18)(q21;q21) translocation (9). The BCL10 protein is expressed only in the cytoplasm in non-neoplastic germinal center and marginal zone B cells as well as in EMZLs with neither t(1;14)(p22;q32) nor t(11;18)(q21;q21) translocations (9). Conversely, nuclear expression is present both in the t(1;14)-positive and in the t(11;18)-positive EMZLs, suggesting that nuclear localization of BCL10 can occur as the result of 2 apparently independent cytogenetic events. While the significance of this change in cellular localization is not yet known, a possible partial explanation has recently been presented by Nakagawa et al. (23), albeit in partial disagreement with the data reported here by Hu et al. (22). Because of the presence of 2 nuclear export signal (NES) domains (Figure 1A), MALT1 would export BCL10 from the nucleus to the cytoplasm (23). In the presence of the t(1;14)(p22;q32) chromosomal translocation, the overexpression of BCL10 would lead to increased BCL10 nuclear compartmentalization (13). In the presence of the t(14;18)(q32;q21) translocation, with increased WT MALT1 expression, the localization of BCL10 would remain cytoplasmic, as observed in normal B cells (23, 24). In the presence of the t(11;18)(q21;q21) translocation, the effect on BCL10 cellular localization is still unclear. While in the study reported by Nakagawa et al. (23) the cIAP2-MALT1 fusion protein has lost the ability to bind BCL10, possibly explaining the accumulation of BCL10 in the nucleus, according to Hu and colleagues (22) this protein would retain its ability to bind BCL10. Since the constructs used in the 2 studies are relatively comparable, differences in the cell systems in which the experiments have been carried out could at least partially explain the differences in the observed results. However, further studies of the significance of nuclear BCL10 expression are mandatory.

**Outlook**

Another field where additional studies will be welcome is the role played by antigens and by the concomitant chronic phlogistic status in the pathogenesis of EMZL. Indeed, EMZLs are considered, at least partially, to be antigen dependent. In addition to epidemiological and therapeutic evidence, another basis for this belief was the demonstration that EMZL cells would often recognize self-antigens (25, 26). However, a very recent publication by Lenze et al. (27) reported new data disproving a direct role for the Igs expressed by EMZLs in sustaining lymphoma growth. The fact that only 1 out of 7 lymphomas expressed specific Igs (actually, directed against a plasma cell protein) makes the direct influence of antigen or self-antigen much less important in sustaining the growth of lymphoma cells. Indeed, in gastric MALT lymphoma, H. pylori–specific T cells are necessary to sustain the in vitro growth of lymphoma cells (28). Considering also the extreme importance of non-neoplastic cells in the pathogenesis and the definition of prognosis of follicular lymphomas (another indolent B cell lymphoma) (29, 30), further investigation of nonlymphoma cells in EMZL appears worthwhile.

The 4 recurrent chromosomal translocations demonstrate a site-specificity in terms of their incidence (10). Indeed, another open question that remains unaddressed is the possible effect of infectious agents in inducing individual translocations and how lymphoma risk depends on the micro-environmental effects of the interaction between genetic factors of the microorganisms (11) and the genetic background of the host (31). Nevertheless, if bacterial and host factors have a relevant impact on the initiation of the lymphomagenesis process, the role of the genetic damage eventually acquired by the tumor lymphocytes is important as well. In this context, the data provided by Hu et al. (22) shed light on the molecular consequences of the most common translocation in MALT lymphoma, showing that the t(11;18)(q21;q21) fusion product (cIAP2-MALT1) may concomitantly contribute to the lymphomagenesis, acting either as a tumor suppressor gene (loss of function) or as an oncogene (gain of function). Indeed, as a result of the cIAP2-MALT1 fusion, cIAP2’s capacity for ubiquitination and degradation of BCL10 is lost, and NF-kB appears to be synergistically activated by BCL10 (which is no longer degraded) and cIAP2-MALT1. The combination of both properties of cIAP2-MALT1 appears crucial in the strong NF-kB activation observed in lymphocytes harboring the t(11;18)(q21;q21) translocation, but the relative contribution to the oncogenic potential of these 2 properties of cIAP2-MALT1 remains to be determined.
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Serum peptidome for cancer detection: spinning biologic trash into diagnostic gold
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The low molecular weight region of the serum peptidome contains protein fragments derived from 2 sources: (a) high-abundance endogenous circulating proteins and (b) cell and tissue proteins. While some researchers have dismissed the serum peptidome as biological trash, recent work using mass spectrometry-based (MS-based) profiling has indicated that the peptidome may reflect biological events and contain diagnostic biomarkers. In this issue of the JCI, Villanueva et al. report on MS-based peptide profiling of serum samples from patients with advanced prostate, bladder, or breast cancer as well as from healthy controls (see the related article beginning on page 271). Surprisingly, the peptides identified as cancer-type-specific markers proved to be products of enzymatic breakdown generated after patient blood collection. The impact of these results on cancer biomarker discovery efforts is significant because it is widely believed that proteolysis occurring ex vivo should be suppressed because it destroys endogenous biomarkers. Villanueva et al. now suggest that this suppression may in fact be preventing biomarker generation.

The illusion of a dry biomarker pipeline

Despite the tremendous urgency to identify clinically useful biomarkers for early disease detection, there have been only a few recent examples of such analytes that have had any real impact at the bedside (1, 2). Many scientists have pointed to what they perceive to be a dried-up blood-borne cancer biomarker pipeline for disease detection since recent searches for a single, cancer-specific marker have not proved fruitful. In response to this challenge, investigators in the field of proteomics have shifted their focus in an effort to utilize experimental methods such as mass spectrometry (MS), which does not require knowledge of a protein’s amino acid sequence prior to effective detection of the analyte. These MS-based methods offer new approaches whereby signatures of multiple analytes measured simultaneously comprise the diagnostic classifier (3–10). MS analysis of blood proteome is proving facile at probing and profiling proteomic information that may encompass hundreds of candidate disease biomarkers without the need for a priori knowledge of their existence or relevance to disease states (4–10).

Within this field of research, interest continues to grow regarding a previously unexplored reservoir—the array of existing proteins in a patient’s serum (coined as the serum proteome), particularly those of low molecular weight (LMW), as well as the metabolic products of these serum proteins (the serum peptidome, fragmentome, or degradome) (11, 12). Prior efforts in the search for serum and plasma protein biomarkers utilized gel-based separation technologies, which cannot readily separate and distinguish molecules of less than 10 kDa in size. In contrast, MS is particularly well suited for the detection of molecules within the LMW range of analysis (<20 kDa). In recognition of this attribute, investigators began to use MS to explore the LMW component of the circulatory proteome in order to determine whether the LMW pool contained any disease-related biomarker candidates. This method was first applied to examine the sera of patients with ovarian cancer (4) and then later for other cancers (5–9).